

# BÖLÜM 9

## ADLI PSIKİYATRİ PERSPEKTİFİNDEN ÇEVİRİM İÇİ / SİBER SUÇLARA YAKLAŞIM

■ Yasin Hasan BALCIOĞLU<sup>1</sup>  
■ Fatih ÖNCÜ<sup>2</sup>

### GİRİŞ

İnternet, geleneksel suçların yeni biçimler alması için tamamen yeni fırsatlar ve ortamlar yaratmıştır. İnsana yönelik, mala yönelik ve kamu düzenine yönelik bir çok suç günümüzde internet ve diğer teknoloji imkanları vasıtasıyla gerçekleştirilebilmektedir. Yasadışı çevrim içi bahis oyunları, terör aktiviteleri, uyuşturucu/uyarıcı madde ticareti, çocuk pornografisi bulundurma, yayma, ve çocuklara ve erişkinlere yönelik diğer cinsel suçlar, hakaret, tehdit, ısrarlı takip, zorbalık ve diğer şiddet suçları, şantaj, kişisel bilgilerin ele geçirilmesi, dolandırıcılık ve soygun gibi pek çok geleneksel suç internet ortamında olmaktadır (1–4).

Teknoloji, bilginin anında ve geniş bir şekilde yayılmasını sağlarken internet ve uzaktan iletişim, taciz veya takip etmek için gereken çabayı, maliyeti ve enerjiyi büyük ölçüde azaltmaktadır. İnsana yönelik suçların teknoloji ve çevrim içi şartlarda artış göstermesinde internetin anlık kullanım kolaylığı ve yaygınlığı, mağdurla iletişim kurma imkanının daha rahat oluşu, sanal ortamda fantazi ve realite ayrımının ortadan kalkması, suç davranışında bulunanları cesaretlendiren anonimlik ve internette yayınlanan öğelerin kalıcılığı rol oynamaktadır (5–7). İnternet yoluyla gerçekleştirilen insana yönelik suçlarda suçlu mağduru çevrim içi olarak taklit edebilir, mağdur hakkında kolaylıkla bilgi toplayabilir, hakaret ve tehdit içerikli veya kışkırtıcı gönderiler yazabilir, mağdura cinsel veya şiddet içerikli uygunsuz görsel veya işitsel içerik veya

<sup>1</sup> Uzm. Dr. SBÜ Bakırköy Prof. Dr. Mazhar Osman Ruh Sağlığı ve Sinir Hastalıkları E.A. Hastanesi, Adli Psikiyatri E.A. Birimi, yhasanbalcioglu@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Prof. Dr. SBÜ Bakırköy Prof. Dr. Mazhar Osman Ruh Sağlığı ve Sinir Hastalıkları E.A. Hastanesi, Adli Psikiyatri E.A. Birimi, fatihoncu@yahoo.com

## KAYNAKLAR

1. Recupero PR. Forensic evaluation of problematic internet use. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law*. 2008;36(4):505–14.
2. Parti K, Dearden TE, Hawdon J. Understanding the Overlap of Online Offending and Victimization: Using Cluster Analysis to Examine Group Differences. *Vict Offenders*. 2022;17(5):712–34.
3. Chan EJ, McNiel DE, Binder RL. Sex offenders in the digital age. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law*. 2016;44(3):368–75.
4. Lavorgna A. Internet-mediated drug trafficking: towards a better understanding of new criminal dynamics. *Trends Organ Crime*. 2014;17(4):250–70.
5. Ruedy MC. Repercussions of a MySpace Teen Suicide: Should Anti-Cyberbullying Laws Be Created. *North Carolina J Law Technol*. 2008;9(2):323–46.
6. McGrath MG, Casey E. Forensic psychiatry and the internet: Practical perspectives on sexual predators and obsessional harassers in cyberspace. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law*. 2002;30(1):81–94.
7. Carabellese F, Candelli C, Barbieri C, Catanesi R. Internet mediated crimes and theoretical approaches. *J Forensic Psychiatry Psychol*. 2015;26(1):1–10.
8. Goodno NH. Cyberstalking, a New Crime: Evaluating the Effectiveness of Current State and Federal Laws. *Miss Law Rev*. 2007;72(1):125–97.
9. Hawdon J. Applying differential association theory to online hate groups: a theoretical statement. *Finnish J Soc Res*. 2012 Dec 15;5:39–47.
10. Peterson J, Densley J. Cyber violence: What do we know and where do we go from here? *Aggress Violent Behav*. 2017;34:193–200.
11. Grigg DW. Cyber-aggression: Definition and concept of cyberbullying. *Aust J Guid Couns*. 2010;20(2):143–56.
12. Schoffstall CL, Cohen R. Cyber aggression: The relation between online offenders and offline social competence. *Soc Dev*. 2011;20(3):587–604.
13. Choi K, Nair M. Cyberstalking and the Internet age. In: Rosner R, Scott CL, editors. *Principles and Practice of Forensic Psychiatry*. 3rd editio. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2017. p. 675–80.
14. Kowalski RM, Limber SP, McCord A. A developmental approach to cyberbullying: Prevalence and protective factors. *Aggress Violent Behav*. 2019;45(February 2018):20–32.
15. Paat YF, Markham C. Digital crime, trauma, and abuse: Internet safety and cyber risks for adolescents and emerging adults in the 21st century. *Soc Work Ment Health*. 2021;19(1):18–40.
16. Parkhill AJ, Nixon M, McEwan TE. A critical analysis of stalking theory and implications for research and practice. *Behav Sci Law*. 2022;40(5):562–83.
17. Miller L. Stalking: Patterns, motives, and intervention strategies. *Aggress Violent Behav*. 2012 Nov 1;17(6):495–506.
18. Mohandie K, Meloy J, McGowan MG, Williams J. The RECON typology of stalking: Reliability and validity based upon a large sample of North American stalkers. *J Forensic Sci*. 2006 Jan;51(1):147–55.
19. Mohandie K. Stalking. *Wiley Encycl Forensic Sci*. 2013 Mar 15;1–4.
20. McEwan TE, Mullen PE, Purcell R. Identifying risk factors in stalking: A review of current research. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*. 2007; 30: 1-9.
21. Carlson MJ, Harris SD, Holden GW. Protective orders and domestic violence: Risk factors for re-abuse. *J Fam Violence*. 1999 Jun;14(2):205–26.
22. Sheridan L, Davies GM. Violence and the prior victim-stalker relationship. *Crim Behav Ment Heal*. 2001;11(2):102–16.
23. Nijdam-Jones A, Rosenfeld B, Gerbrandij J, Quick E, Galietta M. Psychopathology of Stalking Offenders: Examining the Clinical, Demographic, and Stalking Characteristics of a Community-Based Sample. *Crim Justice Behav*. 2018;45(5):712–31.

24. Mullen PE, Mackenzie R, Ogloff JRP, Pathé M, McEwan T, Purcell R. Assessing and managing the risks in the stalking situation. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law*. 2006;34(4):439–50.
25. McEwan TE, Daffern M, MacKenzie RD, Ogloff JRP. Risk factors for stalking violence, persistence, and recurrence. *J Forensic Psychiatry Psychol*. 2017;28(1):38–56.
26. Churcher FP, Nesca M. Risk Factors for Violence in Stalking Perpetration: A Meta-Analysis. *FWU J Soc Sci*. 2013;7(2):100–12.
27. Cavezza C, McEwan TE. Cyberstalking versus off-line stalking in a forensic sample. *Psychol Crime Law*. 2014;20(10):955–70.
28. Dressing H, Salize HJ. Pathways to psychiatric care in european prison systems. *Behav Sci Law*. 2009 Sep;27(5):801–10.
29. Sheridan LP, Grant T. Is cyberstalking different? *Psychol Crime Law*. 2007 Dec;13(6):627–40.
30. Recupero PR. The mental status examination in the age of the internet. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law*. 2010;38(1):15–26.
31. Blum AW, Grant JE. Legal aspects of problematic Internet usage. *Curr Opin Behav Sci*. 2022;45:101142.
32. Shapira NA, Goldsmith TD, Keck PE, Khosla UM, McElroy SL. Psychiatric features of individuals with problematic internet use. *J Affect Disord*. 2000;57(1–3):267–72.
33. Yen JY, Ko CH, Yen CF, Wu HY, Yang MJ. The Comorbid Psychiatric Symptoms of Internet Addiction: Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), Depression, Social Phobia, and Hostility. *J Adolesc Heal*. 2007;41(1):93–8.
34. Lerner V, Libov I, Witztum E. "Internet delusions": The impact of technological developments on the content of psychiatric symptoms. *Isr J Psychiatry Relat Sci*. 2006;43(1):47–51.
35. Elliott IA, Beech AR, Mandeville-Norden R, Hayes E. Psychological profiles of internet sexual offenders: Comparisons with contact sexual offenders. *Sex Abus J Res Treat*. 2009;21(1):76–92.
36. Babchishin KM, Hanson RK, Hermann CA. The characteristics of online sex offenders: A meta-analysis. *Sex Abus J Res Treat*. 2011;23(1):92–123.
37. Elliott IA, Beech AR, Mandeville-Norden R. The Psychological Profiles of Internet, Contact, and Mixed Internet/Contact Sex Offenders. *Sex Abus J Res Treat*. 2013;25(1):3–20.
38. Temporini H. Child Pornography and the Internet. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*. 2012;35(4):821–35.
39. Klein CA. Digital and divergent: Sexual behaviors on the internet. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law*. 2014;42(4):495–503.
40. Jung S, Ennis L, Malesky LA. Child Pornography Offending Seen Through Three Theoretical Lenses. *Deviant Behav*. 2012;33(8):655–73.
41. Babchishin KM, Hanson RK, VanZuylen H. Online Child Pornography Offenders are Different: A Meta-analysis of the Characteristics of Online and Offline Sex Offenders Against Children. *Arch Sex Behav*. 2015;44(1):45–66.
42. Wild TSN, Fromberger P, Jordan K, Müller I, Müller JL. Web-based health services in forensic psychiatry: A review of the use of the internet in the treatment of child sexual abusers and child sexual exploitation material offenders. *Front Psychiatry*. 2019 Feb 4;10(FEB):763.
43. Lee AF, Li NC, Lamade R, Schuler A, Prentky RA. Predicting hands-on child sexual offenses among possessors of internet child pornography. *Psychol Public Policy, Law*. 2012;18(4):644–72.
44. Sambaraju R, McVittie C. Examining abuse in online media. *Soc Personal Psychol Compass*. 2020;14(3):1–11.
45. Perenc L. Psychopathic personality disorder and cybercriminality: an outline of the issue. *Curr Issues Personal Psychol*. 2022;10(4):253–64.
46. McEwan TE, Strand S. The role of psychopathology in stalking by adult strangers and acquaintances. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2013;47(6):546–55.

47. Meloy JR, Fisher H. Some Thoughts on the Neurobiology of Stalking. *J Forensic Sci.* 2005;50(6):1–9.
48. Whyte S, Petch E, Penny C, Reiss D. Factors associated with stalking behaviour in patients admitted to a high security hospital. *J Forensic Psychiatry Psychol.* 2007;18(1):16–22.
49. Mullen PE, Pathé M, Purcell R, Stuart GW. Study of stalkers. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1999;156(8):1244–9.
50. Stokes M, Newton N, Kaur A. Stalking, and social and romantic functioning among adolescents and adults with autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2007;37(10):1969–86.
51. Kamphuis JH, Emmelkamp PMG. Stalking- A contemporary challenge for forensic and clinical psychiatry. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2000;176(MAR.):206–9.
52. Dressing H, Kuehner C, Gass P. The epidemiology and characteristics of stalking. *Curr Opin Psychiatry.* 2006;19(4):395–9.
53. Meloy JR, Rivers L, Siegel L, Gothard S, Naimark D, Nicolini JR. A Replication Study of Obsessional Followers and Offenders with Mental Disorders. *J Forensic Sci.* 2000;45(1):14649J.
54. James D V., Mullen PE, Pathé MT, Meloy JR, Farnham FR, Preston L, et al. Attacks on the British Royal family: The role of psychotic illness. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law.* 2008;36(1):59–67.
55. James D V., Mullen PE, Pathé MT, Meloy JR, Preston LF, Darnley B, et al. Stalkers and harassers of royalty: The role of mental illness and motivation. *Psychol Med.* 2009;39(9):1479–90.
56. Kienlen KK, Birmingham DL, Solberg KB, O'Regan JT, Meloy JR. A comparative study of psychotic and nonpsychotic stalking. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law.* 1997;25(3):317–34.
57. Hunter A. High-tech Rascality: Asperger's Syndrome, Hackers, Geeks, and Personality Types in the ICT Industry. *New Zeal Sociol.* 2009;23(1):39–61.
58. Kirwan G, Power A. Psychology of cybercrime. In: *Cybercrime*. Cambridge University Press; 2013. p. 1–27.
59. Elizondo P, McNiel DE, Binder R. A review of statutes and the role of the forensic psychiatrist in cyberstalking involving youth. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law.* 2019;47(2):198–207.
60. Giorgi-Guarnieri D, Janofsky J, Keram E, Lawsky S, Merideth P, Mossman D, et al. AAPL practice guideline for forensic psychiatric evaluation of defendants raising the insanity defense. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law.* 2002;30(2 SUPPL.):S3–S40.
61. Metzner JL, Ash P. Commentary: The mental status examination in the age of the internet- Challenges and opportunities. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law.* 2010;38(1):27–31.
62. Naslund JA, Bondre A, Torous J, Aschbrenner KA. Social Media and Mental Health: Benefits, Risks, and Opportunities for Research and Practice. *J Technol Behav Sci.* 2020;5(3):245–57.
63. Monteith S, Bauer M, Alda M, Geddes J, Whybrow PC, Glenn T. Increasing Cybercrime Since the Pandemic: Concerns for Psychiatry. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2021;23(4).
64. Buil-Gil D, Miró-Llinares F, Moneva A, Kemp S, Díaz-Castaño N. Cybercrime and shifts in opportunities during COVID-19: a preliminary analysis in the UK. *Eur Soc.* 2021;23(S1):S47–59.
65. Recupero PR. Forensic Psychiatry and the Internet. In: Simon RI, Gold LH, editors. *The American Psychiatric Publishing Textbook of Forensic Psychiatry*. 2nd ed. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association Publishing; 2010. p. 587–615.
66. Soysal H. Ceza Hukukunda Adli Psikiyatri / Ceza Hukukunda Mağdurların Adli Psikiyatrik Değerlendirilmesi. In: Uygur N, editor. *Adli Psikiyatri Uygulama Kılavuzu*. 4. Baskı. Ankara: Türkiye Psikiyatri Derneği Yayınları; 2022. p. 66–79.
67. Stevens F, Nurse JRC, Arief B. Cyber Stalking, Cyber Harassment, and Adult Mental Health: A Systematic Review. *Cyberpsychology, Behav Soc Netw.* 2021;24(6):367–76.
68. Quintana-Orts C, Rey L, Neto F. Beyond Cyberbullying: Investigating When and How Cybervictimization Predicts Suicidal Ideation. *J Interpers Violence.* 2022 Jan 1;37(1–2):935–57.