

AZALIM İLİŞKİLERİ VE YER HAREKETİ TAHMİN DENKLEMLERİ (GMPE)

Yazar

Dr. Öğr. Üyesi Uğur Eren YURTCAN

Bingöl Üniversitesi, Teknik Bilimler Meslek Yüksek Okulu,
İnşaat Bölümü

Bingöl Üniversitesi, Enerji, Çevre ve Doğal Afet Çalışmaları Uygulama
ve Araştırma Merkezi



© Copyright 2026

Bu kitabın, basım, yayın ve satış hakları Akademisyen Yayinevi A.Ş.'ye aittir. Anılan kuruluşun izni alınmadan kitabın tümü ya da bölümleri mekanik, elektronik, fotokopi, manyetik kayıt ve/veya başka yöntemlerle çoğaltılamaz, basılamaz, dağıtılamaz. Tablo, şekil ve grafikler izin alınmadan, ticari amaçlı kullanılamaz. Bu kitap T.C. Kültür Bakanlığı bandrolü ile satılmaktadır.

ISBN 978-625-362-133-9	Sayfa ve Kapak Tasarımı Akademisyen Dizgi Ünitesi
Kitap Adı Azalım İlişkileri ve Yer Hareketi Tahmin Denklemleri (GMPE)	Yayıncı Sertifika No 47518
Yazar Uğur Eren YURTCAN ORCID iD: 0000-0001-5040-2786	Baskı ve Cilt Vadi Matbaacılık
Yayın Koordinatörü Yasin DILMEN	Bisac Code TEC009120
	DOI 10.37609/akya.4255

Kütüphane Kimlik Kartı

Yurtcan, Uğur Eren.

Azalım İlişkileri ve Yer Hareketi Tahmin Denklemleri (GMPE) / Uğur Eren Yurtcan.

Ankara : Akademisyen Yayinevi Kitabevi, 2026.

64 s. ; 135x210 mm.

Kaynakça var.

ISBN 9786253621339

GENEL DAĞITIM
Akademisyen Yayinevi A.Ş.

Halk Sokak 5 / A
Yenişehir / Ankara
Tel: 0312 431 16 33
siparis@akademisyen.com

www.akademisyen.com

İÇİNDEKİLER

GİRİŞ	1
AZALIM İLİŞKİLERİ	4
Azalım İlişkileri ve Yer Hareketi Tahmin Eşitlikleri (GMPE)	4
Azalım İlişkileri / GMPEler Nasıl Çalışır?.....	7
Girdiler	8
Modelleme Süreci	9
Çıktılar	11
Güncel Uygulama Notları	13
TARİHSEL GELİŞİM	13
AZALIM İLİŞKİSİ TÜRLERİ	17
Ampirik Modeller	17
Teorik / Fizik Tabanlı Modeller	18
Hibrit ve Simülasyon Destekli Modeller.....	19
Fonksiyonel Biçimler ve Örnek Denklemler	21
Erken Dönem Fonksiyonel Biçimler	21
Modern Modellerde Bileşenler.....	22
Saçılımın (σ) Modellenmesi ve Raporlanması.....	23
Geçerlilik Alanı, Bileşen ve Sönüm Tanımları.....	23
FONKSİYONEL BİÇİMLER VE BELİRSİZLİK	24
Fonksiyonel Biçimler.....	24
Büyüklik Ölçeklemesi (Magnitude Scaling)	24
Mesafe Ölçeklemesi (Distance Scaling).....	25
Zemin Koşulları.....	25
Kaynak Mekanizması ve Yakın Fay Etkileri.....	26
Bileşen/Sönüm Tanımı ve Periyot Bağımlılığı.....	26

Belirsizlik.....	26
Belirsizliğin Yönetimi ve Uygulamada Temsil.....	28
Aleatorik Belirsizliğin (Doğal Rassallık) Temsili.....	28
Epistemik Belirsizliğin (Bilgi Eksikliği) Yönetimi.....	29
Uygulama Ayrıntıları: Tutarlılık ve Hesap Adımları.....	30
Örnek Denklemler	31
Parametrelerin Yorumu.....	32
UYGULAMA ALANLARI.....	33
Sismik Tehlike Analizleri.....	33
Deprem Yönetmelikleri ve Tasarım Spektrumları.....	35
Kayıt Seçimi ve Performans Temelli Tasarım	37
Bölgesel ve Yerel Uyarlamalar.....	38
BÖLGESEL FARKLILIKLAR	40
Tektonik Ortamlara Göre Farklar	41
Zemin Koşullarına Göre Farklar.....	42
Bölgesel Uyarlama Çalışmalarının Sonuçları.....	43
UYGULAMA ALANLARI.....	44
Sismik Tehlike Analizleri (PSHA / DSHA)	44
Deprem Yönetmelikleri ve Tasarım Spektrumları.....	45
Kayıt Seçimi ve Performans Temelli Tasarım	46
Yerel ve Bölgesel Uyarlamalar	47
GÜNCEL EĞİLİMLER VE GELECEK YÖNELİMLER.....	50
Bölgesel/Non-Ergodik Modelleme	50
Bileşen Tanımı ve Yapısal İyileştirmeler	51
Yazılım ve Uygulama Ekosistemi, Açıklık ve Tekrarlanabilirlik....	52
SONUÇLAR	53
KAYNAKLAR	54

güncel eğilimler daha şeffaf veri-işleme, bölgesel uyarlanabilirlik ve tekrarlanabilir hesaplama altyapılarına yönelmektedir; bu çizginin sürdürülmesi, mühendislik kararlarının dayanağını güçlendirecektir.

KAYNAKLAR

- Abrahamson, N. A., & Silva, W. J. (1997). Empirical Response Spectral Attenuation Relations for Shallow Crustal Earthquakes. *Seismological Research Letters*, 68(1), 94–127. <https://doi.org/10.1785/gssr.68.1.94>
- Abrahamson, N., Gregor, N., & Addo, K. (2016). BC Hydro Ground Motion Prediction Equations for Subduction Earthquakes. *Earthquake Spectra*, 32(1), 23–44. <https://doi.org/10.1193/051712EQS188MR>
- AFAD. (2018). *Türkiye Deprem Tehlike Haritası – TDTH*. Afet ve Acil Durum Yönetim Başkanlığı – AFAD. <https://www.afad.gov.tr/turkiye-deprem-tehlike-haritasi>
- Akkar, S., & Cagnan, Z. (2010). A Local Ground-Motion Predictive Model for Turkey, and Its Comparison with Other Regional and Global Ground-Motion Models. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 100(6), 2978–2995. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120090367>
- Akkar, S., Kale, Ö., Yakut, A., & Çeken, U. (2018). Ground-motion characterization for the probabilistic seismic hazard assessment in Turkey. *Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering*, 16(8), 3439–3463. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-017-0101-2>
- Akkar, S., Sandıkkaya, M. A., & Bommer, J. J. (2014). Empirical ground-motion models for point – and extended-source crustal earthquake scenarios in Europe and the Middle East. *Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering*, 12(1), 359–387. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-013-9461-4>
- Akkar, S., Sandıkkaya, M. A., Şenyurt, M., Azari Sisi, A., Ay, B. Ö., Traversa, P., Douglas, J., Cotton, F., Luzi, L., Hernandez, B., & Godey, S. (2014). Reference database for seismic ground-motion in Europe (RESORCE). *Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering*, 12(1), 311–339. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-013-9506-8>
- Al Atik, L., Abrahamson, N., Bommer, J. J., Scherbaum, F., Cotton, F., & Kuehn, N. (2010). The Variability of Ground-Motion Prediction Models and Its Components. *Seismological Research Letters*, 81(5), 794–801. <https://doi.org/10.1785/gssr.81.5.794>
- ASCE/SEI 7-16. (2017). Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures. In *ASCE/SEI 7-16*. American Society of Civil Engineers. <https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784414248>

- ASCE/SEI 7-22. (2022). Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures. In *ASCE/SEI 7-22*. American Society of Civil Engineers. <https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784415788>
- Atkinson, G. M. (2000). Stochastic Modeling of California Ground Motions. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 90(2), 255–274. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0119990064>
- Atkinson, G. M. (2003). Empirical Ground-Motion Relations for Subduction-Zone Earthquakes and Their Application to Cascadia and Other Regions. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 93(4), 1703–1729. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120020156>
- Atkinson, G. M. (2008). Ground-Motion Prediction Equations for Eastern North America from a Referenced Empirical Approach: Implications for Epistemic Uncertainty. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 98(3), 1304–1318. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120070199>
- Atkinson, G. M., & Boore, D. M. (1995). Ground-motion relations for eastern North America. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 85(1), 17–30. <https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0850010017>
- Atkinson, G. M., & Boore, D. M. (2006). Earthquake Ground-Motion Prediction Equations for Eastern North America. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 96(6), 2181–2205. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120050245>
- Ay, B. Ö., & Akkar, S. (2014). Evaluation of a recently proposed record selection and scaling procedure for low-rise to mid-rise reinforced concrete buildings and its use for probabilistic risk assessment studies. *Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics*, 43(6), 889–908. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eqe.2378>
- Baker, J. W. (2011). Conditional Mean Spectrum: Tool for Ground-Motion Selection. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 137(3), 322–331. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)ST.1943-541X.0000215](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0000215)
- Baker, J. W., & Cornell, A. C. (2006). Spectral shape, epsilon and record selection. *Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics*, 35(9), 1077–1095. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eqe.571>
- Baker, J. W., & Jayaram, N. (2008). Correlation of Spectral Acceleration Values from NGA Ground Motion Models. *Earthquake Spectra*, 24(1), 299–317. <https://doi.org/10.1193/1.2857544>
- Bindi, D., Pacor, F., Luzi, L., Puglia, R., Massa, M., Ameri, G., & Paolucci, R. (2011). Ground motion prediction equations derived from the Italian strong motion database. *Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering*, 9(6), 1899–1920. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-011-9313-z>
- Boore, D. M. (1983). Stochastic simulation of high-frequency ground motions based on seismological models of the radiated spectra. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 73(6A), 1865–1894.
- Boore, D. M. (2003). Simulation of Ground Motion Using the Stochastic

- Method. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 160(3), 635–676. <https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00012553>
- Boore, D. M. (2006). Orientation-Independent Measures of Ground Motion. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 96(4A), 1502–1511. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120050209>
- Boore, D. M. (2010). Orientation-Independent, Nongeometric-Mean Measures of Seismic Intensity from Two Horizontal Components of Motion. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 100(4), 1830–1835. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120090400>
- Boore, D. M., & Atkinson, G. M. (2008). Ground-Motion Prediction Equations for the Average Horizontal Component of PGA, PGV, and 5%-Damped PSA at Spectral Periods between 0.01s and 10.0s. *Earthquake Spectra*, 24(1), 99–138. <https://doi.org/10.1193/1.2830434>
- Boore, D. M., & Bommer, J. J. (2005). Processing of strong-motion accelerograms: needs, options and consequences. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 25(2), 93–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soildyn.2004.10.007>
- Boore, D. M., Joyner, W. B., & Fumal, T. E. (1993). *Estimation of response spectra and peak accelerations from western North American earthquakes; an interim report*. <https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr93509>
- Boore, D. M., Stewart, J. P., Seyhan, E., & Atkinson, G. M. (2014). NGA-West2 Equations for Predicting PGA, PGV, and 5% Damped PSA for Shallow Crustal Earthquakes. *Earthquake Spectra*, 30(3), 1057–1085. <https://doi.org/10.1193/070113EQS184M>
- Borcherdt, R. D. (1994). Estimates of Site-Dependent Response Spectra for Design (Methodology and Justification). *Earthquake Spectra*, 10(4), 617–653. <https://doi.org/10.1193/1.1585791>
- Bozorgnia, Y., Abrahamson, N. A., Atik, L. Al, Ancheta, T. D., Atkinson, G. M., Baker, J. W., Baltay, A., Boore, D. M., Campbell, K. W., Chiou, B. S.-J., Darragh, R., Day, S., Donahue, J., Graves, R. W., Gregor, N., Hanks, T., Idriss, I. M., Kamai, R., Kishida, T., ... Youngs, R. (2014). NGA-West2 Research Project. *Earthquake Spectra*, 30(3), 973–987. <https://doi.org/10.1193/072113EQS209M>
- Brune, J. N. (1970). Tectonic stress and the spectra of seismic shear waves from earthquakes. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 75(26), 4997–5009. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JB075i026p04997>
- Budnitz, R. J., Apostolakis, G., & Boore, D. M. (1997). *Recommendations for probabilistic seismic hazard analysis: guidance on uncertainty and use of experts*. US Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), Washington, DC (United States). Div.
- Campbell, K. W. (1981). Near-source attenuation of peak horizontal acceleration. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 71(6), 2039–2070. <https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0710062039>

- Campbell, K. W. (2003). Prediction of Strong Ground Motion Using the Hybrid Empirical Method and Its Use in the Development of Ground-Motion (Attenuation) Relations in Eastern North America. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 93(3), 1012–1033. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120020002>
- Campbell, K. W., & Bozorgnia, Y. (2014). NGA-West2 Ground Motion Model for the Average Horizontal Components of PGA, PGV, and 5% Damped Linear Acceleration Response Spectra. *Earthquake Spectra*, 30(3), 1087–1115. <https://doi.org/10.1193/062913EQS175M>
- Chiou, B. S.-J., & Youngs, R. R. (2014). Update of the Chiou and Youngs NGA Model for the Average Horizontal Component of Peak Ground Motion and Response Spectra. *Earthquake Spectra*, 30(3), 1117–1153. <https://doi.org/10.1193/072813EQS219M>
- Chiou, B.-J., & Youngs, R. R. (2008). An NGA Model for the Average Horizontal Component of Peak Ground Motion and Response Spectra. *Earthquake Spectra*, 24(1), 173–215. <https://doi.org/10.1193/1.2894832>
- Cornell, C. A. (1968). Engineering seismic risk analysis. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 58(5), 1583–1606. <https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0580051583>
- Cotton, F., Scherbaum, F., Bommer, J. J., & Bungum, H. (2006). Criteria for Selecting and Adjusting Ground-Motion Models for Specific Target Regions: Application to Central Europe and Rock Sites. *Journal of Seismology*, 10(2), 137–156. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-005-9006-7>
- Delavaud, E., Cotton, F., Akkar, S., Scherbaum, F., Danciu, L., Beauval, C., Drouet, S., Douglas, J., Basili, R., Sandikkaya, M. A., Segou, M., Faccioli, E., & Theodoulidis, N. (2012). Toward a ground-motion logic tree for probabilistic seismic hazard assessment in Europe. *Journal of Seismology*, 16(3), 451–473. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-012-9281-z>
- Douglas, J. (2011). *Ground-motion prediction equations 1964-2010*. Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center. https://peer.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/webpeer-2011-102-john_douglas_-_published_jointly_by_brgm.pdf
- Douglas, J., Akkar, S., Ameri, G., Bard, P.-Y., Bindi, D., Bommer, J. J., Bora, S. S., Cotton, F., Derras, B., Hermkes, M., Kuehn, N. M., Luzi, L., Massa, M., Pacor, F., Riggelsen, C., Sandikkaya, M. A., Scherbaum, F., Stafford, P. J., & Traversa, P. (2014). Comparisons among the five ground-motion models developed using RESORCE for the prediction of response spectral accelerations due to earthquakes in Europe and the Middle East. *Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering*, 12(1), 341–358. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-013-9522-8>
- Douglas, J., & Aochi, H. (2008). A Survey of Techniques for Predicting Earthquake Ground Motions for Engineering Purposes. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 29(3), 187–220. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-008-9046-y>

- Goulet, C. A., Abrahamson, N. A., Somerville, P. G., & Wooddell, K. E. (2015a). The SCEC Broadband Platform Validation Exercise: Methodology for Code Validation in the Context of Seismic-Hazard Analyses. *Seismological Research Letters*, 86(1), 17–26. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0220140104>
- Goulet, C. A., Abrahamson, N. A., Somerville, P. G., & Wooddell, K. E. (2015b). The SCEC Broadband Platform Validation Exercise: Methodology for Code Validation in the Context of Seismic-Hazard Analyses. *Seismological Research Letters*, 86(1), 17–26. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0220140104>
- Graves, R., & Pitarka, A. (2015). Refinements to the Graves and Pitarka (2010) Broadband Ground-Motion Simulation Method. *Seismological Research Letters*, 86(1), 75–80. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0220140101>
- Graves, R. W., & Pitarka, A. (2010). Broadband Ground-Motion Simulation Using a Hybrid Approach. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 100(5A), 2095–2123. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120100057>
- Gutenberg, B., & Richter, C. F. (1956). Earthquake magnitude, intensity, energy, and acceleration. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 46(2), 105–145. <https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0460020105>
- Idriss, I. M. (2008). An NGA Empirical Model for Estimating the Horizontal Spectral Values Generated by Shallow Crustal Earthquakes. *Earthquake Spectra*, 24(1), 217–242. <https://doi.org/10.1193/1.2924362>
- Jayaram, N., & Baker, J. W. (2009). Correlation model for spatially distributed ground-motion intensities. *Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics*, 38(15), 1687–1708. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eqe.922>
- Jayaram, N., Lin, T., & Baker, J. W. (2011a). A Computationally Efficient Ground-Motion Selection Algorithm for Matching a Target Response Spectrum Mean and Variance. *Earthquake Spectra*, 27(3), 797–815. <https://doi.org/10.1193/1.3608002>
- Jayaram, N., Lin, T., & Baker, J. W. (2011b). A Computationally Efficient Ground-Motion Selection Algorithm for Matching a Target Response Spectrum Mean and Variance. *Earthquake Spectra*, 27(3), 797–815. <https://doi.org/10.1193/1.3608002>
- Joyner, W. B., & Boore, D. M. (1981). Peak horizontal acceleration and velocity from strong-motion records including records from the 1979 imperial valley, California, earthquake. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 71(6), 2011–2038. <https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0710062011>
- Kaklamanos, J., Boore, D. M., Thompson, E. M., & Campbell, K. W. (2010). Implementation of the Next Generation Attenuation (NGA) ground-motion prediction equations in Fortran and R. *US Geological Survey Open-File Report*, 1296, 47.
- Kalkan, E., & Gülkan, P. (2004). Site-Dependent Spectra Derived from Ground Motion Records in Turkey. *Earthquake Spectra*, 20(4), 1111–1138. <https://doi.org/10.1193/1.1812555>

AZALIM İLİŞKİLERİ VE YER HAREKETİ TAHMİN DENKLEMLERİ
(GMPE)

- Kotha, S. R., Weatherill, G., Bindi, D., & Cotton, F. (2020). A regionally-adaptable ground-motion model for shallow crustal earthquakes in Europe. *Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering*, 18(9), 4091–4125. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-020-00869-1>
- Kramer, S. L. (1996). *Geotechnical earthquake engineering*. Pearson.
- McGuire, R. K. (2004). *Seismic Hazard and Risk Analysis*. Earthquake Engineering Research Institute. <https://books.google.com.tr/books?id=ZUV-CAQAIAAJ>
- Mohammadi, A., Karimzadeh, S., Banimahd, S. A., Ozsarac, V., & Lourenço, P. B. (2023). The potential of region-specific machine-learning-based ground motion models: Application to Turkey. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 172, 108008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soildyn.2023.108008>
- Motazedian, D. (2005). Stochastic Finite-Fault Modeling Based on a Dynamic Corner Frequency. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 95(3), 995–1010. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120030207>
- Okazaki, T., Morikawa, N., Iwaki, A., Fujiwara, H., Iwata, T., & Ueda, N. (2021). Ground-Motion Prediction Model Based on Neural Networks to Extract Site Properties from Observational Records. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 111(4), 1740–1753. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120200339>
- Pagani, M., Monelli, D., Weatherill, G., Danciu, L., Crowley, H., Silva, V., Henshaw, P., Butler, L., Nastasi, M., Panzeri, L., Simionato, M., & Vigano, D. (2014). OpenQuake Engine: An Open Hazard (and Risk) Software for the Global Earthquake Model. *Seismological Research Letters*, 85(3), 692–702. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0220130087>
- Petersen, M. D., Shumway, A. M., Powers, P. M., Mueller, C. S., Moschetti, M. P., Frankel, A. D., Rezaeian, S., McNamara, D. E., Luco, N., Boyd, O. S., Rukstales, K. S., Jaiswal, K. S., Thompson, E. M., Hoover, S. M., Clayton, B. S., Field, E. H., & Zeng, Y. (2020). The 2018 update of the US National Seismic Hazard Model: Overview of model and implications. *Earthquake Spectra*, 36(1), 5–41. <https://doi.org/10.1177/8755293019878199>
- Power, M., Chiou, B., Abrahamson, N., Bozorgnia, Y., Shantz, T., & Roblee, C. (2008). An Overview of the NGA Project. *Earthquake Spectra*, 24(1), 3–21. <https://doi.org/10.1193/1.2894833>
- Reiter, L. (1990). *Earthquake Hazard Analysis: Issues and Insights*. Columbia University Press. https://books.google.com.tr/books?id=Apg_nwEACA-AJ
- Rodriguez-Marek, A., Rathje, E. M., Bommer, J. J., Scherbaum, F., & Stafford, P. J. (2014). Application of Single-Station Sigma and Site-Response Characterization in a Probabilistic Seismic-Hazard Analysis for a New Nuclear Site. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 104(4), 1601–1619. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120130196>

AZALIM İLİŞKİLERİ VE YER HAREKETİ TAHMİN DENKLEMLERİ
(GMPE)

- Sadigh, K., Chang, C.-Y., Egan, J. A., Makdisi, F., & Youngs, R. R. (1997). Attenuation Relationships for Shallow Crustal Earthquakes Based on California Strong Motion Data. *Seismological Research Letters*, 68(1), 180–189. <https://doi.org/10.1785/gssrl.68.1.180>
- Şeşetyan, K., Demircioğlu Tümsa, M. B., & Akinci, A. (2019). Evaluation of The Seismic Hazard in The Marmara Region (Turkey) Based on Updated Databases. *Geosciences*, 9(12), 489. <https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences9120489>
- SSHAC. (1997). *Recommendations for Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis: Guidance on Uncertainty and Use of Experts*. NUREG/CR-6372. <https://www.nrc.gov/docs/ML0800/ML080090003.pdf>
- TBDY. (2018). *Türkiye Bina Deprem Yönetmeliği*.
- USGS. (2018). *Design Ground Motions / Seismic Design Web Services*. <https://www.usgs.gov/programs/earthquake-hazards/design-ground-motions>
- USGS. (2023). *National Seismic Hazard Model (2023) – Chance of Damaging Earthquake Shaking*.
- Youngs, R. R., Chiou, S.-J., Silva, W. J., & Humphrey, J. R. (1997). Strong Ground Motion Attenuation Relationships for Subduction Zone Earthquakes. *Seismological Research Letters*, 68(1), 58–73. <https://doi.org/10.1785/gssrl.68.1.58>
- Youngs, R. R., Day, S. M., & Stevens, J. L. (1988). Near field ground motions on rock for large subduction earthquakes. *Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics II—Recent Advances in Ground-Motion Evaluation*, 445–462.
- Zhao, J. X. (2006). Attenuation Relations of Strong Ground Motion in Japan Using Site Classification Based on Predominant Period. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 96(3), 898–913. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120050122>