PRINCIPLES OF RESEARCHES IN ORTHODONTIC BONDING

Author
Specialist Orthodontist
Ali Furkan KARAKOYUNLU

ISBN
978-625-375-752-6

Book Title
Principles of Researches in Orthodontic Bonding

Author
Ali Furkan KARAKOYUNLU
ORCID iD: 0009-0004-5436-611X

Publishing Coordinator
Yasin DİLMEN

Page and Cover Design
Akademisyen Dizgi Ünitesi

Publisher Certificate Number
47518

Printing and Binding
Vadi Matbaacılık

Bisac Code
MED085000

DOI
10.37609/akya.3897

Library ID Card
Karakoyunlu, Ali Furkan.
Principles of Researches in Orthodontic Bonding / Ali Furkan Karakoyunlu.
Ankara : Akademisyen Yayınevi Kitabevi, 2025.
64 p. : table. ; 135x210 mm.
Includes References.
ISBN 9786253757526

GENERAL DISTRIBUTION
Akademisyen Kitabevi A.Ş.
Halk Sokak 5 / A
Yenişehir / Ankara
Tel: 0312 431 16 33
siparis@akademisyen.com

www.akademisyen.com

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION TO ORTHODONTIC BONDING RESEARCH

1.1 Historical Background

The development of bonding in orthodontics makes a revolution in fixed appliance therapy. Prior to the advent of adhesive bonding systems, orthodontic attachments were retained using mechanical means such as bands and cements. However, the concept of adhesion to tooth structure introduced a new era of minimally invasive, esthetic, and efficient orthodontic practice.

The earliest foundation of adhesive dentistry can be traced to **Dr. Oskar Hagger** in the 1950s, who developed the *Sevitron Adhesive* for restorative applications. Later, **Dr. Michael Buonocore (1955)** pioneered the acid-etch technique, demonstrating that the application of phosphoric **acid** for 30 seconds on enamel significantly enhanced resin adhesion by creating microporosities on the enamel surface. This principle laid the cornerstone of both restorative and orthodontic bonding.

By the 1960s, **Newman (1965)** successfully applied this principle to orthodontics, reporting the first use of *epoxy adhesives* for direct bonding of metal brackets to enamel surfaces. The subsequent evolution of resin-based systems, light-curing mechanisms, and advanced surface conditioners further established the dominance of adhesive techniques in orthodontics.

Furthermore, the increasing number of adult orthodontic patients with **restorative surfaces** (e.g., amalgam, composites, ceramics) presents unique bonding challenges. Traditional enamel etching methods cannot achieve adequate micromechanical retention on metals or ceramics, necessitating the development of **special primers** and **tribochemical silanization systems**.

1.5 Modern Research Directions

Current orthodontic bonding research encompasses multidisciplinary scientific domains:

- **Nanotechnology** to enhance filler dispersion and surface adaptation.
- **Tribology** and **surface energy studies** using atomic force microscopy (AFM) and QCM-D to analyze resin–substrate interactions at the nanoscale.
- **Artificial intelligence (AI)** for predictive modeling of adhesive performance
- **Bioactive adhesive systems** capable of releasing fluoride, calcium, or phosphate to prevent demineralization.

These novel approaches contribute to a deeper understanding of bonding mechanics and failure modes.

REFERENCES

1. Buonocore MG. A simple method of increasing the adhesion of acrylic filling materials to enamel surfaces. J Dent Res. 1955;34(6):849–853.
2. Newman, G. V. (1965). Epoxy adhesives for orthodontic attachments: Progress report. American Journal of Orthodontics, 51(12), 901–912. https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9416(65)90203-4
3. Retief DH. Effect of conditioning the enamel surface on adhesion of composite resin. J Oral Rehabil. 1973;1(4):335–344.

4. Stevenson A, Waite M. Concise Oxford English Dictionary: Book & CD-ROM Set: OUP Oxford; 2011.
5. Reynolds IR. A review of direct orthodontic bonding. Br J Orthod. 1975;2(3):171–178.
6. Eliades, T. (2001). Orthodontic materials: Scientific and clinical aspects. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. https://doi.org/10.1067/MOD.2001.115853
7. Ozcan M, Vallittu PK. Effect of surface conditioning methods on the bond strength of luting cements to ceramics. Dent Mater. 2003;19(8):725–731.
8. Van Meerbeek, B., De Munck, J., Yoshida, Y., Inoue, S., Vargas, M., Vijay, P., ... & Vanherle, G. (2003). Adhesion to enamel and dentin: current status and future challenges. OPERATIVE DENTISTRY-UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON-, 28(3), 215-235.
9. Kim, M. J., Kim, E., Mangal, U., Seo, J. Y., Cha, J. Y., Lee, K. J., ... & Choi, S. H. (2025). Biofilm-resistant zwitterionic resin-based adhesive for orthodontic bracket-tooth interfaces: an in vitro evaluation. Clinical Oral Investigations, 29(10), 478.
10. Mourad D. et al *Evaluation of Bonding Strength of Metal Brackets to Amalgam Restorations Using Different Surface Conditioning Methods.* MSc Thesis, Gaziantep University, 2014.
11. 13. Stewart MA, Gladwin M, Bagby M. Clinical Aspects of Dental Materials: Theory, Practice, and Cases: Wolters Kluwer/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Health; 2012.
12. McCabe JF, Walls A. Applied Dental Materials: Wiley; 2009. 59.
13. Nikaido T, Kataumi M, Burrow M, Inokoshi S, Yamada T, Takatsu T. Bond strengths of resin to enamel and dentin treated with low-pressure air abrasion. Operative dentistry. 1996;21(5).
14. Van Waveren Hogervorst WL, Feilzer AJ, Prahl-Andersen B. The air-abrasion technique versus the conventional acid-etching technique: A quantification of surface enamel loss and a comparison of shear bond strength. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 2000;117(1):20-6.

CHAPTER 2

FUNDAMENTALS OF ADHESION AND BONDING

2.1 Surface Energy and Wettability

Surface Energy

Surface energy (γ) is a measure of the work required to create a new surface. Substrates with high surface energy, such as freshly etched enamel or oxidized metal, attract adhesives effectively, allowing strong mechanical and chemical interactions.

Conversely, surfaces with low energy—like untreated amalgam or glazed ceramics—exhibit poor adhesion due to weak wetting and limited interfacial contact.

Wettability

Wettability quantifies how well an adhesive spread over a surface, commonly expressed by the **contact angle (θ)**between liquid adhesive and substrate.

- $\theta < 90°$ → good wetting → strong adhesion
- $\theta > 90°$ → poor wetting → weak adhesion

Etching, sandblasting, or plasma treatment increases surface energy, lowering contact angle and improving resin infiltration.

REFERENCES

1. Buonocore MG. A simple method of increasing the adhesion of acrylic filling materials to enamel surfaces. *J Dent Res*. 1955;34(6):849–853.
2. Retief DH. Effect of conditioning the enamel surface on adhesion of composite resin. *J Oral Rehabil*. 1973;1(4):335–344.
3. Reynolds IR. A review of direct orthodontic bonding. *Br J Orthod*. 1975;2(3):171–178.
4. Gwınnett Aj, Buonocore Mg. Adhesıves And Carıes Preventıon; A Prelımınary Report. Br Dent J. 1965 Jul 20;119:77-80. Pmıd: 14319208.
5. Gateva, N., Gusyiska, A., Stanimirov, P., Kabaktchieva, R., & Raichev, I. (2016). Effect of etching time and acid concentration on micromorphological changes in dentin of both dentitions. J IMAB, 22(2), 1099-1110.
6. Fox NA, McCabe JF, Buckley JG. A critique of bond strength testing in orthodontics. *Br J Orthod*. 1994;21(1):33–43.
7. Yoshida, Y., Nagakane, K., Fukuda, R., Nakayama, Y., Okazaki, M., Shintani, H., & Van Meerbeek, B. (2004). Comparative study on adhesive performance of functional monomers. Journal of dental research, 83(6), 454-458.
8. Ozcan M, Vallittu PK. Effect of surface conditioning methods on the bond strength of luting cements to ceramics. *Dent Mater*. 2003;19(8):725–731.
9. Bishara, S. E., VonWald, L., Laffoon, J. F., & Warren, J. J. (2001). Effect of a self-etch primer/adhesive on the shear bond strength of orthodontic brackets. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 119(6), 621-624.
10. Matinlinna JP, Vallittu PK. Bonding of resin composites to etchable ceramic surfaces. *J Adhes Sci Technol*. 2007;21(12–13):1097–1111.
11. Jabbari, E., & Peppas, N. A. (1994). Polymer-polymer interdiffusion and adhesion. Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part C: Polymer Reviews, 34(2), 205-241.
12. Mourad D. *Evaluation of Bonding Strength of Metal Brackets to Amalgam Restorations Using Different Surface Conditioning Methods.* MSc Thesis,-Gaziantep University, 2014.
13. Nakabayashi N, Nakamura M, Yasuda N. Hybrid layer as a dentin-bonding mechanism. J Esthet Dent. 1991 Jul-Aug;3(4):133-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1708-8240.1991.tb00985.x. PMID: 1817582.Ozcan M, Vallittu PK. *Dent Mater*. 2003;19:725–731.
14. Tosun B, Yanıkoğlu N. Evaluation of the effects of different surface modification methods on the bond strength of high-performance polymers and resin matrix ceramics. Clin Oral Investig. 2022 Apr;26(4):3781-3790. doi: 10.1007/s00784-021-04348-y. Epub 2022 Jan 24. PMID: 35067779.

CHAPTER 3

TYPES OF RESEARCH MODELS IN ORTHODONTIC BONDING STUDIES

3.1 Introduction

Research in orthodontic bonding aims to evaluate the adhesion between the bracket base and enamel under conditions that simulate the oral cavity as closely as possible. However, no single study model can fully replicate the complex oral environment that involves saliva, temperature fluctuations, masticatory forces, pH variation, and microbial biofilm.

This chapter discusses the **different types of research models** used in orthodontic bonding — *in vitro, ex vivo, in situ, and in vivo* — highlighting their **advantages, limitations, and degree of resemblance to oral conditions.**

3.2 Overview of the Oral Environment

The oral cavity presents a constantly changing environment:

- **Temperature:** varies between 5 °C and 55 °C due to food and drinks.
- **Moisture:** presence of saliva that modifies surface energy and adhesion.
- **pH fluctuation:** ranges from 4.0 to 8.0 after dietary intake.
- **Masticatory stress:** repetitive mechanical forces.
- **Microbiota:** bacterial biofilm formation on adhesive surfaces.

Replicating these conditions is the main challenge for orthodontic bonding research.

REFERENCES

1. Mao J, Qi J. Effect of saliva contamination on shear bond strength of orthodontic brackets when using a self-etch primer. J Huazhong Univ Sci Technolog Med Sci. 2005;25(1):111-2. doi: 10.1007/BF02831404. PMID: 15934326.
2. Fox, N. A., McCabe, J. F., & Buckley, J. G. (1994). A critique of bond strength testing in orthodontics. *Br J Orthod*, 21(1), 33–43.
3. Morresi, A. L., et al. (2014). Thermocycling for restorative materials: a literature review. *J Dent*, 42(9), 1229–1241.
4. Retief, D. H. (1973). Effect of conditioning the enamel surface on adhesion of composite resin. *J Oral Rehabil*, 1(4), 335–344.
5. Alzainal AH, Majud AS, Al-Ani AM, Mageet AO. Orthodontic Bonding: Review of the Literature. Int J Dent. 2020 Jul 14;2020:8874909. doi: 10.1155/2020/8874909. PMID: 32733564; PMCID: PMC7376407.
6. DeLong R, Douglas WH. An artificial oral environment for testing dental materials. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 1991 Apr;38(4):339-45. doi: 10.1109/10.133228. PMID: 1855795.

CHAPTER 4

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY IN ORTHODONTIC BONDING

The credibility of orthodontic bonding research depends on robust methodology, standardization, and reproducibility. Variations in sample preparation, testing procedures, and data interpretation can lead to inconsistent or misleading results. This chapter discusses essential methodological considerations for conducting reliable bonding research.

4.1 Study Design

Orthodontic bonding studies are typically **in vitro** due to ethical and control constraints. An effective study design must include:

- Clear hypothesis and defined variables
- Adequate sample size (usually ≥10 per group for SBS tests)
- Standardized bonding and debonding procedures
- Appropriate control group (if required)
- Statistical validation

  Randomization and blinding are encouraged to reduce bias.

4.2 Sample Preparation

4.2.1 Tooth Selection

Extracted human premolars or molars are preferred. They should be caries-free, free of cracks, and stored in **0.1% thymol or distilled water** to prevent dehydration.

4.7 Statistical Analysis

Data should be analyzed using:

- **ANOVA** for multiple comparisons
- **t-test** for two groups
- **Post hoc (Tukey, Bonferroni)** tests
- **Regression analysis** for correlations

Significance is set at **$p < 0.05$**, but clinical relevance should also be discussed (Fox et al., 1994).

REFERENCES

1. Fox NA, McCabe JF, Buckley JG. *Br J Orthod*. 1994;21:33–43.
2. Artun J, Bergland S. Clinical trials with crystal growth conditioning as an alternative to acid-etch enamel pretreatment. Am J Orthod. 1984 Apr;85(4):333-40. doi: 10.1016/0002-9416(84)90190-8. PMID: 6231863.
3. ISO/TS 11405:2015. Testing of adhesion to tooth structure.
4. Yoshida, Y., Nagakane, K., Fukuda, R., Nakayama, Y., Okazaki, M., Shintani, H., & Van Meerbeek, B. (2004). Comparative study on adhesive performance of functional monomers. Journal of dental research, 83(6), 454-458.
5. Ozcan M, Vallittu PK. Effect of surface conditioning methods on the bond strength of luting cements to ceramics. *Dent Mater*. 2003;19(8):725–731.
6. Bishara, S. E., VonWald, L., Laffoon, J. F., & Warren, J. J. (2001). Effect of a self-etch primer/adhesive on the shear bond strength of orthodontic brackets. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 119(6), 621-624.
7. Brantley, William A, and Theodore Eliades. *Orthodontic Materials : Scientific and Clinical Aspects*. Stuttgart ; Thieme, 2001. Print.
8. Finnema, K. J., Özcan, M., Post, W. J., Ren, Y., & Dijkstra, P. U. (2010). In-vitro orthodontic bond strength testing: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, *137*(5), 615-622.

CHAPTER 5

SURFACE CHARACTERIZATION AND MICROSCOPIC EVALUATION IN ORTHODONTIC BONDING RESEARCH

Characterizing the **surface morphology and interfacial microstructure** of orthodontic bonding systems is fundamental to understanding how adhesion occurs between enamel, adhesive resin, and bracket base. Whereas mechanical tests quantify bond strength, surface-characterization methods explain *why* those values differ by revealing **morphological, chemical, and topographical features** of the bonded interface.

Advances in microscopy and spectroscopy now allow visualization and quantification of enamel etching patterns, resin tag penetration, micro-crack propagation, and degradation processes at nanometer scales.

5.1 Purpose and Significance

Surface-characterization studies serve to:

- Evaluate **etching effectiveness** of acids or self-etch primers.
- Visualize **resin tag formation** and penetration depth into enamel prisms.
- Identify **failure modes** after debonding (adhesive, cohesive, mixed).
- Assess **surface roughness, topography, and hardness** changes due to bonding or debonding.

5.9 Quantitative Surface-Topography Methods

Optical profilometry and laser interferometry quantify **surface roughness, volume loss, and wear depth** following bonding/debonding or erosion challenges. These non-contact methods provide numerical data (Ra, Rz, Sa) to complement SEM qualitative images.

5.10 Correlating Microscopy with Bond Strength

A consistent finding across studies is that **rougher, well-etched surfaces** with deep resin tag penetration exhibit higher SBS values. However, excessive etching or overly strong mechanical interlocking increases enamel fracture risk during debonding. Therefore, combining **mechanical testing** with **microscopic analysis** provides a balanced understanding of both strength and safety.

REFERENCES

1. Brantley, W. A., & Eliades, T. (2001). Orthodontic materials: scientific and clinical aspects. *American Journal of Orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics*, *119*(6), 672-673.
2. Brauchli, L. M., Baumgartner, E. M., Ball, J., & Wichelhaus, A. (2011). Roughness of enamel surfaces after different bonding and debonding procedures: An in vitro study. *Journal of Orofacial Orthopedics/Fortschritte der Kieferorthopädie*, *72*(1), 61-67.
3. Retief, D. H. (1973). Effect of conditioning the enamel surface on adhesion of composite resin. *J Oral Rehabil*, 1(4), 335–344.
4. Reynolds, I. R. (1975). A review of direct orthodontic bonding. *Br J Orthod*, 2(3), 171–178.
5. Khosravanifard, B., Rakhshan, V., & Saadatmand, A. (2010). Effects of blood and saliva contamination on shear bond strength of metal orthodontic brackets and evaluating certain methods for reversing the effect of contamination. *Orthodontic Waves*, *69*(4), 156-163.
6. Kodopi, R. (2019). *The Effect of Intensity and Duration of the Curing Light*

on Shear Bond Strength of Orthodontic Adhesive–An in Vitro Study (Master›s thesis, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences (India)).

7. Buyukyilmaz, T., Usumez, S., & Karaman, A. I. (2003). Effect of self-etching primers on bond strength—are they reliable?. *The Angle Orthodontist*, *73*(1), 64-70.
8. Cehreli, Z. C., Kecik, D., & Kocadereli, I. (2005). Effect of self-etching primer and adhesive formulations on the shear bond strength of orthodontic brackets. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, *127*(5), 573-579.
9. Bedir, M. G. A., Karadas, M., & Bedir, F. (2023). Effect of matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors on bonding durability of universal adhesives. *Dental Materials Journal*, *42*(4), 581-590.
10. Dumbryte, I., Vebriene, J., Linkeviciene, L., & Malinauskas, M. (2018). Enamel microcracks in the form of tooth damage during orthodontic debonding: a systematic review and meta-analysis of in vitro studies. *European Journal of Orthodontics*, *40*(6), 636-648.
11. Glowacka-Sobotta, A., Ziental, D., Czarczynska-Goslinska, B., Michalak, M., Wysocki, M., Güzel, E., & Sobotta, L. (2023). Nanotechnology for dentistry: prospects and applications. *Nanomaterials*, *13*(14), 2130.
12. Sinescu, C., Manescu, A., Negrutiu, M. L., Rominu, M., Marşavina, L., Giuliani, A., & Podoleanu, A. G. (2013, October). Imagistic evaluation of the orthodontics interfaces. In *Advanced Engineering Forum* (Vol. 8, pp. 317-326). Trans Tech Publications Ltd.
13. Tay, F. R., & Pashley, D. H. (2005). Etched enamel structure and topography: Interface with materials. In *Dental Hard Tissues and Bonding: Interfacial Phenomena and Related Properties* (pp. 3-33). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
14. Jain, A., Armstrong, S. R., Banas, J. A., Qian, F., Maia, R. R., & Teixeira, E. C. (2020). Dental adhesive microtensile bond strength following a biofilm-based in vitro aging model. *Journal of Applied Oral Science*, *28*, e20190737.
15. Pires, P. T., Ferreira, J. C., Oliveira, S. A., Azevedo, Á. F., Dias, W. R., & Melo, P. R. (2013). Shear bond strength and SEM morphology evaluation of different dental adhesives to enamel prepared with ER: YAG laser. *Contemporary clinical dentistry*, *4*(1), 20-26.

CHAPTER 6

THERMOCYCLING, AGING, AND DEGRADATION MODELS IN ORTHODONTIC BONDING RESEARCH

In orthodontic bonding research, **in vitro** experiments often fail to fully replicate the complex and dynamic oral environment. Thermal changes, moisture, enzymatic activity, and pH fluctuations all contribute to **bond degradation** over time. Therefore, **thermocycling, aging, and degradation models** have become standard approaches for simulating long-term intraoral conditions under controlled laboratory settings (Morresi et al., 2014; Söderholm, 1984).

These methods are critical for evaluating the **durability**, **hydrolytic stability**, and **chemical resilience** of adhesives, primers, and bracket materials before clinical application.

6.1 Rationale for Artificial Aging

Artificial aging procedures attempt to reproduce **time-dependent changes** that occur in the mouth, such as:

- **Temperature fluctuations** from food and drinks (5 °C – 55 °C).
- **Moisture exposure** and water sorption into resin matrices.
- **Hydrolytic breakdown** of ester linkages in methacrylate adhesives.
- **pH cycling** and enzymatic attack by salivary esterases.
- **Mechanical fatigue** from masticatory and orthodontic loading.

6.10 Future Directions

- **Dynamic multi-stress aging** combining temperature, enzymes, mechanical wear, and biofilm exposure.
- **Accelerated life testing** to predict multi-year clinical performance.
- **AI-based modeling** for predicting degradation kinetics.
- Incorporation of **bio-mimetic saliva formulations** containing proteins and ions for enhanced realism.

REFERENCES

1. Morresi, A. L., D'Amario, M., Monaco, A., Rengo, C., Grassi, F. R., & Capogreco, M. (2015). Effects of critical thermal cycling on the flexural strength of resin composites. *Journal of oral science*, *57*(2), 137-143.
2. Schmidt, C., & Ilie, N. (2013). The effect of aging on the mechanical properties of nanohybrid composites based on new monomer formulations. *Clinical oral investigations*, *17*(1), 251-257.
3. Alsulaimani, L., Qali, M., Alghamdi, F., & Alhazmi, A. (2024). The influence of thermocycling testing on enamel microcracks following the metal orthodontic brackets debonding. *Applied Sciences*, *14*(10), 4187.
4. Alayyash, A., Shqaidef, A., Almaslamani, M. J., & Alam, M. K. (2025). Effect of Various Orthodontic Adhesives on Shear Bond Strength After Thermal Cycling. *Bangladesh Journal of Medical Science*, 73-77.
5. Kodopi, R. (2019). *The Effect of Intensity and Duration of the Curing Light on Shear Bond Strength of Orthodontic Adhesive–An in Vitro Study* (Master›s thesis, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences (India)).
6. Oesterle, L. J., & Shellhart, W. C. (2008). Effect of aging on the shear bond strength of orthodontic brackets. *American journal of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics*, *133*(5), 716-720.
7. JL, F. (2004). Hygroscopic and hydrolytic effects in polymer networks. *Acad Dent Mater*, *18*, 118-128.
8. Honório, H. M., Rios, D., Francisconi, L. F., Magalhães, A. C., Machado, M. A. D. A. M., & Buzalaf, M. A. R. (2008). Effect of prolonged erosive pH cycling on different restorative materials. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, *35*(12), 947-953.
9. Eliades, T., & Bourauel, C. (2005). Intraoral aging of orthodontic materials: the picture we miss and its clinical relevance. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, *127*(4), 403-412.

10. Wang, X., Song, S., Chen, L., Stafford, C. M., & Sun, J. (2018). Short-time dental resin biostability and kinetics of enzymatic degradation. *Acta Biomaterialia*, *74*, 326-333.
11. Imani, M. M., Aghajani, F., Momeni, N., & Akhoundi, M. S. A. (2018). Effect of cyclic loading on shear bond strength of orthodontic brackets: An in vitro study. *Journal of Dentistry (Tehran, Iran)*, *15*(6), 351.
12. Ghamgosar, M., Ghavami-Lahiji, M., Mihandoust, S., Rad, E. H., Masooleh, H. S., & Tayebi, L. (2024). The impact of aging and storage conditions on the performance of universal adhesives: A systematic review. *Journal of Dental Materials and Techniques*, *13*(3).

CHAPTER 7

STANDARDIZATION IN BONDING ORTHODONTIC BRACKETS AND BRACKET SELECTION

The long-term success of orthodontic treatment depends largely on the secure adhesion of brackets to enamel surfaces. Bond failure can compromise treatment efficiency, increase chair time, and negatively affect patient experience. Research in orthodontic bonding aims to optimize adhesive systems, bracket designs, and bonding techniques, but inconsistencies in methodology often limit the comparability of results. **Standardization** in experimental protocols is therefore crucial for producing reliable, reproducible, and clinically meaningful data.

In addition, **bracket selection** in both research and clinical practice requires careful consideration of material, base design, esthetics, and mechanical properties. This chapter provides a comprehensive discussion of standardization principles in orthodontic bonding research, as well as guidelines for selecting brackets for both experimental and clinical purposes.

Standardization in bonding research aims to minimize variability unrelated to the experimental variable under investigation. Uncontrolled variability can obscure true effects and lead to conflicting conclusions.

- **Retention Features:**
    - Mechanical retention (mesh, undercuts) and chemical adhesion features must be controlled in experimental studies.

Positioning and Adhesive Thickness

- Correct bracket alignment is crucial for effective force transmission and minimizing stress concentrations.
- Use of positioning jigs and controlled adhesive volumes reduces variability in bond strength outcomes.
- Adhesive thickness should be standardized to avoid influence on mechanical testing results

7.4 Reporting Standards

To enhance reproducibility, researchers should adopt structured reporting frameworks:

- Clearly define tooth selection and storage protocols.
- Specify adhesive, bracket type, base design, and manufacturer.
- Detail etching, bonding, and curing protocols.
- Describe testing methods including crosshead speed, load application, and aging simulations.
- Report ARI scores and failure modes.

Adhering to standardized reporting guidelines allows other researchers to reproduce experiments, perform meta-analyses, and apply findings clinically (Montasser & Drummond, 2009).

REFERENCES

1. Larson, N. (2019). *Mechanical Stability of 3D-Printed Orthodontic Brackets* (Master›s thesis, The University of Texas School of Dentistry at Houston).
2. Alsulaimani, L., Qali, M., Alghamdi, F., & Alhazmi, A. (2024). The influ-

ence of thermocycling testing on enamel microcracks following the metal orthodontic brackets debonding. *Applied Sciences*, *14*(10), 4187.
3. Finnema, K. J., Özcan, M., Post, W. J., Ren, Y., & Dijkstra, P. U. (2010). In-vitro orthodontic bond strength testing: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, *137*(5), 615-622.
4. Profeta Krznar, I., Meštrović, S., Miler, H., Marovic, D., Tarle, Z., & Par, M. (2025). Are Commercially Available Orthodontic Adhesive Systems Suitable for Rapid (3 s) High-Intensity Light Curing?. *Applied Sciences*, *15*(14), 7641..
5. Sökücü, O., Yeşildal, S., Okşayan, R., & Şimşek, İ. (2016). Does the Seating Force Affect the Shear Bond Strength of Brackets? An InVitro Study. *Turkish Journal of Orthodontics*, *29*(1), 6.
6. Usumez, S., Basciftci, F. A., & Malkoc, S. (2003). EFFECT OF BRACKET BASE DESIGN ONSHEAR BOND STRENGTH. *European Journal of Orthodontics*, *25*(5), 564.
7. Bakhadher, W., Halawany, H., Talic, N., Abraham, N., & Jacob, V. (2015). Factors affecting the shear bond strength of orthodontic brackets–a review of in vitro studies. *Acta medica*, *58*(2), 43-48.

CHAPTER 8

CHALLENGES AND COMMON PITFALLS IN ORTHODONTIC BRACKET BONDING RESEARCH

Orthodontic bonding research plays a central role in improving treatment efficiency, bracket design, and adhesive systems. Despite decades of study, inconsistencies in experimental protocols, materials, and reporting often compromise the quality, reproducibility, and clinical applicability of research findings. Understanding the **challenges and common pitfalls** in bonding research is essential for designing robust experiments, interpreting results accurately, and translating in vitro findings into clinical practice.

This chapter explores the major sources of error and variability in orthodontic bonding research, highlights methodological shortcomings, and offers recommendations for improving study quality.

8.1 Experimental Design Challenges

Inadequate Sample Selection

- **Variability in enamel structure:** Bonding studies often use extracted human teeth. Differences in enamel prism orientation, age-related changes, fluoride exposure, and prior dental treatments affect adhesive penetration and bond strength.
- **Selection of inappropriate teeth:** Teeth with cracks, restorations, or caries introduce uncontrolled variability. Some

4. Include **control groups** and perform adequate sample size calculations.
5. Use **transparent reporting**, including detailed methodology, failure mode analysis, and environmental conditions.
6. Apply **appropriate statistical methods** and report variability metrics.
7. Carefully discuss **clinical relevance** and limitations of in vitro results.

Challenges and common pitfalls in orthodontic bonding research span experimental design, material selection, operator variability, environmental simulation, reporting, and data interpretation. Addressing these issues through rigorous standardization, transparent reporting, and methodological rigor is essential to produce reproducible, clinically relevant results. Recognizing these pitfalls not only improves research quality but also enhances evidence-based decision-making in orthodontics.

REFERENCES

1. Branch, V. (2011). Comparıson Of Shear Bond Strength After Thermocyclıng, of Brackets Bonded Usıng two dıfferent moısture Insensıtıve Prımers–an ın vıtro study.
2. Bishara, S. E., Ajlouni, R., & Oonsombat, C. (2003). Evaluation of a new curing light on the shear bond strength of orthodontic brackets. *The Angle Orthodontist*, *73*(4), 431-435.
3. Finnema, K. J., Özcan, M., Post, W. J., Ren, Y., & Dijkstra, P. U. (2010). In-vitro orthodontic bond strength testing: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, *137*(5), 615-622.
4. Klocke, A., & Kahl-Nieke, B. (2005). Influence of force location in orthodontic shear bond strength testing. *Dental Materials*, *21*(5), 391-396.
5. Adebayo, O. A., Burrow, M. F., & Tyas, M. J. (2008). Bond strength test: role of operator skill. *Australian Dental Journal*, *53*(2), 145-150.
6. Jaffer, S., Oesterle, L. J., & Newman, S. M. (2009). Storage media effect on bond strength of orthodontic brackets. *American journal of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics*, *136*(1), 83-86.

7. Usumez, S., Basciftci, F. A., & Malkoc, S. (2003). EFFECT OF BRACKET BASE DESIGN ONSHEAR BOND STRENGTH. *European Journal of Orthodontics*, *25*(5), 564.

CHAPTER 9

COMPUTER-AIDED AND FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF BONDED BRACKETS

Orthodontic treatment relies heavily on the secure adhesion of brackets to enamel surfaces and the controlled transmission of forces to teeth. Traditional experimental studies in bonding research, while informative, are limited by the inability to visualize stress distribution within the bracket–adhesive–tooth system or to simulate complex intraoral loading conditions. **Finite Element Analysis (FEA)** and other computer-aided techniques offer a solution by providing a non-invasive, precise, and reproducible method to study mechanical behavior under simulated conditions.

FEA has emerged as a powerful tool to model orthodontic brackets, adhesives, and dental tissues, allowing researchers to predict stress distribution, evaluate failure risk, and optimize bracket and adhesive designs without extensive in vitro experimentation.

9.1 Fundamentals of Finite Element Analysis in Orthodontics

Finite Element Analysis involves dividing a complex structure into discrete elements connected at nodes, forming a computational mesh. Each element's material properties, boundary conditions, and applied forces are defined mathematically. The model then calculates stresses, strains, and deformations throughout the structure .

provide an invaluable approach for understanding the biomechanical behavior of bonded orthodontic brackets. By simulating stress distribution, predicting failure modes, and integrating with experimental validation, FEA enhances research efficiency, reduces costs, and supports the development of clinically reliable bonding strategies. While limitations exist, ongoing advancements in imaging, modeling, and computational power are expanding the potential of FEA in orthodontic research.

REFERENCES

1. Elsaka SE, Hammad SM, Ibrahim NF. Evaluation of stresses developed in different bracket-cement-enamel systems using finite element analysis with in vitro bond strength tests. Prog Orthod. 2014 Apr 16;15(1):33. doi: 10.1186/s40510-014-0033-1. PMID: 24934213; PMCID: PMC4047763.
2. Knox, J., Kralj, B., Hübsch, P. F., Middleton, J., & Jones, M. L. (2001). An evaluation of the influence of orthodontic adhesive on the stresses generated in a bonded bracket finite element model. *American journal of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics*, *119*(1), 43-53..
3. Yasin Gönder, H., Mohammadi, R., Harmankaya, A., Burak Yüksel, İ., & Seda Gültekin, D. (2022). Investigation of the effects of adhesive materials of different types and thicknesses on dental tissue stress via FEM analysis. *BioMed research international*, *2022*(1), 8493909.
4. Thomasa, R. L., de Rijk, W. G., & Evans, C. A. (1999). Tensile and shear stresses in the orthodontic attachment adhesive layer with 3D finite element analysis. *American journal of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics*, *116*(5), 530-532.
5. Lavernhe, P., Estivalèzes, E., Lachaud, F., Lodter, C., & Piquet, R. (2010). Orthodontic bonding: Finite element for standardized evaluations. *International journal of adhesion and adhesives*, *30*(1), 21-29.