

Bölüm 9

ENDODONTİDE SMEAR TABAKASI VE UZAKLAŞTIRMA YÖNTEMLERİ

Fatih AKSOY¹

Kök kanalı şekillendirmesi yapılırken kök dentini el aletleri ya da döner aletler ile eğelenir, eğeleme işlemi sırasında mineralize dokular parçalanır ve değişik miktarlarda debris meydana gelir. Debris içinde bulunan ve oldukça küçük boyutlarda olan kollajen matriks parçaları dentin yüzeyini kaplayarak smear tabakasını oluşturur. Smear tabakası ancak Taramalı Elektron Mikroskopu (SEM) altında gözlemlenebilen bir yapıya sahiptir.

Smear tabakası ilk olarak Boyde ve Knight tarafından kesilmiş mine yüzeyinde gözlemlenmiştir (1). Smear tabakasının içeriğini organik ve inorganik maddeler oluşturmaktadır. Organik yapının içeriği kan hücreleri, nekrotik pulpa artıkları, canlı pulpa artıkları, mikroorganizmalar, proteinler ve odontoblastlardan oluşmaktadır. İnorganik yapısı ise kök kanallarının temizlenmesi ve şekillendirilmesi sırasında oluşan dentin talaşlarından oluşmaktadır (2). Araştırmacılar smear tabakasının kalınlığının 0.5-15 μm arasında olduğunu belirtmektedirler. Goldman ve ark. (3), smear kalınlığını yaklaşık olarak 1 μm olduğunu, içeriğinin büyük ölçüde inorganik olduğunu ve şekillendirilmiş kanal yüzeyi boyunca bulduğunu belirtmişlerdir. Mader ve ark. (4) ise, smear tabakası kalınlığının genellikle 1-2 μm olduğunu bildirmiştir. Cameron ve ark. (5) ve Mader ve ark. (4) smear tabakasını iki aşamada değerlendirmiştir. İlk tabakayı yüzeyel smear tabakası, ikinci tabakayı ise dentin kanallarına penetre olan derin kısım. Dentin kanalları na penetre olan derin katman 6- 40 μm kadar dentin tüberllerinin içine girebilir ve çok kuvvetli bir şekilde dentin kanallarına adapte olarak tıkaç gibi tüberller tıkayabilir. Yüzeyel katman ise 1-5 μm kalınlığındadır ve dentin yüzeyine daha zayıf bir şekilde tutunur. Brannstrom ve Johnson (6) ve Mader ve ark. (4), kanal şekillendirmesinde kullanılan aletlerin fırça etkisi göstererek smearı dentin kanallarına doğru ittiğini ve dentin yüzeyine bulaştırdığını belirtmişlerdir. Bununla birlikte, Cengiz ve ark. (7), kanal şekillendirme sırasında kullanılan materyalle dentin tüberlü arasında oluşan adeziv kuvvetlerin bir sonucu olarak smearin den-

¹ Dr. Öğretim Üyesi FATİH AKSOY, Adiyaman Üniversitesi Diş Hekimliği Fakültesi Endodonti Anabilim Dalı, dr.f.aksoy@gmail.com

KAYNAKÇA

1. Boyde A, Knight P. Scanning electron microscope studies of the preparation of the embrasure walls of class II cavities. *Br Dent J.* 1970;129(12):557–64.
2. Foschi F, Nucci C, Montebugnoli L, Marchionni S, Breschi L, Malagnino VA, vd. SEM evaluation of canal wall dentine following use of Mtwo and ProTaper NiTi rotary instruments. *Int Endod J.* 2004;37:832–9.
3. Goldman LB, Goldman M, Kronman JH, Lin PS The efficacy of several irrigating solutions for endodontics: a scanning electron microscopic study. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1981;52:197–4.
4. Mader CL, Baumgartner JC, Peters DD. Scanning electron microscopic investigation of the smeared layer on root canal walls. *J Endod.* 1984;10:477–83.
5. Cameron JA. The use of ultrasonics in the removal of the smear layer: a scanning electron microscope study. *J Endod.* 1983;9:289–92.
6. Brannstrom M, Johnson G. Effects of various conditioners and cleaning agents on prepared dentin surfaces: a scanning electron microscopic investigation. *J Prosthet Dent.* 1974;31:422–30.
7. Cengiz T, Aktener BO, Piskin B. Effect of dentinal tubule orientation on the removal of smear layer by root canal irrigants. A scanning electron microscopic study. *Int Endod J.* 1990;23:163–71.
8. Aktener BO, Cengiz T, Piskin B. The penetration of smear material into dentinal tubules during instrumentation with surface-active reagents: a scanning electron microscopic study. *J Endod.* 1989;15:588–90.
9. Violich DR, Chandler NP. The smear layer in endodontics - a review. *Int Endod J.* 2010;43:2–15.
10. Gilboe D, Svare C. Dentinal smearing: an investigation of the phenomenon. *J Prosthet.* 1980;44:310–6.
11. Prati C, Selighini M, Ferrieri P, Mongiorgi R. Scanning electron microscopic evaluation of different endodontic procedures on dentin morphology of human teeth. *J Endod.* 1994;20:174–9.
12. Czostkowsky M, Wilson EG, Holstein FA. The smear layer in endodontics. *Clin North Am.* 1990;34:13–25.
13. Cameron JA. The use of ultrasound for the removal of the smear layer. The effect of sodium hypochlorite concentration; SEM study. *Aust Dent J* 1988;33:193–200.
14. McComb D, Smith DC. A preliminary scanning electron microscopic study of root canals after endodontic procedures. *J Endodod.* 1975;1:238–42.
15. Drake D, Wiemann A, Rivera E, Walton R. Bacterial retention in canal walls in vitro: effect of smear layer. *J Endod.* 1994;20:78–82.
16. Shahrvan A, Haghdoost A, Adl A. Effect of smear layer on sealing ability of canal obturation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Endod.* 2007;33:96–105.
17. Vojinovic O, Nyborg H, Brannstrom M. Acid treatment of cavities under resin fillings: bacterial growth in dentinal tubules and pulpal reactions. *J Dent Res.* 1973;52:1189–93.
18. Michelich V. Bacterial penetration of human dentin in vitro. *J Dent Res.* 1980;59:1398–34.
19. Vojinovic O, Nyborg H, Brannstrom M. Acid treatment of cavities under resin fillings: bacterial growth in dentinal tubules and pulpal reactions. *J Dent Res.* 1973;52:1189–93.
20. Shahrvan A, Haghdoost AA, Adl A, Rahimi H, Shadifar F. Effect of smear layer on sealing ability of canal obturation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Endod.* 2007;33:96–105.
21. Torabinejad M, Handysides R, Khademi AA, Bakland LK. Clinical implications of the smear layer in endodontics: a review. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2002;94:658–66.
22. Morgan LA, Baumgartner JC. Demineralization of resected root-ends with methylene blue dye. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 1997;84:74–8
23. Kaufman AY, Greenberg I. Comparative study of the configuration and the cleanliness level of root canals prepared with the aid of sodium hypochlorite and bis-dequalinium-acetate solutions. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1986;62:191–7.

24. Alaçam T. Endodonti. Ankara: Barış Yayınları 2000;289-312.
25. Zand V, Lotfi M, Rahimi S, Mokhtari H, Kazemi A, Sakhamanesh V. A comparative scanning electron microscopic investigation of the smear layer after the use of sodium hypochlorite gel and solution forms as root canal irrigants. *J Endod.* 2010;36(7):1234-7.
26. Türkün M, Cengiz T. The effects of sodium hypochlorite and calcium hydroxide on tissue dissolution and root canal cleanliness. *Int Endod J.* 1997;30(5):335-42.
27. Byström A, Sundqvist G. Bacteriologic evaluation of the effect of 0.5 percent sodium hypochlorite in endodontic therapy. *Oral Surgery, Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1983;55(3):307-12.
28. Briseño M, Wirth R, Hamm G, Standhartfnger W. Efficacy of different irrigation methods and concentrations of root canal irrigation solutions on bacteria in the root canal. *Dent Traumatol.* 1992;8(1):6-11.
29. Hulsmann M, Hahn W. Complications during root canal irrigation - literature review and case reports. *Int Endod J.* 2000;33(3):186-93.
30. Baumgartner JC, Brown CM, Mader CL, Peters DD, Shulman JD. A scanning electron microscopic evaluation of root canal debridement using saline, sodium hypochlorite, and citric acid. *J Endod.* 1984;10:525-31.
31. Pashley DH. Smear layer: overview of structure and function. *Proc Finn Dent Soc.* 1992;88:215-24.
32. Sreebny LM, Nikiforuk G. Demineralization of hard tissues by organic chelating agents. *Science (80-).* 1951;113:560.
33. Nygaard-Ostby B. Chelation in root canal therapy. Ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid for cleansing and widening of root canals. *Odont. Tids.* 1957;65:3-11.
34. von der Fehr FR, Nygaard-Ostby B. Effect of EDTAC and sulfuric acid on root canal dentine. *Oral Surgery Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1963;16:199-205.
35. Fraser JG. Chelating agents: their softening effect on root canal dentin. *Oral Surgery, Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1974;37:803-11.
36. Stewart GG. The importance of chemomechanical preparation of the root canal. *Oral Surgery, Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1955;8:993-7.
37. Pawlicka H, Piatkowska D, Hajdukiewicz G. Effectiveness of cleansing agents in root canal preparation. A scanning electron microscopy study. *Stomatol DDR.* 1981;31:684-8.
38. Goldman M, Goldman LB, Cavalieri R, Bogis J, Lin PS. The efficacy of several endodontic irrigating solutions: a scanning electron microscopic study: part 2. *J Endod.* 1982;8:487-92.
39. Scelza MFZ, Antoniazzi JH, Scelza P. Efficacy of final irrigation—a scanning electron microscopic evaluation. *J Endod.* 2000;26:355-8.
40. Cruz-Filho AM, Sousa-Neto MD, Saquy PC, Pecora JD. Evaluation of the effect of EDTAC, CDTA, and EGTA on radicular dentin microhardness. *J Endod.* 2001;27:183-4.
41. Scarfe WC, Fana CR, Farman AG. Radiographic detection of accessory/lateral canals: use of RadioVisioGraphy and Hypaque. *J Endod.* 1995;21:185-90.
42. Kaufman AY, Binderman I, Tal M, Gedalia I, Peretz G. New chemotherapeutic agent for root canal treatment: A preliminary electron microscopic study on an in vivo and in vitro endodontically treated tooth. *Oral Surgery, Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1978;46:283-95.
43. Stewart GG, Kapsimalas P, Rappaport H. EDTA and urea peroxide for root canal preparation. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1969;78:335-8.
44. Hülsmann M, Heckendorff M, Lennon A. Chelating agents in root canal treatment: mode of action and indications for their use. *Int Endod J.* 2003;36:810-30.
45. Torabinejad M, Khademi AA, Babagoli J et al. A new solution for the removal of the smear layer. *Journal of Endodontics* 2003;29:170-5.
46. Hargreaves Kenneth M CS. Cohen's pathways of the pulp, 10th edition. *Brith Dent J.* 2011;223-349.
47. Tay FR, Pashley DH, Loushine RJ, Doyle MD, Gillespie WT, Weller RN, vd. Ultrastructure of smear layer-covered intraradicular dentin after irrigation with BioPure MTAD. *J Endod.* 2006;32:218-21.

48. De-Deus G, Reis C, Fidel S, Fidel R, Paciornik S. Dentin demineralization when subjected to BioPure MTAD: a longitudinal and quantitative assessment. *Journal of Endod.* 2007;33:1364–1368.
49. Ghoddusi J, Rohani A, Rashed T, Ghaziani P, Akbari M. An evaluation of microbial leakage after using MTAD as a final irrigation. *Journal of Endodontics* 2007;33:173–176.
50. Young GR, Parashos P, Messer HH. The principles of techniques for cleaning root canals. *Aust Dent J.* 2007;52:S52.
51. Calt S, Serper A. Time-dependent effects of EDTA on dentin structures. *J Endod.* 2002;28:17–9.
52. Baumgartner JC, Mader CL. A scanning electron microscopic evaluation of four root canal irrigation regimens. *J Endod.* 1987;13:147–57.
53. Erkan E, Erdilek N, Akçay I. Farklı Uygulama Tekniklerinin İrigasyon Solutyonlarının Etkinliği Üzerine Etkileri. *EÜ Dişhek Fak Derg.* 2013;34:34–41.
54. Goldman M, Kronman JH, Goldman LB, Clausen H, Grady J. New method of irrigation during endodontic treatment. *J Endod.* 1976;2(9):257–60.
55. Teixeira CS, Felippe MCS, Felippe WT. The effect of application time of EDTA and NaOCl on intracanal smear layer removal: an SEM analysis. *Int Endod J.* 2005;38(5):285–90.
56. Loel DA. Use of acid cleanser in endodontic therapy. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1975;90:148–51.
57. Meryon SD, Tobias RS, Jakeman KJ. Smear removal agents: a quantitative study in vivo and in vitro. *J Prosthet Dent.* 1987;57:174–9.
58. Wayman BE, Kopp WM, Pinero GJ, Lazzari EP. Citric and lactic acids as root canal irrigants in vitro. *J Endod.* 1979;5:258–65.
59. Yamada RS, Armas A, Goldman M, Lin PS. A scanning electron microscopic comparison of a high volume final flush with several irrigating solutions: Part 3. *J Endod.* Nisan 1983;9:137–42.
60. McComb D, Smith DC, Beagrie GS. The results of in vivo endodontic chemomechanical instrumentation—a scanning electron microscopic study. *Int Endod J.* 1976;9:11–8.
61. Torabinejad M. Passive step-back technique: A sequential use of ultrasonic and hand instruments. *Oral surgery Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1994;77:402–5.
62. Tronstad L, Barnett F, Schwartzben L, Frasca P. Effectiveness and safety of a sonic vibratory endodontic instrument. *Dent Traumatol.* 1985;1(2):69–76.
63. Spangberg L. Instruments, materials and devices. *Pathways of the Pulp* (Cohen y Burns editores). 2002. 452-507.
64. Ahmad M, Ford TRP, Crum LA. Ultrasonic debridement of root canals: acoustic streaming and its possible role. *J Endod.* 1987;13:490–9.
65. Lumley PJ, Walmsley AD, Walton RE, Rippin JW. Effect of precurving endosonic files on the amount of debris and smear layer remaining in curved root canals. *J Endod.* 1992;18:616–9.
66. Cunningham WT, Martin H, Forrest WR. Evaluation of root canal debridement by the endosonic ultrasonic synergistic system. *Oral Surgery, Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1982;53:401–4.
67. Takeda FH, Harashima T, Kimura Y, Matsumoto K. A comparative study of the removal of smear layer by three endodontic irrigants and two types of laser. *Int Endod J.* 1999;32:32–9.
68. Ram Z. Effectiveness of root canal irrigation. *Oral Surgery, Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1977;44:306–12.
69. Walmsley AD. Ultrasound and root canal treatment: the need for scientific evaluation. *Int Endod J.* 1987;20:105–11.
70. Walker TL, Carlos E. Histological evaluation of ultrasonic and sonic instrumentation of curved root canals. *J Endod.* 1989;15:49–59.
71. Cymerman JJ, Jerome LA, Moodnik RM. A scanning electron microscope study comparing the efficacy of hand instrumentation with ultrasonic instrumentation of the root canal. *J Endod.* 1983;9:327–31.
72. Weichman JA, Johnson FM. Laser use in endodontics: a preliminary investigation. *Oral Surgery Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1971;31:416–20.
73. Takeda FH, Harashima T, Kimura Y, Matsumoto K. Comparative study about the removal of smear layer by three types of laser devices. *J Clin Las Med Surg.* 1998;16:117–22.

74. Dederich DN, Zakariasen KL, Tulip J. Scanning electron microscopic analysis of canal wall dentin following neo-dymium-yttrium-aluminum-garnet laser irradiation. *J Endod* 1984;10:428–31.
75. Tewfik HM, Pashley DH, Horner JA, Sharawy MM. Structural and functional changes in root dentin following exposure to KTP/532 laser. *J Endod* 1993;19:492–7.
76. Moshonov J, Sion A, Kasirer J, Rotstein I, Stabholz A (1995) Efficacy of argon laser irradiation in removing intracanal debris. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Endod* 1995;79:221–5.
77. Önal B, Ertl T, Siebert G, Muller G. Preliminary report on the application of pulsed CO₂ laser radiation on root canals with AgCl fibers: a scanning and transmission electron microscopic study. *J Endod* 1993;19:272–6.
78. Stabholz A, Neev J, Liaw LH, Stabholz A, Khayat A, Torabinejad M. Effect of ArF-193 nm excimer laser on human dentinal tubules. A scanning electron microscopic study. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1993;75:90–4.
79. Guidotti R, Merigo E, Fornaini C, Rocca J-P, Medioni E, Vescovi P. Er: YAG 2,940-nm laser fiber in endodontic treatment: a help in removing smear layer. *Lasers Med Sci*. 2014;29:69–75.
80. Silva ACB, Guglielmi C, Meneguzzo DT, Aranha ACC, Bombana AC, de Paula Eduardo C. Analysis of permeability and morphology of root canal dentin after Er, Cr: YSGG laser irradiation. *Photomed Laser Surg*. 2010;28:103–8.