

Bölüm 11

FEN BİLGİSİ EĞİTİMİ AKADEMİK PERSONELİN İŞ ORTAMINDAKİ ÇEVRESEL DAVRANIŞ NİYETLERİNİN PLANLANMIŞ DAVRANIŞ TEORİSİ YOLUYLA ARAŞTIRILMASI

Hüseyin ATEŞ¹

GİRİŞ

İklim üzerindeki insan etkisi, 20. yüzyılın ortalarından bu yana gözlemlenen ısınmanın en önemli nedeni olduğu bilinmekle birlikte IPCC Beşinci Değerlendirme Raporunda bildirildiği gibi dünyanın ortalama sıcaklığı 1880'den 2012 yılına kadar ortalama olarak 0.85 °C artmıştır (Hükümetlerarası İklim Değişikliği Paneli, IPCC, 2013). Bugüne kadarki sıcaklık artışı, kuraklık, sel ve diğer bazı aşırı hava türlerinde artışlar da dâhil olmak üzere, doğal denge üzerinde ciddi oranda tahribatlara yapılmasına neden olmuştur (IPCC, 2012, 2014). Dünya üzerinde ciddi tahribatlara yol açan bu iklim değişikliğinde meydana gelen problemler içerisinde en büyük etmenlerden biri içerisinde karbon dioksit (CO₂ - 64.3%), metan (CH₄ - 17%), azot oksit (N₂O) (6 %), flor içeren (0.8 %) ve diğer gazlar (11.9%) dan meydana gelen sera gazı etkisidir (Birleşik Devletleri Çevre Koruma Ajansı (EPA), 2016). Son raporlarda sera gazının etkisinden dolayı özellikle son 15 yıl içerisinde ormanların büyük oranda yok olduğu (yıllık 130.000km²), doğal ortamlarında balıkların yok olması, sel ve kuraklık felaketlerinin arttığı, buzulların azalmaya başladığı bildirilmiştir. Bununla birlikte 2100 yılına kadar deniz seviyesinin 61 cm yükseleceği ve ormanların yok olmasının çoğalmasından daha hızlı olacağı tahmin edilmektedir (IPCC, 2014). Solomon, Plattner, Knutti ve Friedlingstein'in (2009) araştırmasına göre, CO₂ gazı salınımı şimdi durdurulsa bile, iklim üzerindeki etkisi ancak 1000 yıl sonra tersine dönecektir.

¹ Dr. Araştırma Görevlisi, Kırşehir Ahi Evran Üniversitesi, huseyin.ates@ahievran.edu.tr

ortamlarındaki enerji tüketim davranış niyetlerini %34.9 oranında açıkladığı ifade edilmiştir. Bu sonuçlar iş yerlerinde, enerji tasarrufunun yapılmasının dikkate alınması gereken önemli bir davranış olabileceği sonucu anlamına gelmektedir (Greaves ve diğerleri, 2013). Geri dönüşüm davranış niyetleri üzerinde yapılan analiz incelendiğinde bu araştırmada önerilen kavramsal model geri dönüşüm davranışına yönelik niyetler üzerindeki varyansı %47 oranında açıklamıştır. Önceki araştırmalarda planlanmış davranış teorisi kapsamında önerilen modelin bireysel olarak hanehalkının geri dönüşüm davranış niyetleri üzerinde %44 (Chan, 1998), %29 (Knussen, Yule, MacKenzie, ve Wells, 2004) ve %33 (Mannetti, Pierro, ve Livi, 2004) oranlarında varyansı açıkladığı ortaya konmuştur. Çalışma ortamlarında yapılan çalışma sayısının az olmasıyla birlikte mevcut araştırmaya benzer olarak Greaves ve diğerlerinin (2013) çalışmasında kavramsal model geri dönüşüm davranışına yönelik niyetler üzerindeki varyansı %53 oranında açıklamaktadır. Bir diğer araştırmada Laudenslager ve diğerleri (2004) araştırmalarında kavramsal modelin %35 oranında geri dönüşüm davranış niyetlerini açıkladığını ifade etmişlerdir.

KAYNAKLAR

1. Ajzen, I. (1988), *Attitude, Personality and Behavior*, Open University Press, Milton Keynes.
2. Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational and Human Decision Processes*, 50, 179-211. doi:10.1016/0749- 5978(91)90020-T
3. Ajzen, I. (2005). *Attitudes, Personality, and Behavior*, McGraw-Hill Education, Berkshire.
4. Alcott, H., & Mullainathan, S. (2010). Behavior and energy policy. *Science*, 327(5970), 1204-1205.
5. Axsen, J., & Kurani, K. S. (2012). Social influence, consumer behavior, and low-carbon energy transitions. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 37, 311-340.
6. Bamberg, S. (2003). How does environmental concern influence specific environmentally related behaviors? A new answer to an old question. *Journal of environmental psychology*, 23(1), 21-32.
7. Banfi, S., Farsi, M., Filippini, M., & Jakob, M. (2008). Willingness to pay for energy-saving measures in residential buildings. *Energy economics*, 30(2), 503-516.
8. Barr, S. (2007). Factors influencing environmental attitudes and behaviors: A UK case study of household waste management. *Environment and behavior*, 39(4), 435-473.
9. Bentler, P. M. (1990). Comparative fit indexes in structural models. *Psychological Bulletin*, 107 (2), 238-46.
10. Browne, M. W., & Cudeck, R. (1993). Alternative ways of assessing model fit In: Bollen KA, Long JS, editors. *Testing structural equation models*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage, 111-135.
11. Byrne, M. B. (2010). *Structural equation modelling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.
12. Byrne, M. B. (2010). *Structural equation modelling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.
13. Carmines, E., & McIver, J. (1981). *Analyzing models with unobserved variables: Analysis of covariance structures*. In G. Bohrnstedt & Borgatta, E. (Eds.), *Social measurement: Current issues*, 65-115, Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

14. Carrico, A. R., Vandenbergh, M. P., Stern, P. C., Gardner, G. T., Dietz, T., & Gilligan, J. M. (2010). Energy and climate change: key lessons for implementing the behavioral wedge. *Journal of Energy & Environmental Law*, 1, 10-24.
15. Chan, K. (1998). Mass communication and pro-environmental behaviour: waste recycling in Hong Kong. *Journal of environmental management*, 52(4), 317-325.
16. Chen, M. F. (2016). Extending the theory of planned behavior model to explain people's energy savings and carbon reduction behavioral intentions to mitigate climate change in Taiwan—moral obligation matters. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 112, 1746-1753.
17. Conner, M., & Armitage, C. J. (1998). Extending the theory of planned behavior: A review and avenues for further research. *Journal of applied social psychology*, 28(15), 1429-1464.
18. Davis, M., & Challenger, R. (2009). Climate change e Warming to the task. *The Psychologist*, 22, 112-114.
19. Davis, M., Challenger, R., Clegg, C., & Healey, M. (2008). Understanding and promoting green behavior in the use of existing buildings (Report to Arup plc, London).
20. Dietz, T., Gardner, G. T., Gilligan, J., Stern, P. C., & Vandenbergh, M. P. (2009). Household actions can provide a behavioral wedge to rapidly reduce US carbon emissions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(44), 18452-18456.
21. Du, L., Guo, J., & Wei, C. (2017). Impact of information feedback on residential electricity demand in China. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 125, 324-334.
22. Dunlap, R. E., Liere, K. D. Van, Mertig, A. G., & Jones, R. E. (2000). Measuring Endorsement of the New Ecological Paradigm : A Revised NEP Scale. *Journal of Social Issues*, 56(3), 425-442. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.00176>
23. Fielding, K. S., Terry, D. J., Masser, B. M., Bordia, P., & Hogg, M. A. (2005). Explaining landholders' decisions about riparian zone management: The role of behavioural, normative, and control beliefs. *Journal of environmental management*, 77(1), 12-21.
24. Frederiks, E. R., Stenner, K., & Hobman, E. V. (2015). Household energy use: Applying behavioural economics to understand consumer decision-making and behaviour. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 41, 1385-1394.
25. Gao, L., Wang, S., Li, J., & Li, H. (2017). Application of the extended theory of planned behavior to understand individual's energy saving behavior in workplaces. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 127, 107-113.
26. Gardner, G. T., & Stern, P. C. (2002). *Environmental problems and human behavior* (2nd ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson Custom Publishing.
27. Greaves, M., Zibarras, L. D., & Stride, C. (2013). Using the theory of planned behavior to explore environmental behavioral intentions in the workplace. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 34, 109-120.
28. Hair, J. F., Black W. C., Babin, B., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
29. Han, H., Hsu, Li-Tzang, Sheu, Chwen, (2010). Application of the Theory of Planned Behavior to green hotel choice: testing the effect of environmental friendly activities. *Tourism Management*. 31 (3), 325-334.
30. Hee, S.P., (2000). Relationship among attitudes and subjective norm: testing the theory of reasoned action across cultures. *Communication Studies* 51 (2), 162-175.
31. IPCC, (2007). Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Inter- governmental Panel on Climate Change. Geneva: IPCC.
32. IPCC, (2012). *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation*. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Field, C.B., V. Barros, T.F. Stocker, D. Qin, D.J. Dokken, K.L. Ebi, M.D. Mastrandrea, K.J. Mach, G.-K. Plattner, S.K. Allen, M. Tignor, and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, NY, USA, 582 pp

33. IPCC, (2013). Working Group I Contribution to the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Summary for Policymakers. *IPCC, UN*.
34. IPCC, (2014). Mitigation of climate change. Contribution of working group III to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. *Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, USA*.
35. IPCC, (2019). IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, M. Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, N.M. Weyer (eds.)].
36. Jensen, B. B., & Schnack, K. (1997). The Action Competence Approach in Environmental Education. *Environmental Education Research*, 3(2), 163–178. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1350462970030205>
37. Jones, R. E. (1990). Understanding paper recycling in an institutionally supportive setting: An application of the theory of reasoned action. *Journal of Environmental Systems*, 19, 307-321. doi:A1990EJ71900003.
38. Kline, R.B. (2005), *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modelling* (2nd ed.). New York: The Guilford.
39. Knussen, C., & Yule, F. (2008). "I'm Not in the Habit of Recycling" The Role of Habitual Behavior in the Disposal of Household Waste. *Environment and Behavior*, 40(5), 683-702.
40. Laudenslager, M. S., Holt, D. T., & Lofgren, S. T. (2004). Understanding air force members' intentions to participate in pro-environmental behaviors: An application of the theory of planned behavior. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 98, 1162-1170.
41. Lin, T., Yu, Y., Bai, X., Feng, L., & Wang, J. (2013). Greenhouse Gas Emissions Accounting of Urban Residential Consumption: A Household Survey Based Approach. *PLoS ONE*, 8(2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0055642>
42. MacCallum, R. C., Widaman, K. F., Zhang, S., & Hong, S. (1999). Sample size in factor analysis. *Psychological Methods*, 4, 84-99.
43. Mak, T. M., Iris, K. M., Wang, L., Hsu, S. C., Tsang, D. C., Li, C. N., ... & Poon, C. S. (2019). Extended theory of planned behaviour for promoting construction waste recycling in Hong Kong. *Waste management*, 83, 161-170.
44. Mannetti, L., Pierro, A., & Livi, S. (2004). Recycling: Planned and self-expressive behavior. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 24, 227-236.
45. Marsh, H. W., & Hocevar, D. (1985). Application of confirmatory factor analysis to the study of self-concept: First and higher order factor models and their invariance across groups. *Psychological Bulletin*, 97, 362-582.
46. Martinsson, J., Lundqvist, L., & Sundström, J. A. (2011). Energy saving in Swedish households. The (relative) importance of environmental attitudes. *Energy Policy*, 39, 5182–5191.
47. McKinsey Global Institute. (2007). *Curbing global energy demand growth: The energy productivity opportunity*. http://www.mckinsey.com/mgi/reports/pdfs/Curbing_Global_Energy/MGI_Curbing_Global_Energy_full_report.pdf adresinden elde edilmiştir.
48. Milli Eğitim Bakanlığı (2018). *Fen Bilimleri Dersi Öğretim Programı* (3,4,5,6,7. ve 8. Sınıflar) *Öğretim Programı*. Ankara.
49. Nguyen, T. N., Lobo, A., & Greenland, S. (2016). Pro-environmental purchase behaviour: The role of consumers' biospheric values. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 33, 98-108.
50. Nickerson, R.S. (2003). *Psychology and Environmental Change*, Erlbaum, Mahwah, NJ
51. Nolan, J. M., Schultz, P. W., Cialdini, R. B., Goldstein, N. J., & Griskevicius, V. (2008). Normative social influence is underdetected. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 34, 913-923. doi:10.1177/0146167208316691.
52. Nunnally, J. C. (1994). *Psychometric theory 3E*. Tata McGraw-Hill Education.
53. Ozaki, R., & Sevastyanova, K. (2011). Going hybrid: An analysis of consumer purchase motivations. *Energy Policy*, 39, 2217–2227.

54. Passafaro, P., Livi, S., & Kosic, A. (2019). Local norms and the Theory of Planned Behaviour: understanding the effects of spatial proximity on recycling intentions and self-reported ecological behaviour. *Frontiers in psychology*, 10, 744.
55. Pothitou, M., Hanna, R. F., & Chalvatzis, K. J. (2017). ICT entertainment appliances' impact on domestic electricity consumption. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 69, 843-853.
56. Privitera, G. J., & Ahlgrim-Delzell, L. (2018). *Research methods for education*. SAGE Publications.
57. Scherbaum, C. A., Popovich, P. M., & Finlinson, S. (2008). Exploring individual-level factors related to employee energy-conservation behaviors at work. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 38, 818-835. doi:10.1111/j.1559-1816.2007.00328.x
58. Schultz, P. W., Gouveia, V. V., Cameron, L. D., Tankha, G., Schmuck, P., & Franěk, M. (2005). Values and their relationship to environmental concern and conservation behavior. *Journal of cross-cultural psychology*, 36(4), 457-475.
59. Sheppard, B. H., Hartwick, J., & Warshaw, P. R. (1988). The theory of reasoned action: A meta-analysis of past research with recommendations for modifications and future research. *Journal of consumer research*, 15(3), 325-343.
60. Solomon, S., Plattner, G.-K., Knutti, R., & Friedlingstein, P. (2009). Irreversible climate change due to carbon dioxide emissions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(6), 1704-1709.
61. Starik, M., & Marcus, A. A. (2000). Introduction to the special research forum on the management of organizations in the natural environment: A field emerging from multiple paths, with many challenges ahead. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(4), 539-547.
62. Suki, N. M. (2016). Consumer environmental concern and green product purchase in Malaysia: structural effects of consumption values. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 132, 204-214.
63. Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2014). Using multivariate statistics . Harlow. Essex: Pearson Education Limited.
64. Trumbo, C. W., & O'Keefe, G. J. (2001). Intention to conserve water: Environmental values, planned behavior, and information effects. A comparison of three communities sharing a watershed. *Society & Natural Resources*, 14, 889-899.
65. Tudor, T. L., Barr, S. W., & Gilg, A. W. (2007). Linking intended behaviour and actions: A case study of healthcare waste management in the Cornwall NHS. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 41, 191-214. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec. 2006.06.009.
66. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [EPA], (2016). *Climate change indicators in the United States*. Fourth edition. EPA 430-R-16-004. www.epa.gov/climate-indicators adresinden 24.03.2020 tarihinde elde edilmiştir.
67. UNEP. (2007). Global Environment Outlook (GEO 4): Environment for Development. UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme): Valletta.
68. Unsworth, K. L., Dmitrieva, A., & Adriasola, E. (2013). Changing behaviour: Increasing the effectiveness of workplace interventions in creating pro-environmental behaviour change. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 34(2), 211-229.
69. Vandenberg, M. P., Barkenbus, J., & Gilligan, J. (2008). Individual carbon emissions: The low hanging fruit. *UCLA Law Review*, 55(1701), 1701-1758.
70. Verma, V. K., & Chandra, B. (2018). An application of theory of planned behavior to predict young Indian consumers' green hotel visit intention. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 172, 1152-1162.
71. Wan, C., Cheung, R., Qiping Shen, G. (2012). Recycling attitude and behaviour in university campus: a case study in Hong Kong. *Facilities* 30 (13/14), 630-646.
72. Wang, Z., Zhang, B., & Li, G. (2014). Determinants of energy-saving behavioral intention among residents in Beijing: Extending the theory of planned behavior. *Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy*, 6(5), 053127.
73. Webb, D., Soutar, G. N., Mazzarol, T., & Saldaris, P. (2013). Self-determination theory and consumer behavioural change: Evidence from a household energy-saving behaviour study. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 35, 59-66.

74. Yadav, R., & Pathak, G. S. (2017). Determinants of consumers' green purchase behavior in a developing nation: Applying and extending the theory of planned behavior. *Ecological economics*, 134, 114-122.
75. Ye, H., Ren, Q., Hu, X., Lin, T., Xu, L., Li, X., ... Pan, B. (2017). Low-carbon behavior approaches for reducing direct carbon emissions: Household energy use in a coastal city. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 141, 128-136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.09.063>
76. Yksek đretim Kurulu (2018). *Fen bilgisi đretmenliđi lisans programı*, Ankara.
77. Zhang, X., Luo, L., & Skitmore, M. (2015). Household carbon emission research: an analytical review of measurement, influencing factors and mitigation prospects. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 103, 873-883.