

## 4. BÖLÜM

# Mesane Tümörlerinin Sınıflandırılması

Gülşah Şafak ÖRKAN<sup>1</sup>

Mesane kanseri dünya çapında yedinci en sık kanserdir, erkeklerde kadınlardan 3-4 kat daha fazla görülür (1). Primer ürotelyal tümörlerin sınıflandırılması ve derecelendirilmesi uzun zamandır tartışma konusudur (2). Birçok derecelendirme sistemi vardır, klinik davranışları en iyi yansitan patolojik sınıflamaları geliştirme çabalarına rağmen, deneyimli patologlar arasında bile, gözlemciler arası değişkenlik yüksektir ve çoğu olgu ara kategorije düşer (39-50). Mesane tümörleri için en sık kullanılan derecelendirme sistemleri Dünya Sağlık Örgütü (WHO) tarafından önerilenlerdir. 1973 yılında WHO sistemi tümörleri benign ürotelyal papillom ve 3 farklı derecede (derece 1,2 ve3) karsinom olarak sınıflamayı önermiştir (3). WHO 1973 derecelendirme sisteminin en önemli kısıtlılığı derecelerin net olmayan tanımlarıdır ve spesifik histolojik kriterler içermezler. Aralık 1998'de, WHO ve Uluslararası Ürolojik Patologlar Topluluğu (ISUP) üyeleri mesanenin ürotelyal neoplazilerinin WHO/ISUP konsensus klasifikasiyonunu yayınlamışlardır (4). 2004'te WHO ürotelyal tümörler için sınıflamasını yeniden gözden geçirmīş ve WHO/ISUP sistemini benimsemīş, ürotelyal tümörler için yeni birleşik bir derecelendirme sistemi doğmuştur (5). Dünya Sağlık Örgütünün (WHO) ürotelyal sistem tümörleri sınıflasmasının 2016 yılındaki dördüncü baskısı, 2004 sınıflandırmasında daha fazla gelişme sunmaktadır, ancak daha fazla veri elde edilene kadar, Avrupa Üroloji Birliği şu anda hem WHO 1973 hem de WHO 2004/2016 sınıflandırmalarının kullanılmasını önermektedir (1, 6, 7).

Son WHO 2016 Sınıflandırması, ürotelyal neoplazmaların morfolojisi, farklı diferansiasyon sergilemedeki benzersiz yetenekleri, çok sayıda morfolojik varyantları ve farklı genomik yolaklarının modern bir revizyonudur (Tablo 1) (1).

<sup>1</sup> Uzm. Dr., Gülşah Şafak Örkan, Kastamonu Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi Patoloji Kliniği,  
glsh\_safak@hotmail.com

genomik instabilite (kararsızlık), tümör derecesinde ve evresinde artış ile ilişkiliydi. İnvaziv ürotelyal karsinomda çok sayıda-multiple tümör baskılıyıcı-supresor genler ve onkogenler tanımlanmıştır, ancak kanser gelişimi için bunların gerekli olup olmadığını belirlemek genellikle zordur (45).

Tekrarlayan mutasyonlar TP53, FGFR3, PIK3CA, RB1, TSC-1, APC ve HRAS gibi genlerde meydana gelir; TERT promoter mutasyonları ile birlikte TP53 ve FGFR3, en yaygın olanıdır (46, 47). TERT mutasyonları, mesane neoplazmalarının % 79’unda mevcut olmasına rağmen, klinik sonuçlarla hiçbir ilişkisi yoktur; bununla birlikte, histolojisi örtüsen diğer tümörlerde bu mutasyonun göreceli nadirliği göz önüne alındığında, varlığı büyük tanışal fayda sağlayabilir. Yeni nesil sıralama (sequencing) çalışmaları, ürotelyal tümörlerin mutasyonel manzarasının, tümör başına 300’den fazla mutasyon, 200’den fazla kopya numarası değişikliği ve 20’den fazla yeniden düzenleme-(rearrangement) ile oldukça karmaşık olduğunu göstermiştir (48, 49). Mesane kanserinde en sık değiştirilen yollar arasında rapamisin yolunun PI3K/AKT/memeli hedefi (50-52), FGFR3/RAF/RAS yolu (53), TP53/RB1 yolu (54), immün yanıt kontrol noktası modülatörleri (55, 56) ve kromatin düzenleyen ve yeniden şekillenen genler (57, 58) bulunur. Bu yolları hedef alan yeni terapötik ajanlar geliştirildikçe, terapide gelişmeler olacaktır. Ek olarak, ortaya çıkan veriler, immün modüle edici ajanların ilerlemiş ürotelyal karsinomun tedavisinde umut verici bir role sahip olabileceği göstermektedir (59).

## KAYNAKLAR

---

1. Moch H, Humphrey PA, Ulbright TM, Reuter V. *WHO Classification of Tumours of the Urinary System and Male Genital Organs*. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2016.
2. Eble JN, Young RH. Benign and low-grade papillary lesions of the urinary bladder: A review of the papilloma-papillary carcinoma controversy, and a report of five typical papillomas. *Semin Diagn Pathol* 1989;6:351-371. PMID: 2692107
3. Mostofi FK, Sabin LH, Torlo H. *Histological typing of urinary bladder tumors. International classification of tumors* 19. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1973.
4. Epstein JI, Amin MB, Reuter VR, Mostofi FK. The World Health Organization/International Society of Urological Pathology consensus classification of urothelial (transitional cell) neoplasms of the urinary bladder. Bladder Consensus Conference Committee. *Am J Surg Pathol* 1998;22:1435-48.
5. Eble JN, Sauter G, Epstein JI, Sesterhenn IA. *World Health Organization classification of tumours of the urinary system and male genital organs*. Lyon: IARC Press, 2004.
6. Humphrey, Peter A; Moch, Holger; Cubilla, Antonio L; Ulbright, Thomas M; Reuter, Victor E (2016). The 2016 WHO Classification of Tumours of the Urinary System and Male Genital Organs-Part B: Prostate and Bladder Tumours. *European Urology*:1-14.
7. Jonathan I. Epstein, Mahul B. Amin, and Victor E. Reuter. *Biopsy Interpretation of the Bladder (Second Edition)*. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2010

8. Chow NH, Cairns P, Eisenberger CF, et al. Papillary urothelial hyperplasia is a clonal precursor to papillary transitional cell bladder cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2000;89:514–8.
9. Readal N, Epstein JI. Papillary urothelial hyperplasia: relationship to urothelial neoplasms. *Pathology* 2010;42:360–3.
10. Taylor DC, Bhagavan BS, Larsen MP, Cox JA, Epstein JI. Papillary urothelial hyperplasia. A precursor to papillary neoplasms. *Am J Surg Pathol* 1996;20:1481–8.
11. Lopez-Beltran A, Marques RC, Montironi R, et al. Dysplasia and carcinoma in situ of the urinary bladder. *Anal Quant Cytopathol Histopathol*. 2015 Feb;37(1):29-38.
12. Kim SP, Frank I, Cheville JC, et al. The impact of squamous and glandular differentiation on survival after radical cystectomy for urothelial carcinoma. *J Urol* 2012;188:405–9.
13. Xylinas E, Rink M, Robinson BD, et al. Impact of histological variants on oncological outcomes of patients with urothelial carcinoma of the bladder treated with radical cystectomy. *Eur J Cancer* 2013;49:1889–97.
14. Guo CC, Gomez E, Tamboli P, et al. Squamous cell carcinoma of the urinary bladder: a clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical study of 16 cases. *Hum Pathol* 2009;40:1448–52.
15. Lagwinski N, Thomas A, Stephenson AJ, et al. Squamous cell carcinoma of the bladder: a clinicopathologic analysis of 45 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2007;31:1777–87.
16. Blochin EB, Park KJ, Tickoo SK, Reuter VE, Al-Ahmadie H. Urothelial carcinoma with prominent squamous differentiation in the setting of neurogenic bladder: role of human papillomavirus infection. *Mod Pathol* 2012;25:1534–42.
17. el-Mekresh MM, el-Baz MA, Abol-Enein H, Ghoneim MA. Primary adenocarcinoma of the urinary bladder: a report of 185 cases. *Br J Urol* 1998;82:206–12.
18. Zaghloul MS, Nouh A, Nazmy M, et al. Long-term results of primary adenocarcinoma of the urinary bladder: A report on 192 patients. *Urol Oncol* 2006;24:13–20.
19. Grignon DJ, Ro JY, Ayala AG, Johnson DE. Primary signet-ring cell carcinoma of the urinary bladder. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1991;95:13–20.
20. Yamase HT, Wurzel RS, Nieh PT, Gondos B. Immunohistochemical demonstration of human chorionic gonadotropin in tumors of the urinary bladder. *Ann Clin Lab Sci* 1985;15:414–7.
21. Dalbagni G, Genega E, Hashibe M, et al. Cystectomy for bladder cancer: a contemporary series. *J Urol* 2001;165:1111–6.
22. Williamson SR, Lopez-Beltran A, Montironi R, et al. Glandular lesions of the urinary bladder: clinical significance and differential diagnosis. *Histopathology* 2011; 58(6):811-834.
23. W.Song, S.J, Wu, Y.L.He et al. Clinicopathological features and survival of patients with colorectal mucinous, signet-ring cell or non mucinous adenocarcinoma. *Chinese Medical Journal*, 2009;1(3): 122-125.
24. Lin O, Cardillo M, Dalbagni G, Linkov I, Hutchinson B, Reuter VE. Nested variant of urothelial carcinoma: a clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical study of 12 cases. *Mod Pathol* 2003;16: 1289–98.
25. Holmang S, Johansson SL. The nested variant of transitional cell carcinoma—a rare neoplasm with poor prognosis. *Scand J Urol Nephrol* 2001;35:102–5.
26. Cox R, Epstein JI. Large nested variant of urothelial carcinoma: 23 cases mimicking von brunn nests and inverted growth pattern of noninvasive papillary urothelial carcinoma. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2011;35:1337–42.
27. Young RH, Eble JN. Unusual forms of carcinoma of the urinary bladder. *Hum Pathol* 1991;22:948–65.
28. Young RH, Zukerberg LR. Microcystic transitional cell carcinomas of the urinary bladder. A report of four cases. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1991;96:635–9.
29. Samaratunga H, Khoo K. Micropapillary variant of urothelial carcinoma of the urinary bladder; a clinicopathological and immunohistochemical study. *Histopathology* 2004;45:55–64.
30. Kamat AM, Dinney CP, Gee JR, et al. Micropapillary bladder cancer: a review of the University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center experience with 100 consecutive patients. *Cancer* 2007;110:62–7.

31. Sangoi AR, Beck AH, Amin MB, et al. Interobserver reproducibility in the diagnosis of invasive micropapillary carcinoma of the urinary tract among urologic pathologists. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2010;34:1367–76.
32. Guo CC, Tamboli P, Czerniak B. Micropapillary variant of urothelial carcinoma in the upper urinary tract: a clinicopathologic study of 11 cases. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2009;133:62–6.
33. Spaliviero M, Dalbagni G, Bochner BH, et al. Clinical outcome of patients with T1 micropapillary urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. *J Urol* 2014;192:702–7.
34. Nigwekar P, Tamboli P, Amin MB, Osunkoya AO, Ben-Dor D. Plasmacytoid urothelial carcinoma: detailed analysis of morphology with clinicopathologic correlation in 17 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2009;33:417–24.
35. Kaimakliotis HZ, Monn MF, Cheng L, et al. Plasmacytoid bladder cancer: variant histology with aggressive behavior and a new mode of invasion along fascial planes. *Urology* 2014;83:1112–6.
36. Dayani F, Czerniak BA, Sircar K, et al. Plasmacytoid urothelial carcinoma, a chemosensitive cancer with poor prognosis, and peritoneal carcinomatosis. *J Urol* 2013;189:1656–61.
37. Al-Ahmadi H, Iyer G, Mehra R, et al. E-cadherin (CDH1) is frequently mutated in urothelial carcinoma with signet-ring cell and plasmacytoid morphology. *Mod Pathol* 2013;26, 191A-A.
38. Oliva E, Amin MB, Jimenez R, Young RH. Clear cell carcinoma of the urinary bladder: a report and comparison of four tumors of mullerian origin and nine of probable urothelial origin with discussion of histogenesis and diagnostic problems. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2002;26:190–7.
39. Lah K, Desai D, Hadway P, Perry-Keene J, Coughlin G. Primary vesical clear cell adenocarcinoma arising in endometriosis: a rare case of mullerian origin. *Anticancer Res* 2013;33:615–7.
40. Gilcrease MZ, Delgado R, Vuitch F, Albores-Saavedra J. Clear cell adenocarcinoma and nephrogenic adenoma of the urethra and urinary bladder: a histopathologic and immunohistochemical comparison. *Hum Pathol.* 1998 Dec;29(12):1451-6. doi: 10.1016/s0046-8177(98)90015-6.
41. Idrees MT, Alexander RE, Kum JB, Cheng L. The spectrum of histopathologic findings in vesical diverticulum: implications for pathogenesis and staging. *Hum Pathol* 2013;44:1223–32.
42. Walker NF, Gan C, Olsburgh J, Khan MS. Diagnosis and management of intradiverticular bladder tumours. *Nat Rev Urol* 2014;11: 383–90.
43. Nordenstoft I, Lamy P, Birkenkamp-Demtroder K, et al. Mutational context and diverse clonal development in early and late bladder cancer. *Cell Rep* 2014;7:1649–63.
44. Hoglund M. On the origin of syn- and metachronous urothelial carcinomas. *Eur Urol* 2007;51:1185–93, discussion 1193.
45. van Tilborg AA, de Vries A, de Bont M, Groenfeld LE, van der Kwast TH, Zwarthoff EC. Molecular evolution of multiple recurrent cancers of the bladder. *Hum Mol Genet* 2000;9:2973–80.
46. Gunes C, Rudolph KL. The role of telomeres in stem cells and cancer. *Cell* 2013;152:390–3.
47. Allory Y, Beukers W, Sagrera A, et al. Telomerase reverse transcriptase promoter mutations in bladder cancer: high frequency across stages, detection in urine, and lack of association with outcome. *Eur Urol* 2014;65:360–6.
48. Kandoth C, McLellan MD, Vandine F, et al. Mutational landscape and significance across 12 major cancer types. *Nature* 2013;502: 333–9.
49. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Comprehensive molecular characterization of urothelial bladder carcinoma. *Nature* 2014;507:315–22.
50. Gonzalez-Roibon ND, Chaux A, Al-Hussain T, et al. Dysregulation of mammalian target of rapamycin pathway in plasmacytoid variant of urothelial carcinoma of the urinary bladder. *Hum Pathol* 2013;44:612–22.

51. Fahmy M, Mansure JJ, Brimo F, et al. Relevance of the mammalian target of rapamycin pathway in the prognosis of patients with high-risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. *Hum Pathol* 2013;44:1766–72.
52. Chaux A, Comperat E, Varinot J, et al. High levels of phosphatase and tensin homolog expression are associated with tumor progression, tumor recurrence, and systemic metastases in pT1 urothelial carcinoma of the bladder: a tissue microarray study of 156 patients treated by transurethral resection. *Urology* 2013;81:116–22.
53. van Rhijn BW, van der Kwast TH, Liu L, et al. The FGFR3 mutation is related to favorable pT1 bladder cancer. *J Urol* 2012;187:310–4.
54. He F, Mo L, Zheng XY, et al. Deficiency of pRb Family Proteins and p53 in Invasive Urothelial Tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res* 2009;15;69(24):9413-21.
55. Inman BA, Sebo TJ, Frigola X, et al. PD-L1 (B7-H1) expression by urothelial carcinoma of the bladder and BCG-induced granulomata: associations with localized stage progression. *Cancer* 2007;109: 1499–505.
56. Powles T, Eder JP, Fine GD, et al. MPDL3280A (anti-PD-L1) treatment leads to clinical activity in metastatic bladder cancer. *Nature* 2014;515:558–62.
57. Fedorov O, Lingard H, Wells C, et al. [1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]phthalazines: inhibitors of diverse bromodomains. *J Med Chem* 2014;57: 462–76.
58. Hay DA, Fedorov O, Martin S, et al. Discovery and optimization of small-molecule ligands for the CBP/p300 bromodomains. *J Am Chem Soc* 2014;136:9308–19.
59. Netto GJ. Molecular biomarkers in urothelial carcinoma of the bladder: are we there yet? *Nat Rev Urol* 2012;9:41–51.