

TEDAVİ SONRASI DEĞİŞİKLİKLER

Begüm DEMİRLER ŞİMŞİR¹

CERRAHİ TEDAVİ VE RADYOTERAPİ SONRASI DEĞİŞİKLİKLER

- Memenin benign ve malign lezyonlarına yönelik cerrahi işlemler ve mamoplasti sonrası meydana gelen değişiklikler, meme muayenesinde, mamografi için pozisyon vermede ve görüntülerin değerlendirilmesinde güçlükler neden olmaktadır.
- Meme biyopsisine ve benign nedenler ile yapılan cerrahi işlemlere bağlı değişiklikler daha hafif olup sıklıkla bir yıl içerisinde gerileyerek kaybolurlar (Resim 15.1). Beklenen gerilemenin olmaması, dansite ve/veya boyut artışı ile değerlendirme ve doku tanısı gerektirir.
- Malign lezyonlara yönelik meme koruyucu cerrahiye bağlı olarak daha belirgin, uzun süre sebat eden veya kalıcı değişiklikler görülür.
- Normal değişikliklerin tanınması, nüks açısından kuşkulu bulguların ayırt edilebilmesi açısından önem taşır.
- Benign değişiklikler tanındığında memeye yapılacak gereksiz girişimler de engellenebilmektedir.
- Kuşkulu bulgular saptandığında ise lokal nüks olguları erken dönemde tespit edilebilmektedir.
- Değişiklikler zaman içerisinde farklılaşarak

takip mamografilerde de farklı görünümlere sebep olabileceklerinden önceki mamografiler ile karşılaştırmalı değerlendirme yapılmalıdır.



Resim 15.1. Sol MLO grafide üst yarıda ciltte hafif kalınlaşmanın eşlik ettiği (ok) hafif distorsiyon ve postoperatif fibrotik değişiklikler. Patoloji sonucu fibrotik değişiklikler olarak bildirilmiştir.

¹ Uzm. Dr. Begüm DEMİRLER ŞİMŞİR, SBÜ Dışkapı Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Radyoloji Kliniği bdemirler@gmail.com

- Mamografide implant komşuluğunda silikona ait yüksek dansiteler veya implantın fokal protrüzyonu görülür.
- Ultrasonografide hiperekoik, posterior kenarları belirsiz, 'kar fırtınası' olarak adlandırılan görünüm izlenir.

Silikonoma

- Silikonomalar sıklıkla silikon implant rüptürlerinden sonra sızan silikonun inflamatuvar yanıt ve granülasyon doku ile çevrenmesi ile ortaya çıkar.
- İmplant kılıfının silikon sızıntısına neden olabilen yapısı nedeniyle eski jenerasyon implantlarda rüptür olmadan da görülürler.
- Fizik muayenede genellikle ağrı, şekil değişikliği ve elen gelen kitle bulguları vardır.
- Silikon granülomları implanttan daha uzakta, göğüs duvarında veya aksiller lenf nodu tutulumu şeklinde de görülebilir.
- Mamografi bulgusu implant dışında yüksek dansiteli kitledir, dens aksiller lenf nodları da mevcut olabilir.
- Ultrasonografide anteriorda hiperekoik, posteriorda akustik gölgelenme şeklinde 'kar fırtınası' görünümü tipiktir.
- MRG'de implantta rüptür bulguları ile birlikte implanttan ayrı olarak T1 ağırlıklı görüntülerde düşük sinyalli, T2 ağırlıklı görüntülerde yüksek sinyalli odaklar şeklinde görülürler, granülom veya inflamasyon varlığında kontrast tutulumu olabilir.
- Tanıda implantta rüptürün gösterilmesi ve mevcutsa tipik görüntüleme bulguları yol göstericidir.
- Bazı olgularda malign kitleden ayırımı kor biyopsi ile de yapılamayarak tanı cerrahi eksizyon ile konulabilmektedir.

KAYNAKLAR

Adrada BE, Miranda RN, Rauch GM, et al. Breast implant-associated anaplastic large cell lymphoma: sensitivity, specificity, and findings of imaging studies in 44 patients. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2014;147:1-14.

Ashkanani F, Sarkar T, Needham G, et al. What is achieved by mammographic surveillance after breast conservation treatment for breast cancer? *Ann J Surg* 2001;182:207-10.

Blaine CM, Subbio CR, Eid SM, Murphy RX Jr. Reduction mammoplasty trends: a quality and fiscal analysis update. *Ann Plast Surg* 2012;69:344-6.

Brown SL, Middleton MS, Berg WA, Soo MS, Pennello G. Prevalence of rupture of silicone gel breast implants revealed on MR imaging in a population of women in Birmingham, Alabama. *AJR* 2000;175:1057-64.

Carson B, Cox S, Ismael H. Giant siliconoma mimicking locally advanced breast cancer: a case report and review of the literature. *Int J Surg Case Rep* 2018;48:54-60.

Chansakul T, Lai KC, Slanetz PJ. The postconservation breast: part 1, Expected imaging findings. *AJR* 2012;198:321-30.

Chansakul T, Lai KC, Slanetz PJ. The postconservation breast: part 2, imaging findings of tumor recurrence and other long-term sequelae. *AJR*. 2012;198:331-43.

Chung KC, Malay S, Shauver MJ, Kim HM. Economic analysis of screening strategies for rupture of silicone gel breast implants. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2012;130:225-37.

Coulthard A, Beveridge CJ, Potterton AJ. MRI in routine breast cancer follow-up: correlation with clinical outcome. *Clin Radiol* 1999;54:459-61.

de Jong D, Vasmel WL, de Boer JP, et al. Anaplastic large-cell lymphoma in women with breast implants. *JAMA* 2008;300:2030-5.

Dershaw DD, Bracha A. The conservatively treated breast. In: Bassett LW, Mahoney MC, Apple SK, D'orsi CJ, editors. *Breast Imaging*. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders, 2011;649-61.

Devon RK, Rosen MA, Mies C, Orel SG. Breast reconstruction with a transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap: spectrum of normal and abnormal MR imaging findings. *RadioGraphics* 2004;24:1287-99.

Di Benedetto G, Cecchini S, Grasseti L, et al. Comparative study of breast implant rupture using mammography, sonography, and magnetic resonance imaging: correlation with surgical findings. *Breast J* 2008;14:532-7.

Driul L, Bernardi S, Bertozzi S, Schiavon M, Londero AP, Petri R. New surgical trends in breast cancer treatment: conservative interventions and oncoplastic breast surgery. *Minerva Ginecol* 2013;65:289-96.

Drukteinis JS, Gombos EC, Raza S, Chikarmane SA, Swami A, Birdwell RL. MR imaging assessment of the breast after breast conservation therapy: distinguishing benign from malignant lesions. *Radiographics* 2012;32:219-34.

Eklund GW, Cardenosa G. The art of mammographic positioning. *Radiol. Clin. North Am* 1992;30:21-53.

Esen G, Cebi Olgun D. Ultrasonography of the postsurgical breast including implants. *Ultrasound Clin* 2008;3:295-329.

Gonzalez EA, Saltzstein EC, Riedner CS, Nelson BK. Seroma formation following breast cancer surgery. *Breast J* 2003;9:385-88.

Gradishar WJ, Anderson BO, Balassanian R, et al. NCCN Guidelines Insights Breast Cancer, Version 1.2016. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2016;13:1475-85.

Grubstein A, Cohen M, Steinmetz A, Cohen D. Siliconomas mimicking cancer. *Clin Imaging* 2011;35:228-31.

Gutierrez R, Horst KC, Dirbas FM, Ikeda DM. Breast Imaging Following breast conserving therapy. In: Dirbas FM, Scott-Conner CEH, editors. *Breast surgical tech-*

- niques and interdisciplinary management. LCC: Springer; 2011. p. 975-95.
- Helvie MA, Bailey JE, Roubidoux MA, et al. Mammographic screening of TRAM flap breast reconstructions for detection of nonpalpable recurrent cancer. *Radiology* 2002;224:211-6.
- Heywang-Köbrunner SH. *Diagnostic Breast Imaging*. 2nd ed. New York: Thieme, 2001;162-208.
- Hölmich Lisbet R, Fryzek Jon P, Kim K, et al The diagnosis of silicone breast-implant rupture clinical findings compared with findings at magnetic resonance imaging. *Ann Plast Surg* 2005;54:583-9.
- Hölmich Lisbet R, Vejborg Ilse M, Carsten C, et al The diagnosis of breast implant rupture: MRI findings compared with findings at explantation. *Eur J Radiol* 2005;53:213-25.
- Jatoi I, Proschan MA. Randomized trials of breast-conserving therapy versus mastectomy for primary breast cancer: a pooled analysis of updated results. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2005;28:289-94.
- Juanpere S, Perez E, Huc O, Motos N, Pont J, Pedraza S. Imaging of breast implants-a pictorial review. *Insights Imaging* 2011;2:653-70.
- Kerridge WD, Kryvenko ON, Thompson A, Shah BA. Fat Necrosis of the Breast: A Pictorial Review of the Mammographic, Ultrasound, CT, and MRI Findings with Histopathologic Correlation. *Radiol Res Pract* 2015;613139.
- Keshtgar M, Hamidian JA, Davidson T, et al. Tissue screening after breast reduction. *BMJ* 2009;338:630.
- Khatcheressian JL, Hurley P, Bantug E, et al. Breast cancer follow-up and management after primary treatment: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline update. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:961-65.
- Khatcheressian JL, Hurley P, Bantug E, et al. Breast cancer follow-up and management after primary treatment: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline update. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:961-5.
- Kim SM, Park JM. Normal and abnormal US findings at the mastectomy site. *RadioGraphics* 2004;24:357-65.
- Krishnamurthy R, Whitman GJ, Stelling CB, Kushwaha AC. Mammographic findings after breast conservation therapy. *Radiographics* 1999;19:53-62.
- Lagrange JL, Ramaioli A, Chateau MC et al. Sarcoma after radiation therapy: retrospective multi-institutional study of 80 histologically confirmed cases. *Radiology* 2000;216:197-205.
- Lam DL, Houssami N, Lee JM. Imaging Surveillance After Primary Breast Cancer Treatment. *AJR* 2017;208:676-86.
- Langstein HN, Cheng MH, Singletary SE, et al. Breast cancer recurrence after immediate reconstruction: patterns and significance. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2003;111:712-20; discussion 721-2.
- LePage MA, Kazerooni EA, Helvie MA, Wilkins EA. Breast reconstruction with TRAM flaps: normal and abnormal appearances at CT. *RadioGraphics* 1999;19:1593-1603.
- Litière S, Werutsky G, Fentiman IS, et al. Breast conserving therapy versus mastectomy for stage I-II breast cancer: 20 year follow-up of the EORTC 10801 phase 3 randomized trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2012;13:412-19.
- Illman JE, Terra SB, Clapp AJ, et al: Granulomatous diseases of the breast and axilla. Radiological findings with pathological correlation. *Insights Imaging* 2018;9:59-71.
- Lowes S, MacNeill F, Martin L et al. Breast imaging for aesthetic surgery: British Society of Breast Radiology (BSBR), Association of Breast Surgery Great Britain & Ireland (ABS), British Association of Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons (BAPRAS). *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 2018;71:1521-31.
- Mann RM, Kuhl CK, Kinkel K, Boetes C. Breast MRI: guidelines from the European Society of Breast Imaging. *Eur Radiol* 2008;18:1307-18.
- Margolis NE, Morley C, Lotfi P, et al. Update on imaging of the postsurgical breast. *Radiographics* 2014;34:642-60.
- Mendelson EB, Bohn-Velez M, Berg WA, et al. ACR BI-RADS® Ultrasound In: ACR BI-RADS® Atlas, Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System. American College of Radiology Reston, VA 2013.
- Mendelson EB. Evaluation of the postoperative breast. *Radiol Clin North Am* 1992;30:107-38.
- Morrow M, Strom EA, Bassett LW et al. Standard for breast conservation therapy in the management of invasive breast carcinoma. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2002;52:277-300.
- Neal CH, Yilmaz ZN, Noroozian M, et al. Imaging of breast cancer-related changes after surgical therapy. *AJR* 2014;202:262-72.
- Peng C, Chang CB, Tso HH, Flowers CI, Hylton NM, Joe BN. MRI appearance of tumor recurrence in myocutaneous flap reconstruction after mastectomy. *AJR* 2011;196:471-5.
- Peppercorn J, Partridge A, Burstein HJ, Winer EP. Standards for followup care of patients with breast cancer. *The Breast* 2005;14:500-8.
- Peters ME, Fagerholm MI, Scanlan KA, Voegeli DR, Kelcz F. Mammographic evaluation of the postsurgical and irradiated breast. *RadioGraphics* 1988;8:873-99.
- Pinel-Giroux FM, El Khoury MM, Trop I, Bernier C, David J, Lalonde L. Breast reconstruction: review of surgical methods and spectrum of imaging findings. *RadioGraphics* 2013;33:435-53.
- Ramani SK, Rastogi A, Mahajan A, Nair N, Shet T, Thakur MH. Imaging of the treated breast post breast conservation surgery/oncoplasty: Pictorial review. *World J Radiol* 2017;9:321-29.
- Schreer I, Heywang-Koebrunner SH, Barter S. Post-traumatic, post-surgical, and post-therapeutic changes. In: Heywang-Koebrunner SH, Schreer I, Barter S, editors. *Diagnostic breast imaging. Mammography, sonography, magnetic resonance imaging, and interventional procedures*. Stuttgart, New York: Thieme, 2014;494-529.
- Senkus E, Kyriakides S, Ohno S et al. Primary breast cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 2015;26:8-30.
- Shaikh N, LaTrenta G, Swistel A, Osborne FM. Detection of recurrent breast cancer after TRAM flap reconstruction. *Ann Plast Surg* 2001;47:602-7.
- Shin JH, Han BK, Choe YH, Nam SJ, Park W, Im YH. Ultrasonographic detection of occult cancer in patients after surgical therapy for breast cancer. *J Ultrasound Med* 2005;24:643-9.
- Sia J, Moodie K, Bressel M, et al. A prospective study compa-

- ring digital breast tomosynthesis with digital mammography in surveillance after breast cancer treatment. *Eur J Cancer* 2016;61:122-7.
- Solomon B, Orel S, Reynolds C, Schnall M. Delayed Development of Enhancement in Fat Necrosis After Breast Conservation Therapy: A Potential Pitfall of MR Imaging of the Breast. *AJR* 1998;170:966-8.
- Stavros AT. *Breast Ultrasound*. Philadelphia: Lippincott 2004;56-108.
- Stavros AT. Sonographic evaluation of the iatrogenically altered breast. In: *Breast Ultrasound*, 1st ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2004; 778-832.
- Stöblen F, Rezaei M, Kümmel S. Imaging in patients with breast implants-results of the First International Breast (Implant) Conference 2009. *Insights Imaging* 2010;1:93-7.
- Taboada JL, Stephens TW, Krishnamurthy S, Brandt KR, Whitman GJ. The many faces of fat necrosis in the breast. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2009;192:815-25.
- Vaughan A, Dietz JR, Aft R, et al. Patterns of local breast cancer recurrence after skin-sparing mastectomy and immediate breast reconstruction. *Am J Surg* 2007;194:438-43.
- Vitug AF, Newman LA. Complications in breast surgery. *Surg Clin North Am* 2007;87:431-51.
- Weiss NS. Breast cancer mortality in relation to clinical breast examination and breast self-examination. *Breast J* 2003;9:86-9.
- Wynn GR, Bentley PG, Liebmann R, Fletcher CD. Mammary parenchymal angiosarcoma after breast-conserving treatment for invasive high-grade ductal carcinoma. *Breast J* 2004;10:558-9.
- Xue DQ, Qian C, Yang L, Wang XF. Risk factors for surgical site infections after breast surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2012; 38:375-81.
- Yang N, Muradali D. The augmented breast: a pictorial review of the abnormal and unusual. *AJR* 2011;196:451-60.
- Yi A, Kim HH, Shin HJ, Huh MO, Ahn SD, Seo BK. Radiation-induced complications after breast cancer radiation therapy: a pictorial review of multimodality imaging findings. *Korean J Radiol* 2009;10:496-507.
- Yilmaz MH, Esen G, Ayarcan Y et al. The role of US and MR imaging in detecting local chest wall tumor recurrence after mastectomy. *Diagn Interv Radiol* 2007;13:13-18.