

**SOSYAL PSİKOLOJİDE  
KALIPYARGILAR VE  
ÖNYARGILAR**

**Timuçin AKTAN**



© Copyright 2023

*Bu kitabın, basım, yayın ve satış hakları Akademisyen Kitabevi A.Ş.'ye aittir. Anılan kuruluşun izni alınmadan kitabın tümü ya da bölümleri mekanik, elektronik, fotokopi, manyetik kağıt ve/veya başka yöntemlerle çoğaltılamaz, basılamaz, dağıtılamaz. Tablo, şekil ve grafikler izin alınmadan, ticari amaçlı kullanılamaz. Bu kitap T.C. Kültür Bakanlığı bandrolü ile satılmaktadır.*

<b>ISBN</b>	<b>Sayfa ve Kapak Tasarımı</b>
978-625-399-343-6	Akademisyen Dizgi Ünitesi
<b>Kitap Adı</b>	<b>Yayıncı Sertifika No</b>
Sosyal Psikolojide Kalıpyargılar ve Önyargılar	47518
<b>Yazar</b>	<b>Baskı ve Cilt</b>
Timuçin AKTAN ORCID iD: 0000-0001-8159-9278	Vadi Matbaacılık
<b>Yayın Koordinatörü</b>	<b>Bisac Code</b>
Yasin DİLMEN	PSY031000
	<b>DOI</b>
	10.37609/akya.2777

**Kütüphane Kimlik Kartı**  
**Aktan, Timuçin.**

Sosyal Psikolojide Kalıpyargılar ve Önyargılar / Timuçin Aktan.  
Ankara : Akademisyen Yayınevi Kitabevi, 2023.  
192 s. : 135x210 mm.  
Kaynakça var.  
ISBN 9786253993436  
1. Psikoloji.

**GENEL DAĞITIM**

**Akademisyen Kitabevi A.Ş.**

Halk Sokak 5 / A  
Yenişehir / Ankara  
Tel: 0312 431 16 33  
siparis@akademisyen.com

[www.akademisyen.com](http://www.akademisyen.com)

## ÖNSÖZ

Kalıpyargılar, toplumu oluşturan gruplar hakkında bireylerin sahip oldukları inançlardır. Önyargıların ve ayrımcılığın temelini oluşturduğu düşünülen bu inançlar sosyal psikoloji tarihi boyunca sosyal bilimcilerin ilgi odağı olagelmıştır. Bu kitap da yaklaşık yirmi yıllık akademik yolculuğuma yön vermiş olan kalıpyargı literatürüne dair kapsamlı bir değerlendirmenin ürünüdür. Kalıpyargıların çevremizdeki sosyal dünyayı algılama biçimimizi nasıl etkilediğini merak ederek çıktığım bu akademik yolculuğa bugün kalıpyargısal inançların mevcut sosyal dünyada nasıl bir işleve sahip olduğuna yönelik sorular yön vermektedir. Aslında, kendi akademik yönelimimdeki bu değişim kalıpyargı literatüründe de son 30 yılda gerçekleşen değişimin bir yansımasıdır. Bundan 101 yıl önce Katz – Braly çalışmalarıyla temeli atılan kalıpyargı literatürü bugün kalıpyargıları kişiliğimizdeki bir hata ya da zihnimizin çalışma şeklinin basit bir yan etkisi olarak görmenin ötesine geçmiş ve bugün kalıpyargıların toplumsal işlevlerine odaklanmıştır.

Bu kitap boyunca bahsedilen tarihsel dönüşüm özetlenmiş ve kitabın ana fikri bu dönüşümden ne öğrendiğimiz sorusu çerçevesinde kurgulanmıştır. Bu bağlamda, öncelikle bir kalıpyargının “ne olduğu” ve belki daha da önemlisi “ne olmadığı” konusu tartışılmış ve kalıpyargıları literatüründeki gelecek çalışmalar için mükemmel olmasa da işe yarar bir tanım önerilmiştir. Kitapta önerilen bu

tanım, kalıpyargı çalışmanın biz sosyal bilimciler için neden önemli olduğu sorusunu temel almaktadır. Bu bağlamda, kitap boyunca savunulan fikir, kalıpyargısal inançların toplumu oluşturan grupların üyelerinin olanaklılıklarını kısıtladığı ölçüde sosyal bilimciler için önemli olduklarıdır. Çünkü, üyeliklerinden dolayı bireylerin yetkinliklerine uygun statülere yerleşememesinin, toplumun ulaşabileceği gelişmişliği ve refahı sınırlandıracağı kabul edilmiştir. Bu bağlamda, kalıpyargıların gruplar hakkında basit betimlemelerden öteye geçtiği, grup üyelerinin nasıl olması ve neden böyle olması gerektiğine dair özcü inançlara karşılık geldiği savunulmuştur. Böylece, kalıpyargıların bilgi işleme süreçleriyle ilişkisi, sosyal kategorilerin birey için nasıl anlamlı hale geldiği, kalıpyargısal inançların doğru olup olamayacağı soruları tartışılmıştır. Kalıpyargı literatürüne yön veren bu tartışmalar ışığında kalıpyargıların gruplararası süreçlerle ilişkileri ele alınmış ve bugün literatüre yön veren kalıpyargı içerikleri konusuna yaklaşımlar tanıtılmıştır.

Artık yüz yılı aşmış olan kalıpyargı literatürünü elbette tek bir kitapta derlemek mümkün değildir. Bu nedenle, kalıpyargısal inançların politik tutumlarla ilişkileri, otomatikleşmiş kalıpyargısal inançların öz kontrolle nasıl kontrol edilebileceği, kalıpyargıların karşımızdaki kişiyi değerlendirirken kullandığımız standartları nasıl değiştirdiği ve kalıpyargıları değiştirmek için geliştirilen yöntemler gibi çalışma alanları kitabın kapsamı dışında kalmıştır. Ancak, gruplararası algılar literatürüne ilgi duyanların bir başlangıç kitabı olarak kullanabileceği bu kitap bugüne kadar Türkçe literatürde ele alınmamış birçok konuya da ışık tutmaktadır.

## TEŞEKKÜR

Bu kitabın oluşmasında beni teşvik eden ve yazım süreci boyunca kendi alanı olmasa dahi fikirlerine sıklıkla başvurduğum sevgili eşim Dr. Arzu Keskin Aktan'a teşekkür ederim.

Kalıpyargılar üzerine akademik çalışmalarımın şekillendirmesini sağlayan hocalarım sayın Prof. Dr. Gülden Güvenç, Prof. Dr. Deniz Şahin ve Prof. Dr. Nuray Sakallı'ya teşekkür ederim. Bu süreçte öğrencisi olduğum Prof. Dr. Orhan Aydın, Prof. Dr. Nebi Sümer ve Prof. Dr. Serap Akgün gruplararası ve kişilerarası süreçlere yönelik kendi bakış açımı geliştirmemde önemli bir role sahiptir. Toplumsal cinsiyet kavramı ile ilgili bir anlayış geliştirmemi sağlayan Prof. Dr. Olcay İmamoğlu'nun öğrencisi olmak akademik kimliğimi inşa ederken elde ettiğim en önemli şanslardan biri olmuştur. Elbette, bir bilim insanı olma yolunda ilerlerken öğrencisi olmaktan gurur duyduğum ve burada isimlerini sayamayacağım kadar çok sayıdaki akademisyenin üzerimdeki emeği yadsınamaz. Değerli Hocalarıma teşekkürleri borç bilirim.

Kitabın son halini almasında katkı sağlayan sevgili dostum Dr. Düzgün Uğur'a önerileri ve düzeltmeleri için teşekkür ederim.

Son olarak, bu kitabın yazım sürecinde hayatı bana zindan eden kedilerime teşekkür ederim. Siz olmasaydınız, muhtemelen bu kitap bir – iki yıl daha erken biterdi.

# İÇİNDEKİLER

<b>Tarih İçerisinde Kalıpyargılar ve Önyargılar: Tanım Sorunu.....</b>	<b>1</b>
Kısa Tarihçe.....	5
Kalıpyargıları Tanımlamak.....	11
Günlük Yaşamda Kalıpyargılar: Tanımın Kapsadıkları.....	12
Günlük Yaşamda Kalıpyargılar: Tanımın	
Kapsam Dışında Bıraktıkları.....	14
Kalıpyargılar İçin İşe Yarar Bir Tanım.....	19
Kalıpyargıları Çalışmak Neden Önemlidir?.....	20
Kalıpyargılar İçin Yeterince İyi Bir Tanım.....	24
<b>Kalıpyargıların ve Önyargıların Ölçülmesi.....</b>	<b>27</b>
Doğrudan Ölçüm Yöntemleri.....	28
Özellik Atama Görevi İçeren Ölçüm Yöntemleri.....	28
Açık Uçlu Cevap İçeren Ölçüm Yöntemleri.....	33
Özellik Derecelendirmesi İçeren Yöntemler.....	36
Kalıpyargının Etkisi Üzerinden Ölçüldüğü Yöntemler.....	41
Dolaylı Ölçüm Yöntemleri.....	44
Açık Ölçüm Yöntemleri.....	44
Örtük Ölçüm Yöntemleri.....	46
<b>Kalıpyargılar ve Sosyal Bilış.....</b>	<b>53</b>
Kalıpyargıların Oluşumu.....	55
Kalıpyargıların Temeli: Kategorizasyon.....	56
Sosyal Kategorilemenin Temelleri.....	59
Kategorilerin Zihinsel Temsili.....	63

Kalıpyargıların Bilgi İřleme Süreleri Üzerindeki Etkisi .....	67
Kalıpyargı Aktivasyonunun Bellek Süreleri	
Üzerindeki Etkisi .....	68
Kalıpyargıların Çıkarımlar Üzerindeki Etkisi .....	71
Kalıpyargıların Otomatiklięi .....	72
<b>Biliřsel Kategorilerden Sosyal Kalıpyargılara:</b>	
<b>Mevcudiyetlik ve Özcülık .....</b>	<b>75</b>
Grupların Mevcudiyetlięi .....	79
Grup Tipleri .....	82
Özcü İnanlar ve Kalıpyargılar .....	85
<b>Kalıpyargılardaki Doğruluk Payı .....</b>	<b>91</b>
Kalıpyargıların Doğruluęu .....	95
Gruplar Hakkındaki İnanlar Yanlıř mıdır?.....	97
Birey Hakkında Bilgi Olsa da	
Kalıpyargıları mı Kullanıyoruz? .....	98
Kalıpyargıların Doğruluęunun Kökenleri .....	103
Kalıpyargıların Doğruluęu ile ilgili Tartıřmaların Verdięi Mesaj....	107
<b>Kalıpyargı Tehdidi .....</b>	<b>111</b>
Kalıpyargı Tehdidinin Performans Üzerindeki Etkisi .....	114
Kalıpyargı Tehdidini Ortaya Çıkaran Etkenler .....	119
Kalıpyargı Tehdidini Azaltmaya Yönelik Uygulamalar.....	122
Kalıpyargı Tehdidinden Kalıpyargıların Doğrulanmasına .....	123
<b>Gruplararası Süreler ve Kalıpyargılar .....</b>	<b>125</b>
Gruplararası Tehdidin Türleri .....	128
Gruplararası Tehdidin Kaynakları .....	130
Gruplararası İliřkiler .....	130

Kültürel Etkenler .....	131
Bireysel Değişkenler.....	133
Gruplararası Tehdidin Sonuçları .....	134
Gruplararası Bağlamdan Kalıpyargısal Atıflara .....	136
<b>Kalıpyargıların İçerikleri.....</b>	<b>140</b>
Temel Boyutlar Hipotezi .....	143
Sosyal – Yapısal Değişkenler Hipotezi .....	145
Kalıpyargı Kümeleri .....	146
Gruplararası Duygular Hipotezi .....	150
Yanlılık Haritası .....	151
Kalıpyargı İçeriği Modelinin Kapsamı .....	153
<b>Kalıpyargıları Anlamak.....</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>Kaynaklar .....</b>	<b>165</b>



## KAYNAKLAR

- Abele, A. E. ve Wojciszke, B. (2007). Agency and communion from the perspective of self versus others. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 93(5), 751-763.
- Aboud, F. E. ve Amato, M. (2001). Developmental and socialization influences on intergroup bias. R. Brown ve S. Gaertner (Ed), *Blackwell Handbook in Social Psychology: Intergroup Processes* (Cilt 4, s. 65-85) içinde. Blackwell.
- Abrams, D. ve Hogg, M. A., (1988). *Social identifications: A social psychology of intergroup relations and group processes*. Taylor & Frances/Routledge.
- Adorno, T. W., Frenkel-Brunswik, E., Levinson, D. J. ve Sanford, R. N. (1950). *The authoritarian personality*. Harper ve Brothers.
- Aktan, T. (2012). *Compensatory nature of mixed stereotypes: An investigation of underlying mechanisms in the framework of stereotype content model* [Yayımlanmamış doktora tezi]. Orta Doğu Teknik Üniversitesi.
- Aktan, T. ve Bilim, G. (2016). Kadınlara yönelik kalıpyargıların içerikleri: Kalıpyargı içeriği modeli çerçevesinde bir inceleme. *Nesne Dergisi*, 8(4), 147-182.
- Aktan, T. ve Sakallı-Uğurlu, N. (2013). Kalıpyargı içeriği modeline sosyal bağlamsal bir yaklaşım: Bağlam içinde kalıpyargı içerikleri. *Türk Psikoloji Yazarları*, 16(31), 15-31.
- Aktan, T., Yalçındağ, B., Ünal, T. ve Süllü, M. E. (2019). Ulus kalıpyargılarının içerikleri: sosyal – yapısal değişkenler ve kimliklenmenin kalıpyargı içerikleriyle ilişkisi. *Alternatif Politika*, 11(3), 623-650.
- Alexander, M. G., Brewer, M. B. ve Livingston, R. W. (2005). Putting Stereotype Content in Context: Image Theory and Interethnic Stereotypes. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 31(6), 781-794.
- Allen, S. W. ve Brooks, L. R. (1991). Specializing the operation of an explicit rule. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 120(1), 3-19.
- Allport, G. W. (1954). *The nature of prejudice*. Addison- Wesley.
- Altemeyer, B. (1998). The other 'authoritarian personality'. M. Zanna (Ed), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Cilt 30, s. 47-92) içinde. Academic Press.
- Aronson, J. ve Steele, C.M. (2005). Stereotypes and the fragility of human competence, motivation, and self-concept. C. Dweck ve E. Elliot (Ed), *Handbook of Competence & Motivation* (s. 436-457). New York, Guilford.
- Aronson, J., Fried, C. ve Good, C. (2002). Reducing the effects of stereotype threat on African American college students by shaping theories of intelligence. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 38(2), 113-125.
- Aronson, J., Lustina, M., Good, C., Keough, K., Steele, C., ve Brown, J. (1999). When white men can't do math: Necessary and sufficient factors in stereotype threat. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 35(1), 29-46.

- Aronson, J. ve McGlone, M. S. (2009). Stereotype and social identity threat. T. D. Nelson (Ed), *Handbook of Prejudice, Stereotyping, and Discrimination* (Birinci baskı, s. 153-178) içinde. Psychology Press.
- Ashmore, R. D. ve Del Boca, F. K. (1981). Conceptual approaches to stereotypes and stereotyping. D. L. Hamilton (Ed), *Cognitive Processes in Stereotyping and Intergroup Behavior* (s. 1-35) içinde. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Bakan, D. (1966). *The duality of human existence: Essays on psychology and religion*. Rand McNally.
- Banaji, M. R. ve Greenwald, A. G. (1995). Implicit gender stereotyping in judgments of fame. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 68(2), 181-198.
- Bargh, J. A. (1994). The Four Horsemen of automaticity: Awareness, efficiency, intention, and control in isocial cognition. R. S. Wyer, Jr. ve T. K. Srull (Ed), *Handbook of Social Cognition* (İkinci baskı, s. 1-40) içinde. Erlbaum.
- Bargh, J. A., Chen, M. ve Burrows, L. (1996). Automaticity of social behavior: Direct effects of trait construct and stereotype activation on action. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 71(2), 230-244.
- Barlow, F. K., Louis, W. R. ve Terry, D. J. (2010). Minority report: Social identity, cognitions of rejection and intergroup anxiety predicting prejudice from one racially marginalized group towards another. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 40(5), 805-818.
- Beilock, S. L., Rydell, R. J. ve McConnell, A. R. (2007). Stereotype threat and working memory: Mechanisms, alleviation, and spill over. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 136(2), 256-276.
- Bem, S. L. (1974). The measurement of psychological androgyny. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 42(2), 155.
- Bem, S. L. (1981). Gender schema theory: A cognitive account of sex typing. *Psychological Review*, 88(4), 354.
- Bergeron, D. M., Block, C. J. ve Echtenkamp, B. A. (2006). Disabling the able: Stereotype threat and women's work performance. *Human Performance*, 19(2), 133-158.
- Biernat, M. (2012). *Standards and expectancies: Contrast and assimilation in judgments of self and others*. Psychology Press.
- Biernat, M., Manis, M. ve Nelson, T. E. (1991). Stereotypes and standards of judgment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 60(4), 485-499.
- Blascovich, J., Spencer, S. J., Quinn, D. M. ve Steele, C. M. (2001). Stereotype threat and the cardiovascular reactivity of African - Americans. *Psychological Science*, 12(3), 225-229.
- Bodenhausen, G. V. ve Lichtenstein, M. (1987). Social stereotypes and information-processing strategies: the impact of task complexity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(5), 871-880.
- Bodenhausen, G. V. ve Wyer, R. S. (1985). Effects of stereotypes in decision making and information-processing strategies. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 48(2), 267-282.

- Branscombe, N. R. ve Wann, D. L. (1994). Collective self-esteem consequences of outgroup derogation when a valued social identity is on trial. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 24(6), 641-657.
- Brauer, M., Wasel, W. ve Niedenthal, P. (2000). Implicit and explicit components of prejudice. *Review of General Psychology*, 4(1), 79-101.
- Brewer, M. B. (1988). A dual process model of impression formation. T. K. Srull ve R. S. Wyer, Jr. (Ed), *A Dual Process Model of Impression Formation* (s. 1-36). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Brewer, M. B. (1999). The psychology of prejudice: Ingroup love or outgroup hate? *Journal of Social Issues*, 55(3), 429-444.
- Brewer, M. B., Dull, V. ve Lui, L. (1981). Perceptions of the elderly: Stereotypes as prototypes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 41(4), 656-670.
- Brewer, M. B., Hong, Y. ve Li, Q. (2004). Dynamic entitativity: Perceiving groups as actors. V. Yzerbyt, C. Judd ve O. Corneille (Ed), *The Psychology of Group Perception: Perceived Variability* (s. 25-38) içinde. Psychology Press.
- Brewer, M. B. ve Lui, L. N. (1989). The primacy of age and sex in the structure of person categories. *Social Cognition*, 7(3), 262-274.
- Brigham, J. C. (1971). Ethnic stereotypes. *Psychological Bulletin*, 76(1), 15-38.
- Brown, R. P. ve Josephs, R. A. (1999). A burden of proof: Stereotype relevance and gender differences in math performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 76(2), 246-257.
- Burgess, D. ve Borgida, E. (1999). Who women are, who women should be: Descriptive and prescriptive gender stereotyping and sex discrimination. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 5(3), 665-692.
- Cadinu, M., Maass, A., Rosabianca, A. ve Kiesner, J. (2005). Why do women underperform under stereotype threat? *Psychological Science*, 16(7), 572-578.
- Campbell, D. T. (1958). Common fate, similarity, and other indices of the status of aggregates of persons as social entities. *Behavioral Science*, 3(1), 14-25.
- Chiu, C., Hong, Y., & Dweck, C.S. (1997). Lay dispositionism and implicit theories of personality. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 73(1), 19-30.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. New York: Academic Press.
- Corenblum, B. ve Stephan, W. G. (2001). White fears and native apprehensions: An integrated threat theory approach to intergroup attitudes. *Canadian Journal of Behavioral Science*, 33(4), 251-268.
- Corneille, O., Yzerbyt, V. Y., Rogier, A. ve Buidin, G. (2001). Threat and the group attribution error: When threat elicits judgments of extremity and homogeneity. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 27(4), 437-446.
- Costello, K. ve Hodson, G. (2010). Exploring the roots of dehumanization: The role of animal-human similarity in promoting immigrant humanization. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, 13(1), 3-22.

- Crawford, J. T., Modri, S. A. ve Motyl, M. (2013). Bleeding-heart liberals and hard-hearted conservatives: Subtle political dehumanization through differential attributions of human nature and human uniqueness traits. *Journal of Social and Political Psychology*, 1(1), 86-104.
- Crocker, J. and Luhtanen, R. (1990). Collective self-esteem and ingroup bias. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 58(1), 60-67.
- Croizet, J. C. ve Claire, T. (1998). Extending the concept of stereotype threat to social class: The intellectual underperformance of students from low socio-economic backgrounds. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 24(6), 588-594.
- Cuddy, A. J. C., Fiske, S. T. ve Glick, P. (2008). Warmth and competence as universal dimensions of social perception: The stereotype content model and the BIAS map. M. P. Zanna, (Ed), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (s. 61-149) içinde. Elsevier.
- Cuddy, A. J. C., Fiske, S. T. ve Glick, P. (2007). The BIAS map: behaviors from intergroup affect and stereotypes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 92(4), 631-648.
- Cuddy, A. J. M., Norton, M. I. ve Fiske, S. T. (2005). This old stereotype: The pervasiveness and persistence of the elderly stereotype. *Journal of Social Issues*, 61(2), 267-285.
- Cuddy, A. J., Fiske, S. T., Kwan, V. S., Glick, P., Demoulin, S., Leyens, J. P., ... ve Ziegler, R. (2009). Stereotype content model across cultures: Towards universal similarities and some differences. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 48(1), 1-33.
- Cummins, J., Hussey, I. ve Spruyt, A. (2022). The role of attitude features in the reliability of IAT scores. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 101, 104330.
- Cunningham, W. A., Preacher, K. J., & Banaji, M. R. (2001). Implicit attitude measures: Consistency, stability and convergent validity. *Psychological Science*, 12(2), 163-170.
- Dasgupta, N. ve Greenwald, A. G. (2001). On the malleability of automatic attitudes: Combating automatic prejudice with images of admired and disliked individuals. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 81(5), 800-814.
- De Cremer, D. ve Oosterwegel, A. (1999). Collective self-esteem, personal self-esteem, and collective efficacy in in-group and outgroup evaluations. *Current Psychology: A Journal for Diverse Perspectives on Diverse Psychological Issues*, 18(4), 326-339.
- De Houwer, J. (2003). The extrinsic affective Simon task. *Experimental psychology*, 50(2), 77-85.
- Denson, T. F., Lickel, B., Curtis, M., Stenstrom, D. M. ve Ames, D. R. (2006). The roles of entitativity and essentiality in judgments of collective responsibility. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 9(1), 43-61.
- Devine, P. G. (1989). Stereotypes and prejudice: Their automatic and controlled components. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 56(1), 5-18.

- Devine, P. G. ve Baker, S. M. (1991). Measurement of racial stereotype subtyping. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 17(1), 44-50.
- Devine, P. G. ve Elliot, A. J. (1995). Are racial stereotypes really fading? The Princeton trilogy revisited. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 21(11), 1139-1150.
- Devine, P. G. ve Sharp, L. B. (2009). Automaticity and control in stereotyping and prejudice. T. D. Nelson (Ed), *Handbook of Prejudice, Stereotyping, and Discrimination* (Birinci baskı, s. 61-87) içinde. Psychology Press.
- Dewall, N. C., Altermatt, W. T., Thompson, H. (2005). Understanding the structure of stereotypes of women: Virtue and agency as dimensions distinguishing female subgroups. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 29(4), 396-405.
- Duckitt, J. (2006). Differential effects of right wing authoritarianism and social dominance orientation on outgroup attitudes and their mediation by threat from and competitiveness to outgroups. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 32(5), 684-696.
- Durante, F., Fiske, S. T., Gelfand, M. J., Crippa, F., Suttora, C., Stillwell, A., ... ve Teymoori, A. (2017). Ambivalent stereotypes link to peace, conflict, and inequality across 38 nations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(4), 669-674.
- Durante, F., Fiske, S. T., Kervyn, N., Cuddy, A. J. C., Akande, A., Adetoun, B. E., ... Storari, C. C. (2013). Nations' income inequality predicts ambivalence in stereotype content: How societies mind the gap. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 52(4), 726-746.
- Eagly, A. H. ve Mladinic, A. (1994). Are people prejudiced against women? Some answers from research on attitudes, gender stereotypes, and judgments of competence. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 5(1), 1-35.
- Eagly, A. H. ve Chaiken, S. (1998). Attitude structure and function. D. T. Gilbert, S. T. Fiske ve G. Lindzey (Ed), *The Handbook of Social Psychology* (s. 269-322) içinde. McGraw-Hill.
- Eagly, A. H. ve Wood, W. (2016). Social role theory of sex differences. *The Wiley Blackwell Encyclopedia of Gender and Sexuality Studies*, 1-3.
- Eckes, T. (1994). Explorations in gender cognition: content and structure of female and male subtypes. *Social Cognition*, 12(1), 37-60.
- Ehrlich, H. D. ve Rinehart, J. W. (1965). A brief report on the methodology of stereotype research. *Social Forces*, 43(4), 564-575.
- Ellemers, N., Barreto, M. ve Spears, R. (1999). Commitment and strategic responses to social context. N. Ellemers, R. Spears ve B. Doosje (Ed), *Social Identity: Context, Commitment, Content* (Cilt 1, s. 127 - 147) içinde. Blackwell.
- Ellemers, N. ve Van Knippenberg, A. (1997). Stereotyping in social context. Spears, R., Oakes, P. J., Ellemers, N. ve Haslam, S. A. (Ed), *The Social Psychology of Stereotyping and Group Life* (Cilt 1, s. 208 - 236) içinde. Blackwell.
- Esses, V. M., Dovidio, J. F., Jackson, L. M. ve Armstrong, T. L. (2001). The im-

- migration dilemma: The role of perceived group competition, ethnic prejudice, and national identity. *Journal of Social Issues*, 57(3), 389-412.
- Fazio, R. H. (1990). Multiple processes by which attitudes guide behavior: The MODE model as an integrative framework. M. P. Zanna (Ed), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Cilt. 23, s. 75-109). Academic Press.
- Fazio, R. H. ve Olson, M. A. (2003). Implicit measures in social cognition research: their meaning and use. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 54, 297-327
- Fazio, R. H., Chen, J. M., McDonel, E. C. ve Sherman, S. J. (1982). Attitude accessibility, attitude-behavior consistency, and the strength of the object-evaluation association. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 18(4), 339-357.
- Fazio, R. H. ve Olson, M. A. (2014). The MODE model: Attitude – behavior processes as a function of motivation and opportunity. J. W. Sherman, B. Gawronski, ve Y. Trope (Ed), *Dual Process Theories of the Social Mind* (s. 155-171) içinde. Guilford Press
- Fiske, S. T. (2015). Intergroup biases: A focus on stereotype content. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 3, 45-50.
- Fiske, S. T. (2018). Stereotype content: Warmth and competence endure. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 27(2), 67-73.
- Fiske, S. T. ve Taylor, S. E. (1984). *Social Cognition*. Addison-Wesley.
- Fiske, S. T. ve Taylor, S. E. (2017). *Social Cognition*. Sage
- Fiske, S. T., Cuddy, A. J. C., Glick, P. ve Xu, J. (2002). A model of (often mixed) stereotype content: Competence and warmth respectively follow from perceived status and competition. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82(6), 878-902.
- Fiske, S. T., Cuddy, A. J. C. ve Glick, P. (2007). Universal dimensions of social cognition: Warmth and competence. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 11(2), 77-83.
- Fiske, S. T. ve Neuberg, S. L. (1990). A continuum of impression formation, from category-based to individuating processes: Influences of information and motivation on attention and interpretation. M. P. Zanna, (Ed), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Vol. 23, s. 1-74). Academic Press.
- Fiske, S. T., Xu, J., Cuddy, A. J. C. ve Glick, P. (1999). (Dis)respecting versus (Dis)liking: Status and interdependence predict ambivalent stereotypes of competence and warmth. *Journal of Social Issues*, 55(3), 473-489.
- Gaertner, L. ve Schopler, J. (1998). Perceived ingroup entitativity and intergroup bias: An interconnection of self and others. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 28(6), 963-98
- Gardner, R. C., Kirby, D. M., Gorospe, F. H. ve Willamin, A. C. (1972). Ethnic stereotypes: An alternative assessment technique, the Stereotype Differential. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 87(2), 259-267.
- Gardner, R. C., MacIntyre, P. D. ve Lalonde, R. N. (1995). The effects of multiple social categories on stereotyping. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science*, 27(4), 466-483.

- Gilbert, G. M. (1951). Stereotype persistence and change among college students. *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 46(2), 245-254.
- Gill, M. J. (2004). When information does not deter stereotyping: Prescriptive stereotyping can foster bias under conditions that deter descriptive stereotyping. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 40(5), 619-632.
- Glick, P. ve Fiske, S. T. (1996). The ambivalent sexism inventory: Differentiating hostile and benevolent sexism. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 70(3), 491-512.
- Glick, P. ve Fiske, S. T. (2001). An ambivalent alliance: Hostile and benevolent sexism as complementary justification. *American Psychologist*, 56(2), 109-118.
- Gonzales, P. M., Blanton, H. ve Williams, K. J. (2002). The effects of stereotype threat and double-minority status on the test performance of Latino women. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 28(5), 659-670.
- Greenwald, A. G., Klinger, M. R. ve Schuh, E. S. (1995). Activation by marginally perceptible ("subliminal") stimuli: Dissociation of unconscious from conscious cognition. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 124(1), 22-42.
- Greenwald, A. G., McGhee, D. E. ve Schwartz, J. L. (1998). Measuring individual differences in implicit cognition: the implicit association test. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 74(6), 1464-1480.
- Greenwald, A. G., Poehlman, T. A., Uhlmann, E. L. ve Banaji, M. R. (2009). Understanding and using the Implicit Association Test: III. Meta-analysis of predictive validity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 97(1), 17-41.
- Hall, J. A. ve Carter, J. D. (1999). Gender-stereotype accuracy as an individual difference. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 77(2), 350-359.
- Hamilton, D. L., Sherman, S. J., Crump, S. A. ve Spencer-Rodgers, J. (2009). The role of entitativity in stereotyping. T. D. Nelson (Ed), *Handbook of Prejudice, Stereotyping, and Discrimination* (Birinci baskı, s. 179-198) içinde. Psychology Press
- Hamilton, D. L., Sherman, S. J. ve Rodgers, J. (2004). Perceiving the groupness of groups: Entitativity, homogeneity, essentialism, and stereotypes. V. Yzerbyt, C. M. Judd ve O. Corneille (Ed), *The psychology of Group Perception: Perceived Variability, Entitativity and Essentialism* (s. 39-60) içinde. Psychology Press.
- Hamilton, D. L. ve Gifford, R. K. (1976). Illusory correlation in interpersonal perception: A cognitive basis of stereotypic judgments. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 12(4), 392-407.
- Hamilton, D. L. ve Sherman, J. W. (1994). Stereotypes. R. S. Wyer, Jr. ve T. K. Srull (Ed), *Handbook of Social Cognition: Basic Processes* (s. 1-68) içinde. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Hamilton, D. L. ve Sherman, S. J. (1996). Perceiving persons and groups. *Psychological Review*, 103(2), 336-355.

- Harlak, H. (2000). Önyargılar: Psikososyal Bir İnceleme. Sistem Yayıncılık.
- Harris, J. R. (Ed.). (2002). Beyond the nurture assumption: Testing hypotheses about the child's environment. J. G. Borkowski, S. L. Ramey ve M. Bristol-Power (Ed), *Parenting and the Child's World: Influences on Academic, Intellectual, and Socio-Emotional Development* (s. 3-20). Erlbaum.
- Haslam, N., Rothschild, L. ve Ernst, D. (2000). Essentialist beliefs about social categories. *British Journal of social psychology*, 39(1), 113-127.
- Heider, F. (1958) *The Psychology of Interpersonal Relationships*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- Heilman, M. E. (2001). Description and prescription: How gender stereotypes prevent women's ascent up the organizational ladder. *Journal of social issues*, 57(4), 657-674.
- Hewstone, M., Rubin, M. ve Willis, H. (2002). Intergroup bias. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 53(1), 575 - 604.
- Higgins, E. T., King, G. A. ve Mavin, G. H. (1982). Individual construct accessibility and subjective impressions and recall. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 43(1), 35-47.
- Hilton, J. L. ve Darley, J. M. (1985). Constructing other persons: A limit on the effect. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 21(1), 1-18.
- Hofmann, W., Gawronski, B., Gschwendner, T., Le, H. ve Schmitt, M. (2005). A Meta-Analysis on the Correlation Between the Implicit Association Test and Explicit Self-Report Measures. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 31(10), 1369-1385.
- Hogg, M. A ve Williams, K. D. (2000). From I to we: Social identity and collective self. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research and Practice*, 4(1), 81-97.
- İmamoğlu, E. O. (2003). Individuation and relatedness: Not opposing but distinct and complementary. *Genetic, Social and General Psychology Monographs*, 129(4), 367-402.
- Jetten, J., Spears, R. ve Mansteads A. S. R. (1999). Group distinctiveness and intergroup discrimination. N. Ellemers, R Spears ve B. Doosje (Ed), *Social Identity: Context, Commitment, Content*, (Cilt 1, s. 107-127) içinde. Blackwell.
- Jetten, J., & Spears, R. (2003). The divisive potential of differences and similarities: The role of intergroup distinctiveness in intergroup differentiation. W. Stroebe ve M. Hewstone (Ed), *European Review of Social Psychology* (Cilt 14, s. 203-241). Psychology Press.
- Johnson, D., Terry, D. J. ve Louis, W. R. (2005). Perceptions of the intergroup structure and anti-Asian prejudice among White Australians. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, 8(1), 53-71.
- Jones, C. R., Olson, M. A. ve Fazio, R. H. (2010). Evaluative conditioning: The "how" question. M. P. Zanna ve J. M. Olson (Ed), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Cilt 43, s. 205-255) içinde. Academic Press.
- Jones, E. E. ve Davis, K. E. (1965). From acts to dispositions the attribution process in person perception. L. Berkowitz (Ed), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Cilt 2, s. 219-266) içinde. Academic Press.



- Jones, E. E. ve Sigall, H. (1971). The bogus pipeline: A new paradigm for measuring affect and attitude. *Psychological Bulletin*, 76(5), 349.
- Jost, J. T. ve Hunyady, O. (2005). Antecedents and consequences of system-justifying ideologies. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 14(5), 260-265.
- Jost, J. T., Banaji, M. R. ve Nosek, B. A. (2004). A decade of system justification theory: Accumulated evidence of conscious and unconscious bolstering of the status quo. *Political Psychology*, 25(6), 881-919.
- Jost, J. T., Kivetz, Y. ve Rubini, M. (2005). System-justifying functions of complementary regional and ethnic stereotypes: cross-national evidence. *Social Justice Research*, 18(3), 305-333.
- Jost, J. T. ve Banaji, M. R. (1994). The role of stereotyping in system-justification and the production of false consciousness. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 33(1), 1-27.
- Judd, C. M. ve Park, B. (1988). Out-group homogeneity: Judgments of variability at the individual and group levels. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54(5), 778-788.
- Judd, C. M. ve Park, B. (1993). Definition and assessment of accuracy in social stereotypes. *Psychological Review*, 100(1), 109-128.
- Judd, C. M., James-Hawkins, L., Yzerbyt, V. Y. ve Kashima, Y. (2005). Fundamental dimensions of social judgment: Understanding the relations between judgments of competence and warmth. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 89(6), 899-913.
- Jussim, L., Cain, T. R., Crawford, J. T., Harber, K. ve Cohen, F. (2009) The unbearable accuracy of stereotypes. T. D. Nelson (Ed), *Handbook of Prejudice, Stereotyping, and Discrimination* (Birinci baskı, s. 199-227) içinde. Psychology Press.
- Jussim, L., Crawford, J. T., Anglin, S. M., Chambers, J. R., Stevens, S. T. ve Cohen, F. (2016). Stereotype accuracy: One of the largest and most replicable effects in all of social psychology. T. D. Nelson (Ed), *Handbook of Prejudice, Stereotyping, and Discrimination* (İkinci baskı, s. 31-63) içinde. Psychology Press.
- Jussim, L., Eccles, J., ve Madon, S. (1996). Social perception, social stereotypes, and teacher expectations: Accuracy and the quest for the powerful self-fulfilling prophecy. M. P. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, (Cilt 28, s. 281-388). Academic Press.
- Jussim, L., Harber, K. D., Crawford, J. T., Cain, T. R. ve Cohen, F. (2005). Social reality makes the social mind: Self-fulfilling prophecy, stereotypes, bias, and accuracy. *Interaction Studies: Social Behaviour and Communication in Biological and Artificial Systems*, 6(1), 85-102.
- Kadın Cinayetleri [Femicides]. (2022, September). <https://kadincinayetleri.org>
- Kadir Has Üniversitesi Toplumsal Cinsiyet ve Kadın Çalışmaları Araştırma Merkezi. (2022). Türkiye'de toplumsal cinsiyet ve kadın algısı araştırması 2022 <https://gender.khas.edu.tr/sites/gender.khas.edu.tr/files/inline-files/TTCCKAA2022-5.pdf>

- Karakaş, S. (2015). Bilimsel Psikoloji: Temel İlkeler. Türk Psikologlar Derneği.
- Karlins, M., Coffman, T. L. ve Walters, G. (1969). On the fading of social stereotypes: Studies in three generations of college students. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 13(1), 1-16.
- Katz, D. ve Braly, K. (1933). Racial stereotypes of one hundred college students. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 28(3), 280-290.
- Katz, D. ve Braly, K. W. (1935). Racial prejudice and racial stereotypes. *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 30(2), 175-193.
- Kawakami, K., Dion, K. L. ve Dovidio, J. F. (1999). Implicit stereotyping and prejudice and the primed Stroop task. *Swiss Journal of Psychology/Schweizerische Zeitschrift für Psychologie/Revue Suisse de Psychologie*, 58(4), 241-250.
- Kay, A. ve Jost, J. (2003). Complementary justice: Effects of “poor but happy” and “poor but honest” stereotype exemplars on system justification and implicit activation of the justice motive. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 85(5), 823-837.
- Kelley, H.H. (1973) The processes of causal attribution. *American Psychologist*, 28(2), 107-128
- Kervyn, N., Fiske, S. ve Yzerbyt, V. (2015). Forecasting the primary dimension of social perception: Symbolic and realistic threats together predict warmth in the stereotype content model. *Social Psychology*, 46(1), 36-45.
- Krueger, J. (1996). Personal beliefs and cultural stereotypes about racial characteristics. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 71(3), 536-548.
- Krueger, J., & Clement, R. W. (1994). Memory-based judgments about multiple categories: A revision and extension of Tajfel's accentuation theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 67(1), 35-47.
- Krueger, J. ve Rothbart, M. (1988). Use of categorical and individuating information in making inferences about personality. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 55(2), 187-195.
- C, S. L., Salomon, K., Bosson, J. K., El-Hout, M., Kiebel, E., Cooperman, C., & Toomey, R. (2018). Hostile and benevolent sexism and college women's STEM outcomes. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 42(1), 72-87.
- Kunda, Z. ve Thagard, P. (1996). Forming impressions from stereotypes, traits, and behaviors: A parallel-constraint-satisfaction theory. *Psychological Review*, 103(2), 284-308.
- Kurdi, B., Seitchik, A. E., Axt, J. R., Carroll, T. J., Karapetyan, A., Kaushik, N., ... ve Banaji, M. R. (2019). Relationship between the Implicit Association Test and intergroup behavior: A meta-analysis. *American psychologist*, 74(5), 1-18.
- Lambert, A. J. (1995). Stereotypes and social judgment: The consequences of group variability. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 68(3), 388-403.
- Levy, B. R. (1996). Improving memory in old age by implicit self-stereotyping. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 71(6), 1092-1107.

- Levy, B. R. ve Langer, E. (1994). Aging free from negative stereotypes: Successful memory in China and among the American deaf. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 66(6), 989-997.
- Lickel, B., Hamilton, D. L., Wierzchowska, G., Lewis, A., Sherman, S. J. ve Uhles, A. N. (2000). Varieties of groups and the perception of group entitativity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 78(2), 223-246.
- Lickel, B., Schmader, T. ve Hamilton, D. L. (2003). A case of collective responsibility: Who else is to blame for the Columbine High School shootings? *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*. 29(2), 194-204.
- Lippmann, W. (1922). *Public opinion*. Harcourt, Brace and Company.
- Locksley, A., Borgida, E., Brekke, N. ve Hepburn, C. (1980). Sex stereotypes and social judgment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 39(5), 821.
- Maass, A., Ceccarelli, R. ve Rudin, S. (1996). Linguistic intergroup bias: Evidence for in-group protective motivation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 71(3), 512-526.
- Macrae, C. N., Bodenhausen, G. V. ve Milne, A. B. (1995). The dissection of selection in person perception: Inhibitory processes in social stereotyping. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 69(3), 397-407.
- Macrae, C. N. ve Shepherd, J. W. (1989). Stereotypes and social judgements. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 28(4), 319-325.
- Martiny, S. E. ve Rubin, M. (2016). Towards a clearer understanding of social identity theory's self-esteem hypothesis. S. McKeown, R. Haji ve N. Ferguson (Ed), *Understanding Peace and Conflict Through Social Identity Theory: Contemporary Global Perspectives* (s. 19-32). Springer International Publishing.
- Marx, D. M. ve Roman, J. S. (2002). Female role models: Protecting women's math performance. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 28(9), 1183-1193.
- McCauley, C. ve Stitt, C. L. (1978). An individual and quantitative measure of stereotypes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 36(9), 929-940.
- McConahay, J. B., Hardee, B. B. ve Batts, V. (1981). Has racism declined in America? It depends upon who is asking and what is asked. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 25(4), 563-579.
- McConnell, A. R., Sherman, S. J. ve Hamilton, D. L. (1994). On-line and memory-based aspects of individual and group target judgments. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 67(2), 173-185.
- McConnell, A. R., Sherman, S. J. ve Hamilton, D. L. (1997). Target entitativity: Implications for information processing about individual and group targets. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 72(4), 750-762.
- McGarty, C. Yzerbyt, V. Y. ve Spears, R. (2004). Social, cultural and cognitive factors in stereotype formation. C. McGarty, V. Y. Yzerbyt ve R. Spears, (Ed), *Stereotypes as Explanations: The Formation Of Meaningful Beliefs About Social Groups* (s. 1-16) içinde. Cambridge University Press.

- McGlone, M. S. ve Aronson, J. (2007). Forewarning and forearming stereotype-threatened students. *Communication Education*, 56(2), 119-133.
- McGlone, M. S., & Aronson, J. (2006). Stereotype threat, identity salience, and spatial reasoning. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 27(5), 486-493.
- Mullen, B. ve Johnson, C. (1990). Distinctiveness-based illusory correlations and stereotyping: A meta-analytic integration. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 29(1), 11-27.
- Newman L. S. (2009). Was Walter Lippmann interested in stereotyping?: Public Opinion and cognitive social psychology. *History of psychology*, 12(1), 7-18.
- Nicolas, G., Bai, X. ve Fiske, S. T. (2021). Comprehensive stereotype content dictionaries using a semiautomated method. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 51(1), 178-196.
- Nicolas, G., Bai, X. ve Fiske, S. T. (2022). A spontaneous stereotype content model: Taxonomy, properties, and prediction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 123(6), 1243-1263.
- Niemann, Y. F., Jennings, L., Rozelle, R. M., Baxter, J. C. ve Sullivan, E. (1994). Use of Free Responses and Cluster Analysis to Determine Stereotypes of Eight Groups. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 20(4), 379-390.
- Nisbett, R. E. ve Ross, L. (1980). *Human inference: Strategies and shortcomings of social judgment*. Prentice-Hall.
- North, M. S., & Fiske, S. T. (2013). Act your (old) age: prescriptive, ageist biases over succession, consumption, and identity. *Personality & Social Psychology Bulletin*, 39(6), 720-734.
- Nosek, B. A., Smyth, F. L., Sriram, N. ve arkadaşları (2009). National differences in gender-science stereotypes predict national sex differences in science and math achievement. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106 (26), 10593-10597.
- Nosek, B. A., & Banaji, M. R. (2001). The go/no-go association task. *Social cognition*, 19(6), 625-666.
- O'Brien, L. ve Crandall, C. (2003). Stereotype threat and arousal: Effects on women's math performance. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 29(6), 782-789.
- Oakes, P. J. (1987). The salience of social categories. J. C. Turner, M. A. Hogg, P. J. Oakes, S. D. Reicher ve M. S. Wetherell (Ed), *Rediscovering the Social Group: A Self-Categorization Theory* (s. 117-141) içinde. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- Oakes, P. J., Turner, J. C. ve Haslam, S. A. (1991). Perceiving people as group members: The role of fit in the salience of social categorizations. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 30(2), 125-144.
- Oakes, P. J. ve Turner, J. C. (1990). Is limited information processing capacity the cause of social stereotyping?. *European review of social psychology*, 1(1), 111-135.

- Oldmeadow, J. ve Fiske, S. T. (2007). System-justifying ideologies moderate status = competence stereotypes: Roles for belief in a just world and social dominance orientation. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 37(6), 1135-1148.
- Olson, M. A. ve Zabel, K. L. (2016). Measures of prejudice. T. D. Nelson (Ed), *Handbook of Prejudice, Stereotyping, and Discrimination* (İkinci baskı, s. 175-211) içinde. Psychology Press.
- Olson, M. A. ve Fazio, R. H. (2003). Relations between implicit measures of prejudice: What are we measuring? *Psychological Science*, 14(6), 36-39.
- Osborne, J. W. (2001). Testing stereotype threat: Does anxiety explain race and sex differences in achievement? *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 26(3), 291-310.
- Otten, S. ve Wentura, D. (1999). About the impact of automaticity in the Minimal Group Paradigm: Evidence from affective priming tasks. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 29(8), 1049-1071.
- Pendry, L. F. ve Macrae, C. N. (1999) Cognitive load and person memory: the role of perceived group variability. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 29(7), 925-942.
- Pettigrew, T. F. (1998). Intergroup contact theory. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 49(1), 65-85.
- Pettigrew, T. F. ve Meertens, R. W. (1995). Subtle and blatant prejudice in Western Europe. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 25(1), 57-75.
- Phalet, K. ve Poppe, E. (1997). Competence and morality dimensions of national and ethnic stereotypes: a study in six eastern-European countries. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 27(6), 703-723.
- Poppe, E. (2000). Changes in national and ethnic stereotypes in Central and Eastern Europe. J. ter Wal ve M. Verkuyten (Ed), *Comparative Perspectives on Racism* (s. 191-209) içinde. Routledge.
- Poppe, E. ve Linssen, H. (1999). In-group favoritism and the reflection of realistic dimensions of difference between national states in Central and Eastern European nationality stereotypes. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 38(1), 85-102.
- Pratto, F., Sidanius, J., Stallworth, L. M. ve Malle, B. F. (1994). Social dominance orientation: A personality variable predicting social and political attitudes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 67(4), 741-763.
- Prentice, D. A. ve Carranza, E. (2002). What women and men should be, shouldn't be, are allowed to be, and don't have to be: The contents of prescriptive gender stereotypes. *Psychology of women quarterly*, 26(4), 269-281.
- Renfro, C. L., Duran, A., Stephan, W. G. ve Clason, D. L. (2006). The role of threat in attitudes toward affirmative action and its beneficiaries. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 36(1), 41-74.
- Richard, F. D., Bond, C. F. Jr. ve Stokes-Zoota, J. J. (2003). One hundred years of social psychology quantitatively described. *Review of General Psychology*, 7(4), 331-363.

- Riek, B. M., Mania, E. W. ve Gaertner, S. L. (2006). Intergroup threat and outgroup attitudes: A meta-analytic review. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 10(4), 336-353.
- Roberson, L., Deitch, E. A., Brief, A. P. ve Block, C. J. (2003). Stereotype threat and feedback setting in the workplace. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 62(1), 176-188.
- Rojahn, K. ve Pettigrew, T. F. (1992). Memory for schemarelevant information: A metaanalytic resolution. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 31(2), 81-109.
- Rothbart, M. ve Lewis, S. (1988). Inferring category attributes from exemplar attributes: Geometric shapes and social categories. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 55(6), 861-872.
- Rubin, M. ve Hewstone, M. (2004). Social identity, system justification and social dominance: commentary on Reicher, Jost et. al., and Sidanius et. al. *Political Psychology*, 25(6), 823 - 844.
- Rubin, M. ve Hewstone, M. (1998). Social identity theory's self-esteem hypothesis: A review and some suggestions for clarification. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 2(1), 40-62.
- Ruble, D. ve Martin, C. (1998). Gender development. W. Damon (Ed), *Handbook of Child Psychology* (Beşinci Baskı s. 933-1016). Wiley.
- Rudman, L. A. (2005). Rejection of women? Beyond prejudice as antipathy. J. F. Dovidio, P. Glick ve L. A. Rudman (Ed), *On the Nature of Prejudice Fifty Years after Allport* (s. 106-120) içinde. Blackwell.
- Rudman, L. A. (2011). *Implicit measures for social and personality psychology*. Sage Publications.
- Rudman, L. A. ve Glick, P. (2001). Prescriptive gender stereotypes and backlash toward agentic women. *Journal of social issues*, 57(4), 743-762.
- Ryana, C. S., Judd, C. M. ve Park, B. (1996). Effects of racial stereotypes on judgments of individuals: the moderating role of perceived group variability. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 32(1), 71-103.
- Sackett, P. R., Borneman, M. J. ve Connelly, B. S. (2008). High stakes testing in higher education and employment: Appraising the evidence for validity and fairness. *American Psychologist*, 63(4), 215-227.
- Sagar, H. A. ve Schofield, J. W. (1980). Racial and behavioral cues in Black and White children's perceptions of ambiguously aggressive acts. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 39(4), 590-598.
- Schaller, M. ve Abeyesinghe, A. M. N. D. (2006). Geographical frame of reference and dangerous intergroup attitudes: A double-minority study in Sri Lanka. *Political Psychology*, 27(1), 615-631.
- Schlueter, E. ve Scheepers, P. (2010). The relationship between outgroup size and anti-outgroup attitudes: A theoretical synthesis and empirical test of group threat and intergroup contact theory. *Social Science Research*, 39(2), 285-295.

- Schmader, T. ve Johns, M. (2003). Converging evidence that stereotype threat reduces working memory capacity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 85(3), 440-452.
- Schneider, D. J. (2005). *The Psychology of Stereotyping*. Guilford.
- Sherif, M. ve Sherif, C. (1969). *Social Psychology*. Harper & Row Publishers
- Sherman, J. W. (1996). Development and mental representation of stereotypes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 70(6), 1126-1141.
- Sherman, J. W., Lee, A. Y., Bessenoff, G. R. ve Frost, L. A. (1998). Stereotype efficiency reconsidered: Encoding flexibility under cognitive load. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 75(3), 589-606.
- Sherman, J. W. ve Frost, L. A. (2000). On the encoding of stereotype-relevant information under cognitive load. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 26(1), 26-34.
- Singh, R. ve Teoh, J. B. P. (2000). Impression formation from intellectual and social traits: Evidence for behavioural adaptation and cognitive processing. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 39(4), 537-554.
- Smith, E. R. (1990). Content and process specificity in the effects of prior experiences. T. K. Srull ve R. S. Wyer, Jr. (Ed), *Content and Process Specificity in The Effects Of Prior Experiences* (s. 1-59). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Smith, E. R. ve Zarate, M. A. (1990). Exemplar and prototype use in social categorization. *Social Cognition*, 8(3), 243-262.
- Spence, J. T. (1993). Gender-related traits and gender ideology: evidence for a multifactorial theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 64(4), 624.
- Spence, J. T. ve Buckner, C. E. (2000). Instrumental and expressive traits, trait stereotypes, and sexist attitudes what do they signify?. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 24(1), 44-62.
- Spencer, S. J., Steele, C. M. ve Quinn, D. M. (1999). Stereotype threat and women's math performance. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 35(1), 4-28.
- Spencer-Rodgers, J., Hamilton, D. L. ve Sherman, S. J. (2007). The central role of entitativity in stereotypes of social categories and task groups. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 92(3), 369-388.
- Srull, T. K. (1981). Person memory: Some tests of associative storage and retrieval models. *Journal Of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 7(6), 440-463.
- Stangor, C. (2016). The study of stereotyping, prejudice, and discrimination Within social psychology: a quick history of theory and research. T. D. Nelson (Ed.) *Handbook of Prejudice, Stereotyping, and Discrimination* (İkinci baskı, s. 3-29) içinde. Psychology Press.
- Stangor, C. ve McMillan, D. (1992). Memory for expectancy-congruent and expectancy-incongruent information: A review of the social and social developmental literatures. *Psychological Bulletin*, 111(1), 42-61.

- Steele, C. M. (1997). A threat in the air: How stereotypes shape the intellectual identity and performance. *American Psychologist*, 52(6), 613-629.
- Steele, C. M., Spencer, S. ve Aronson, J. (2002). Contending with group image: The psychology of stereotype and social identity threat. M. P. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Cilt 34, s. 379-440.) içinde. Academic Press.
- Steele, C. M. ve Aronson, J. (1995). Stereotype threat and the intellectual test performance of African Americans. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 69(5), 797-811.
- Stephan, W. (1977). Stereotyping: The role of ingroup-outgroup differences in causal attribution for behavior. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 101(2), 225-266.
- Stephan, W. G. ve Stephan, C. W. (2000). An integrated threat theory of prejudice. S. Oskamp (Ed), *Reducing Prejudice and Discrimination* (s. 23-45). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers
- Stephan, W. G., Boniecki, K. A., Ybarra, O., Bettencourt, A., Ervin, K. S., Jackson, L. A., et al. (2002). The role of threats in the racial attitudes of Blacks and Whites. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 28(9), 1242-1254.
- Stephan, W. G. ve Renfro, C. L. (2002). The role of threats in intergroup relations. D. Mackie ve E. R. Smith (Ed), *From Prejudice to Intergroup Emotions* (s. 191-208) içinde. Psychology Press.
- Stephan, W. G., Ybarra, O. ve Morrison, K. R. (2009). Intergroup threat theory. T. D. Nelson (Ed) *Handbook of Prejudice, Stereotyping, and Discrimination* (Birinci baskı, s. 43-60) içinde. Psychology Press.
- Stephan, W. G., Ybarra, O. ve Rios, K. (2016). Intergroup threat theory. T. D. Nelson (Ed.) *Handbook of Prejudice, Stereotyping, and Discrimination* (İkinci baskı, s. 255-278) içinde. Psychology Press.
- Stone, J. (2002). Battling doubt by avoiding practice: The effects of stereotype threat on self-handicapping in White athletes. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 28(12), 1667-1678.
- Stone, J., Lynch, C. I., Sjomeling, M. ve Darley, J. M. (1999). Stereotype threat effects on Black and White athletic performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 77(6), 1213-1227.
- Stroessner, S. J. (1996). Social categorization by race or sex: Effects of perceived non-normalcy on response times. *Social Cognition*, 14(3), 247-276.
- Swim, J. K. (1994). Perceived versus meta-analytic effect sizes: An assessment of the accuracy of gender stereotypes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 66(1), 21-36.
- Swim, J. K., Aikin, K. J., Hall, W. S. ve Hunter, B. A. (1995). Sexism and racism: Old-fashioned and modern prejudices. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 68(2), 199.
- Tajfel, H. (1982). *Social Identity and Intergroup Relations*. Cambridge University Press.



- Tajfel, H. ve Turner, J. C. (1979). An interactive theory of intergroup conflict. W. G. Austin ve S. Worchel (Ed), *The Social Psychology of Intergroup Relations* (s. 33-47) içinde. Brooks-Cole.
- Tajfel, H. ve Wilkes, A. L. (1963). Classification and quantitative judgement. *British Journal of Psychology*, 54(2), 101-114.
- Taylor, S. E., Fiske, S. T., Etcoff, N. L. ve Ruderman, A. J. (1978). Categorical and contextual bases of person memory and stereotyping. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 36(7), 778-793.
- Tetlock, P. E. ve Levi, A. (1982). Attribution bias: On the inconclusiveness of the cognition-motivation debate. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 18(1), 68-88.
- The OECD (The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development). (2022). Key charts on employment. <https://www.oecd.org/gender/data/governance/>
- Vaes, J., Paladino, M., Castelli, L., Leyens, J. ve Giovanazzi, A. (2003). On the behavioral consequences of infrahumanization: The implicit role of uniquely human emotions in intergroup relations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 85(6), 1016-1034.
- Van Knippenberg, A. D. ve Dijksterhuis, A. (2000). Social categorization and stereotyping: A functional perspective. *European review of social psychology*, 11(1), 105-144.
- Voci, A. ve Hewstone, M. (2003). Intergroup contact and prejudice toward immigrants in Italy: The mediational role of anxiety and the moderational role of group salience. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, 6(1), 37-54.
- Wilder, D. A. (1978). Predictability of behaviors, goals, and unit of perception. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 4(4), 604-607.
- Wilder, D. A. (1984). Predictions of belief homogeneity and similarity following social categorization. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 23(4), 323-333.
- Wittenbrink, B., Judd, C. M. ve Park, B. (2001). Spontaneous prejudice in context: Variability in automatically activated attitudes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 81(5), 815-827.
- Wojciszke, B. (1994). Multiple meanings of behavior: Construing actions in terms of competence and morality. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 67(2), 222-232.
- Wojciszke, B. (2005). Morality and competence in person- and self-perception. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 16(1), 155- 188.
- Wood, W. ve Eagly, A.H. (2010). Gender. S. T. Fiske, D. T. Gilbert ve G. Lindzey (Ed), *Handbook of Social Psychology* (Cilt 1, beşinci baskı, s. 629-667). Wiley.
- Word, C. O., Zanna, M. P. ve Cooper, J. (1974). The nonverbal mediation of self-fulfilling prophecies in interracial interaction. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 10(2), 109-120.
- Yzerbyt, V. Y., Nicolas, K. ve Judd, C. M. (2008). Compensation versus halo: The

- unique relations between the fundamental dimensions of social judgment. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 34(8), 1110 - 1123.
- Yzerbyt, V. Y., Provost, V. ve Corneille, O. (2005). Not so competent but warm ... really? Compensatory stereotypes in the French-speaking world. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, 8(3), 291-308.
- Yzerbyt, V. Y., Rogier, A. and Fiske, S. (1998). Group entitativity and social attribution: on translating situational constraints into stereotypes. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 24(10), 1090-104.
- Yzerbyt, V. Y., & Rocher, S. (2002). Subjective essentialism and the emergence of stereotypes. C. McGarty, V. Y. Yzerbyt ve R. Spears (Ed), *Stereotypes as Explanations: The Formation of Meaningful Beliefs About Social Groups* (s. 38-66) içinde. Cambridge University Press.
- Yzerbyt, V., Corneille, O. ve Estrada, C. (2001). The interplay of subjective essentialism and entitativity in the formation of stereotypes. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 5(2), 141-155.
- Zarate, M. A. ve Sandoval, P. (1995). The effects of contextual cues on making occupational and gender categorizations. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 34(4), 353-362.