

BÖLÜM 8

KÜRESEL ISINMA İLE BİRLİKTE BAĞ SULAMA KISITLARININ OLUMSUZ ETKİLERİNİN ÖNLENMESİ

Cüneyt UYAK¹

GİRİŞ

İklim değişikliğine bağlı olarak artan sıcaklığın küresel düzeyde devam etmesi beklenmektedir. Bu durum, hidrolojik ve enerji döngülerinde önemli değişikliklere yol açabilir. Bunun sonucunda, radyasyon seviyeleri yükselebilir ve aşırı hava olaylarının sıklığı ve şiddetti artabilir. Bu olumsuzluklar tarım faaliyetlerini ekonomik ve sosyal ölçekte etkileyebilir.

Bitkilerin büyümeye ve gelişmesi için hava koşulları hayatı öneme sahiptir. Bu nedenle, tarımsal üretimin miktarı ve kalitesi, hava koşullarının değişmesiyle doğrudan ilişkilidir. Bu durum ekonomik sürdürülebilirliği olumsuz yönde etkileyebilir (1,2,3).

Dünyadaki toplam bağ alanı yaklaşık 6.7 milyon hektar olup, bu alandan elde edilen üretim 73.5 milyon ton civarındadır. Dünyada bağ alanının en fazla olduğu ülkeler İspanya (%13), Çin (%12), Fransa (%11), İtalya (%9) ve Türkiye (%6)'dır (Şekil 1). Bu beş ülke küresel bağ alanının yaklaşık yarısını temsil etmektedir (4).

Üzüm, alan ve miktar bakımından oldukça fazla üretilese de son yıllarda değişen iklim koşullarından hızla etkilenmeye başlamıştır. 2020 ve 2021 yılı verileri karşılaştırıldığında hem alan hem de miktar açısından ciddi düşüşler gözlenmektedir. Bu durumun önemli ölçüde iklim değişikliğinin olumsuz etkilerinin artmasıyla ilişkili olduğu bildirilmektedir (5).

1 Dr. Öğr. Üyesi, Van Yüzüncü Yıl Üniversitesi, Ziraat Fakültesi, Bahçe Bitkileri Bölümü, Van, Türkiye, cuneytuyak@yyu.edu.tr, ORCID ID: 0000-0002-6101-6845

SONUÇ

İklim değişikliği tüm dünyada farklı tür ve çeşitlere kaçınılmaz zararlar vermektede ve ne yazık ki bu zararlar her geçen gün artmaktadır. Bu duruma karşı uygun stratejiler belirlenmeli ve sürdürülebilir bağcılık modelleri geliştirilmelidir. Yüksek sıcaklıklara daha dayanıklı çeşit ve anaçlar geliştirilirken bunların uygun yer ve yönde dikilmesi gereklidir. Teknolojiden ve özellikle hassas bağcılıktan mutlaka faydalanaılmalıdır. Bağlar farklı plastik malzemelerle kaplanarak iklimin olumsuz etkilerinden korunmalıdır. Su kıtlığına karşı yer altı sulama sistemleri tercih edilmeli, özellikle yüksek sıcaklık ve kuraklığa karşı yetiştirme tekniğinde uygun değişiklikler yapılmalıdır.

KAYNAKLAR

1. IPCC, Climate Change (2014). Pachauri RK, Meyer L (Ed) Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC; 2014. p. 151.
2. Bartolini G, Morabito M, Crisci A, et al. Recent trends in tuscany (Italy) summer temperature and indices of extremes. International Journal of Climatology. 2008; 28: 1751–1760. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1673>
3. Jones GV. Climate, grapes, and wine: Structure and suitability in a changing climate. Acta Horticulture. 2012; 931: 19–28.
4. FAOSTAT. Crops and livestock products. (10 Nisan 2023 tarihinde <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL> adresinden ulaşılmıştır.
5. Fraga H. Viticulture and winemaking under climate change. Agronomy. 2019; 9: 783. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9120783>
6. Çelik H, Ağaoğlu YS, Fidan Y, et al. Genel bağcılık. Ankara: Sun fidan A.Ş; 1998.
7. Schultz HR, Jones GV. Climate induced historic and future changes in viticulture. Journal Wine Research. 2010; 21: 137–145. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09571264.2010.530098>
8. Santos JA, Fraga H, Malheiro AC, et al. A Review of the potential climate change impacts and adaptation options for European viticulture. Applied Sciences. 2020; 10 (9): 3092. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10093092>
9. Ollat N, Cookson SJ, Destrac-Irvine A, et al. Grapevine adaptation to abiotic stress: an overview. Acta Horticulture. 2019; 1248: 497–512. <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2019.1248.68>
10. Grillakis MG, Doupis G, Kapetanakis E, et al. Future shifts in the phenology of table grapes on crete under a warming climate. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology. 2022; 318: 108915. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2022.108915>
11. Jones GV, Davis RE. Climate Influences on grapevine phenology, grape composition, and wine production and quality for Bordeaux, France. American Journal Enology and Viticulture. 2000; 51: 249–261. doi: 10.5344/ajev.2000.51.3.249
12. Van Leeuwen C, Destrac-Irvine A. Modified grape composition under climate change conditions requires adaptations in the vineyard. OENO One. 2017; 51(2):147–154. doi: 10.20870/oeno-one.2016.0.0.1647
13. Cramer GR. Abiotic stress and plant responses from the whole vine to the genes. Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research. 2010; 16: 86–93. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0238.2009.00058.x>
14. Fraga H. Climate change: A new challenge for the winemaking sector. Agronomy. 2020; 10: 1465. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10101465>

15. Bindi M, Fibbi L, Gozzini B, et al. Modelling the impact of future climate scenarios on yield and yield variability of grapevine. *Climate research.* 1996; 7: 213- 224. <https://doi.org/10.3354/cr007213>
16. Van Leeuwen C, Friant P, Chone X, et al. Influence of climate, soil, and cultivar on terroir. *American Journal Enology and Viticulture.* 2004; 55, 207–217. doi: 10.5344/ajev.2004.55.3.20
17. Droulia F, Charalampopoulos I. Future climate change impacts on European viticulture: A Review on recent scientific advances. *Atmosphere.* 2021; 12(4): 495. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12040495>
18. Dokoozlian NK. Chilling temperature and duration interact on the budbreak of ‘perlette’ grapevine cuttings. *Hortscience.* 1999; 34: 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.34.6.1>
19. Field SK, Smith JP, Holzapfel BP, et al. Grapevine response to soil temperature: Xylem cytokinins and carbohydrate reserve mobilization from budbreak to anthesis. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture.* 2009; 60: 164-172. doi: 10.5344/ajev.2009.60.2.164
20. Steel CC, Greer DH. Effect of climate on vine and bunch characteristics: Bunch rot disease susceptibility. *Acta Horticulturae.* 2008; 785: 253-262. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2008.785.31
21. Meier N, Rutishauser T, Pfister C, et al. Grape harvest dates as a proxy for swiss April to August temperature reconstructions back to AD 1480. *Geophysical Research Letters.* 2007; 34: L20705. doi:10.1029/2007GL031381
22. Jones GV. Climate Change-Observed and Potential Impacts On The Global Wine Industry. 24-25 March. 2006, Barcelona, Spain.
23. Olesen JE, Bindi M. Consequences of climate change for european agricultural productivity, land use and policy. *European Journal of Agronomy.* 2002; 16: 239-262. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1161-0301\(02\)00004-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1161-0301(02)00004-7)
24. Soltekin O, Altındışlı A, İşçi B. İklim değişikliğinin Türkiye'de bağcılık üzerine etkileri. *Ege Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi.* 2021; 58 (3):457-467. <https://doi.org/10.20289/zfdergi.882893>
25. Ramos MC, Jones GV, Martínez-Casasnovas JA. Structure and trends in climate parameters affecting winegrape production in Northeast Spain. *Climate Research.* 2008; 38: 1- 15. doi: 10.3354/cr00759
26. Wheeler SJ, Pickering GJ. The Effects of Soil Management Techniques on Grape and Wine Quality. Dris R (ed) In *Fruits: Growth, Nutrition and Quality.* Helsinki, Finland: WFL Publisher, Meri-Rastilan tie 3 C; 2006. p. 195–208.
27. Agosta E, Canziani P, Cavagnaro M. Regional climate variability impacts on the annual grape yield in Mendoza, Argentina. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology.* 2012; 51: 993-1009. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-11-0165.1>
28. Neethling E, Petitjean T, Quénol H, et al. Assessing local climate vulnerability and winegrowers' adaptive processes in the context of climate change. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change.* 2017; 22: 777–803. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-015-9698-0>
29. Fraga H, Pinto JG, Santos JA. Climate change projections for chilling and heat forcing conditions in European vineyards and olive orchards: A multi-model assessment. *Climatic Change.* 2019; 152: 179–193. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-018-2337-5>
30. Dinis LT, Malheiro AC, Luzio A, et al. Improvement of grapevine physiology and yield under summer stress by kaolin-foliar application: Water relations, photosynthesis and oxidative damage. *Photosynthetica.* 2018; 56: 641–651. doi: 10.1007/s11099-017-0714-3
31. Conde A, Neves A, Breia R, et al. Kaolin particle film application stimulates photoassimilate synthesis and modifies the primary metabolome of grape leaves. *Journal of Plant Physiology.* 2018; 223: 47–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2018.02.004>
32. De Palma L, Vox G, Schettini E, et al. Reduction of evapotranspiration in microenvironment conditions of table grape vineyards protected by different types of plastic covers. *Agronomy.* 2022; 12: 600. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12030600>
33. Basile B, Caccavello G, Giaccone M, et al. Effects of early shading and defoliation on bunch com-

- pactness, yield components, and berry composition of aglianico grapevines under warm climate conditions. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture. 2015; 66: 234–243. doi: 10.5344/ajev.2014.14066
34. Van Leeuwen C, Roby JP, Ollat N. Viticulture in a changing climate: solutions exist. IVES Technical Reviews: Vine & Wine. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.20870/IVESTR.2019.2530> 75.
35. Gutiérrez-Gamboa G, Zheng W, Toda FM. Current viticultural techniques to mitigate the effects of global warming on grape and wine quality: A comprehensive review. Food Research International. 2021; 139: 109946. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109946>
36. Dunn M, Rounsevell MDA, Boberg F, et al. The future potential for wine production in Scotland under high-end climate change. Regional Environmental Change. 2019; 19: 723–732. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-017-1240-3>
37. Moriondo M, Jones GV, Bois B, et al. Projected shifts of wine regions in response to climate change. Climatic Changes. 2013; 119: 825–839. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-0739-y>
38. Hannah L, Roerhdanz PR, Ikegami M, et al. Climate change, wine, and conservation. USA: Proceeding National Academy Sciences; 2013.
39. Gutiérrez-Gamboa G, Moreno-Simunovic Y. Location effects on ripening and grape phenolic composition of eight ‘Carignan’ vineyards from Maule valley (Chile). Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research. 2018; 78:139–149. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-58392018000100139>
40. Van Leeuwen C, Seguin G. The concept of terroir in viticulture. Jornal Wine Research. 2006; 17(1): 1-10. doi: 10.1080/09571260600633135
41. Hunter JJ, Volschenk CG, Booyse M. Vineyard row orientation and grape ripeness level effects on vegetative and reproductive growth characteristics of *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Shiraz/101-14 Mgt. European Journal of Agronomy. 2017; 84: 47–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2016.12.004>
42. Mosedale JR, Abernethy KE, Smart RE, et al. Climate change impacts and adaptive strategies: lessons from the grapevine. Global Change Biology. 2016; 22: 3814–3828. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13406>
43. Pou A, Medrano H, Tomàs M, et al. Anisohydric behaviour in grapevines results in better performance under moderate water stress and recovery than isohydric behaviour. Plant and Soil. 2012; 359 (1–2): 335–349. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-1206-7>
44. Arrigo N, Arnold C. Naturalised *Vitis* rootstocks in Europe and consequences to native wild grapevine. Plos One. 2007; 2(6): e521. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000521>
45. Riaz S, Pap D, Uretsky J, et al. Genetic diversity and parentage analysis of grape rootstocks. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2019; 132: 1847–1860. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-019-03320-5>
46. Gregory PJ, Atkinson CJ, Bengough AG, et al. Contributions of roots and rootstocks to sustainable, intensified crop production. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2013; 64: 1209–1222. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ers385> PMID:23378378
47. Marguerit E, Brendel O, Lebon E, et al. Rootstock control of scion transpiration and its acclimation to water deficit are controlled by different genes. The New Phytologist. 2012; 194: 416–429. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04059.x> PMID:22335501
48. Delrot S, Grimpélet J, Carbonell-Bejerano P, et al. Genetic and genomic approaches for adaptation of grapevine to climate change. Genomic Designing of Climate Smart Fruit Crops. Kole C (ed). Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2020. p. 157–270. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-97946-5>
49. Atak A. Effects of climate change on viticulture (*Vitis* spp.). Preprints. 2023; 2023100297. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202310.0297.v1>
50. Carimi F, Mercati F, de Michele R, et al. Intravarietal genetic diversity of the grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cultivar ‘Nero d’Avola’ as revealed by microsatellite markers. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution. 2011; 58: 967–975. doi: 10.1007/s10722-011-9731-4
51. Peiró R, Soler JX, Crespo A, et al. Genetic variability assessment in ‘Muscat’ grapevines including ‘Muscat of Alexandria’ clones from selection programs. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Resear-

- ch (Online). 2018; 16: e0702. <https://doi.org/10.5424/sjar/2018162-12537>
52. Van Leeuwen C, Destrac-Irvine A, Dubernet M, et al. An update on the impact of climate change in viticulture and potential adaptations. *Agronomy*. 2019; 9: 514. doi:10.3390/agronomy9090514
53. Van Leeuwen C, Pieri P, Gowdy M, et al. Reduced density is an environmental friendly and cost effective solution to increase resilience to drought in vineyards in a context of climate change. *OENO One*. 2019; 53(2): 129–146. <https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.2019.53.2.2420> 76.
54. Bahar E, Yasasin AS. The yield and berry quality under different soil tillage and clusters thinning treatments in grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cv. Cabernet-Sauvignon. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2010; 5(21): 2986–2993
55. Gomez JA, Gema Guzman M, Giraldez JV, et al. The influence of cover crops and tillage on water and sediment yield, and on nutrient, and organic matter losses in an olive orchard on a sandy loam soil. *Soil Tillage Research*. 2009; 106: 137–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2009.04.008>
56. Vanden Heuvel J, Centinari M. Under-Vine vegetation mitigates the impacts of excessive Precipitation in vineyards. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2021; 12: 713135. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.713135>
57. Tello J, Ibanez J. What do we know about grapevine bunch compactness? A state-of-the-art review. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*. 2017; 24: 6–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajgw.12310>
58. Judit G, Gabor Z, Adam D, et al. Comparison of three soil management methods in the Tokaj wine region. *Mitt Klosterneubg*. 2011; 61: 187–195.
59. Fraga H, Santos JA. Vineyard mulching as a climate change adaptation measure: Future simulations for Alentejo, Portugal. *Agricultural systems*. 2018; 164:107–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2018.04.006>
60. Uliarte EM, Schultz HR, Frings C, et al. Seasonal dynamics of CO₂ balance and water consumption of C-3 and C-4-type cover crops compared to bare soil in a suitability study for their use in vineyards in Germany and Argentina. *Agricultural Forest Meteorology*. 2013; 181: 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.06.019>
61. Marín D, Armengol J, Carbonell-Bejerano P, et al. Challenges of viticulture adaptation to global change: Tackling the issue from the roots. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*. 2021; 27(1): 8– 25. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajgw.12463> 56
62. Aguirre-Liguori JA, Morales-Cruz A, Gaut BS. Evaluating the persistence and utility of five wild *Vitis* species in the context of climate change. *Molecular Ecology*. 2022; 31: 6457–6472. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16715>
63. Sharma J, Upadhyay AK, Adsule PG et al. Effect of Climate Change on Grape and Its Value-Added Products. Singh H, Rao N, Shivashankar K (Ed), *Climate-Resilient Horticulture: Adaptation and Mitigation Strategies*. India: Springer; 2013. p.67–81.doi 10.1007/978-81-322-0974-4
64. Xiaochi Ma, Sanguinet KA, Jacoby PW. Direct root-zone irrigation outperforms surface drip irrigation for grape yield and crop water use efficiency while restricting root growth. *Agricultural Water Management*. 2020; 231: 105993. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2019.105993>
65. Tsirogiannis IL, Malamos N, Baltzoi P. Application of a generic participatory decision support system for irrigation management for the case of a wine grapevine at Epirus, Northwest Greece. *Horticulturae*. 2023; 9: 267. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae9020267>
66. Jones GV, White MA, Cooper OR, et al. Climate change and global wine quality. *Climatic Change*. 2005; 73: 319–343. doi: 10.1007/s10584-005-4704-2
67. Moyer MM, Peters RT, Hamman R. Irrigation basics for eastern Washington vineyards. USA; WSU extension publication, EM061e (Washington State University); 2013.
68. IPCC, Annex I: Atlas of Global and Regional Climate Projections. In: van Oldenborgh G.J. (Ed) *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge UK and New York: Cambridge University Press; 2013. p.1311– 1394.

69. Kramer PJ, Boyer JS. Water relations of plants and soils. San Diego, New York: Academic Press; 1995.
70. Simonneau T, Lebon E, Coupel-Ledru A, et al. Adapting plant material to face water stress in vineyards: which physiological targets for an optimal control of plant water status. OENO One. 2017; 51(2):157-169. doi: 10.20870/oeno-one.2016.0.0.1870
71. Santesteban LG, Miranda C, Urretavizcaya I, et al. Carbon isotope ratio of whole berries as an estimator of plant water status in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera L.*) cv.'Tempranillo'. Scientia Horticulturae. 2012; 146: 7-13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2012.08.006>
72. González-Fernández AB, Rodríguez-Pérez JR, Marcelo V, et al. Using field spectrometry and a plant probe accessory to determine leaf water content in commercial vineyards. Agricultural water management. 2015; 156: 43-50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.03.024>
73. Lamm FR, Stone KC, Dukes MD, et al. Emerging technologies for sustainable irrigation: Selected papers from the 2015 ASABE and IA irrigation symposium. Transactions of the ASABE. 2016; 59(1): 155-161.
74. Chaudhry S, Garg S. Smart irrigation techniques for water resource management. In Smart Farming Technologies for Sustainable Agricultural Development. Poonia RC (ed). USA: IGI global; 2019.p. 196-219. doi: 10.4018/978-1-5225-5909-2.ch009
75. Hanjra MA, Blackwell J, Carr G, et al. Wastewater irrigation and environmental health: Implications for water governance and public policy. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health. 2012; 215(3): 255-269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2011.10.003>
76. Christen EW, Ayars JE, Hornbuckle JW. Subsurface drainage design and management in irrigated areas of Australia. Irrigation Science. 2001; 21: 35-43. doi 10.1007/S002710100048
77. Ghaffari Gilandeh A, Sobhani B, Ostadi E. Combining Arc-GIS and OWA model in flooding potential analysis (case study: Meshkinshahr city). Natural Hazards. 2020; 102: 1435-1449. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-020-03975-0>
78. Besser H, Mokadem N, Redhouania B, et al. GIS-based evaluation of groundwater quality and estimation of soil salinization and land degradation risks in an arid Mediterranean site (SW Tunisia). Arabian Journal of Geosciences. 2017; 10: 1-20.
79. Chandrasekara SSK, Chandrasekara SK, Gamini PS, et al. A review on water governance in Sri Lanka: The lessons learnt for future water policy formulation. Water Policy. 2021; 23(2): 255-273. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wp.2021.152>