

## Yoğun Bakımda Akut Böbrek Hasarı ve Renal Replasman Tedavisi

Ceren ÖNAL<sup>1</sup>

### | Tanım

Akut böbrek hasarı (ABH) günler hatta saatler içinde gelişebilen glomerüler filtrasyon hızının (GFH) ve/veya idrar çıkışının azalmasıdır. Üremik toksinlerin ve nitrojen yüküm ürünlerinin eliminasyonundaki ani azalma ile seyreden bu tablo eskiden *akut böbrek yetmezliği* olarak isimlendirilmiştir. Ancak son yıllarda yapılan standart tanımlamalar ile *akut böbrek hasarı* terimi kullanılmaya başlanmıştır. Çalışmalarda kullanılan tanı kriterlerindeki farklılıklar, sosyoekonomik düzeyi farklı ülkelerde sikliğin çok farklı olması ve bazı çalışmalarda idrar çıkışındaki azalmanın tanı kriteri olarak değerlendirilmemesi nedeniyle insidansı yapılan çalışmalarla farklılık göstermekle birlikte hastane başvurusunda %5-15 olan sıklık yoğun bakımda yatan hastalarda ise %15 ila 60 arasında değişkenlik göstermektedir(1-5).

ABH tanımı için geçmişte RIFLE (*risk, injury, failure, loss, end-stage kidney disease*) sınıflandırması ve AKIN (*Acute Kidney Injury Network*) tanımlaması mevcut iken 2012 yılında KDIGO (*Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes*) tarafından eski tanımlamaların harmonize edilmiş hali yayımlanmıştır (Tablo 1) (6). Serum kreatinin düzeyinde 48 saat içinde  $\geq 0,3$  mg/dl artış ve/veya son 7 gün içinde serum kreatinin değerinde bazale göre  $\geq 1,5$  kat artış ve/veya idrar çıkışının 6 saat süre ile  $<0.5$  ml/kg/sa olması akut böbrek hasarı olarak tanımlanmıştır.

<sup>1</sup> Uzm. Dr., Niğde Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Nefroloji Kliniği, cerenonal@gmail.com,  
0000-0003-2711-7156

faydalı olması için 24 saat neredeyse sürekli tedavinin devam etmesi gerekmektedir. Sıklıkla tromboza bağlı filtrede tikanma görülmektedir. Bu tip tedavi kesintileri etkinliği azaltmaktadır. KDIGO son kılavuzda SRRT'de atık hacminin 20-25 ml/kg/sa dozunda olmasını önermektedir(6) SRRT'de ultrafiltrasyon miktarı saatlik olarak ayarlanır. Anurik bir hastada 50 cc/sa net ultrafiltrasyon hedeflenmelidir. Hastanın saatlik aldığı sıvılar ve saatlik kaybı (idrar, drenaj sıvıları vs.) göz önünde bulundurularak net UF planlanmalıdır. Saatlik değişkenlikler göz önünde bulundurularak hastanın UF miktarı da aynı şekilde değiştirilebilir.

### | Sürekli Düşük Verimli Diyaliz

Uzun süreli aralıklı renal replasman tedavisi (*prolonged intermittent renal replacement therapies, PIRRT*) olarak da isimlendirilen sürekli düşük verimli diyaliz (*sustained low-efficiency dialysis-SLED*) tedavisi konvansiyonel hemodiyaliz cihazları kullanılarak uygulanan hibrit bir tedavi şeklidir. Hemodinamik fayda sağlamak amacıyla seanslar 6-18 saat uzunluğunda yapılır. Diyalizat akım hızı ise 100-300 ml/dk arasında değişebilir. SRRT gibi yüksek maliyetli olmaması ve hemodinamisi stabil olmayan hastalarda aralıklı HD'ye göre daha fazla tolere edilmesi nedeni ile tercih edilebilir(45-47). Yapılan çalışmalarda seans süresi, kan akım hızı ve diyalizat akım hızlarında farklılıklar bulunmaktadır. Bu nedenle tedavi reçetesi için hastanın hemodinamisi ve katabolizması göz önünde bulundurulmalıdır.

### | Kaynaklar

1. Nisula S, Kaukonen K-M, Vaara ST, et al. Incidence, risk factors and 90-day mortality of patients with acute kidney injury in Finnish intensive care units: the FINNAKI study. *Intensive care medicine*. 2013;39:420-428.
2. Srisawat N, Sileanu FE, Murugan R, et al. Variation in risk and mortality of acute kidney injury in critically ill patients: a multicenter study. *American Journal of Nephrology*. 2015;41(1):81-88.
3. Hoste EA, Bagshaw SM, Bellomo R, et al. Epidemiology of acute kidney injury in critically ill patients: the multinational AKI-EPI study. *Intensive care medicine*. 2015;41:1411-1423.
4. Hoste EA, Kellum JA, Selby NM, et al. Global epidemiology and outcomes of acute kidney injury. *Nature Reviews Nephrology*. 2018;14(10):607-625.
5. Lameire N, Van Biesen W, Vanholder R. The changing epidemiology of acute renal failure. *Nature clinical practice Nephrology*. 2006;2(7):364-377.
6. Khwaja A. KDIGO clinical practice guidelines for acute kidney injury. *Nephron Clinical Practice*. 2012;120(4):c179-c184.
7. Ostermann M, Bellomo R, Burdmann EA, et al. Controversies in acute kidney injury: conclusions from a Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Conference. *Kidney International*. 2020;98(2):294-309.
8. Koyner JL. Assessment and diagnosis of renal dysfunction in the ICU. *Chest*. 2012;141(6):1584-1594.

9. Zuk A, Bonventre JV. Acute kidney injury. *Annual review of medicine*. 2016;67:293-307.
10. Liano F, Pascual J, Group MARFS. Epidemiology of acute renal failure: a prospective, multicenter, community-based study. *Kidney International*. 1996;50(3):811-818.
11. Mark R, Marshall LAJ. Dialytic Management of Acute Kidney Injury and Intensive Care Unit Nephrology. In: Feehally J, editor. *Comprehensive clinical nephrology*. 6 ed. Edinburgh: Elsevier; 2019. p. 838-851.
12. Schley G, Klanke B, Schödel J, et al. Hypoxia-inducible transcription factors stabilization in the thick ascending limb protects against ischemic acute kidney injury. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*: JASN. 2011;22(11):2004.
13. Li Q-Y, Liu F, Tang X, et al. Renoprotective role of hypoxia-inducible factors and the mechanism. *Kidney Diseases*. 2022;8(1):44-56.
14. Fonseca CDD, Watanabe M, Couto SMF, et al. The renoprotective effects of Heme Oxygenase-1 during contrast-induced acute kidney injury in preclinical diabetic models. *Clinics*. 2021;76.
15. Uchino S, Kellum JA, Bellomo R, et al. Acute renal failure in critically ill patients: a multinational, multicenter study. *JAMA*. 2005;294(7):813-818.
16. Brivet FG, Kleinknecht DJ, Loirat P, et al. Acute renal failure in intensive care units--causes, outcome, and prognostic factors of hospital mortality: a prospective, multicenter study. *Critical care medicine*. 1996;24(2):192-198.
17. Mehta RL, Pascual MT, Gruta CG, et al. Refining predictive models in critically ill patients with acute renal failure. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*. 2002;13(5):1350-1357.
18. Schaefer J-H, Jochimsen F, Keller F, et al. Outcome prediction of acute renal failure in medical intensive care. *Intensive care medicine*. 1991;17:19-24.
19. Chertow GM, Christiansen CL, Cleary PD, et al. Prognostic stratification in critically ill patients with acute renal failure requiring dialysis. *Archives of internal medicine*. 1995;155(14):1505-1511.
20. Legrand M, Dupuis C, Simon C, et al. Association between systemic hemodynamics and septic acute kidney injury in critically ill patients: a retrospective observational study. *Critical care*. 2013;17(6):1-8.
21. Weisbord SD, Gallagher M, Kaufman J, et al. Prevention of contrast-induced AKI: a review of published trials and the design of the prevention of serious adverse events following angiography (PRESERVE) trial. *Clinical journal of the American Society of Nephrology*: CJASN. 2013;8(9):1618.
22. Brar SS, Aharonian V, Mansukhani P, et al. Haemodynamic-guided fluid administration for the prevention of contrast-induced acute kidney injury: the POSEIDON randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*. 2014;383(9931):1814-1823.
23. Goulden R, Rowe BH, Abrahamowicz M, et al. Association of intravenous radiocontrast with kidney function: a regression discontinuity analysis. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2021;181(6):767-774.
24. Mehran R, Dangas GD, Weisbord SD. Contrast-associated acute kidney injury. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2019;380(22):2146-2155.
25. Sever MS, Vanholder R. Management of crush victims in mass disasters: highlights from recently published recommendations. *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*. 2013;8(2):328-335.
26. Vanholder R, Sever MS, Erek E, et al. Rhabdomyolysis. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*. 2000;11(8):1553-1561.
27. Bouchard J, Soroko SB, Chertow GM, et al. Fluid accumulation, survival and recovery of kidney function in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury. *Kidney International*. 2009;76(4):422-427.
28. Lammi MR, Aiello B, Burg GT, et al. Response to fluid boluses in the fluid and catheter treatment trial. *Chest*. 2015;148(4):919-926.

29. Brater DC, Day B, Burdette A, et al. Bumetanide and furosemide in heart failure. *Kidney International*. 1984;26(2):183-189.
30. Salvador DRK, Rey NN, Ramos GG, et al. Continuous infusion versus bolus injection of loop diuretics in congestive heart failure. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2004(1).
31. Karedath J, Asif A, Tenu N, et al. Continuous Infusion Versus Bolus Injection of Loop Diuretics for Patients With Congestive Heart Failure: A Meta-Analysis. *Cureus*. 2023;15(2).
32. Zarbock A, Kellum JA, Schmidt C, et al. Effect of early vs delayed initiation of renal replacement therapy on mortality in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury: the ELAIN randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2016;315(20):2190-2199.
33. Karvellas CJ, Farhat MR, Sajjad I, et al. A comparison of early versus late initiation of renal replacement therapy in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Critical care*. 2011;15(1):1-10.
34. Wierstra BT, Kadri S, Alomar S, et al. The impact of “early” versus “late” initiation of renal replacement therapy in critical care patients with acute kidney injury: a systematic review and evidence synthesis. *Critical care*. 2016;20(1):1-13.
35. Gaudry S, Hajage D, Schortgen F, et al. Initiation strategies for renal-replacement therapy in the intensive care unit. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2016;375(2):122-133.
36. Koyner JL, Davison DL, Brasha-Mitchell E, et al. Furosemide stress test and biomarkers for the prediction of AKI severity. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology: JASN*. 2015;26(8):2023.
37. Vinsonneau C, Camus C, Combes A, et al. Continuous venovenous haemodiafiltration versus intermittent haemodialysis for acute renal failure in patients with multiple-organ dysfunction syndrome: a multicentre randomised trial. *The Lancet*. 2006;368(9533):379-385.
38. Nash DM, Przech S, Wald R, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of renal replacement therapy modalities for acute kidney injury in the intensive care unit. *Journal of critical care*. 2017;41:138-144.
39. Schneider AG, Bellomo R, Bagshaw SM, et al. Choice of renal replacement therapy modality and dialysis dependence after acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Intensive care medicine*. 2013;39:987-997.
40. Wald R, Shariff SZ, Adhikari NK, et al. The association between renal replacement therapy modality and long-term outcomes among critically ill adults with acute kidney injury: a retrospective cohort study. *Critical care medicine*. 2014;42(4):868-877.
41. Schwenger V, Weigand MA, Hoffmann O, et al. Sustained low efficiency dialysis using a single-pass batch system in acute kidney injury-a randomized interventional trial: the REenal Replacement Therapy Study in Intensive Care Unit PatiEnts. *Critical care*. 2012;16(4):1-9.
42. Wu V-C, Wang C-H, Wang W-J, et al. Sustained low-efficiency dialysis versus continuous veno-venous hemofiltration for postsurgical acute renal failure. *The American Journal of Surgery*. 2010;199(4):466-476.
43. Marshall MR, Creamer JM, Foster M, et al. Mortality rate comparison after switching from continuous to prolonged intermittent renal replacement for acute kidney injury in three intensive care units from different countries. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation*. 2011;26(7):2169-2175.
44. Blankenstein PJ, Verlooij RW, Hockham C, et al. Effect of hemodiafiltration or hemodialysis on mortality in kidney failure. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2023.
45. Marshall MR, Golper TA, Shaver MJ, et al. Sustained low-efficiency dialysis for critically ill patients requiring renal replacement therapy. *Kidney International*. 2001;60(2):777-785.
46. Berbecce A, Richardson R. Sustained low-efficiency dialysis in the ICU: cost, anticoagulation, and solute removal. *Kidney International*. 2006;70(5):963-968.
47. Kielstein JT, Kretschmer U, Ernst T, et al. Efficacy and cardiovascular tolerability of extended dialysis in critically ill patients: a randomized controlled study. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*. 2004;43(2):342-349.