



BÖLÜM 10

Prostat Kanserinde Radyolojik Görüntüleme Yöntemleri

Öznur ÜNAL¹

GİRİŞ

Prostat kanseri ülkemizde 50 yaş üzeri popülasyonda ikinci en sık görülen kanserdir (1). Yaşam süresinin artması, görüntüleme tekniklerinin ulaşılabilir ve uygulanabilir olması ile de görülme sıklığı artmaktadır.

Prostat bezinin görüntülenmesindeki öncelikli amaç lezyonun karakterize edilmesi, malignite tespit edilen hastalarda ise lokal uzanımın ve yayılımın belirlenmesidir. Tanı anındaki tümörün yaygınlığı tedaviye yanıtın, прогнозun ve klinik seyrin en önemli belirleyicisidir. Tümörün lokalizasyonu, boyutu, uzanımı ise ekstraprostatik yayılımı öngörmeye ve lokal tedavi kararının verilmesinde önemlidir(2).

Prostat bezi görüntülenmesinde ilk basamak ultrasonografidir. BT tetkiki prostat kanseri tanısına katkı sağlamazken prostat MRG ile son yıllarda çekimde ve raporlamada standartizasyonun gelişmesi ile tanı doğruluğunda belirgin artış olduğu görülmektedir. BT, kemik sintigrafisi metastazların tespitinde ve takibinde tercih edilmektedir.

Tümörün boyutu, lobal yapıda invaze ettiği alan (lobun yarısından azı, yarısından fazlası yada her iki lob) kapsüle olan mesafesi, ekstrakapsüler uzanım varlığı, seminal vezikül invazyonunun ya da perine gibi seminal vezikül dışı organ invazyonunun varlığı, bölgesel ya da uzak lenf nodu metastazı, kemik ya da kemik dışı organ metastazı TNM sınıflandırmasında değerlendirilen kriterleridir(2). Bu nedenle radyolojik raporlarda mümkün olduğunca değişimlidir.

¹ Uzm. Dr., Ankara Atatürk Sanatoryum Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Radyoloji Kliniği, oznuroguz@gmail.com, ORCID iD: 0000-0002-2373-3472

KAYNAKLAR

1. https://hsgm.saglik.gov.tr/depo/birimler/kanser-db/Dokumanlar/Istatistikler/Kanser_Rapor_2018., . 2020.
2. Tabatabaei S, Saylor PJ, Coen J, Dahl DM. Prostate cancer imaging: what surgeons, radiation oncologists, and medical oncologists want to know. *American Journal of Roentgenology.* 2011;196(6):1263-6.
3. Smeenge M, de la Rosette JJ, Wijkstra H. Current status of transrectal ultrasound techniques in prostate cancer. *Current opinion in urology.* 2012;22(4):297-302.
4. Chen FK, de Castro Abreu AL, Palmer SL. Utility of ultrasound in the diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up of prostate cancer: State of the art. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine.* 2016;57(Supplement 3):13S-8S.
5. Engelbrecht M, Barentsz J, Jager G, Van Der Graaf M, Heerschap A, Sedelaar J, et al. Prostate cancer staging using imaging. *BJU international.* 2000;86:123-34.
6. Onur R, Littrup PJ, Pontes JE, Bianco Jr FJ. Contemporary impact of transrectal ultrasound lesions for prostate cancer detection. *The Journal of urology.* 2004;172(2):512-4.
7. Ohori M, Kattan MW, Utsunomiya T, Suyama K, Scardino PT, Wheeler TM. Do impalpable stage T1c prostate cancers visible on ultrasound differ from those not visible? *The Journal of urology.* 2003;169(3):964-8.
8. Beerlage HP, Aarnink RG, Ruijter E, Witjes JA, Wijkstra H, van de Kaa CA, et al. Correlation of transrectal ultrasound, computer analysis of transrectal ultrasound and histopathology of radical prostatectomy specimen. *Prostate cancer and prostatic diseases.* 2001;4(1):56-62.
9. Loch T. Computerized transrectal ultrasound (C-TRUS) of the prostate: detection of cancer in patients with multiple negative systematic random biopsies. *World journal of urology.* 2007;25:375-80.
10. Good DW, Stewart GD, Hammer S, Scanlan P, Shu W, Phipps S, et al. Elasticity as a biomarker for prostate cancer: a systematic review. *BJU international.* 2013;113(4):523-34.
11. Barr RG, Cosgrove D, Brock M, Cantisani V, Correas JM, Postema AW, et al. WFUMB guidelines and recommendations on the clinical use of ultrasound elastography: Part 5. Prostate. *Ultrasound in medicine & biology.* 2017;43(1):27-48.
12. Zhao H, Zhu Q, Wang Z. Detection of prostate cancer with three-dimensional transrectal ultrasound: correlation with biopsy results. *The British journal of radiology.* 2012;85(1014):714-9.
13. Zhao H-X, Xia C-X, Yin H-X, Guo N, Zhu Q. The value and limitations of contrast-enhanced transrectal ultrasonography for the detection of prostate cancer. *European Journal of Radiology.* 2013;82(11):e641-e7.
14. Taverna G, Morandi G, Seveso M, Giusti G, Benetti A, Colombo P, et al. Colour Doppler and microbubble contrast agent ultrasonography do not improve cancer detection rate in transrectal systematic prostate biopsy sampling. *BJU international.* 2011;108(11):1723-7.
15. Cornelis F, Rigou G, Le Bras Y, Coutouly X, Hubrecht R, Yacoub M, et al. Real-time contrast-enhanced transrectal US-guided prostate biopsy: diagnostic accuracy in men with previously negative biopsy results and positive MR imaging findings. *Radiology.* 2013;269(1):159-66.
16. Dias AB, O'Brien C, Correas J-M, Ghai S. Multiparametric ultrasound and micro-ultrasound in prostate cancer: a comprehensive review. *The British Journal of Radiology.* 2022;95(1131):20210633.
17. Klotz CL. Can high resolution micro-ultrasound replace MRI in the diagnosis of prostate cancer? *European urology focus.* 2020;6(2):419-23.
18. Taneja SS. Re: Comparison of Micro-Ultrasound and Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Prostate Cancer: A Multicenter, Prospective Analysis. LIPPINCOTT WILLIAMS & WILKINS TWO COMMERCE SQ, 2001 MARKET ST, PHILADELPHIA ...; 2021. p. 630-1.

19. Panzone J, Byler T, Bratslavsky G, Goldberg H. Transrectal ultrasound in prostate cancer: current utilization, integration with mpMRI, HIFU and other emerging applications. *Cancer Management and Research.* 2022;1209-28.
20. Postema A, Mischi M, De La Rosette J, Wijkstra H. Multiparametric ultrasound in the detection of prostate cancer: a systematic review. *World journal of urology.* 2015;33:1651-9.
21. Boesen L. Multiparametric MRI in detection and staging of prostate cancer. *Danish Medical Bulletin (online).* 2017;64(2).
22. Barentsz JO, Richenberg J, Clements R, Choyke P, Verma S, Villeirs G, et al. ESUR prostate MR guidelines 2012. *European radiology.* 2012;22:746-57.
23. Barrett T, Turkbey B, Choyke PL. PI-RADS version 2: what you need to know. *Clinical radiology.* 2015;70(11):1165-76.
24. Turkbey B, Merino MJ, Gallardo EC, Shah V, Aras O, Bernardo M, et al. Comparison of endorectal coil and nonendorectal coil T2W and diffusion-weighted MRI at 3 Tesla for localizing prostate cancer: correlation with whole-mount histopathology. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging.* 2014;39(6):1443-8.
25. Medved M, Sammet S, Yousuf A, Oto A. MR imaging of the prostate and adjacent anatomic structures before, during, and after ejaculation: qualitative and quantitative evaluation. *Radiology.* 2014;271(2):452-60.
26. Barrett T, Vargas HA, Akin O, Goldman DA, Hricak H. Value of the hemorrhage exclusion sign on T1-weighted prostate MR images for the detection of prostate cancer. *Radiology.* 2012;263(3):751-7.
27. Johnston R, Wong LM, Warren A, Shah N, Neal D. The role of 1.5 T esla magnetic resonance imaging in staging prostate cancer. *ANZ journal of surgery.* 2013;83(4):234-8.
28. Rouvière O, Hartman RP, Lyonnet D. Prostate MR imaging at high-field strength: evolution or revolution? *European radiology.* 2006;16:276-84.
29. Tamada T, Sone T, Jo Y, Yamamoto A, Yamashita T, Egashira N, et al. Prostate cancer: relationships between postbiopsy hemorrhage and tumor detectability at MR diagnosis. *Radiology.* 2008;248(2):531-9.
30. White S, Hricak H, Forstner R, Kurhanewicz J, Vigneron DB, Zaloudek CJ, et al. Prostate cancer: effect of postbiopsy hemorrhage on interpretation of MR images. *Radiology.* 1995;195(2):385-90.
31. Vargas HA, Akin O, Franiel T, Goldman DA, Udo K, Touijer KA, et al. Normal central zone of the prostate and central zone involvement by prostate cancer: clinical and MR imaging implications. *Radiology.* 2012;262(3):894-902.
32. Turkbey B, Rosenkrantz AB, Haider MA, Padhani AR, Villeirs G, Macura KJ, et al. Prostate imaging reporting and data system version 2.1: 2019 update of prostate imaging reporting and data system version 2. *European urology.* 2019;76(3):340-51.
33. Akin O, Sala E, Moskowitz CS, Kuroiwa K, Ishill NM, Pucar D, et al. Transition zone prostate cancers: features, detection, localization, and staging at endorectal MR imaging. *Radiology.* 2006;239(3):784-92.
34. Cornud F, Rouanne M, Beuvon F, Eiss D, Flam T, Liberatore M, et al. Endorectal 3D T2-weighted 1 mm-slice thickness MRI for prostate cancer staging at 1.5 Tesla: should we reconsider the indirect signs of extracapsular extension according to the D'Amico tumor risk criteria? *European journal of radiology.* 2012;81(4):e591-e7.
35. Somford DM, Fütterer JJ, Hambrock T, Barentsz JO. Diffusion and perfusion MR imaging of the prostate. *Magnetic resonance imaging clinics of North America.* 2008;16(4):685-95.
36. Wu L-M, Xu J-R, Ye Y-Q, Lu Q, Hu J-N. The clinical value of diffusion-weighted imaging in combination with T2-weighted imaging in diagnosing prostate carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE): Quality-assessed Reviews [Internet].* 2012.

37. Noworolski S, Henry R, Vigneron D, Kurhanewicz J. Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI in normal and abnormal prostate tissues as defined by biopsy, MRI, and 3D MRSI. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine: An Official Journal of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine.* 2005;53(2):249-55.
38. Verma S, Turkbey B, Muradyan N, Rajesh A, Cornud F, Haider MA, et al. Overview of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI in prostate cancer diagnosis and management. *AJR American journal of roentgenology.* 2012;198(6):1277.
39. [https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/RADS/Pi-RADS/PIRADS-v2-1.pdf.](https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/RADS/Pi-RADS/PIRADS-v2-1.pdf) 2019.
40. Potretzke TA, Froemming AT, Gupta RT. Post-treatment prostate MRI. *Abdominal Radiology.* 2020;45:2184-97.
41. Heck MM, Souvatzoglou M, Retz M, Nawroth R, Kübler H, Maurer T, et al. Prospective comparison of computed tomography, diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging and [11 C] choline positron emission tomography/computed tomography for preoperative lymph node staging in prostate cancer patients. *European journal of nuclear medicine and molecular imaging.* 2014;41:694-701.
42. Potretzke TA, Froemming AT, Gupta RT. Post-treatment prostate MRI. *Abdominal radiology (New York).* 2019.
43. Roy C, Foudi F, Charton J, Jung M, Lang H, Saussine C, et al. Comparative sensitivities of functional MRI sequences in detection of local recurrence of prostate carcinoma after radical prostatectomy or external-beam radiotherapy. *AJR American journal of roentgenology.* 2013;200(4):W361-8.