



## BÖLÜM 25

# Periampuller Bölge Kanserleri

Cumhur ÖZCAN<sup>1</sup>  
Aydemir ÖLMEZ<sup>2</sup>

### Giriş

Büyük çoğunluğunu pankreas başı kanserlerinin oluşturduğu periampuller kanserler, papilla vateri bölgesinde yer alan ana pankreas kanalı, ampulla, distal koledok ve duodenum ikinci kıta bölümünü içeren kanserler olarak tanımlanır (1). Ampulla bölgesi anatomik olarak karmaşık bir yapı olup safra kanalı, pankreas kanalı ve duodenumun birleştiği kompleks bir yapıdan oluşur. Histolojik olarak pankreas, biliyer, ampuller ve duodenal karsinom arasındaki ayrım basittir. Ancak başvuru şikayet ve semptomları aynı olduğundan küçük tümörler hariç, kaynaklandığı bölgenin belirlenmesi zor olabilir (2, 3). Pankreatikoduodenektomi (PD) sonrası histolojik incelemelerde en sık tespit edilen pankreas kanseri olup, bu bölge kanserlerinin alt tiplemesinde temel olarak genomik ve moleküler farklılıklar vardır (4, 5). Bu anatomik ilişki nedeni ile histopatolojik incelemede farklı epitel tipleri ayırt edilebilir. Bu tümörleri; pankreas başı

tümörler (%60-70), duodenal adenomlar-karsinomlar (%10), ampuller karsinomlar (%10-20) ve distal koledok kolanjiokarsinomları (%10) oluşturur (6, 7). Johns Hopkins’de periampuller kanser için rezeke edilen 2564 hastanın çoğunluğunu pankreas kanseri (%66), bunu ampuller (%16), biliyer (%12) ve duodenum (%6) kanserleri izler (8). Periampuller tümörlerin histolojik tipinin ne olduğu çoğu zaman ameliyat öncesi kesin olarak belirlenemez. Periampuller tümörlerin karmaşık heterojenliği nedeni ile moleküler biyolojik düzeyde daha fazla deşifre etmek için gelecekte daha ileri çalışmalar gerekli olduğu aşikardır.

Bu bölümün odak noktası, periampuller malignitelerin çoğunluğunu oluşturan pankreasın periampuller duktal adenokarsinomunun cerrahisidir. Birincil hedef, mevcut cerrahi tedavi standardını sunmak ve multimodal tedavi konseptlerinin artan etkisi altında yeni cerrahi stratejilerini tartışmaktır. Cerrahi ve multimodal tedavinin çoğu yönü için periampuller pankreas karsinomu için spesifik veri

<sup>1</sup> Öğr. Gör. Dr., Mersin Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Genel Cerrahi AD., cumhurozcan79@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Prof. Dr., Mersin Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Genel Cerrahi AD., aydemirozmez@hotmail.com

cerrahi teknikler, rezeke edilebilir hastaların yüzdesinde artışa neden olabilir. Öte yandan, preoperatif ortamda neoadjuvan kemoterapi kullanımını değerlendiren ön veriler umut verici görünmektedir. Bu ilerlemelere ve yeniliklere rağmen, çoğu hasta başvuru sırasında küratif tedaviye aday olmadığı için pankreas kanseri ölümcül olmaya devam etmektedir. Özellikle erken teşhiste daha fazla çalışmaya ihtiyaç vardır. Pankreas kanserinin erken teşhisini kolaylaştıracak bir biyobelirtecini keşfi, hasta yönetimini ve prognozunu büyük ölçüde etkileyecektir.

## Kaynaklar

- Sarmiento JM, Nagomey DM, Sarr MG, et al. Periapillary cancers: are there differences? *Surg Clin North Am.* 2001;81:543-555.
- Leo JM, Kalloger SE, Peixoto RD, et al. Owen DA, Renouf D, Schaeffer DF. Immunophenotyping of ampullary carcinomata allows for stratification of treatment specific subgroups. *J Clin Pathol.* 2016;69:431-439.
- Bledsoe JR, Shinagare SA, Deshpande V. Difficult Diagnostic Problems in Pancreatobiliary Neoplasia. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 2015;139:848-857.
- Chandrasegaram MD, Chiam SC, Chen JW, et al. Distribution and pathological features of pancreatic, ampullary, biliary and duodenal cancers resected with pancreaticoduodenectomy. *World J Surg Oncol.* 2015;13:85.
- Chandrasegaram MD, Chen JW, Price TJ, et al. Advances in Molecular Pathology and Treatment of Periapillary Cancers. *Pancreas.* 2016;45:32-39.
- Nießen A, Schimmack S, Weber TF, et al. Presentation and outcome of mixed neuroendocrine non-neuroendocrine neoplasms of the pancreas. *Pancreatology.* 2021;21:224-235.
- Komine R, Kojima M, Ishi G, et al. Recognition and pathological features of periampullary region adenocarcinoma with an indeterminable origin. *Cancer Med.* 2021;10:3499-3510.
- He J, Ahuja N, Makary MA, et al. 2564 resected periampullary adenocarcinomas at a single institution: trends over three decades. *HPB (Oxford).* 2014;16:83-90.
- Jun SY, Hong SM. Nonductal Pancreatic Cancers. *Surg Pathol Clin.* 2016;9:581-593.
- Luchini C, Capelli P, Scarpa A. Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma and Its Variants. *Surg Pathol Clin* 2016; 9: 547-560
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2016; 66:7-30.
- Howlader, N., Noone, A.M., Krapcho, M., et al., editors. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2013. National Cancer Institute; Bethesda.
- Vincent A, Herman J, Schulick R, et al. Pancreatic cancer. *Lancet.* 2011;378:607-620.
- Cancer Research UK. Survival Pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Research UK.* 2017; Available from: URL: <http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/pancreatic-cancer/survival>. Erişim tarihi: 12.12.2021.
- Midha S, Chawla S, Garg PK. Modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors for pancreatic cancer: A review. *Cancer Lett.* 2016;381:269-277.
- Silverman DT, Hoover RN, Brown LM, et al. Why do Black Americans have a higher risk of pancreatic cancer than White Americans? *Epidemiology.* 2003;14:45-54.
- Wolpin BM, Chan AT, Hartge P, et al. ABO blood group and the risk of pancreatic cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2009;101:424-431.
- Hruban RH, Canto MI, Goggins M, et al. Update on familial pancreatic cancer. *Adv Surg.* 2010;44:293-311.
- Becker AE, Hernandez YG, Frucht H, et al. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: risk factors, screening, and early detection. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2014;20:11182-11198.
- Stevens RJ, Roddam AW, Beral V. Pancreatic cancer in type 1 and young-onset diabetes: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Cancer.* 2007;96:507-509.
- Huxley R, Ansary-Moghaddam A, Berrington de González A, et al. Type-II diabetes and pancreatic cancer: a meta-analysis of 36 studies. *Br J Cancer.* 2005;92:2076-2083.
- Raimondi S, Lowenfels AB, Morselli-Labate AM, et al. Pancreatic cancer in chronic pancreatitis; aetiology, incidence, and early detection. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol.* 2010;24:349-358.
- Guo Y, Liu W, Wu J. Helicobacter pylori infection and pancreatic cancer risk: A meta-analysis. *J Cancer Res Ther.* 2016;12:C229-C232.
- Bosetti C, Lucenteforte E, Silverman DT, et al. Cigarette smoking and pancreatic cancer: an analysis from the International Pancreatic Cancer Case-Control Consortium (Panc4). *Ann Oncol.* 2012;23:1880-1888.
- Wang YT, Gou YW, Jin WW, et al. Association between alcohol intake and the risk of pancreatic cancer: a dose-response meta-analysis of cohort studies. *BMC Cancer.* 2016;16:212.
- WCRFI. Pancreatic cancer statistics. *World Cancer Research Fund International.* 2018; Available from: URL: <http://www.wcrf.org/int/cancer-facts-figures/data-specific-cancers/pancreatic-cancerstatistics>. Erişim tarihi: 12.12.2021.
- Porta M, Fabregat X, Malats N, et al. Exocrine pancreatic cancer: symptoms at presentation and their relation to tumour site and stage. *Clin Transl Oncol.* 2005;7:189-197.
- Canto MI, Harinck F, Hruban RH, et al. International Cancer of Pancreas Screening (CAPS) Consortium. International Cancer of the Pancreas Screening (CAPS)

- Consortium summit on the management of patients with increased risk for familial pancreatic cancer. *Gut*. 2013;62:339-347.
29. Del Chiaro M, Segersvärd R, Lohr M, et al. Early detection and prevention of pancreatic cancer: is it really possible today? *World J Gastroenterol*. 2014;20:12118-12131.
  30. Tempero MA, Arnoletti JP, Behrman SW, et al. Pancreatic adenocarcinoma, version 2.2012: featured updates to the NCCN Guidelines. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*. 2012;10:703-713.
  31. Ichikawa T, Erturk SM, Motosugi U, Sou H, Iino H, Araki T, Fujii H. High-b value diffusion-weighted MRI for detecting pancreatic adenocarcinoma: preliminary results. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2007;188:409-414
  32. DeWitt J, LeBlanc J, McHenry L, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound guided-fine needle aspiration cytology of solid liver lesions: a large single center experience. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2003;98:1976-1981.
  33. Bünger S, Laubert T, Roblick UJ, et al. Serum biomarkers for improved diagnostic of pancreatic cancer: a current overview. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol*. 2011;137:375-389.
  34. *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual (7th Edition)*. Springer, NY, USA(2010) Google Scholar.
  35. Strobel O, Neoptolemos J, Jager D, et al. Optimizing the outcomes of pancreatic cancer surgery. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2019;16:11-26.
  36. van Erning FN, Mackay TM, van der Geest LGM, et al. Association of the location of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (head, body, tail) with tumor stage, treatment, and survival: a population-based analysis. *Acta Oncol*. 2018;57:1655-1662.
  37. Hank T, Hinz U, Reiner T, et al. A pretreatment prognostic score to stratify survival in pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg*. 2021.
  38. Hank T, Sandini M, Ferrone CR, et al. A combination of biochemical and pathological parameters improves prediction of postresection survival after preoperative chemotherapy in pancreatic cancer: the PANAMA-score. *Ann Surg*. 2020.
  39. Tol JA, Gouma DJ, Bassi C, et al. Definition of a standard lymphadenectomy in surgery for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: a consensus statement by the international study group on pancreatic surgery (ISGPS). *Surgery*. 2014;156:591-600.
  40. Tarantino I, Warschkow R, Hackert T, et al. Staging of pancreatic cancer based on the number of positive lymph nodes. *Br J Surg*. 2017;104:608-618.
  41. Dasari BV, Pasquali S, Vohra RS, et al. Extended versus standard lymphadenectomy for pancreatic head cancer: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2015;19:1725-1732.
  42. Hua J, He Z, Qian D, et al. Duct-to-Mucosa Versus Invagination Pancreaticojejunostomy Following Pancreaticoduodenectomy: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2015;19:1900-1909.
  43. Cheng Y, Briarava M, Lai M, et al. Pancreaticojejunostomy versus pancreaticogastrostomy reconstruction for the prevention of postoperative pancreatic fistula following pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;9.
  44. Pericleous S, Middleton N, McKay SC, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of case-matched studies comparing open and laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy: is it a safe procedure? *Pancreas*. 2012;41:993-1000.
  45. Zhang J, Wu WM, You L, et al. Robotic versus open pancreatectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2013;20:1774-1780.
  46. Harnoss JC, Ulrich AB, Harnoss MJ, et al. Use and results of consensus definitions in pancreatic surgery; a systematic review. *Surgery*. 2014;155:47-57.
  47. Krautz C, Nimptsch U, Weber GF, et al. Effect of hospital volume on in-hospital morbidity and mortality following pancreatic surgery in Germany. *Ann Surg*. 2018;267:411-417.
  48. Bassi C, Marchegiani G, Dervenis C, et al. The 2016 update of the international study group (ISGPS) definition and grading of postoperative pancreatic fistula: 11 years after. *Surger*. 2017;161:584-591.
  49. Elliott IA, Dann AM, Ghukasyan R, et al. Pasireotide does not prevent postoperative pancreatic fistula: a prospective study. *HPB (Oxford)*. 2018;20:418-422.
  50. Traverso LW, Hashimoto Y. Delayed gastric emptying: the state of the highest level of evidence. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg*. 2008;15:262-269.
  51. Qu H, Sun GR, Zhou SQ, et al. Clinical risk factor of delayed gastric emptying in patients after pancreaticoduodenectomy: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 2013;39:213-223.
  52. van der Gaag NA, Rauws EA, van Eijck CH, et al. Preoperative biliary drainage for cancer of the head of the pancreas. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362:129-137.
  53. Lillemoe KD, Cameron JL, Kaufman HS, et al. Chemical splanchnicectomy in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer. A prospective randomized trial. *Ann Surg*. 1993;217:447-455.