

NÜKLEER TIP PRATİĞİNDE YAPAY ZEKA UYGULAMALARI

Nazlı Pınar KARAHAN ŞEN¹
Ayşegül AKSU²
Gamze ÇAPA KAYA³

Giriş

Yapay zeka, bilgisayar sistemlerinin insanların akılcı davranışlarını taklit ederek problem çözmeye yönelik oluşturulan sistemlerdir. Yapay zekanın temel amacı, bilgisayar sistemlerini insanların düşünme biçimine benzeyen şekilde programlamak veya eğitmek suretiyle insan benzeri zekayı simüle etmektir. Bu amaçla, birçok farklı yöntem ve teknik kullanılır. Bunlar arasında makine öğrenimi, derin öğrenme, doğal dil işleme, uzman sistemler, genetik algoritmalar, bilgi temsili ve mantıksal çıkarım gibi teknikler yer alır. Son zamanlarda birçok alanda kullanımının arttığı gibi tıp ve sağlık alanında da yapay zeka uygulamaları gündeme gelmiştir ve halen gelişmekte olan bir alandır. Bilgi teknolojisinin gelişimi ve hesaplamanın gücü, klinik ve teşhis kararları için yapay zekaya dayalı teknolojinin uygulanmasına ve prosedürlerin otomasyonuna izin vererek uzmanların doğrudan iş yükünün azalmasına yol açabilmektedir.

Makine öğrenimi, yapay zekanın bir alt grubu ve temel taşlarından biridir. Bir bilgisayar sisteminin verilerden öğrenerek, deneyim kazanmasını ve kararlar almasını sağlar. Açık programlama olmadan bu görevleri gerçekleştirebilen bilgisayar algoritmaları olarak kabul edilebilir. Veri analizine dayanarak deneyim kazanması ve otomatik olarak

öğrenme yapabilmesini sağlayan bir yapay zeka dalıdır (1). Makine öğrenmesi, programlanmış talimatlar yerine veriye dayalı olarak örüntüleri ve ilişkileri keşfetmeyi hedefler. Bu şekilde, sistemin gelecekteki verilere dayanarak tahminler yapması, desenleri tanınması ve kararlar alması mümkün olur. Derin öğrenme ise büyük veri setlerinden öğrenme yapabilen ve karmaşık yapıları tanıyabilen yapay sinir ağlarına dayalı bir makine öğrenimi alt dalıdır (1). Bu yöntemde, sinir ağı modeli, eğitim veri setine dayalı olarak ağırlıkları ayarlayarak, verilerin içerdiği örüntüleri ve ilişkileri otomatik olarak öğrenir. Derin öğrenme, özellikle büyük veri setleri ve karmaşık problemlerle ilgilenen alanlarda büyük başarılar elde etmiştir. Genellikle büyük hesaplama gücü gerektiren ve büyük miktarda etiketli veriye ihtiyaç duyan karmaşık yapılar olduğundan, eğitim ve uygulama süreçleri zaman alabilir. Ancak, derin öğrenme alanındaki araştırmalar ve gelişmeler hızla devam etmektedir.

Nükleer tıp alanında bu teknolojiler, görüntülerin yorumlanması, hastaların sınıflandırılması, radyomik kavramlarla entegrasyon, otomatik segmentasyon, risk tahminleri ve hatta görüntüyü terapötik sonuçlarla veya hayatta kalmayla ilişkilendirme otomasyonunu sağlar (2). Bu görevlerin birçoğunun otomasyonu, iş akışını ve yönetimi iyileştirerek, kalitede küresel bir gelişme sağlaya-

¹ Doç. Dr., Dokuz Eylül Üniversitesi Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Nükleer Tıp AD., nazlipinarkarahansen@gmail.com, ORCID iD: 0000-0002-1562-9085

² Doç. Dr., İzmir Kâtip Çelebi Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Nükleer Tıp AD., aaysegulgedikli@gmail.com, ORCID iD: 0000-0002-6239-0660

³ Prof. Dr., Dokuz Eylül Üniversitesi Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Nükleer Tıp AD., gamze.capa@gmail.com, ORCID iD: 0000-0002-1256-7325

ve yeniliklerle nükleer tıpta ve uygulamalarında önemli bir gelişmeyi temsil edecek yapay zekaya dayalı araçları anlamak, uygulamak, geliştirmek, test etmek ve kullanmak için çalışmamız gerektiği açıktır. Sonuç olarak, klasik görüntü alma ve yorumlama yönteminde ve bunların klinik iş akışına entegrasyonunda devrim yaratabilecek heyecan verici bir sürecin başlangıcındayız.

Kaynaklar

1. Nensa F, Demircioglu A, Rischpler C. Artificial Intelligence in Nuclear Medicine. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 2019;60(Suppl 2):29S-37S.
2. Seifert R, Weber M, Kocakavuk E, et al. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Nuclear Medicine: Future Perspectives. *Seminars of Nuclear Medicine*. 2020;51:170-177.
3. Greenspan H, van Ginneken B, Summers RM. Deep Learning in Medical Imaging: Overview and Future Promise of an Exciting New Technique. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*. 2016;35(5):1153-8.
4. Currie G, Kawk KE, Rohren E. Ethical principles for the application of artificial intelligence (AI) in nuclear medicine. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. 2020;47:748-52.
5. Currie G, Rohren E. Intelligent Imaging in Nuclear Medicine: The principles of artificial intelligence, machine learning and deep Learning. *Seminars of Nuclear Medicine*. 2020;51:102-11.
6. Imbriaco M, Larson SM, Yeung HW, et al. A new parameter for measuring metastatic bone involvement by prostate cancer: The bone scan index. *Clinical Cancer Research*. 1998;4(7):1765-1772.
7. Ulmert D, Kaboteh R, Fox JJ, et al. A novel automated platform for quantifying the extent of skeletal tumour involvement in prostate cancer patients using the bone scan index. *European Urology*. 2012;62(1):78-84.
8. Armstrong AJ, Anand A, Edenbrandt L, et al. Phase 3 assessment of the automated bone scan index as a prognostic imaging biomarker of overall survival in men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: a secondary analysis of a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of American Medical Association Oncology*. 2018;4(7):944-951.
9. Nioche C, Orlhac F, Boughdad S, et al. LIFEx: a freeware for radiomic feature calculation in multimodality imaging to accelerate advances in the characterization of tumor heterogeneity. *Cancer Res*. 2018;78:4786-4789.
10. Shiri I, AmirMozafari Sabet K, Arabi H, et al. Standard SPE-CT myocardial perfusion estimation from half-time acquisitions using deep convolutional residual neural networks. *Journal of Nuclear Cardiology*. 2021;28(6):2761-2779.
11. Aghakhan Olia N, Kamali-Asl A, Hariri Tabrizi S, et al. Deep learning-based denoising of low-dose SPECT myocardial perfusion images: quantitative assessment and clinical performance. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. 2022;49(5):1508-1522.
12. Ladefoged CN, Hasbak P, Hornnes C, et al. Low-dose PET image noise reduction using deep learning: application to cardiac viability FDG imaging in patients with ischemic heart disease. *Physics in Medicine & Biology*. 2021;66(5):054003.
13. Shi L, Onofrey JA, Liu H, et al. Deep learning-based attenuation map generation for myocardial perfusion SPECT. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. 2020;47(10):2383-2395.
14. Wang T, Lei Y, Tang H, et al. A learning-based automatic segmentation and quantification method on left ventricle in gated myocardial perfusion SPECT imaging: A feasibility study. *Journal of Nuclear Cardiology*. 2020;27(3):976-987.
15. Zhu F, Li L, Zhao J, et al. A new method incorporating deep learning with shape priors for left ventricular segmentation in myocardial perfusion SPECT images. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*. 2023;160:106954.
16. Garcia EV, Klein JL, Moncayo V, et al. Diagnostic performance of an artificial intelligence-driven cardiac-structured reporting system for myocardial perfusion SPECT imaging. *Journal of Nuclear Cardiology*. 2020;27(5):1652-1664.
17. Arsanjani R, Xu Y, Dey D, et al. Improved accuracy of myocardial perfusion SPECT for the detection of coronary artery disease using a support vector machine algorithm. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 2013;54(4):549-555.
18. Arsanjani R, Dey D, Khachatryan T, et al. Prediction of revascularization after myocardial perfusion SPECT by machine learning in a large population. *Journal of Nuclear Cardiology*. 2015;22(5):877-884.
19. Betancur J, Commandeur F, Motlagh M, et al. Deep learning for prediction of obstructive disease from fast myocardial perfusion SPECT: a multicenter study. *JACC Cardiovascular Imaging*. 2018;11(11):1654-1663.
20. Hu LH, Betancur J, Sharir T, et al. Machine learning predicts per-vessel early coronary revascularization after fast myocardial perfusion SPECT: results from multicentre REFINE SPECT registry. *European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Imaging*. 2020;21(5):549-559.
21. Betancur J, Otaki Y, Motwani M, et al. Prognostic value of combined clinical and myocardial perfusion imaging data using machine learning. *JACC Cardiovascular Imaging*. 2018;11(7):1000-1009.
22. Togo R, Hirata K, Manabe O, et al. Cardiac sarcoidosis classification with deep convolutional neural network-based features using polar maps. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*. 2019;104:81-86.
23. Weller M, Wick W, Aldape K, et al: Glioma. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*. 2015;1:15017.
24. La Fougere C, Suchorska B, Bartenstein P, et al. Molecular imaging of gliomas with PET: Opportunities and limitations. *Neuro Oncology*. 2011;13:806-819.
25. Haubold J, Demircioglu A, Gratz M, et al. Non-invasive tumor decoding and phenotyping of cerebral gliomas utilizing multiparametric 18F-FET PET-MRI and MR Fingerprinting. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. 2020;47(6):1435-1445.

26. Huang Y, Xu J, Zhou Y, et al. Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative (ADNI). Diagnosis of alzheimer's disease via multi-modality 3D convolutional neural network. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*. 2019;13:509.
27. Lu D, Popuri K, Ding GW, et al. Multiscale deep neural network based analysis of FDG-PET images for the early diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Medical Image Analysis*. 2018;46:26-34.
28. Lu D, Popuri K, Ding GW, et al. Multimodal and multiscale deep neural networks for the early diagnosis of Alzheimer's Disease using structural MR and FDG-PET images. *Scientific Reports*. 2018;8(1):5697.
29. Kimura Y, Watanabe A, Yamada T, et al. AI approach of cycle-consistent generative adversarial networks to synthesize PET images to train computer-aided diagnosis algorithm for dementia. *Annals of Nuclear Medicine*. 2020;34(7):512-515.
30. Ozsahin I, Sekeroglu B, Mok GSP. The use of back propagation neural networks and 18F-Florbetapir PET for early detection of Alzheimer's disease using Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative database. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(12):e0226577.
31. Wu Y, Jiang JH, Chen L, et al. Use of radiomic features and support vector machine to distinguish Parkinson's disease cases from normal controls. *Annals of Translational Medicine*. 2019;7(23):773.
32. Augimeri A, Cherubini A, Cascini GL, et al. CADA—computer-aided DaTSCAN analysis. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging Physics*. 2016;3(1):4.
33. Zhao Y, Cumming P, Rominger A, et al. A 3D Deep Residual Convolutional Neural Network for Differential Diagnosis of Parkinsonian Syndromes on 18F-FDG PET Images. *Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*. 2019;2019:3531-3534.
34. Kaplan S, Zhu YM. Full-Dose PET Image Estimation from Low-Dose PET Image Using Deep Learning: a Pilot Study. *Journal of Digital Imaging*. 2019;32(5):773-778.
35. Xu J, Gong E, Pauly J, et al. 200x low-dose PET reconstruction using deep learning. 2017. (30.07.2023 tarihinde <http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.04119> adresinden ulaşılmıştır).
36. Sibille L, Seifert R, Avramovic N, et al. 18 F-FDG PET/CT uptake classification in lymphoma and lung cancer by using deep convolutional neural networks. *Radio-log*. 2020;294(2):445-452.
37. Capobianco N, Meignan MA, Cottureau A-S, et al. Deep learning FDG uptake classification enables total metabolic tumor volume estimation in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 2021;62(1):30-36.
38. Dong X, Lei Y, Wang T, et al. Deep learning-based attenuation correction in the absence of structural information for whole-body positron emission tomography imaging. *Physics in Medicine & Biology*. 2020;65(5):055011.
39. Gillies RJ, Anderson AR, Gatenby RA, et al. The biology underlying molecular imaging in oncology: From genome to anatomy and back again. *Clinical Radiology*. 2010;65(7):517-521.
40. Lambin P, Rios-Velazquez E, Leijenaar R, et al. Radiomics: Extracting more information from medical images using advanced feature analysis. *European Journal of Cancer*. 2012;48(4):441-446.
41. El Naqa I, Grigsby P, Apte A, et al. Exploring feature-based approaches in PET images for predicting cancer treatment outcomes. *Pattern Recognition*. 2009;42(6):1162-1171.
42. O'Sullivan F, Roy S, Eary J. A statistical measure of tissue heterogeneity with application to 3D PET sarcoma data. *Biostatistics*. 2003;4(3):433-448.
43. Galavis PE, Hollensen C, Jallow N, et al. Variability of textural features in FDG PET images due to different acquisition modes and reconstruction parameters. *Acta Oncologica*. 2010;49(7):1012-1016.
44. Cook GJ, Siddique M, Taylor BP, et al. Radiomics in PET: principles and applications. *Clinical and Translational Imaging*. 2014;2(3):269-76.
45. Aerts HJ, Velazquez ER, Leijenaar RT, et al. Decoding tumour phenotype by noninvasive imaging using a quantitative radiomics approach. *Nature communications*. 2014;5:4006.
46. Lambin P, Rios-Velazquez E, Leijenaar R, et al. Radiomics: extracting more information from medical images using advanced feature analysis. *European journal of cancer*. 2012;48(4):441-6.
47. Avanzo M, Stancanella J, El Naqa I. Beyond imaging: The promise of radiomics. *Physica Medica*. 2017;38:122-39.
48. Gerlinger M, Rowan AJ, Horswell S, et al. Intratumor heterogeneity and branched evolution revealed by multiregion sequencing. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2012;366(10):883-92.
49. Moons KG, Altman DG, Reitsma JB, et al. Transparent Reporting of a multivariable prediction model for Individual Prognosis or Diagnosis (TRIPOD): explanation and elaboration. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2015;162(1):W1-W73.
50. Altman DG, Royston P. What do we mean by validating a prognostic model? *Statistics in Medicine*. 2000;19(4):453-73.
51. Nair VS, Gevaert O, Davidzon G, et al. Prognostic PET 18F-FDG uptake imaging features are associated with major oncogenomic alterations in patients with resected non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Research*. 2012;72:3725-3734.
52. Yip SSE, Kim J, Coroller TP, et al. Associations between somatic mutations and metabolic imaging phenotypes in non-small cell lung cancer. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 2017;58:569-576.
53. Arshad MA, Thornton A, Lu H, et al. Discovery of pre-therapy 2-deoxy-2-18F-fluoro-D-glucose positron emission tomography-based radiomics classifiers of survival outcome in non-small-cell lung cancer patients. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. 2019;46:455-466.
54. Lovinfosse P, Polus M, Van Daele D, et al. FDG PET/CT radiomics for predicting the outcome of locally advanced rectal cancer. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. 2018;45(3):365-375.
55. Peng H, Dong D, Fang MJ, et al. Prognostic value of deep learning PET/CT-based radiomics: potential role for

- future individual induction chemotherapy in advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Clinical Cancer Research*. 2019;25:4271–4279.
56. Lv W, Yuan Q, Wang Q, et al. Radiomics analysis of PET and CT components of PET/CT imaging integrated with clinical parameters: application to prognosis for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Molecular Imaging and Biology*. 2019;21:954–964.
 57. Jiang Y, Yuan Q, Lv W, et al. Radiomic signature of 18F fluorodeoxyglucose PET/CT for prediction of gastric cancer survival and chemotherapeutic benefits. *Theranostics*. 2018;8:5915–5928.
 58. Blanc-Durand P, Van Der Gucht A, Jreige M, et al. Signature of survival: a 18F-FDG PET based whole-liver radiomic analysis predicts survival after 90Y-TARE for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncotarget*. 2017;9(4):4549–4558.
 59. Li Y, Zhang Y, Fang Q, et al. Radiomics analysis of [18F]FDG PET/CT for microvascular invasion and prognosis prediction in very-early-and early-stage hepatocellular carcinoma. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. 48:2599–2614.
 60. Mehta R, Cai K, Kumar N, et al. A lesion-based response prediction model using pretherapy PET/CT image features for Y90 radioembolization to hepatic malignancies. *Technology in Cancer Research & Treatment*. 2017;16:620–629.
 61. Vogl WD, Pinker K, Helbich TH, et al. Automatic segmentation and classification of breast lesions through identification of informative multiparametric PET/MRI features. *European Radiology Experimental*. 2019;3(1):18.
 62. Ou X, Wang J, Zhou R, et al. Ability of 18F-FDG PET/CT Radiomic Features to Distinguish Breast Carcinoma from Breast Lymphoma. *Contrast Media & Molecular Imaging*. 2019;2019:4507694.
 63. Lemarignier C, Martineau A, Teixeira L, et al. Correlation between tumour characteristics, SUV measurements, metabolic tumour volume, TLG and textural features assessed with 18F-FDG PET in a large cohort of oestrogen receptor-positive breast cancer patients. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. 2017;44(7):1145–1154.
 64. Yoon HJ, Kim Y, Kim BS. Intratumoral metabolic heterogeneity predicts invasive components in breast ductal carcinoma in situ. *European Radiology*. 2015;25(12):3648–3658.
 65. Huang SY, Franc BL, Harnish RJ, et al. Exploration of PET and MRI radiomic features for decoding breast cancer phenotypes and prognosis. *NPJ Breast Cancer*. 2018;4:24.
 66. Ha S, Park S, Bang JI, et al. Metabolic Radiomics for Pretreatment 18F-FDG PET/CT to Characterize Locally Advanced Breast Cancer: Histopathologic Characteristics, Response to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy, and Prognosis. *Scientific Reports*. 2017;7(1):1556.
 67. Antunovic L, Gallivanone F, Sollini M, et al. [18F]FDG PET/CT features for the molecular characterization of primary breast tumors. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. 2017;44(12):1945–1954.
 68. Acar E, Turgut B, Yiğit S, et al. Comparison of the volumetric and radiomics findings of 18F-FDG PET/CT images with immunohistochemical prognostic factors in local/locally advanced breast cancer. *Nuclear Medicine Communications*. 2019;40(7):764–772.
 69. Moscoso A, Ruibal Á, Domínguez-Prado I, et al. Texture analysis of high-resolution dedicated breast 18 F-FDG PET images correlates with immunohistochemical factors and subtype of breast cancer. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. 2018;45(2):196–206.
 70. Antunovic L, De Sanctis R, Cozzi L, et al. Sollini PET/CT radiomics in breast cancer: promising tool for prediction of pathological response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. 2019;46(7):1468–1477.
 71. Lee H, Lee DE, Park S, et al. Predicting response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with breast cancer: combined statistical modeling using clinicopathological Factors and FDG PET/CT texture parameters. *Clinical Nuclear Medicine*. 2019;44(1):21–29.
 72. Li J, Yang Z, Xin B, et al. Quantitative Prediction of Microsatellite Instability in Colorectal Cancer With Preoperative PET/CT-Based Radiomics. *Frontiers in Oncology*. 2021;11:702055.
 73. He J, Wang Q, Zhang Y, et al. Preoperative prediction of regional lymph node metastasis of colorectal cancer based on 18F-FDG PET/CT and machine learning. *Annals of Nuclear Medicine*. 2021;35(5):617–627.
 74. Ma J, Guo D, Miao W, et al. The value of 18F-FDG PET/CT-based radiomics in predicting perineural invasion and outcome in non-metastatic colorectal cancer. *Abdominal Radiology (New York)*. 2022;47(4):1244–1254.
 75. Peecken JC, Shouman MA, Kroenke M et al. A CT-based radiomics model to detect prostate cancer lymph node metastases in PSMA radioguided surgery patients. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. 2020;47(13):2968–2977.
 76. Zamboglou C, Carles M, Fechter T, et al. Radiomic features from PSMA PET for non-invasive intraprostatic tumor discrimination and characterization in patients with intermediate- and high-risk prostate cancer—A comparison study with histology reference. *Theranostics*. 2019;9:2595–2605.
 77. Zamboglou C, Bettermann AS, Gratzke C, et al. Uncovering the invisible—Prevalence, characteristics, and radiomics feature-based detection of visually undetectable intraprostatic tumor lesions in 68GaPSMA-11 PET images of patients with primary prostate cancer. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. 2021;48:1987–1997.
 78. Papp L, Spielvogel CP, Grubmüller B, et al. Supervised machine learning enables non-invasive lesion characterization in primary prostate cancer with [68 Ga]Ga-PSMA-11 PET/MRI. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. 2021;48:1795–1805.
 79. Currie G, Hawk KE. Ethical and Legal Challenges of Artificial Intelligence in Nuclear Medicine. *Seminars of Nuclear Medicine*. 2021;51(2):120–125.
 80. Currie G, Hawk KE, Rohren EM. Ethical principles for the application of artificial intelligence (AI) in nuclear medicine. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. 2020;47(4):748–752.