

# **Chapter 8**

## **CURRENT PERSPECTIVES OF APICAL RESECTION**

**Selen İNCE YUSUFOĞLU<sup>1</sup>**  
**Güzin Neda HASANOĞLU ERBASAR<sup>2</sup>**

### **APICAL RESECTION**

Apical resection (apicectomy) involves the removal of the affected apical portion of the tooth and the curetage of all necrotic and inflamed tissue in the periapical region. Conventional endodontic treatment has a high success rate, however failures have also been observed and retreatment may be indicated. In cases where retreatment is not feasible or fails again endodontic surgery may be preserve the tooth (Mandava et al 2015, Jain et al 2016).

The aim of endodontic surgery is to fill the exposed root canal system and isthmuses, eliminating bacteria and preventing their byproducts polluting the periradicular tissues, providing an environment for regeneration of periradicular tissues.

Indications for apical surgery include;

1. Periapical disease associated with a permanent tooth subjected to endodontic treatment, with pain and inflammation
2. A radiotransparent lesion measuring over 8 to 10 mm in diameter (Figure 1)
3. Symptomatic gutta-percha overfilling or presence of a fractured file not removable in orthograde (the blockage proved not to be removable, displacement did not seem possible or the risk of the damage was too great).
4. Persisting or emerging disease following root canal treatment when root canal treatment is not possible
5. Perforation of the root or the floor of the pulp chamber and where it is impossible to treat from within the pulp cavity (Carrillo et al 2008, Sanchez-Torres, Sanchez-Garcés and Gay-Escoda 2014, ESE 2016,).

Post-treatment disease following root-canal treatment is most often associated with poor quality procedures that do not remove intra-canal infection (Figure 2).

---

<sup>1</sup> Asst Prof. Dr, Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Faculty of Dentistry Department of Endodontics, dtselenince@hotmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Asst Prof. Dr, Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Faculty of Dentistry Department of Oral Maxillofacial Surgery, neda986@gmail.com

## CONCLUSION

Improved microsurgical instruments, ultrasonic tips, and current biocompatible retrograde filling materials have improved the evaluation of healing of periradicular tissues. It has been an alternative method for tooth extraction with appropriate case selection, increased skill and advanced current materials.

## REFERENCES

1. Abras L. (2016). Endodontic Periradicular Microsurgery. Mehra P, D'Innocenzo R (Ed.), *In Manual of Minor Oral Surgery for the General Dentist* (p.192-196). USA: John Wiley & Sons Publishing.
2. Abusrewil SM, McLean W, Scott JA (2018). The use of Bioceramics as root-end filling materials in periradicular surgery: A literature review. *Saudi Dent J*.30, 273-282.
3. Ambus C, Munksgaard EC (1993). Dentin bonding agents and composite retrograde root filling, *Am J Dent* 6, 35.
4. Asgary S, Eghbal MJ, Ehsani S (2010). Periradicular regeneration after endodontic surgery with calcium-enriched mixture cement in dogs. *J Endod* 36(5), 837-41. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2010.03.005>
5. Asgary S, Eghbal MJ, Parirokh M (2008). Sealing ability of a novel endodontic cement as a root-end filling material. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research* 87(3), 706- 09.
6. Attik GN, Villat C, Hallay F, Pradelle-Plasse N, Bonnet H, Moreau K, et al (2014). *In vitro* biocompatibility of a dentine substitute cement on human MG63 osteoblasts cells: Biodentine™ versus MTA ® *Int Endod J*. 47, 1133–41. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iej.12261>
7. Bahadir S, Bayraktar Y (2018). Calcium Enriched Material. *Türkiye klinikleri J*. Article in pres.
8. Balla R, LoMonaco CJ, Skribner J, Lin LM (1991). Histological study of furcation perforations treated with tricacium phosphate, hydroxylapatite, amalgam and life. *J Endodon* 17, 234-8. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399\(06\)81928-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(06)81928-x)
9. Barkhordar RA, Pelzner RB, Stark MM (1989). Use of glass ionomers as retrofilling materials. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 67, 734. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220\(89\)90017-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220(89)90017-0)
10. Barnes D, Adachi E, Iwamoto C, et al (2002). Testing of the White Version of ProRoot® MTA Root Canal Repair Material1, DENTSPLY Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, Oklahoma.
11. Bernabe PF, Gomes-Filho JE, Bernabé DG, Nery MJ, Otoboni-Filho JA, Dezan E Jr, Cintra LT (2013). Sealing ability of MTA used as a root end filling material: Effect of the sonic and ultrasonic condensation. *Braz Dent J* 24, 107-10. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0103-6440201301973>
12. Bodrumlu E (2008). Biocompatibility of retrograde root filling materials: A review. *Australian Endod J*. 34, 30-35
13. Bramante CM, de Moares IG, Bernardineli N, Garcia RB, Pidero CU, Ordinola-Zapata R, Bramante AS. (2010) Effect of sputter-coating on cracking of root-end surfaces after ultrasonic retrograde preparation - a SEM study of resected root apices and their respective impressions. *Acta Odontologica Latinoamericana*, 23, 53-57.
14. Carrillo C, Penarrocha M, Bagan VJ, Vera F (2008). Relationship between histologi-

- cal diagnosis and evolution of 70 periapical lesions at 12 months, treated by periapical surgery. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 66, 1606-9, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2007.12.014>
15. Chen I, Karabucak B, Wang C et al. (2015) Healing after root-end microsurgery by using mineral trioxide aggregate and a new calcium silicate-based bioceramic material as root-end filling materials in dogs. *J Endod* 41, 389–99. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2014.11.005>
16. Cho E, Kopel H, White SN (1995). Moisture susceptibility of resin-modified glass-ionomer materials . *Quintessence Int* 26, 351.
17. Chong BS, Owadally ID, Pitt Ford TR, Wilson RF (1994). Cytotoxicity of potential retrograde root-filling materials. *Endod Dent Traumatol* 10, 129. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-9657.1994.tb00537.x>
18. Crooks WG, Anderson RW, Powell BJ, Kimbrough WF(1994). Longitudinal evaluation of the seal of IRM root end fillings. *J Endod* 20, 250. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399\(06\)80288-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(06)80288-8)
19. Danin J, Linder L, Sund ML, et al (1992). Quantitative radioactive analysis of microleakage of four different retrograde fillings, *Int Endod J* 25, 183. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.1992.tb00747.x>
20. Del Fabbro M, Ceresoli V, Lolato A, Taschieri S (2012). Effect of platelet concentrate on quality of life after periradicular surgery: a randomized clinical study. *J Endod* 38, 733–9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2012.02.022>
21. Economides N, Pantelidou O, Kökkas A, Tziafas D (2003). Short-term periradicular tissue response to mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) as root end filling material. *Int Endod J* 36, 44-8.
22. Eley BM (1982). Tissue reactions to implanted dental amalgam, including assessment by energy dispersive x-ray microanalyses. *J Pathol* 54, 583-5. <https://doi.org/10.1002/path.1711380307>
23. Erbaşar GNH, Tulumbacı F, Erbaşar RC (2018). Evaluation of Microleakage of Three Different Retrograde Filling Materials in Apical Resection using an AutoCad Program. *EÜ Dişhek Fak Derg* 39(2), 98-104. DOI: 10.5505/eudfd.2018.41275
24. European Society of Endodontontology 2006.
25. Evans EG, Bishop K, Renton T. (2012) Guidelines for Surgical Endodontics. <https://www.rcseng.ac.uk/dental-faculties/fds/publications-guidelines/clinical-guidelines/>.
26. Fischer, E.J., Arens, D.E., Miller, C.H., (1998). Bacterial leakage of mineral trioxide aggregate as compared with zinc-free amalgam, intermediate restorative material, and Super-EBA as a root-end filling material. *J. Endod.* 24 (3), 176–179. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399\(98\)80178-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(98)80178-7)
27. Geurtzen W (2000). Biocompatibility of resin-modified filling materials. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med* 11, 333-55.
28. Gondim E, Zaia AA, Gomes BP, Ferraz CC, Teixeira FB, Souza-Filho FJ. (2003) Investigation of the marginal adaptation of root-end filling materials in root-end cavities prepared with ultrasonic tips. *International Endodontic Journal*, 36, 491-499.
29. Haas DA. (2002) An Update on Local Anesthetics in Dentistry. *Journal of Canadian Dental Association*, 68(9):546-551.
30. Hargreaves & Berman-Cohen (2016). Pathways of the pulp 11 th edition Elsevier, St. Louis, Missouri. p:419-422.
31. Jain A, Ponnappa KC, Yadav P, Rao Y, Relhan N, Gupta P, Choubey A, Bhardwaj S. (2016). Comparison of the root end sealing ability of four different retrograde filling

- materials in teeth with root apices resected at different angles- An invitro study. *J Clin Diagn Res* 10, 14-7. Doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2016/15437.7042
32. Kaplan SD, Tanzilli JP, Raphael D et al (1982). A comparison of the marginal leakage of retrograde techniques. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 54, 583-5. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220\(82\)90198-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220(82)90198-0)
33. Kheirieh S, Fazlyab M, Torabzadeh H, Eghbal MJ (2013). Extraoral Retrograde Root Canal Filling of surgery of human permanent teeth with calcium-enriched mixture cement. *Iranian endodontic journal* 8(3), 140-44. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2010.11.015>
34. Kim S, Song M, Shin SJ, Kim E (2016b). A randomized controlled study of mineral trioxide aggregate and super ethoxybenzoic acid as root-end filling materials in endodontic microsurgery: long-term outcomes. *J Endod* 42, 997–1002. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2016.04.008>
35. Kohli MR, Berenji H, Setzer FC, Lee SM, Karabucak B. (2018). Outcome of Endodontic Surgery: A Meta-analysis of the Literature—Part 3: Comparison of Endodontic Microsurgical Techniques with 2 Different Root-end Filling Materials. *Journal of Endodontics*, 44(6), 923–931. Doi:[10.1016/j.joen.2018.02.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2018.02.021).
36. Laurent P, Camps J, About I (2012). Biodentine induces TGF-b1 release from human pulp cells and early dental pulp mineralization. *Int Endod J* 45, 439–48
37. Lieblich SE. (2014). Principles of Endodontic Surgery. Hupp JR, Tucker MR, Ellis E (Ed.), *In Current Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* (p. 346-354). USA: Elsevier Publishing.
38. Lieblich SE.(2012) Endodontic Surgery. *Dental Clinics of North America*, 56, 121–132.
39. Mandava P, Bolla N, Thumu J, Vemuri S, Chukka S (2015). Microleakage evaluation around retrograde filling materials prepared using conventional and ultrasonic techniques. *J Clin Diagn Res* 9, 43-6. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2015/11071.5595
40. McDonald NJ, Dumsha TC (1990) Evaluation of the retrograde apical seal using dentine bonding materials, *Int Endod J* 23, 156. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.1990.tb00093.x>
41. Memarpour M, Fijan S, Asgary S, Keikhaee M (2016). Calcium-Enriched Mixture Pulpotomy of Primary Molar Teeth with Irreversible Pulpitis. A Clinical Study. *The Open Dentistry Journal* 10, 43. doi: 10.2174/1874210601610010043
42. Molven O, Halse A, Grung B. (1987) Observer strategy and the radiographic classification of healing after endodontic surgery. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 16, 432–439.
43. Niemczyk SP. (2010) Essentials of Endodontic Microsurgery. *Dental Clinics of North America*, 54, 375–399. Doi:[10.1016/j.cden.2009.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cden.2009.12.002)
44. Osorio, R.M., Hefti, A., Vertucci, F.J., Shawley, A.L. (1998). Cytotoxicity of endodontic materials. *J. Endod.* 24 (2), 91–96. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399\(98\)80084-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(98)80084-8)
45. Parirokh M, Asgary S, Eghbal MJ. (2006) The effect of different suture removal time intervals on surgical wound healing. *Iranian Endodontic Journal*, 1 (3), 81-6.
46. Pitt Ford TR (2003). Surgical treatment of apical periodontitis. In: Orstavik D, Pitt Ford TR, eds. Essential endodontontology. 5 th ed. Oxford: Blacwell Publishing Company; Pp 278-308.
47. Pogrel AM, Kahnberg KA, Anderson L. (2014). *Essentials of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. (First Edition). UK: John Wiley & Sons Publishing.

48. Post LK, Lima FG, Xavier CB, Demarco FF, Gerhardt-Oliveira M. (2010). Sealing ability of MTA and amalgam in different root-end preparations and resection bevel angles: An in vitro evaluation using marginal dye leakage. *Brazilian Dental Journal*, 21:416-419.
49. Rodríguez-Martos R, Torres-Lagares D, Castellanos-Cosano L, Serrera-Figallo MA, Segura-Egea JJ, Gutierrez-Perez JL. (2012). Evaluation of apical preparations performed with ultrasonic diamond and stainless steel tips at different intensities using a scanning electron microscope in endodontic surgery. *Medicina oral, Patología oral y cirugía bucal*, 17(6), e988-93. Doi:10.4317/medoral.17961
50. Rud J, Andreasen JO, Möller Jensen JE. (1972) Radiographic criteria for the assessment of healing after endodontic surgery. *International Journal of Oral Surgery*, 1, 195–214.
51. Sacco R, Greenstein A, Patel B. (2016). Endodontic Microsurgery. Bobby Patel (Ed.), *Endodontic Treatment, Retreatment, and Surgery* (p. 297-321). Switzerland: Springer Publishing.
52. Sanchez-Torres A, Sanchez-Garces MA, Gay-Escoda C (2014). Materials and prognostic factors of bone regeneration in periapical surgery: a systematic review. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 19, 419-25. doi: 10.4317/medoral.19453
53. Setzer FC, Shah SB, Kohli MR, Karabucak B, Kim S. (2010) Outcome of Endodontic Surgery: A Meta-analysis of the Literature—Part 1: Comparison of Traditional Root-end Surgery and Endodontic Microsurgery. *Journal of Endodontics*, 36(11), 1757–1765. Doi:10.1016/j.joen.2010.08.007.
54. Solanki NP, Venkappa KK, Shah NC (2018). Biocompatibility and sealing ability of mineral trioxide aggregate and biodentine as root-end filling material: A systematic review. *J Cons Dent* 21, 10-15. doi: 10.4103/JCD.JCD\_45\_17
55. Song M, Kim E (2012). A prospective randomized controlled study of mineral trioxide aggregate and super ethoxy-benzoic acid as root-end filling materials in endodontic microsurgery. *J Endod* 38, 875–9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2012.04.008>
56. Song M, Shin SJ, Kim E (2011b). Outcomes of endodontic micro-resurgery: a prospective clinical study. *J Endod* 37, 316–20. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2010.11.029>
57. Souza, N.J., Justo, G.Z., Oliveira, C.R., Haun, M., Bincoletto, C. (2006). Cytotoxicity of materials used in perforation repair tested using the V79 fibroblast cell line and the granulocyte-macrophage progenitor cells. *Int. Endod. J.* 39 (1), 40–47. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.2005.01045.x>
58. Spahl W, Budzikiewicz H, Geurtzen W (1998). Determination of leachable components from four commercial dental composites by gas and liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry. *J Dent* 26, 137-45.
59. Stropko JJ, Doyon GE, Gutmann JL. (2005) Root-end management: resection, cavity preparation, and material placement. *Endodontic Topics*, 11, 131–151.
60. Torabinejad M, Hong JU, Pitt Ford TR (1995). Physical properties of a new root-end filling material. *J Endod* 21, 349-53. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.don.0000157993.89164.be>
61. Tortorici S, Difalco P, Caradonna L, Tetè S. (2014) Traditional Endodontic Surgery Versus Modern Technique. *Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, 25(3), 804–807. Doi:10.1097/scs.0000000000000398.
62. Tronstad I, Wennberg A (1980). In vitro assesment of the toxicity of filling materials. *Int Endo J* 13, 131-8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.1980.tb00670.x>
63. Von Arx T. (2010) Apical surgery: A review of current techniques and outcome. *The Saudi Dental Journal*, 23 (1), 9-15. Doi: 10.1016/j.sdentj.2010.10.004

64. Von Arx T (2011). Apical surgery: a review of current techniques and outcome. *The saudi dent j* 23, 9-15.
65. Walsh, R.M., Woodmansey, K.F., Glickman, G.N., He, J. (2014). Evaluation of compressive strength of hydraulic silicate-based rootend filling materials. *J. Endod.* 40 (7), 969–972. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2013.11.018>
66. Wu MK, Dummer PMH, Wesselink PR (2006). Consequences of and strategies to deal with residual post-treatment root canal infection. *Int Endod J* 39, 343-356. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.2006.01092.x>
67. Zetterqvist L, Hall G, Holmlund A (1991). Apicectomy: a comparative clinical study of amalgam and glass ionomer cement as apical sealants. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 71, 489. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220\(91\)90437-H](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220(91)90437-H)