BÖLÜM 7

YEMLERİN DEPOLANMASI

DOI: 10.37609/akya.3801.c298

Ayşe Özge DEMİR [1]

1. GİRİŞ

Hayvansal üretimde yem, toplam üretim maliyetlerinin %70'inden fazlasını oluşturan en kritik girdilerden biridir. Yemlerin kalitesi, yalnızca hayvanların verim düzeyini ve sağlığını değil, aynı zamanda elde edilen hayvansal ürünlerin besin değerini ve piyasa değerini de doğrudan etkilemektedir. Bu nedenle yemlerin üretiminden tüketimine kadar geçen süreçte besin maddelerinin değerinin korunması, mikrobiyal kontaminasyonun önlenmesi ve ekonomik kayıpların minimize edilmesi büyük önem taşır (1).

Depolama, yemlerin üretim sonrası güvenli ve yem değeri kaybı olmadan kullanılabilmesi için uygulanan kritik bir aşamadır. Uygun depolama koşullarının sağlanmaması; küf ve mikotoksin oluşumu, besin maddelerinde oksidatif bozulmalar, nem artışı, böcek ve kemirgen zararları gibi çok yönlü sorunlara yol açabilir. Bu durum hem hayvan sağlığını hem de gıda güvenliğini tehlikeye sokar (2).

Yemlerin muhafazası, saklanması ve depolanması, hayvan beslenmesinde yem bulunabilirliği ve kalitesini güvence altına almak için kritik uygulamalardır. Bu süreçler, yemin besin madde kayıplarını en aza indirmeyi, yem değerini korumayı ve saklanma ömrünü uzatmayı amaçlar. Uygun depolama; temiz, kuru ve havalandırılmış alanlarda yapılmalı, sıcaklık ve nem kontrolü sağlanmalı, haşere ve kemirgenlere karşı önlem alınmalıdır. Silajlama, kurutma, soğutma, dondurma ve kimyasal koruma gibi teknikler yaygın şekilde kullanılır (3).

[1] Dr. Öğr. Üyesi, Van Yüzüncü Yıl Üniversitesi, Ziraat Fakültesi, Zootekni Bölümü, aodemir@yyu.edu.tr, ORCID iD: 0000-0001-7203-4734'

9.4. Otomatik Kalite Kontrol ve Yapay Zekâ

Gelişmiş görüntü işleme sistemleri ve yapay zekâ algoritmaları, yemlerin renk, boyut, yoğunluk ve koku gibi kalite parametrelerini otomatik olarak değerlendirebilmektedir. Bu sistemler sayesinde:

» Mikrobiyal ve oksidatif bozulma riskleri erken dönemde tespit edilmekte,
» Kalite standardizasyonu sağlanmakta,
» Büyük ölçekli yem fabrikalarında süreç verimliliği artırılmaktadır (81,82).

9.5. Sürdürülebilir Depolama Yaklaşımları

Sürdürülebilir depolama, yalnızca teknik çözümlerle değil, aynı zamanda yönetimsel ve sosyal stratejilerle de desteklenmelidir.

» Minimum kayıp prensibi: Depo tasarımında ve işletiminde kayıpları en aza indiren sistemlerin uygulanması.
» Döngüsel ekonomi: Yem atıklarının geri kazanımı, yan ürünlerin enerji ya da hayvan yemi olarak değerlendirilmesi.
» Eğitim ve farkındalık: İşletme sahiplerinin modern depolama teknikleri, hijyen ve enerji verimliliği konularında eğitilmesi (76).

Gelecekte yem depolama, dijitalleşme, akıllı malzeme teknolojileri, enerji verimli çözümler ve yapay zekâ tabanlı otomasyon ile şekillenecektir. Bu sayede hem ekonomik kayıplar minimize edilecek hem de hayvan sağlığı ve çevresel sürdürülebilirlik daha yüksek düzeyde güvence altına alınacaktır.

KAYNAKLAR

1. Şamlı, H. E., & Onarbay, O. N. (2011). Farklı depolama şartlarının bazı protein kaynaklı yem hammaddelerinin özellikleri üzerindeki etkilerinin belirlenmesi. *Tekirdağ Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi*, *8*(3), 40-45.
2. Mobashar, M. (2023). Mycotoxins incidence in animal feeds, their prevention and control measures. *One health triad*, *2*, 242-250.
3. Garcia-Rodriguez, V., Lopez-Lopez, J., & Perez-Lopez, E. et al., (2024). Optimizing silage strategies for sustainable livestock feed: Preserving retail food waste. Agriculture, 14(1), 122. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture14010122.
4. Basmacıoğlu, H., & Ergül, M. (2003). Yemlerde bulunan toksinler ve kontrol yolları. *Journal of Animal Production*, *44*(1).
5. Budağ, C. (2011). Van'da Bulunan Yem Fabrikalarının Üretim Durumları ve Sorunları. *Yüzüncü Yıl Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi*, *16*(2), 59-66.
6. Van Der Poel, A. V., Abdollahi, M. R., et al., (2020). Future directions of animal feed technology research to meet the challenges of a changing world. *Animal feed science and technology*, *270*, 114692.
7. Manandhar, A., Milindi, P. & Shah, A., 2018. An Overview of the Post-Harvest Grain Storage Practices of Smallholder Farmers in Developing Countries. Agriculture, 8(4): 57. (https://doi.

org/10.3390/agriculture8040057) (Erişim tarihi: Ağustos 2025)
8. *FAO & IFIF. 2020. Good practices for the feed sector: Implementing the Codex Alimentarius Code of Practice on Good Animal Feeding. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, 92 pp. Available from: https://www.fao.org/3/cb1761en/cb1761en.pdf [Accessed: 24 Aug 2025]*
9. Dudarev I, U. S., Yakovenko A, M. V., & Korolkova, M. (2022). *Analysis of factors of influence on the quality of compound feed during its storage.* lib.osau.edu.ua
10. Galata, R. D., & Haramaya, E. (2023). Conservation, Preservation, and Storage of Feed for different animals. academia.edu.
11. Navarro, S. & Noyes, R.T., 2002. The Mechanics and Physics of Modern Grain Aeration Management. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 647 pp.
12. Coblentz, W. K., & Hoffman, P. C. (2009). Effects of bale moisture and bale diameter on spontaneous heating, dry matter recovery, in vitro true digestibility, and in situ disappearance kinetics of alfalfa-orchardgrass hays. *Journal of dairy science*, *92*(6), 2853-2874.
13. Wen, Y. Q., Xu, L. L., Xue, C. H., et al., (2020). Effect of stored humidity and initial moisture content on the qualities and mycotoxin levels of maize germ and its processing products. *Toxins*, *12*(9), 535.
14. Ebeneezar, S., Linga Prabu, D., Chandrasekar, S., et al., (2020). Storage and quality assessment of ingredients and formulated feeds. eprints.cmfri.org.in
15. Reed, C., Doyungan, S., Ioerger, B., et al., (2007). Response of storage molds to different initial moisture contents of maize (corn) stored at 25 C, and effect on respiration rate and nutrient composition. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, *43*(4), 443-458.
16. Rathod, A., & Kumawat, M. (2024). Storage of feed ingredients and finished feed. *Indian Farmer*, *11*(02), 77-82.
17. Kılıç, Ü. Yem Sektöründe İzlenebilirlik. *International Multilingual Jorurnal of Science and Technology*, 5(12); 2197-2002.
18. Özen, N., Çakır, A., Haşimoğlu,S. et al., (1993).*Yemler Bilgisi ve Yem Teknolojisi.* Atatürk Üni. Ziraat Fakültesi Ders Notları: 50, Erzurum.
19. Göncü, S. (Ed.). (2022). *Sığırcılık Yemleme Yönetimi*. Akademisyen Kitabevi.
20. Şahin, İ. F., & Zaman, M. (2010). Hayvancılıkta önemli bir yem kaynağı: Silaj. *Doğu Coğrafya Dergisi*, *15*(23), 1-18.
21. Kızılsımsek, M., Erol, A., Dönmez, R.,et al., (2016). Silaj mikro florasının birbirleri ile ilişkileri, silaj fermentasyonu ve kalitesi üzerine etkileri. *KSÜ Doğa Bilimleri Dergisi*, *19*(2), 136-140.
22. Kibar, H., & Öztürk, T. (2011). Tarımsal ürün silolarında yapısal sorunlar. *Journal of Agricultural Faculty of Gaziosmanpaşa University (JAFAG)*, *2011*(2), 85-96.
23. Açıkgöz, E., & Demirel, M. (2021). Van ili İpekyolu ilçesinde üretilen yonca kuru otunun hasattan depolamaya kadar besin madde kompozisyon düzeyindeki değişimin incelenmesi. *Yuzuncu Yıl University Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, *31*(1), 121-132.
24. Balkan, H., & Çaçan, E. (2025). Kılçadır Köyü (Merkez-Bingöl) Çayır Alanlarının Ot Verimi ve Bazı Kalite Özellikleri Açısından İncelenmesi. *Bilecik Şeyh Edebali Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Dergisi*, *12*(1), 60-69.
25. Ergül, M. (1988). Yemler bilgisi ve teknolojisi. *Ege Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Yayınları*, *487*, 67-85.
26. Rotz, C. A., & Muck, R. E. (1994). Changes in forage quality during harvest and storage. *Forage quality, evaluation, and utilization*, 828-868.
27. Nelson, C. J., Redfearn, D. D., & Cherney, J. H. (2012). Forage harvest management. *Conservation outcomes from pastureland and Hayland practices: Assessment, recommendations, and knowledge gaps*, 205-256.
28. Saensukjaroenphon, M., Jones, C. K., Evans, C. E., et al., (2022). Impact of storage conditi-

ons and premix type on water-soluble vitamin stability. *Translational Animal Science*, *6*(4), txac135.
29. Yang, P., Wang, H., Li, L., Zhang, N., et al., (2021). The stability of vitamin A from different sources in vitamin premixes and vitamin-trace mineral premixes. *Applied Sciences*, *11*(8), 3657.
30. Eeckhout, M., & van der Poel, A. F. B. (Eds.). (2023). *Animal feed technology*. Erling Verlag. https://www.erling-verlag.com/produkt/animal-feed-technology/
31. Kellems, R. O., & Church, D. C. (2002). *Livestock feeds and feeding* (5th ed.). Prentice Hall.
32. Polat, H. (2015). Effects of different storage conditions on the nutritional qualities of barley and maize. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, *21*(2), 246-255.
33. McCalmont, J. R. (1948). *Silos: Types and Construction* (No. 1820). US Government Printing Office.
34. Savoie, P., & Jofriet, J. C. (2003). Silage storage. *Silage science and technology*, *42*, 405-467.
35. EA Maksoud El-Domany, T. (2023). Silos Engineering. *International Journal of Advances Engineering and Civil Research*, *3*(1), 67-99.
36. Maraveas, C. (2020). Concrete silos: Failures, design issues and repair/strengthening methods. *Applied Sciences*, *10*(11), 3938.
37. Kasee, S., & Thianbulra, C. (2018). Evaluatıon of a methodology for ımprovıng the warehousıng of anımal feed. *Kasem Bundit Engineering Journal*, *8*, 1-13.
38. Fernandez-Ahumada, E., Roger, J. M., Palagos, B., et al., (2010). Multivariate near-infrared reflection spectroscopy strategies for ensuring correct labeling at feed bagging in the animal feed industry. *Applied spectroscopy*, *64*(1), 83-91.
39. Wan, Q., Wang, D., Wang, G., et al., (2014). Design and experiment of self-propelled grass silage combined bagging machine. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering*, *30*(19), 30-37.
40. Bartosik, R., Cardoso, L., & Urcola, H. (2024). Silo bag storage. In *Control and Management of Pests in Stored Products* (pp. 121-152). CRC Press.
41. Alabi, J. O., Fafiolu, A. O., Oso, A. O., et al., (2017). Physico-chemical and compositional changes in proprietary finished feeds stored under different conditions. *Archivos de zootecnia*, *66*(256), 535-541.
42. Uppal, D. S., Ilyas, S. M., & Sikka, S. S. (2004). Quality and safety of animal feeds in India. *Central Institute of Post-Harvest Engineering & Technology,(ICAR) Ludhiana, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana (India)*.
43. Desbruslais, A., & Wealleans, A. L. (2022). Oxidation in poultry feed: impact on the bird and the efficacy of dietary antioxidant mitigation strategies. *Poultry*, *1*(4), 246-277.
44. Hernández, A., García, B. G., Jordán, M. J., et al., (2014). Natural antioxidants in extruded fish feed: Protection at different storage temperatures. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, *195*, 112-119.
45. Eskin, N. A. M., & Aliani, M. (2010). Enzymatic deterioration of plant foods. In *Chemical Deterioration and Physical Instability of Food and Beverages* (pp. 519-538). Woodhead Publishing.
46. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (n.d.). *Storage procedures and enzymatic changes in feedstuffs*. In *Manual on simple methods of meat preservation* (Chapter 8). FAO. Retrieved August 20, 2025, from https://www.fao.org/4/s4314e/s4314e08.htm
47. EW Nutrition. (2021). *Stop feed spoilage: Organic acids preserve feed quality*. EW Nutrition. Retrieved August 20, 2025, from https://ew-nutrition.com/us/stop-feed-spoilage-organic-acids-preserve-feed-quality
48. Qiu, Z., Wu, F., Hu, H., et al., (2024). Deciphering the microbiological mechanisms underlying the impact of different storage conditions on rice grain quality. *Foods*, *13*(2), 266.

49. Grant, R. J. (2023). Symposium review: Physical characterization of feeds and assessing roughages in ruminant diets. *Journal of Dairy Science, 106*(3), 1750–1767. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2022-22646
50. Rotz, C. A. (2005, December). Postharvest changes in alfalfa quality. In *Proceedings of the California Alfalfa & Forage Symposium* (pp. 253–262). University of California, Davis. Retrieved August 20, 2025, from https://alfalfasymposium.ucdavis.edu/%2Bsymposium/proceedings/2005/05-253.pdf
51. University of New England. (2009). *Chemical and physical treatment of roughages to improve digestibility*. UNE. Retrieved August 20, 2025, from https://www.woolwise.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/ANUT-300-500-12-T-18.pdf
52. Williams, G. D., & Rosentrater, K. A. (2004). Design Considerations for the Construction and Operation of Feed Milling Facilities. Part I: Planning, Structural, and Life Safety Considerations. In *2004 ASAE Annual Meeting* (p. 1). American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers.
53. Angelovič, M., Mijoč, J., Angelovičová, M., et al., (2018). The effect of conditions and storage time on course-moisture and temperature of stored maize. *BIO Web of Conferences, 10,* 02001. https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20181002001
54. Bhattarai, B., Kaur, H., Wang, N., et al., (2023). Storage temperature and grain moisture effects on market and end-use properties of red lentil. *Agronomy, 13*(9), 2261. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13092261
55. Rathod, A., & Kumawat, M. (2024). *Storage of feed ingredients and finished feed. Indian Farmer, 11*(02), 77–82.
56. Chow K. W. (1980). “Storage problems of feedstuffs.” In: Fish Feed Technology. FAO/UNDP Training Course. FAO Rapor No. ADCP/REP/80/11. Rome, pp. 215–224.
57. Adisseo. (2021). *Management strategies that can secure feed quality. AllAboutFeed.*
58. Angelovič, M., Mijoč, J., Angelovičová, M., & Jobbágy, J. (2018). The effect of conditions and storage time on course-moisture and temperature of stored maize. *BIO Web of Conferences, 10*, 02001. https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20181002001
59. Ghosh, S., Mehla, R. K., & Sirohi, S. K. (2019). Use of probiotics in animal feed for safe food production. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 8*(2), 1726–1734. https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.802.202
60. Jones, F. T., Hagler, W. M., & Hamilton, P. B. (2018). Chemical preservation of animal feed: Effect of propionic acid on mold inhibition. *Poultry Science, 97*(3), 1096–1102. https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pex356
61. Kolosova, A., & Stroka, J. (2011). Substances for reduction of the contamination of feed by mycotoxins: A review. *World Mycotoxin Journal, 4*(3), 225–256. https://doi.org/10.3920/WMJ2011.1282
62. Liu, Q., Kong, B., Xiong, Y. L., & Xia, X. (2020). Antioxidant activity and functional properties of plant extracts in animal feed. *Food Chemistry, 325,* 126926. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126926
63. Jayalakshmi, R., Saravanakumar, R., & Kumaravel, N. (2020). Internet of Things (IoT) based smart monitoring of grain storage system. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, 11*(5), 1981–1992. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-019-01435-2
64. Rodrigues, D. M., Coradi, P. C., Teodoro, L. P. R., et al., (2024). Monitoring and predicting corn grain quality on the transport and post-harvest operations in storage units using sensors and machine learning models. Scientific reports, 14(1), 6232. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-56879-5
65. Prakash, O., Singh, P. K., & Kumar, A. (2020). IoT based grain storage monitoring system for agriculture. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, 8*(6), 3213–3217.

https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.F8472.038620

66. Dinesh Kumar, M., Arthi Lakshmi, K.S., Atchaya, R., et al., (2022). Wireless sensor networks based monitoring and controlling of food storage system. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), 10(8): 1–5.
67. Zhang, X., Luo, H., & Sun, D. (2019). Development of intelligent ventilation system for grain storage based on sensor technology. *Journal of Stored Products Research, 83,* 161–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2019.05.002
68. Yasothai, R. (2019). Storage losses ın feed ıngredıents by rodents and ıts control. International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology, 8(1);76-78
69. Edoh Ognakossan, K., Mutungi, C. M., Otieno, T. O., Affognon, H. D., Sila, D. N., & Owino, W. O. (2018). Quantitative and quality losses caused by rodents in on-farm stored maize: a case study in the low land tropical zone of Kenya. *Food Security*, *10*(6), 1525-1537.
70. Nath, B., Chen, G., O'Sullivan, C. M., & Zare, D. (2024). Research and technologies to reduce grain postharvest losses: a review. *Foods*, *13*(12), 1875.
71. Coradi, P. C., Maldaner, V., Lutz, É., Daí, P. V. S., & Teodoro, P. E. (2020). Influences of drying temperature and storage conditions for preserving the quality of maize postharvest on laboratory and field scales. *Scientific Reports, 10*, 22006. Nature
72. RickerGilbert, J., Omotilewa, T., & Kadjo, D. (2022). *The economics of postharvest loss and losspreventing technologies in developing countries*. **Annual Review of Resource Economics, 14(**1), 191–212. https://doi.org/ (E-issn erişimi üzerinden alınmıştır) ResearchGate
73. Shukla, P., Pullabhotla, H. K., & Baylis, K. (2023). The economics of reducing food losses: Experimental evidence from improved storage technology in India. *Food Policy*, *117*, 102442.
74. Fleurat-Lessard, F. (2017). Integrated management of the risks of stored grain spoilage by seedborne fungi and contamination by storage mould mycotoxins–An update. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, *71*, 22-40.
75. Navarro, S., & Noyes, R. T. (2020). *The mechanics and physics of modern grain aeration management*. CRC Press.
76. Hassoun, A. (2025). Food Sustainability 4.0: Harnessing Industry 4.0 technologies for sustainable practices in the food sector. *Discover Food.* https://doi.org/10.1007/s44187-025-00461-z
77. Wang, J., Zhang, Y., & Liu, Z. (2021). Wireless sensor network application in grain storage monitoring. *Sensors, 21*(9), 3128. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21093128
78. Li, X., Chen, Y., & Zhao, J. (2022). Prediction of grain storage quality using machine learning techniques. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 196,* 106870. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2022.106870
79. Bryden, W. L. (2012). Mycotoxin contamination of the feed supply chain: Implications for animal productivity and feed security. *Animal Feed Science and Technology, 173*(1-2), 134–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.12.014
80. Lisboa, H. M., Pasquali, M. B., dos Anjos, A. I., Sarinho, A. M., de Melo, E. D., Andrade, R., Batista, L., Lima, J., Diniz, Y., & Barros, A. (2024). Innovative and sustainable food preservation techniques: Enhancing food quality, safety, and environmental sustainability. *Sustainability, 16*(18), 8223. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16188223
81. FAO. (2021). *The state of food and agriculture: Making agri-food systems more resilient to shocks and stresses*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. https://www.fao.org/publications
82. Priyadarsani, S., Pradhan, A. K. & Jena, P. C., (2023). Smart food grain storage system using Internet of Things (IoT): A Review. ORYZA-An International Journal of Rice, 60(03): 371–387.