



## KRİPTOJENİK İSKEMİK İNMEDE TEDAVİ YAKLAŞIMI

Muttalip ÖZBEK<sup>1</sup>

### GİRİŞ

Dünya Sağlık Örgütü'nün tanımlamasına göre inme; vasküler nedenler dışında görünür bir neden olmaksızın, fokal serebral fonksiyon kaybına ait belirti ve bulguların hızla yerleşmesi ile karakterize klinik bir sendromdur. İnme, iskemik ve hemorajik kökenli olmak üzere iki başlık altında incelenmektedir. Tüm inmelerin yaklaşık %80-85 'i iskemik, %15-20'si hemorajiktir (1).

İskemik inmelerin çoğu kardiyoemboliye, büyük damar ateroskleroz emboliğine, küçük damar oklüzyonlarına veya diğer bilinmeyen mekanizmalara bağlı olarak oluşmaktadır. Standart değerlendirmelerde muhtemel bir etiyoloji saptanamayan grup ise kriptojenik inme olarak sınıflandırılmaktadır (2). İnme sınıflamasında Trial of Org 10172' in Acute Stroke Treatment sınıflaması yaygın olarak kullanılmaktadır. Bu sınıflamada inme etiyolojileri; büyük damar hastalığı, kardiyoembolik inme, küçük damar hastalığı, diğer sebepler ve kriptojenik iskemik inme (Kİİ) şeklinde sınıflandırılmıştır (3).

TOAST sınıflamasında da belirtildiği üzere iskemik inme hastalarının yaklaşık %15-40'ında bir inme nedeni bulunamamaktadır. Bu grup kriptojenik inme hastalarını oluşturur. Kİİ' de nedenin bulunamamasını 3 başlık altında toplamak mümkündür. Bunun birinci nedeni yapılan tüm araştırmalara karşı inmeden sorumlu bir etyolojinin saptanamamasıdır. Kİİ grubundaki hastaların bir kısmında beynin farklı arter sulama alanlarında emboli, sistemik embolizm veya intrak-

<sup>1</sup> Araş. Gör., Dicle Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Nöroloji AD., ozbekmuttalip21@gmail.com

2019’da Nouh ve ark. iskemik inme ile başvuran hastalarda gizli maligniteyi düşündüren radyografik “üç bölge işareti”ni tanımlamıştır (66). Raporlarında, bilateral hemisferlerde ve arka dolaşımında çakışan iskemik lezyonlarının varlığının, AF dahil diğer inme etiyojileriyle karşılaştırıldığında gizli kanser için %96.4’lük bir özgülüğü vardı (67). 2014’te Guo ve meslektaşları, görüntüleme de çoklu bölge enfarktlerinin kombinasyonunu D-dimer seviyeleri  $\geq 0,55$  mg/L ile kanserle ilişkili inme için %99,7 özgülük ve %92,9 pozitif öngörü değeri ile ilişkilendirdiler (68). Bu nedenle, birden çok vasküler bölgede enfarktüs kanıtı, anormal inflamatuvar belirteçler ve hastayla ilgili bir veya daha fazla yüksek riskli faktör (örn. yaş  $\geq 65$  yaş, açıklanamayan kilo kaybı, sigara veya aile öyküsü) varsa yaşa uygun kanser taraması ve ayrıca göğüs, karın ve pelvis tomografi ile başlayabilir. Bununla birlikte, malignitesi olduğu bilinen hastalarda değerlendirme, olası derin ven trombozunu değerlendirmek için üst ve alt ekstremitte ultrason flebografisini (sağdan sola şant varsa) ve marantik endokardit kanıtı aramak için TEE’ yi içermelidir. Çünkü bu bulgulardan herhangi birinin varlığı antikoagülasyon tedavisini gerektirecektir.

Antikoagülasyon tedavi endike ise, düşük moleküler ağırlıklı heparin (LMWH), varfarin tedavisine kıyasla etkin ve güvenli olduğundan, kanserle ilişkili venöz tromboemboli için tercih edilen birinci basamak tedavi olmuştur. Bununla birlikte, son yıllarda, YOAK grubu ilaçlara karşılaştırılabilir etkinlikleri ve kullanım kolaylıkları nedeniyle büyük önem verilmiştir. İlk araştırmalar rivaroxaban ve edoxaban gibi ajanların kanserle ilişkili tromboz için kullanımını destekledi, ancak majör kanama endişeleri nedeniyle sınırlı kaldı. Bununla birlikte, apixabanı LMWH(dalteparin) ile karşılaştıran yeni bir çok uluslu randomize çalışma, artmış majör kanama riski olmadan apiksabanın etkinliğinin deltaparinden aşağı olmadığını göstermiştir. Bu nedenle apiksaban, kansere bağlı tromboembolizm için DMAH’ye bir alternatif olarak düşünülebilir. Bununla birlikte, net bir emboli kaynağının yokluğunda, antiplatelet tedavi, malignite ve iskemik inmeli hastalarda standart tedavi olmaya devam etmektedir (69, 70).

## KAYNAKLAR

1. Nöroloji Temel Kitabı güneş tıp kitapevi:2013 sayfa 692
2. Saver JL. Clinical Practice. Cryptogenic Stroke. N Engl J Med 2016;374:2065
3. Alexandru R, Terecoasa EO, Bajenaru OA, Tiu C. Etiologic classification of ischemic stroke: where do we stand? Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2017;159:93-106.
4. Nöroloji Temel Kitabı güneş tıp kitapevi:2013 sayfa 694
5. Rizos T, Güntner J, Jenetzky E, et al. Continuous stroke unit electrocardiographic monitoring versus 24-hour Holter electrocardiography for detection of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation after stroke. Stroke 2012;43:2689-2694.

6. Levy S, Novella P, Ricard P, Paganelli F. Paroxysmal A trial fibrillation: a need for classification. *J Cardiovasc Electr* 1995;6:69-74.
7. Furie KL, Kasner SE, Adams RJ, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke or transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 2011;42:227-276.
8. Nöroloji Temel Kitabı güneş tıp kitapevi:2013 sayfa 694
9. January CT, Wann LS, Alpert JS, et al; ACC/AHA Task Force Members. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Circulation*. 2014;130:2071–2104
10. Passman R, Bernstein RA. New appraisal of atrial fibrillation burden and stroke prevention. *Stroke*. 2016;47:570–576.
11. Camm AJ, Lip GY, De Caterina R, Savelieva I, Atar D, Hohnloser SH . 2012 focused update of the ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation. An update of the 2010 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation. *Europace* 14:1385–413, 2012.
12. Brignole M, Vardas P, Hoffman E, Huikuri H, Moya A, Ricci R Sulke N, Wieling W. Indications for the use of diagnostic implantable and external ECG loop recorders. *Europace*11:671–87, 2009
13. Seet RC, Paul A, Friedman PA, Rabinstein AA. Prolonged rhythm monitoring for the detection of occult paroxysmal atrial fibrillation in ischemic stroke of unknown cause. *Circulation* 124:477–86, 2011.
14. Göksu EÖ, Yüksel B, Esin M, et al. The value of STAF (Score for the Targeting of Atrial Fibrillation) in Patients with Cryptogenic Embolic Stroke. *Arch Neuropsychiatry* 2019;56:119-122.
15. Andrade JG. MY APPROACH to atrial fibrillation: rate vs rhythm control. *Trends Cardiovasc Med*. 2017;27(3):226–227
16. Pellman J, Sheikh F. Atrial fibrillation: mechanisms, therapeutics, and future directions. *Compr Physiol*. 2015;5(2):ae649–665
17. Piccini JP, Fauchier L. Rhythm control in atrial fibrillation. *Lancet*. 2016;388(10046):829–840
18. Prystowsky EN, Padanilam BJ, Fogel RI. Treatment of atrial fibrillation. *J Am Med Assoc*. 2015;341(3):278–288.
19. Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D. et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. *Europace*. 2016;18(11):1609–1678.
20. Law SWY, Lau WCY, Wong ICK. Sex-based differences in outcomes of oral anticoagulation in patients with atrial fibrillation. et al. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;72(3):271–282.
21. Prystowsky EN, Padanilam BJ. Preserve the brain: primary goal in the therapy of atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;62(6):540–542.
22. Ruff CT, Giugliano RP, Braunwald E. et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2014;383(9921):955–962.
23. Ben Freedman S, Gersh BJ, Lip GY. Misperceptions of aspirin efficacy and safety may perpetuate anticoagulant underutilization in atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36(11):653–656
24. Lip GY. The role of aspirin for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2011;8(10):602–606.
25. Ganesan AN, Shipp NJ, Brooks AG. et al. Long-term outcomes of catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2013;2(2):e004549
26. Khan AR, Khan S, Sheikh MA. et al. Catheter ablation and antiarrhythmic drug therapy as first-or second-line therapy in the management of atrial fibrillation: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2014;7(5):853–860
27. Morillo CA, Verma A, Connolly SJ. et al. Radiofrequency ablation vs antiarrhythmic drugs as first-line treatment of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (RAAFT-2) a randomized trial. *J Am Med*

Assoc. 2014;311(7):692–700

28. Cappato R, Calkins H, Chen SA. et al. Updated worldwide survey on the methods, efficacy and safety of catheter ablation for human atrial fibrillation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2010;3(1):32–38
29. Jin ES, Wang PJ. Cryoballoon ablation for atrial fibrillation: a comprehensive review and practice guide. *Korean Circ J.* 2018;48(2):114–123
30. Akkaya E, Berkowitsch A, Zaltsberg S. et al. Second-generation cryoballoon ablation as a first-line treatment of symptomatic atrial fibrillation: Two-year outcome and predictors of recurrence after a single procedure. *Int J Cardiol.* 2018;259:76–81.
31. Chen YH, Lu ZY, Xiang Y. et al. Cryoablation vs radiofrequency ablation for treatment of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Europace.* 2017;19(5):784–794.
32. Blackshear JL, Odell JA. Appendage obliteration to reduce stroke in cardiac surgical patients with atrial fibrillation. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1996;61(2):755–759
33. Kosturakis R, Price MJ. Current state of left atrial appendage closure. *Curr Cardiol Rep.* 2018;20(6):42.
34. David R, Holmes JR, Shephal KD. et al. Left atrial appendage closure as an alternative to warfarin for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: a patient-level meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015;65(24):2614–2623
35. Koifman E, Lipinski MJ, Escarcega RO. et al. Comparison of Watchman device with new oral anti-coagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation: a network meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol.* 2016;205:17–22
36. Geva T, Martins JD, Wald RM. Atrial septal defects. *Lancet.* 2014 May;383(9932):1921–32.
37. Hagen PT, Scholz DG, Edwards WD. Incidence and size of patent foramen ovale during the first 10 decades of life: an autopsy study of 965 normal hearts. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 1984 Jan;59(1):17–20.
38. Saver JL, Mattle HP, Thaler D. Patent Foramen Ovale Closure Versus Medical Therapy for Cryptogenic Ischemic Stroke: A Topical Review. *Stroke.* 2018 Jun;49(6):1541–8.
39. Kent DM, Ruthazer R, Weimar C, et al.. An index to identify stroke-related vs incidental patent foramen ovale in cryptogenic stroke. *Neurology* 2013;81:619–25. 10.1212/WNL.0b013e-3182a08d59
40. Mojadidi MK, Winoker JS, Roberts SC, et al.. Accuracy of conventional transthoracic echocardiography for the diagnosis of intracardiac right-to-left shunt: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Echocardiography* 2014;31:1036–48. 10.1111/echo.12583
41. Van Walraven C, Hart RG, Singer DE, Laupacis A, Connolly S, Petersen P, et al. Oral anticoagulants vs aspirin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: An individual patient meta-analysis. *Jama.* 2002;288:2441–2448.
42. Vaitkus PT, Barnathan ES. Embolic potential, prevention and management of mural thrombus complicating anterior myocardial infarction: A meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1993;22:1004–1009.
43. Takaya N, Yuan C, Chu B, Saam T, Underhill H, Cai J, et al. Association between carotid plaque characteristics and subsequent ischemic cerebrovascular events: a prospective assessment with MRI—initial results. *Stroke.* 2006;37(3):818–823. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000204638.91099.91
44. Hyafil F, Schindler A, Sepp D, Obenhuber T, Bayer-Karpinska A, Boeckh-Behrens T, et al. High-risk plaque features can be detected in non-stenotic carotid plaques of patients with ischaemic stroke classified as cryptogenic using combined (18)F-FDG PET/MR imaging. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2016;43(2):270–279. doi: 10.1007/s00259-015-3201-8.
45. Kassem M, Florea A, Mottaghy FM, van Oostenbrugge R, Kooi ME. Magnetic resonance imaging of carotid plaques: current status and clinical perspectives. *Ann Transl Med.* 2020;8(19):1266. doi: 10.21037/atm-2020-cass-16.

46. Chimowitz MI, Lynn MJ, Howlett-Smith H, Stern BJ, Hertzberg VS, Frankel MR, et al. Comparison of warfarin and aspirin for symptomatic intracranial arterial stenosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;352(13):1305–1316
47. Amarenco P, Cohen A, Tzourio C, Bertrand B, Hommel M, Besson G, et al. Atherosclerotic disease of the aortic arch and the risk of ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med.* 1994;331(22):1474–1479
48. Tunick PA, Nayar AC, Goodkin GM, Mirchandani S, Francescone S, Rosenzweig BP, et al. Effect of treatment on the incidence of stroke and other emboli in 519 patients with severe thoracic aortic plaque. *Am J Cardiol.* 2002;90(12):1320–1325
49. Dressler FA, Craig WR, Castello R, Labovitz AJ. Mobile aortic atheroma and systemic emboli: efficacy of anticoagulation and influence of plaque morphology on recurrent stroke. *J Am Coll Cardiol*
50. Amarenco P, Davis S, Jones EF, Cohen AA, Heiss WD, Kaste M, et al. Clopidogrel plus aspirin versus warfarin in patients with stroke and aortic arch plaques. *Stroke.* 2014;45(5):1248–1257
51. Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, Adeoye OM, Bambakidis NC, Becker K, et al. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: 2019 update to the 2018 guidelines for the early management of acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke.* 2019;50(12):e344–e418 doi: 10.1161/STR.0000000000000211.
52. Siow I, Lee KS, Zhang JYY, Saffari SE, Ng A, Young B. Stroke as a neurological complication of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of incidence, outcomes and predictors. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2021;30(3):105549. Review and meta-analysis of 30 international studies discussing the incidence, predictors and mortality of COVID 19-related ischemic stroke.
53. Merkle AE, Parikh NS, Mir S, Gupta A, Kamel H, Lin E, et al. Risk of ischemic stroke in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vs patients with influenza. *JAMA Neurol.* 2020. This analysis from a single academic medical center during the height of the corona virus pandemic provides evidence that the risk of stroke after COVID-19 is higher than it was after influenza during prior years.
54. Yamakawa M, Kuno T, Mikami T, Takagi H, Gronseth G. Clinical characteristics of stroke with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2020;29(12):105288.
55. Yaghi S, Ishida K, Torres J, Mac Grory B, Raz E, Humbert K, et al. SARS-CoV-2 and Stroke in a New York Healthcare System. *Stroke.* 2020;51(7):2002–2011.
56. Ramos-Araque ME, Siegler JE, Ribo M, Requena M, Lopez C, de Lera M, et al. Stroke etiologies in patients with COVID-19: the SVIN COVID-19 multinational registry. *BMC Neurol.* 2021;21(1):43
57. Virani SS, Alonso A, Aparicio HJ, Benjamin EJ, Bittencourt MS, Callaway CW, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2021 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2021;143(8):e254–e743. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000950.
58. Haeusler KG, Laufs U, Endres M. Chronic heart failure and ischemic stroke. *Stroke.* 2011;42(10):2977–2982. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.628479.
59. Kang SH, Kim J, Park JJ, Oh IY, Yoon CH, Kim HJ, et al. Risk of stroke in congestive heart failure with and without atrial fibrillation. *Int J Cardiol.* 2017;248:182–187. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.07.056.
60. Homma S, Thompson JL, Pullicino PM, Levin B, Freudenberger RS, Teerlink JR, et al. Warfarin and aspirin in patients with heart failure and sinus rhythm. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366(20):1859–1869. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1202299.
61. Zannad F, Anker SD, Byra WM, Cleland JGF, Fu M, Gheorghide M, et al. Rivaroxaban in patients with heart failure, sinus rhythm, and coronary disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379(14):1332–1342. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1808848.
62. Mehra MR, Vaduganathan M, Fu M, Ferreira JP, Anker SD, Cleland JGF, et al. A comprehensive

analysis of the effects of rivaroxaban on stroke or transient ischaemic attack in patients with heart failure, coronary artery disease, and sinus rhythm: the COMMANDER HF trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2019;40(44):3593–3602. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz427

63. Graus F, Rogers LR, Posner JB. Cerebrovascular complications in patients with cancer. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 1985;64(1):16–35. doi: 10.1097/00005792-198501000-00002
64. Howlader N, Noone A, Krapcho M, Miller D, Bishop K, Altekruse S, et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975–2013.: National Cancer Institute. Bethesda, MD; 2016.
65. Kneihsl M, Enzinger C, Wunsch G, Khalil M, Culea V, Urbanic-Purkart T, et al. Poor short-term outcome in patients with ischaemic stroke and active cancer. *J Neurol*. 2016;263(1):150–156. doi: 10.1007/s00415-015-7954-6.
66. Nouh AM, Staff I, Finelli PF. Three Territory Sign: An MRI marker of malignancy-related ischemic stroke (Trousseau syndrome) *Neurol Clin Pract*. 2019;9(2):124–128. doi: 10.1212/CPJ.0000000000000603.
67. Dardiotis E, Aloizou AM, Markoula S, Siokas V, Tsarouhas K, Tzanakakis G, et al. Cancer-associated stroke: pathophysiology, detection and management (Review) *Int J Oncol*. 2019;54(3):779–796.
68. Guo YJ, Chang MH, Chen PL, Lee YS, Chang YC, Liao YC. Predictive value of plasma (D)-dimer levels for cancer-related stroke: a 3-year retrospective study. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2014;23(4):e249–e254. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2013.10.022
69. Farge D, Frere C, Connors JM, Ay C, Khorana AA, Munoz A, et al. 2019 international clinical practice guidelines for the treatment and prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer. *Lancet Oncol*. 2019;20(10):e566–e581. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30336-5
70. Key NS, Khorana AA, Kuderer NM, Bohlke K, Lee AYY, Arcelus JI, et al. Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis and treatment in patients with cancer: ASCO clinical practice guideline update. *J Clin Oncol*. 2020;38(5):496–520. doi: 10.1200/JCO.19.01461.