

BÖLÜM 7

ENDODONTİDE KÖK KANALLARINI GÖRÜNTÜLEME TEKNİKLERİ: GELENEKSELDEN DİJİTAL TEKNOLOJİYE

Öznur KÜÇÜK KELEŞ¹

GİRİŞ

İntraoral radyografların 1900'lü yılların başında kullanılmaya başlanması, kök ve kemik morfolojisinin diş hekimleri tarafından incelenmesine imkân tanımıştır (1). Zaman içerisinde fiziksel özellikleri iyileştirilen radyografiler, endodonti pratiğinde hâlen en sık tercih edilen teşhis araçlarından biridir (2). Ancak, geleneksel radyografi (GR) tekniğinin yaygın kullanımına karşın, üç boyutlu anatomik yapıların iki boyutlu yansıtılması bazı klinik bulguların gözden kaçmasına ve yanlış/eksik tanıların konulmasına neden olabilmektedir (3, 4). Bu yetersizlikler, üç boyutlu görüntüleme yöntemlerine yönelik araştırmaların artmasına zemin hazırlamıştır (5).

Endodontideki ilerlemeler yalnızca klinik enstrümantasyon ve kullanılan materyallerde değil, aynı zamanda teşhis ve görüntüleme yaklaşımlarında da belirgin şekilde gözlenmektedir. Geleneksel yöntemlerin kullanım sıklığına rağmen, ileri görüntüleme tekniklerinin sunduğu olanaklar endodonti pratiğini önemli ölçüde geliştirmektedir.

Kök kanal tedavisinde başarı; doğru teşhis, yeterli kemomekanik preparasyon ve kanal boşluğunun apikale kadar sızdırmaz şekilde doldurulmasıyla mümkün olmaktadır (6, 7). Her bir aşamanın radyografik yöntemlerle kontrol edilmesi, tedavinin başarısını belirgin şekilde artırmaktadır. Bu bağlamda periapikal radyografiler, tedavi öncesinde, esnasında ve sonrasında güvenilirliği yüksek ve subjektifliği en düşük görüntüleme yöntemlerinden biri olarak öne çıkmaktadır.

¹ Dr. Öğr. Üyesi, Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt Üniversitesi, Diş Hekimliği Fakültesi, Klinik Bilimler Bölümü, Endodonti AD., oznurkck87@gmail.com, ORCID iD: 0000-0002-7303-0601

birlikte, US'nin periapikal lezyonların gerçek doğasını ve tipini (ör. gerçek kist – cep kisti ayrımı) belirleme konusundaki yeterliliği tartışmalıdır.

US güvenli bir teknik olarak kabul edilmektedir; ancak US dalgalarının enerjisi dokular tarafından ısı şeklinde absorbe edildiğinden kontrol altında tutulmalıdır (26). Bu potansiyel olumsuz etki, uygulama süresine bağlıdır; dolayısıyla muayene sayısı ve tekrarlarının sınırlandırılması gerekmektedir. Bununla birlikte, her durumda risk, iyonizan radyasyon kullanan radyografik incelemelerle ilişkili riskten çok daha düşüktür (61).

KAYNAKLAR

1. Brooks SL, editor CBCT dosimetry: orthodontic considerations. Seminars in Orthodontics; 2009: Elsevier.
2. RS B. Giriş prosedürleri. Roots Endodontics. 2008;4:28-35.
3. Cohenca N, Simon JH, Roges R, Morag Y, Malfaz JM. Clinical indications for digital imaging in dento-alveolar trauma. Part 1: traumatic injuries. Dental Traumatology. 2007;23(2):95-104.
4. Cotton TP, Geisler TM, Holden DT, Schwartz SA, Schindler WG. Endodontic applications of cone-beam volumetric tomography. Journal of endodontics. 2007;33(9):1121-32.
5. Gröndahl HG, Huumonen S. Radiographic manifestations of periapical inflammatory lesions: how new radiological techniques may improve endodontic diagnosis and treatment planning. Endodontic topics. 2004;8(1):55-67.
6. Hesslon R. Long-term evaluation of endodontic treatment: anatomy, instrumentation, obturation--the endodontic practice triad. International Endodontic Journal. 1981;14(3).
7. Özsezer E. Tekrarlayan Endodontik Tedaviler. Gazi Üniversitesi Diş Hekimliği Fakültesi Dergisi. 2004;21(3):217-22.
8. Goldman M, Pearson AH, Darzenta N. Reliability of radiographic interpretations. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology. 1974;38(2):287-93.
9. Kiarudi AH, Eghbal MJ, Safi Y, Aghdasi MM, Fazlyab M. The applications of cone-beam computed tomography in endodontics: a review of literature. Iranian endodontic journal. 2014;10(1):16.
10. Cotti E, Campisi G, Garau V, Puddu G. A new technique for the study of periapical bone lesions: ultrasound real time imaging. International Endodontic Journal. 2002;35(2):148-52.
11. Deepak B, Subash T, Narmatha V, Anamika T, Snehl T, Nandini D. Imaging techniques in endodontics: an overview. Journal of clinical imaging science. 2012;2:13.
12. Selekler K. Röntgen tekniği'nde devrim yapan GN Hounsfield-'ın ardından. Türk Nöroşirürji Dergisi. 2006;16:194-6.
13. Sukovic P. Cone beam computed tomography in craniofacial imaging. Orthodontics & craniofacial research. 2003;6:31-6.
14. Mozzo P, Procacci C, Tacconi A, Tinazzi Martini P, Bergamo Andreis I. A new volumetric CT machine for dental imaging based on the cone-beam technique: preliminary results. European radiology. 1998;8(9):1558-64.
15. Winter AA, Pollack AS, Frommer HH, Koenig L. Cone beam volumetric tomography vs. medical CT scanners. New York State Dental Journal. 2005;71(4):28.
16. Tsiklakis K, Donta C, Gavala S, Karayianni K, Kamenopoulou V, Hourdakakis CJ. Dose reduction in maxillofacial imaging using low dose Cone Beam CT. European journal of radiology. 2005;56(3):413-7.
17. Scarfe WC, Farman AG, Sukovic P. Clinical applications of cone-beam computed tomography in dental practice. Journal-Canadian Dental Association. 2006;72(1):75.
18. Gijbels F, Jacobs R, Bogaerts R, Debaveye D, Verlinden S, Sanderink G. Dosimetry of digital panoramic imaging. Part I: Patient exposure. Dentomaxillofacial Radiology. 2005;34(3):145-9.
19. Ludlow J, Davies-Ludlow L, Brooks S. Dosimetry of two extraoral direct digital imaging de-

- vices: NewTom cone beam CT and Orthophos Plus DS panoramic unit. *Dentomaxillofacial Radiology*. 2003;32(4):229-34.
20. Danforth RA. Cone beam volume tomography: a new digital imaging option for dentistry. *Journal of the California Dental Association*. 2003;31(11):814-5.
 21. Ball RL, Barbizam JV, Cohenca N. Intraoperative endodontic applications of cone-beam computed tomography. *Journal of endodontics*. 2013;39(4):548-57.
 22. Sasov, Dyck V. Desktop X-ray microscopy and microtomography. *Journal of Microscopy*. 1998;191(2):151-8.
 23. Lyroutdia K, Nikolaidis N, Pitas I, Zervas P, Palakidis K. Computerized three-dimensional reconstruction: a method to study pulpal vessels and nerves. *Journal of Endodontics*. 1993;19(12):604-8.
 24. Swain MV, Xue J. State of the art of Micro-CT applications in dental research. *International journal of oral science*. 2009;1(4):177-88.
 25. Patel S, Dawood A, Whaites E, Pitt Ford T. New dimensions in endodontic imaging: part 1. Conventional and alternative radiographic systems. *International endodontic journal*. 2009;42(6):447-62.
 26. Cotti E, Campisi G. Advanced radiographic techniques for the detection of lesions in bone. *Endodontic Topics*. 2004;7(1):52-72.
 27. Low KM, Dula K, Bürgin W, von Arx T. Comparison of periapical radiography and limited cone-beam tomography in posterior maxillary teeth referred for apical surgery. *Journal of endodontics*. 2008;34(5):557-62.
 28. Barton DJ, Clark SJ, Eleazer PD, Scheetz JP, Farman AG. Tuned-aperture computed tomography versus parallax analog and digital radiographic images in detecting second mesiobuccal canals in maxillary first molars. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology*. 2003;96(2):223-8.
 29. Forsberg J, Halse A. Radiographic simulation of a periapical lesion comparing the paralleling and the bisecting-angle techniques. *International Endodontic Journal*. 1994;27(3):133-8.
 30. Whaites E. *Essentials of dental radiology and radiography*. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2007.
 31. Huuonen S, Ørstavik D. Radiological aspects of apical periodontitis. *Endodontic topics*. 2002;1(1):3-25.
 32. Worthington P, Rubenstein J, Hatcher DC. The role of cone-beam computed tomography in the planning and placement of implants. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*. 2010;141:19S-24S.
 33. MS S. Part I. Preoperative assessment of the mandible for endosseous implant surgery. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 1987;2:137-42.
 34. Tachibana H, Matsumoto K. Applicability of X-ray computerized tomography in endodontics. *Dental Traumatology*. 1990;6(1):16-20.
 35. Robinson S, Czerny C, Gahleitner A, Bernhart T, Kainberger F. Dental CT evaluation of mandibular first premolar root configurations and canal variations. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology*. 2002;93(3):328-32.
 36. Ngan DC, Kharbanda OP, Geenty JP, Darendeliler M. Comparison of radiation levels from computed tomography and conventional dental radiographs. *Australian orthodontic journal*. 2003;19(2):67-75.
 37. Patel S. New dimensions in endodontic imaging: Part 2. Cone beam computed tomography. *International endodontic journal*. 2009;42(6):463-75.
 38. Patel S, Dawood A, Ford TP, Whaites E. The potential applications of cone beam computed tomography in the management of endodontic problems. *International endodontic journal*. 2007;40(10):818-30.
 39. López-Rosales E, Castelo-Baz P, De Moor R, Ruíz-Piñón M, Martín-Biedma B, Varela-Patiño P. Unusual root morphology in second mandibular molar with a radix entomolaris, and comparison between cone-beam computed tomography and digital periapical radiography: a case report. *Journal of Medical Case Reports*. 2015;9(1):201.

40. Nielsen RB, Alyassin AM, Peters DD, Carnes DL, Lancaster J. Microcomputed tomography: an advanced system for detailed endodontic research. *Journal of endodontics*. 1995;21(11):561-8.
41. Grupen C, Buvat I. *Handbook of particle detection and imaging*: Springer Science & Business Media; 2011.
42. Acar B, Kamburoğlu K, Tatar İ, Arıkan V, Çelik HH, Yüksel S, et al. Comparison of micro-computerized tomography and cone-beam computerized tomography in the detection of accessory canals in primary molars. *Imaging science in dentistry*. 2015;45(4):205-11.
43. Domark JD, Hatton JF, Benison RP, Hildebolt CF. An ex vivo comparison of digital radiography and cone-beam and micro computed tomography in the detection of the number of canals in the mesiobuccal roots of maxillary molars. *Journal of endodontics*. 2013;39(7):901-5.
44. Rhodes J, Ford TP, Lynch J, Liepins P, Curtis R. Micro-computed tomography: a new tool for experimental endodontology. *International endodontic journal*. 1999;32(3):165-70.
45. Badea C, Hedlund L, Johnson G. Micro-CT with respiratory and cardiac gating: Micro-CT with respiratory and cardiac gating. *Medical physics*. 2004;31(12):3324-9.
46. Lee C-L, Min H, Befera N, Clark D, Qi Y, Das S, et al. Assessing cardiac injury in mice with dual energy-microCT, 4D-microCT, and microSPECT imaging after partial heart irradiation. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology* Biology* Physics*. 2014;88(3):686-93.
47. Withers PJ. X-ray nanotomography. *Materials today*. 2007;10(12):26-34.
48. Peyrin F, Dong P, Pacureanu A, Langer M. Micro-and nano-CT for the study of bone ultrastructure. *Current osteoporosis reports*. 2014;12(4):465-74.
49. Kerckhofs G, Sainz J, Maréchal M, Wevers M, Van de Putte T, Geris L, et al. Contrast-enhanced nanofocus X-ray computed tomography allows virtual three-dimensional histopathology and morphometric analysis of osteoarthritis in small animal models. *Cartilage*. 2014;5(1):55-65.
50. Cuijpers VM, Jaroszewicz J, Anil S, Al Farraj Aldosari A, Walboomers XF, Jansen JA. Resolution, sensitivity, and in vivo application of high-resolution computed tomography for titanium-coated polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) dental implants. *Clinical oral implants research*. 2014;25(3):359-65.
51. Huang Y, Celikten B, de Faria Vasconcelos K, Ferreira Pinheiro Nicolielo L, Lippiatt N, Buyuksungur A, et al. Micro-CT and nano-CT analysis of filling quality of three different endodontic sealers. *Dentomaxillofacial Radiology*. 2017;46(8):20170223.
52. Orhan K, Jacobs R, Celikten B, Huang Y, de Faria Vasconcelos K, Nicolielo LFP, et al. Evaluation of threshold values for root canal filling voids in micro-CT and nano-CT images. *Scanning*. 2018;2018(1):9437569.
53. Mavridou A, Pyka G, Kerckhofs G, Wevers M, Bergmans L, Gunst V, et al. A novel multimodular methodology to investigate external cervical tooth resorption. *International Endodontic Journal*. 2016;49(3):287-300.
54. White SC, Pharoah MJ. *Oral radiology-E-Book: Principles and interpretation*: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2014.
55. Goto TK, Nishida S, Nakamura Y, Tokumori K, Nakamura Y, Kobayashi K, et al. The accuracy of 3-dimensional magnetic resonance 3D vib images of the mandible: an in vitro comparison of magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology*. 2007;103(4):550-9.
56. Monsour P, Dudhia R. *Implant radiography and radiology*. *Australian dental journal*. 2008;53:S11-S25.
57. DelBalso AM, editor *Lesions of the jaws. Seminars in ultrasound, CT, and MR*; 1995.
58. Eggers G, Rieker M, Kress B, Fiebach J, Dickhaus H, Hassfeld S. Artefacts in magnetic resonance imaging caused by dental material. *Magnetic Resonance Materials in Physics, Biology and Medicine*. 2005;18(2):103-11.
59. Gray C, Redpath TW, Smith F. Pre-surgical dental implant assessment by magnetic resonance imaging. *The Journal of oral implantology*. 1996;22(2):147-53.
60. Gundappa M, Ng S, Whaites E. Comparison of ultrasound, digital and conventional radiography in differentiating periapical lesions. *Dentomaxillofacial Radiology*. 2006;35(5):326-33.
61. Barnett SB, Ter Haar GR, Ziskin MC, Rott H-D, Duck FA, Maeda K. *International recommendations and guidelines for the safe use of diagnostic ultrasound in medicine. Ultrasound in medicine & biology*. 2000;26(3):355-66.