

Geriatrik Üroonkolojinin Geleceği

*Saadettin ESKİÇORAPÇI¹
Evren SÜER²*

YAŞLANAN DÜNYADA ÜROONKOLOJİK PARADİGMA DEĞİŞİMİ

Tüm dünyada yaşam süresinin artmasıyla birlikte 65 yaş üzeri bireylerin sayısı dramatik biçimde yükselmektedir. Dolayısı ile geriatrik üroonkolojik hastalıklar çağımızın en önemli meselelerinden biri haline gelmiştir. Birleşmiş Milletler'e göre, 2050 yılına kadar 80 yaş üzerindeki bireylerin sayısı 426 milyona ulaşacak ve bu nüfusun büyük bölümü Avrupa, Kuzey Amerika ve Asya-Pasifik bölgelerinde yoğunlaşacaktır (1). Bu demografik dönüşüm hem kanser insidansında hem de kanser bakımına olan taleplerde bir artışa neden olmaktadır. Prostat, mesane ve böbrek kanserleri başta olmak üzere birçok ürolojik malignite, yaşla artan bir sıklık göstermektedir (2). Prostat kanseri tanısı konan hastaların yaklaşık %60'ı 65 yaş üzerindedir; mesane kanseri için bu oran %70'lere ulaşmaktadır (3).

Bununla beraber yaşlı bireylerin sadece kronolojik yaş üzerinden değerlendirilmesi, tedavi karar verme süreçlerinde ciddi problemlere neden olabilmektedir. Geriatrik bireylerin fizyolojik durumu, komorbiditeleri, bilişsel kapasitesi heterojendir; dolayısıyla “yaşlı hasta” kavramı homojen bir grup olarak ele alınamaz (3). Aynı kronolojik yaşa sahip iki hastanın tedavi süreçleri ve yaşam beklentisi arasında birkaç yılları bulan farklar olabilir. Bu nedenle geriatrik üroonkolojide, bireyselleştirilmiş, multidisipliner ve fonksiyonel kapasiteye dayalı yaklaşımlar klinik bir zorunluluktur.

Geriatrik hasta popülasyonunda onkolojik kararlar, yalnızca tümörün TNM evresi veya PSA düzeyiyle değil; hastanın fonksiyonel durumu, yaşam öncelikleri ve terapötik yükü tolere etme kapasitesiyle de şekillenmelidir. Bu bağlamda geriatrik değerlendirme yöntemleri, tedavi seçim algoritmalarına entegre edilmek zorundadır (5). Ayrıca, yaşlanan hücre biyolojisi, immünojenik yanıt profili, DNA hasar onarım kapasiteleri ve siste-

¹ Prof. Dr., Acıbadem Üniversitesi, Üroloji Bölümü, eskicorapci@gmail.com, ORCID iD: 0000-0003-1169-870X

² Prof. Dr., Ankara Üniversitesi, Üroloji Bölümü, drevrensuer@gmail.com, ORCID iD: 0000-0003-4093-5436

KAYNAKLAR

1. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. *World Population Ageing 2020 Highlights*. New York: UN; 2020.
2. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, et al. Cancer statistics for older adults, 2023. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*. 2023;73(6):410–436. doi:10.3322/caac.21779
3. Hemelaar M, van der Graaf WTA, Alfano CM, et al. Age distribution of urological cancers: a global perspective. *European Urology*. 2022;82(4):423–430. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2022.05.001
4. Mohile SG, Dale W, Hurria A, et al. Geriatric oncology assessment of the older person with cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2018;36(22):2299–2303. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.78.7719
5. Decoster L, Van Puyvelde K, Mohile S, et al. Screening tools for multidimensional health problems in elderly cancer patients: SIOG recommendations. *Annals of Oncology*. 2015;26(2):288–300. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdl477
6. Sanoff HK, Deal AM, Krishnamurthi N, et al. Biological aging and cancer outcomes in older patients: a population-based study. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2019;111(7):711–719. doi:10.1093/jnci/djy192
7. Gross CP, Mallory RM, Heiat A, et al. Systematic review of age bias in clinical trials. *JAMA*. 2005;293(18):2384–2390. doi:10.1001/jama.293.18.2384
8. El Necchi A, Schipani S, Bechis SK, et al. Comprehensive geriatric assessment predicts tolerance of prostate cancer therapy. *Journal of Geriatric Oncology*. 2021;12(5):848–856. doi:10.1016/j.jgo.2021.05.003
9. Pawelec G, Goldeck D, Derhovanessian E. Inflammation and T-cell senescence in the elderly: implications for cancer immunotherapy. *Journal of Leukocyte Biology*. 2021;109(6):1237–1248. doi:10.1002/JLB.3RU0520-379R
10. Schvartsman G, Chen L, Owen DH, et al. Impact of age on efficacy and safety of PD-1 inhibitors in urothelial cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2020;38(29):3378–3385. doi:10.1200/JCO.20.00768
11. Cheng DT, Lovejoy AF, Hong DS, et al. Practical implications of DNA damage repair deficiency in elderly cancer patients. *Cancer Discovery*. 2019;9(10):1249–1257. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-19-0191
12. Abida W, Campbell D, Patnaik A, et al. Olaparib in metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer with DNA repair mutations. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020;383(20):2091–2102. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2022483
13. Martin L, Birdsell L, Macdonald N, et al. Cancer cachexia in adults: foci include sarcopenia and frailty metrics. *The Lancet Oncology*. 2013;14(4):e214–e223. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(12)70468-5
14. Gill TM, Allore HG, Thibault DP, et al. The management of older adults with frailty and cancer: expert consensus. *Journal of Geriatric Oncology*. 2021;12(4):556–567. doi:10.1016/j.jgo.2021.01.015
15. Klepin HD, Geiger AM, Tooze J, et al. Feasibility of geriatric assessment in community oncology clinics. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2011;29(27):3552–3559. doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.32.5418
16. McNally E, Keating N, Sheill G, et al. Impact of geriatric intervention on chemotherapy outcome: a meta-analysis. *British Journal of Cancer*. 2022;126(8):1117–1125. doi:10.1038/s41416-021-01690-5
17. Soubeyran P, Bellera C, Goyard J, et al. ONCODAGE study: G8 score predicts vulnerability in elderly cancer patients. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(12):e115060. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115060
18. Rennert G, Choueiri TK, Teh JB, et al. Comorbidity burden and prostate cancer outcomes post-radical prostatectomy. *Urology*. 2020;136:99–105. doi:10.1016/j.urology.2019.09.056
19. LeBlanc TW, Denduluri N, Pai Sonmez N, et al. Polypharmacy and potential inappropriate medication use in older adults with cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2015;33(13):1406–1414. doi:10.1200/JCO.2014.57.1294
20. Mottet N, van den Bergh RCN, Briers E, et al. EAU–ESTRO–SIOG guidelines on prostate cancer—2023 update. *European Urology*. 2023;83(3):242–266. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2022.10.010
21. Omberg L, Brasso K, Trolle Nielsen S, et al. PSA kinetics in elderly men: implications for biopsy decisions. *European Urology Oncology*. 2021;4(4):630–638. doi:10.1016/j.euo.2020.11.005
22. Hamdy FC, Donovan JL, Lane JA, et al. 10-year outcomes after monitoring, surgery, or radiotherapy for localized prostate cancer (ProtecT): a randomized trial. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2023;388(19):1829–1839. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2300248
23. Coughlin GD, McNeill SA, Young EE, et al. Robotic radical prostatectomy in men aged 75+: functional and oncologic outcomes. *Urologic Oncology*. 2018;36(10):473.e21–473.e28. doi:10.1016/j.urolonc.2018.05.042
24. de Bono JS, Mateo J, Fizazi K, et al. Olaparib for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020;382(22):2091–2102. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1911440
25. Hofman MS, Emmett L, Sandhu S, et al. Lutetium-177–PSMA-617 for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2021;385(12):1091–1103. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2107322

26. Nguyen PL, Je Y, Schutz FA, *et al.* Association of androgen deprivation therapy with cardiovascular disease. *JAMA*. 2011;306(21):2359–2366. doi:10.1001/jama.2011.1775
27. Alibhai SMH, Timilshina N, Barclay J, *et al.* Randomized trial of intermittent vs continuous ADT in elderly men with prostate cancer. *The Lancet Oncology*. 2022;23(4):540–549. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00760-X
28. Filson CP, Weiner AB, Morgan TM, *et al.* Hematuria evaluation in older adults: delays in bladder cancer diagnosis. *The Journal of Urology*. 2017;197(2):530–535. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2016.08.102
29. Witjes JA, Babjuk M, Bellmunt J, *et al.* EAU guidelines on muscle-invasive and metastatic bladder cancer—2023 update. *European Urology*. 2023;83(3):299–312. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2022.10.038
30. Bajorin DF, Witjes JA, Gschwend JE, *et al.* Pembrolizumab as second-line therapy for advanced urothelial carcinoma. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2021;384(1):75–87. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2016345
31. Ljungberg B, Albiges L, Bensalah K, *et al.* European Association of Urology guidelines on renal cell carcinoma—2022 update. *European Urology*. 2022;82(6):605–615. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2022.08.027
32. van Poppel H, Da Pozzo L, Albrecht W, *et al.* A randomized trial comparing open vs laparoscopy nephrectomy in elderly patients. *European Urology*. 2018;74(3):293–301. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2018.05.017
33. Motzer RJ, Jonasch E, Agarwal N, *et al.* NCCN guidelines insights: renal cell carcinoma, version 1.2023. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network*. 2023;21(1):1–23. doi:10.6004/jnccn.2023.0001
34. Decoster L, Mohile S, Van Puyvelde K, *et al.* Screening tools to identify older cancer patients for CGA: systematic review. *Annals of Oncology*. 2015;26(2):288–300. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdl477
35. Wildiers H, Heeren P, Puts M, *et al.* International Society of Geriatric Oncology consensus on geriatric assessment. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2014;32(24):2595–2603. doi:10.1200/JCO.2014.57.8951
36. Horvath S, Raj K. DNA methylation-based biomarkers and the epigenetic clock theory of ageing. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 2018;19(6):371–384. doi:10.1038/nrg.2017.104
37. Linn BS, Linn MW, Gurel L. Cumulative Illness Rating Scale. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 1968;16(5):622–626. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.1968.tb04103.x
38. Daskivich TJ, Fan X, Koyama T, *et al.* Perioperative outcomes after prostatectomy by CCI score. *Urologic Oncology*. 2019;37(6):360–367. doi:10.1016/j.urolonc.2019.02.022
39. Smedley BD, Stith AY, Nelson AR. Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care. Washington (DC): Institute of Medicine; 2019.
40. Gabilovich M, Da Silva MC, *et al.* Robotic surgery in frail elderly: safety and efficacy review. *Surgical Endoscopy*. 2021;35(7):3270–3281. doi:10.1007/s00464-020-07916-z
41. Herlemann A, Gandaglia G, Pasyk KA, *et al.* Robot-assisted prostatectomy in patients >75 years: perioperative and long-term outcomes. *Urology*. 2020;145:247–253. doi:10.1016/j.urology.2020.01.025
42. Gandhi N, Goldberg H, Wu S, *et al.* Robotic cystectomy in elderly patients: outcomes and complications. *European Urology Focus*. 2022;8(4):868–875. doi:10.1016/j.euf.2021.08.008
43. Khan MS, Traboulsi S, Khan F, *et al.* Minimally invasive nephrectomy in elderly: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJU International*. 2023;131(3):295–305. doi:10.1111/bju.16021
44. Evered L, Silbert B, Knopman DS, *et al.* Nomenclature consensus in postoperative cognitive dysfunction and delirium: STROPS. *Anesthesiology*. 2018;129(4):872–879. doi:10.1097/ALN.0000000000002131
45. Chang SS, Baumgarten AS, Gillitzer R, *et al.* Long-term outcomes of robotic surgery in elderly urologic oncology. *The Journal of Urology*. 2021;205(2):420–428. doi:10.1097/JU.0000000000001871
46. Droz JP, Balducci L, Bolla M, *et al.* Management of prostate cancer in older men: recommendations of a working group of the International Society of Geriatric Oncology. *BJU International*. 2010;106(4):462–469. doi:10.1111/j.1464-410X.2010.09334.x
47. Bellera CA, Rainfray M, Mathoulin-Pélissier S, *et al.* Screening older cancer patients: first evaluation of the G-8 geriatric screening tool. *Annals of Oncology*. 2012;23(8):2166–2172. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdr587
48. Li C, Marcu IG, van Doorn T, *et al.* Artificial intelligence in prostate cancer management: applications and challenges. *Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology*. 2022;169:103552. doi:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2021.103552
49. Hurria A, Levit LA, Dale W, *et al.* Improving the evidence base for treating older adults with cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology statement. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2015;33(32):3826–3833. doi:10.1200/JCO.2015.63.0319
50. Temel JS, Greer JA, Muzikansky A, *et al.* Early palliative care for patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2010;363(8):733–742. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1000678
51. Soto-Perez-de-Celis E, de Glas NA, Hsu T, *et al.* Global geriatric oncology: achievements and challenges. *Journal of Geriatric Oncology*. 2020;11(5):837–844. doi:10.1016/j.jgo.2020.02.005