

3. BÖLÜM

KALP YETERSİZLİĞİNDE EKOKARDİYOĞRAFİK GÖRÜNTÜLEME

Serkan ÜNLÜ¹

GİRİŞ

Kalp yetersizliği (KY), kardiyovasküler morbidite ve ölümlerin başlıca sebeplerindedir. KY tanısı her ne kadar fizik muayene ile konulbilse de kalbin herhangi bir yapısal veya fonksiyonel anormalliğinin görüntüleme yöntemleri ile gösterilmesi önem taşır. KY vakalarının büyük çoğunluğunda, hastalığın değerlendirilmesi için kullanılan ilk kardiyak görüntüleme testi transtorasik ekokardiyografidir (TTE) ve kullanımı kalp yetmezliği kılavuzlarında sınıf I öneridir¹⁻³. TTE, uygulaması kolay, girişimsel olmayan, miyokardın, kapakların yapısı ve işlev ile, hemodinamiği hakkında çok miktarda bilgi verebilen düşük maliyetli, güvenli bir tetkiktir. KY hastalarında tanı yanı sıra, tedavi seçenekleri arasında seçimin yapılmasında ve tedavi yanıtının değerlendirilmesi konusunda önemli role sahiptir.

KY hastalarında TTE görüntülemenin temel amaçları, miyokardiyal yapı ve fonksiyon, perikard hastalıkları, kapak hastalıkları ve kalbin hemodinamik durumunun değerlendirmesi olarak sayılabilir³. Deformasyon görüntüleme miyokard hakkında daha detaylı bilgi sağlamasına rağmen ancak TTE'nin doku karakterizasyon

yeteneği kardiyak manyetik rezonans incelemeden çok düşüktür. KY ile takipli ve KY açısından araştırılan hastalarda öncelikle değerlendirilmesi gereken sol ve sağ ventriküllerin boyutları ve sistolik fonksiyonlarıdır. TTE ile kardiyak yapıların boyutları, morfolojisi, sol ve sağ ventrikül fonksiyonunun değerlendirilmesi kolayca yapılabilir. Sınıflandırma ve tedavi seçeneklerinin belirlenmesi açısından KY baskın klinik özelliklere göre sınıflandırılmaya çalışılmıştır³. KY hastalarında, düşük veya yüksek debili, düşük veya korunmuş ejeksiyon fraksiyonlu, sistolik veya diyastolik olmak üzere tanımlamalar yapılmıştır. KY'nin sol ventrikül ejeksiyon fraksiyonuna dayanan göreceli yeni sınıflandırması, klinik literatürde daha geniş bir kabul görmüş ve günümüzde evrensel olarak kabul edilmiştir. Bu sınıflandırma içerisinde yer alan ve neredeyse tüm KY vakalarının yarısını oluşturan korunmuş ejeksiyon fraksiyonlu KY, KY klinik özellikleri olması ve sol ventrikül ejeksiyon fraksiyonu normal iken sol ventrikül diyastolik fonksiyonunda bozulma izlendiğinde teşhis edilir. Son KY kılavuzları sol ventrikül ejeksiyon fraksiyonunun ≥ 50 olmasını korunmuş, $\% 41-49$ olmasını sınır, ≤ 40 olmasını ise düşük ejeksiyon fraksiyonu olarak tanımlamaktadır. Korunmuş ejeksiyon fraksiyonlu

¹ Öğr. Gör. Dr., Gazi Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Kardiyoloji AD, unlu.serkan@gmail.com



KALP YETMEZLİĞİNDE CİHAZ TEDAVİLERİ İÇİN EKOKARDİYOĞRAFİK DEĞERLENDİRME

KY ile takipli hastalarda cihaz tedavilerinin seçimi de ekokardiyografik değerlendirmeye bağlıdır. KY bulunan hastalara uygulanabilecek implante edilebilir kardiyoverter defibrilatörler, kardiyak resenkronizasyon tedavisi ve ileri KY bulunan hastalara yardımcı olabilecek ventrikül destek cihazlarının yerleştirilmesi kararlarında ekokardiyografik incelemenin yeri oldukça önemlidir.

İmplant edilebilir kardiyoverter defibrilatör tedavisi için hasta seçimi ejeksiyon fraksiyonunun ölçümüne bağlıdır. İmplant edilebilir kardiyoverter defibrilatör yerleştirilmesinde limit değer olarak belirlenen ejeksiyon fraksiyonu değerleri düşük ejeksiyon fraksiyonlu KY hastaları için uygun gözükmemektedir. Ancak korunmuş ejeksiyon fraksiyonuna sahip KY hastalarında da aritmi azımsanmayacak kadar sıklıkla ölümlere neden olabilmektedir. Bu konuda heterojen miyokardiyal fibrozis değerlendirmesi faydalı olabilir. Miyokardiyal fibrozis dolaylı olarak benek takibi yöntemi ile ölçülebilen mekanik dispersiyonla ilişkili bulunmuştur⁶⁹⁻⁷¹.

Kardiyak resenkronizasyon tedavisi KY olan hastalarda onaylanmış bir tedavidir. Ejeksiyon fraksiyonu ölçümü karar aşamasında oldukça önemli yer tutmaktadır. Bununla birlikte, önemli sayıda hasta bu pahalı ve girişimsel işleme beklenen yanıtı vermemektedir. Bu, en güncel klinik kılavuzları uygularken bile bugün hala kullanılmakta olan özgül olmayan uygunluk kriterlerinin sonucudur. Hasta seçimini iyileştirme amacı ile ekokardiyografi tabanlı parametreler önerilmiştir. Bunlar mekanik dissenkroni göstergesi olan “septal flash” ve “apical rocking” parametreleridir. Kardiyak resenkronizasyon tedavisine verilecek yanıtı öngörmede oldukça başarılı ol-

dukları gösterilmiştir. Kardiyak resenkronizasyon tedavisi konusunda ekokardiyografik değerlendirme, ventriküler genişlemenin ciddiyeti, sağ ventrikül tutulumu ve mekanik senkronizasyonu göstererek tedavi cevabını öngörebilir⁷²⁻⁷⁵.

Ventriküler destek cihazları için hasta seçimi ve bu hastaların izlenmesi oldukça özel bir konudur. İmplantasyon öncesi değerlendirmenin en önemli yönlerinden biri sağ ventrikül işlevinin değerlendirilmesi ile ilgilidir ve ciddi sağ ventrikül fonksiyon bozukluğu olan kişiler için biventriküler destek cihazları düşünülebilir^{76,77}.

KAYNAKLAR

1. Yancy CW, Januzzi JL, Allen LA, et al. 2017 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway for Optimization of Heart Failure Treatment: Answers to 10 Pivotal Issues About Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Task Force on Expert Consensus Decision. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018;71(2):201-230.
2. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2016;18(8):891-975.
3. Kirkpatrick JN, Vannan MA, Narula J, Lang RM. Echocardiography in Heart Failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* [Internet] 2007;50(5):381-396. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2007.03.048>
4. Mor-Avi V, Jenkins C, Kuhl H, et al. Real-time 3D echocardiographic quantification of left ventricular volumes: Multicenter study for validation with magnetic resonance imaging [Internet]. 2008 *Comput. Cardiol*. 2008; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/cic.2008.4748968>
5. Jenkins C, Bricknell K, Hanekom L, Marwick TH. Reproducibility and accuracy of echocardiographic measurements of left ventricular parameters using real-time three-dimensional echocardiography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* [Internet] 2004;44(4):878-



886. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2004.05.050>
6. Dorosz JL, Lezotte DC, Weitzenkamp DA, Allen LA, Salcedo EE. Performance of 3-Dimensional Echocardiography in Measuring Left Ventricular Volumes and Ejection Fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* [Internet] 2012;59(20):1799–1808. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2012.01.037>
 7. Foster E, Cahalan MK. The search for intelligent quantitation in echocardiography: “Eyeball,” “trackball” and beyond. *J Am Coll Cardiol* [Internet] 1993;22(3):848–850. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0735-1097\(93\)90201-b](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0735-1097(93)90201-b)
 8. Lang RM, Bierig M, Devereux RB, et al. Recommendations for Chamber Quantification: A Report from the American Society of Echocardiography’s Guidelines and Standards Committee and the Chamber Quantification Writing Group, Developed in Conjunction with the European Association of Echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* [Internet] 2005;18(12):1440–1463. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2005.10.005>
 9. Thavendiranathan P, Grant AD, Negishi T, Plana JC, Popović ZB, Marwick TH. Reproducibility of Echocardiographic Techniques for Sequential Assessment of Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction and Volumes. *J Am Coll Cardiol* [Internet] 2013;61(1):77–84. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2012.09.035>
 10. Unlu S, Farsalinos K, Ameloot K, et al. Apical traction: a novel visual echocardiographic parameter to predict survival in patients with pulmonary hypertension. *Eur Hear J - Cardiovasc Imaging* [Internet] 2015;17(2):177–183. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jev131>
 11. Abraham T, Kass D, Tonti G, et al. Imaging Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* [Internet] 2009;2(4):486–497. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2009.01.005>
 12. Lindner JR. Contrast echocardiography: current status and future directions. *Heart* [Internet] 2021;107(1):18 LP – 24. Available from: <http://heart.bmj.com/content/107/1/18.abstract>
 13. Mannaerts H. Early identification of left ventricular remodelling after myocardial infarction, assessed by transthoracic 3D echocardiography. *Eur Heart J* [Internet] 2004;25(8):680–687. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ehj.2004.02.030>
 14. Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* [Internet] 2015 [cited 2017 Mar 7];16(3):233–271. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2014.10.003>
 15. Thomas JD, Abe Y, Badano LP, et al. Definitions for a common standard for 2D speckle tracking echocardiography: consensus document of the EACVI/ASE/Industry Task Force to standardize deformation imaging. *Eur Hear J - Cardiovasc Imaging* [Internet] 2014;16(1):1–11. Available from: <http://ehjcm.oxfordjournals.org/content/16/1/1.abstract>
 16. Mor-Avi V, Lang RM, Badano LP, et al. Current and Evolving Echocardiographic Techniques for the Quantitative Evaluation of Cardiac Mechanics: ASE/EAE Consensus Statement on Methodology and Indications. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* [Internet] 2011;24(3):277–313. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2011.01.015>
 17. Corrigendum to: 2017 ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease. *Eur Heart J* [Internet] 2017;39(21):1980. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehx636>
 18. Otto CM, Nishimura RA, Bonow RO, et al. 2020 ACC/AHA Guideline for the Management of Patients With Valvular Heart Disease: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2021;143(5):e35–e71.
 19. Senior R, Becher H, Monaghan M, et al. Clinical practice of contrast echocardiography: recommendation by the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI) 2017. *Eur Hear J - Cardiovasc Imaging* [Internet] 2017;18(11):1205–1205af. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jex182>
 20. Marwick TH. The role of echocardiography in heart failure. *J Nucl Med* 2015;56 Suppl 4:31S–38S.
 21. Marwick TH. Ejection Fraction Pros and Cons: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018;72(19):2360–2379.
 22. Steeds RP, Garbi M, Cardim N, et al. EACVI appropriateness criteria for the use of transthoracic echocardiography in adults: a report of literature



- and current practice review. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;18(11):1191–1204.
23. Galderisi M, Cosyns B, Edvardsen T, et al. Standardization of adult transthoracic echocardiography reporting in agreement with recent chamber quantification, diastolic function, and heart valve disease recommendations: an expert consensus document of the European Association of Cardiovascular Imag. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;18(12):1301–1310.
 24. Voigt J-U, Cvijic M. 2- and 3-Dimensional Myocardial Strain in Cardiac Health and Disease. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2019;12(9):1849–1863.
 25. Voigt J-U, Pedrizzetti G, Lysyansky P, et al. Definitions for a Common Standard for 2D Speckle Tracking Echocardiography: Consensus Document of the EACVI/ASE/Industry Task Force to Standardize Deformation Imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* [Internet] 2015;28(2):183–193. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2014.11.003>
 26. Smiseth OA, Torp H, Opdahl A, Haugaa KH, Urheim S. Myocardial strain imaging: how useful is it in clinical decision making? *Eur Heart J* 2016;37(15):1196–1207.
 27. Unlu S, Mirea O, Duchenne J, et al. Comparison of Feasibility, Accuracy, and Reproducibility of Layer-Specific Global Longitudinal Strain Measurements Among Five Different Vendors: A Report from the EACVI-ASE Strain Standardization Task Force. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2018;31(3):374–380.e1.
 28. Unlu S, Mirea O, Pagourelas ED, et al. Layer-Specific Segmental Longitudinal Strain Measurements: Capability of Detecting Myocardial Scar and Differences in Feasibility, Accuracy, and Reproducibility, Among Four Vendors A Report From the EACVI-ASE Strain Standardization Task Force. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2019;32(5):624–632.e11.
 29. Unlu S, Duchenne J, Mirea O, et al. Impact of apical foreshortening on deformation measurements: a report from the EACVI-ASE Strain Standardization Task Force. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2019;
 30. Mirea O, Pagourelas ED, Duchenne J, et al. Intervendor Differences in the Accuracy of Detecting Regional Functional Abnormalities: A Report From the EACVI-ASE Strain Standardization Task Force. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2018;11(1):25–34.
 31. Mirea O, Pagourelas ED, Duchenne J, et al. Variability and Reproducibility of Segmental Longitudinal Strain Measurements: A Report from the EACVI-ASE Strain Standardization Task Force. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2018;11(1):15–24.
 32. Farsalinos KE, Daraban AM, Ünlu S, et al. Head-to-Head Comparison of Global Longitudinal Strain Measurements among Nine Different Vendors The EACVI/ASE Inter-Vendor Comparison Study. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* [Internet] 2015;28(10):1171–1181.e2. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2015.06.011>
 33. Dobrovie M, Bezy S, Unlu S, et al. How Does Regional Hypertrophy Affect Strain Measurements With Different Speckle-Tracking Methods? *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2019;
 34. Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, et al. Recommendations for the Evaluation of Left Ventricular Diastolic Function by Echocardiography: An Update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* [Internet] 2016;29(4):277–314. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2016.01.011>
 35. Park J-H, Marwick TH. Use and Limitations of E/e' to Assess Left Ventricular Filling Pressure by Echocardiography. *J Cardiovasc Ultrasound* [Internet] 2011;19(4):169–173. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22259658>
 36. Unzek S, Popovic ZB, Marwick TH. Effect of Recommendations on Interobserver Consistency of Diastolic Function Evaluation. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* [Internet] 2011;4(5):460–467. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2011.01.016>
 37. Abid L, Charfeddine S, Kammoun S. Relationship of left atrial global peak systolic strain with left ventricular diastolic dysfunction and brain natriuretic peptide level in end-stage renal disease patients with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. *J Echocardiogr* [Internet] 2016;14(2):71–78. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12574-016-0276-6>
 38. Santos ABS, Roca GQ, Claggett B, et al. Prognostic Relevance of Left Atrial Dysfunction in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. *Circ Hear Fail* [Internet] 2016;9(4). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/circheartfailure.115.002763>



39. Mor-Avi V, Yodwut C, Jenkins C, et al. Real-Time 3D Echocardiographic Quantification of Left Atrial Volume. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* [Internet] 2012;5(8):769–777. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2012.05.011>
40. Tsang TSM, Barnes ME, Bailey KR, et al. Left atrial volume: important risk marker of incident atrial fibrillation in 1655 older men and women. *Mayo Clin Proc* [Internet] 2001;76(5):467–475. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4065/76.5.467>
41. Kusunose K, Motoki H, Popovic ZB, Thomas JD, Klein AL, Marwick TH. Independent association of left atrial function with exercise capacity in patients with preserved ejection fraction. *Heart* [Internet] 2012;98(17):1311–1317. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2012-302007>
42. Aung SM, Güler A, Güler Y, Huraibat A, Karabay CY, Akdemir I. Left atrial strain in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Herz* [Internet] 2016;42(2):194–199. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00059-016-4456-y>
43. Telles F, Nanayakkara S, Evans S, et al. Impaired Left Atrial Strain Predicts Abnormal Haemodynamics in Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction. *Hear Lung Circ* [Internet] 2018;27:S55. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hlc.2018.06.028>
44. Cameli M, Lisi M, Mondillo S, et al. Left atrial longitudinal strain by speckle tracking echocardiography correlates well with left ventricular filling pressures in patients with heart failure. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound* [Internet] 2010;8(1). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1476-7120-8-14>
45. Morris DA, Belyavskiy E, Aravind-Kumar R, et al. Potential Usefulness and Clinical Relevance of Adding Left Atrial Strain to Left Atrial Volume Index in the Detection of Left Ventricular Diastolic Dysfunction. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* [Internet] 2018;11(10):1405–1415. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2017.07.029>
46. Rudski LG, Lai WW, Afilalo J, et al. Guidelines for the Echocardiographic Assessment of the Right Heart in Adults: A Report from the American Society of Echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* [Internet] 2010;23(7):685–713. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2010.05.010>
47. Tacoy G. In the light of current knowledge right ventricle. *Turk Kardiyol Dern Arsivi-Archives Turkish Soc Cardiol* [Internet] 2014;42(6):574–584. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5543/tkda.2014.82654>
48. PIRAT B. How to assess right ventricular function with echocardiography? *Turk Kardiyol Dern Arsivi-Archives Turkish Soc Cardiol* [Internet] 2014;42(2):216–219. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5543/tkda.2014.50432>
49. Vitarelli A, Terzano C. Do we have two hearts? New insights in right ventricular function supported by myocardial imaging echocardiography. *Heart Fail Rev* [Internet] 2009;15(1):39–61. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10741-009-9154-x>
50. Valsangiacomo Buechel ER, Mertens LL. Imaging the right heart: the use of integrated multimodality imaging. *Eur Heart J* [Internet] 2012;33(8):949–960. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehr490>
51. Lai WW, Gauvreau K, Rivera ES, Saleeb S, Powell AJ, Geva T. Accuracy of guideline recommendations for two-dimensional quantification of the right ventricle by echocardiography. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* [Internet] 2008;24(7):691–698. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10554-008-9314-4>
52. Ling LF, Obuchowski NA, Rodriguez L, Popovic Z, Kwon D, Marwick TH. Accuracy and Interobserver Concordance of Echocardiographic Assessment of Right Ventricular Size and Systolic Function: A Quality Control Exercise. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* [Internet] 2012;25(7):709–713. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2012.03.018>
53. Portnoy SG, Rudski LG. Echocardiographic Evaluation of the Right Ventricle: a 2014 Perspective. *Curr Cardiol Rep* [Internet] 2015;17(4). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11886-015-0578-8>
54. Forfia PR, Fisher MR, Mathai SC, et al. Tricuspid Annular Displacement Predicts Survival in Pulmonary Hypertension. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* [Internet] 2006;174(9):1034–1041. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200604-547oc>
55. López-Candales A, Rajagopalan N, Saxena N, Guliyas B, Edelman K, Bazaz R. Right Ventricular Systolic Function Is Not the Sole Determinant of Tricuspid Annular Motion. *Am J Cardiol* [Internet] 2006;98(7):973–977. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2006.04.041>



56. Giusca S, Dambrauskaite V, Scheurwegs C, et al. Deformation imaging describes right ventricular function better than longitudinal displacement of the tricuspid ring. *Heart* [Internet] 2009;96(4):281–288. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/hrt.2009.171728>
57. Lindqvist P, Waldenström A, Henein M, Mörner S, Kazzam E. Regional and Global Right Ventricular Function in Healthy Individuals Aged 20-90 Years: A Pulsed Doppler Tissue Imaging Study in the Umeå General Population Heart Study. *Echocardiography* [Internet] 2005;22(4):305–314. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-8175.2005.04023.x>
58. López-Candales A, Dohi K, Bazaz R, Edelman K. Relation of Right Ventricular Free Wall Mechanical Delay to Right Ventricular Dysfunction as Determined by Tissue Doppler Imaging. *Am J Cardiol* [Internet] 2005;96(4):602–606. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2005.04.028>
59. Sachdev A, Villarraga HR, Frantz RP, et al. Right Ventricular Strain for Prediction of Survival in Patients With Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Chest* [Internet] 2011;139(6):1299–1309. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.10-2015>
60. Ünlü S, Şahinarslan A, Gökalp G, et al. The impact of volume overload on right heart function in end-stage renal disease patients on hemodialysis. *Echocardiography* 2018;35(3).
61. ZOGHBI W. Recommendations for evaluation of the severity of native valvular regurgitation with two-dimensional and doppler echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* [Internet] 2003;16(7):777–802. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0894-7317\(03\)00335-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0894-7317(03)00335-3)
62. Lancellotti P, Donal E, Magne J, et al. Risk stratification in asymptomatic moderate to severe aortic stenosis: the importance of the valvular, arterial and ventricular interplay. *Heart* [Internet] 2010;96(17):1364–1371. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/hrt.2009.190942>
63. Ross J. Afterload mismatch in aortic and mitral valve disease: Implications for surgical therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* [Internet] 1985;5(4):811–826. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0735-1097\(85\)80418-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0735-1097(85)80418-6)
64. Sharma V, Newby DE, Stewart RAH, et al. Exercise stress echocardiography in patients with valvular heart disease. *Echo Res Pract* [Internet] 2015;2(3):89–98. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/erp-15-0015>
65. Hatle LK, Appleton CP, Popp RL. Differentiation of constrictive pericarditis and restrictive cardiomyopathy by Doppler echocardiography. *Circulation* [Internet] 1989;79(2):357–370. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.79.2.357>
66. Ha J-W, Ommen SR, Tajik AJ, et al. Differentiation of constrictive pericarditis from restrictive cardiomyopathy using mitral annular velocity by tissue Doppler echocardiography. *Am J Cardiol* [Internet] 2004;94(3):316–319. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2004.04.026>
67. Ha J-W, Oh JK, Ommen SR, Ling LH, Tajik AJ. Diagnostic value of mitral annular velocity for constrictive pericarditis in the absence of respiratory variation in mitral inflow velocity. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* [Internet] 2002;15(12):1468–1471. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1067/mje.2002.127452>
68. Garcia MJ, Rodriguez L, Ares M, Griffin BP, Thomas JD, Klein AL. Differentiation of constrictive pericarditis from restrictive cardiomyopathy: Assessment of left ventricular diastolic velocities in longitudinal axis by doppler tissue imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol* [Internet] 1996;27(1):108–114. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0735-1097\(95\)00434-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0735-1097(95)00434-3)
69. Bardy GH, Lee KL, Mark DB. Amiodarone or an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator for congestive heart failure. *ACC Curr J Rev* [Internet] 2005;14(4):44. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.accreview.2005.03.036>
70. Haugaa KH, Smedsrud MK, Steen T, et al. Mechanical Dispersion Assessed by Myocardial Strain in Patients After Myocardial Infarction for Risk Prediction of Ventricular Arrhythmia. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* [Internet] 2010;3(3):247–256. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2009.11.012>
71. Haugaa KH, Grenne BL, Eek CH, et al. Strain Echocardiography Improves Risk Prediction of Ventricular Arrhythmias After Myocardial Infarction. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* [Internet] 2013;6(8):841–850. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2013.03.005>
72. Vardas PE, Auricchio A, Blanc J-J, et al. Guidelines for cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy: The Task Force for Cardiac Pacing and



- Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the European Heart Rhythm Association. *Eur Heart J* 2007;28(18):2256–2295.
73. Francis DP. How easily can omission of patients, or selection amongst poorly-reproducible measurements, create artificial correlations? Methods for detection and implications for observational research design in cardiology. *Int J Cardiol* [Internet] 2013;167(1):102–113. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2011.12.018>
74. Khan FZ, Virdee MS, Palmer CR, et al. Targeted Left Ventricular Lead Placement to Guide Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* [Internet] 2012;59(17):1509–1518. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2011.12.030>
75. Saba TS, Foster J, Cockburn M, Cowan M, Peacock AJ. Ventricular mass index using magnetic resonance imaging accurately estimates pulmonary artery pressure. *Eur Respir J* [Internet] 2002;20(6):1519–1524. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/09031936.02.00014602>
76. Todaro MC, Khandheria BK, Paterick TE, Umland MM, Thohan V. The Practical Role of Echocardiography in Selection, Implantation, and Management of Patients Requiring LVAD Therapy. *Curr Cardiol Rep* [Internet] 2014;16(4). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11886-014-0468-5>
77. Grant ADM, Smedira NG, Starling RC, Marwick TH. Independent and Incremental Role of Quantitative Right Ventricular Evaluation for the Prediction of Right Ventricular Failure After Left Ventricular Assist Device Implantation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* [Internet] 2012;60(6):521–528. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2012.02.073>