

BÖLÜM 6

PROVİZYONEL STENTLEME TEKNİĞİ

[Yalçın AVCI](#)
[Enes ARSLAN](#)

1.Giriş

Bifurkasyon lezyonlarının tedavisi, koroner müda-halelerin yaklaşık %15'ini oluşturur ve sonuç ola-rak büyük klinik ilgi konusudur (1-7). İlaç salınımlı stentlerin kullanıldığı perkütan koroner girişim (PKG), koroner arter hastalığı olan hastalarda klinik sonuçları önemli ölçüde iyileştirmiştir (8). Bunun-la birlikte, koroner bifurkasyon lezyonlarına stent takılması, bifurkasyon olmayan lezyonlarla karşı-laştırıldığında daha sık stent trombozu ve tekrar revaskülarizasyon dahil olmak üzere optimal ol-mayan klinik sonuçlarla ilişkilidir (9). Koroner bi-furkasyon lezyonlarının optimal tedavisi, ilk büyük randomize klinik çalışmanın yayınlanmasından 15 yıl sonra bile hala tartışma konusu olmaya devam etmektedir (9). Basit olarak ifade edecek olursak, provizyonel stentleme (PS) teknlığında önce yan

dal boyunca ana dala stent yerleştirilir, sonra sonu-ca göre yan dala balon veya stent tedavisi uygula-nır (9). Bazı lezyonlarda proksimal ana damardan yan dala doğru uzanacak şekilde stent takılması daha uygun olabilir. Bu teknik inverted PS olarak adlandırılır (10). Son dönemlerde özellikle Medina 0.0.1 lezyonlarında, inverted PS tekniğinin en uy-gun yaklaşım olduğu belirtilmiştir (11). Günümüzde PS teknigi, bifurkasyon lezyonları tedavisi için öne-rilen stratejidir (12,13). Aslında PS stratejisi bifur-kasyon anatomisini yeniden yapılandırmaya yö-ne-lük bir teknikten ziyade bir tedavi felsefesidir (14). PS stratejisinde unutulmaması gereken en önemli unsur, uzun dönemli sonuçların ana dala başarılı stent implantasyonu ile ilişkili olduğunu dır. Yan dal-daki anjiografik sonuçtan çok daha önemli olan şey ana daldaki stentinin optimizasyonudur (15).

balon veya POT side POT tekniği uygulaması işlenin başarı şansını arttırır. PS'de yan dal etkilenmesini en azı indirmek için jailed-wire tekniğinden başka jailed-balon tekniği ve diğer modifiye teknikler uygulanabilir. İşlemi yapan operatör provizyonel yaklaşımında yan dal akımının bozulması durumunda uygulanması gereken çift stent teknikleri konusunda tecrübe olmalıdır.

Kaynaklar

1. Hildick-Smith D, de Belder AJ, Cooter N, et al. Randomized trial of simple versus complex drug-eluting stenting for bifurcation lesions: the British Bifurcation Coronary Study: old, new, and evolving strategies. *Circulation*. 2010;121(10): 1235-43. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.888297.
2. Maeng M, Holm NR, Erglis A, et al. Long-term results after simple versus complex stenting of coronary artery bifurcation lesions: Nordic Bifurcation Study 5-year follow-up results. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;62(1): 30-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.04.015.
3. Ferenc M, Ayoub M, Büttner HJ, et al. Long-term outcomes of routine versus provisional T-stenting for de novo coronary bifurcation lesions: five-year results of the Bifurcations Bad Krozingen I study. *EuroIntervention*. 2015;11(8): 856-9. doi: 10.4244/EIJV11I8A175.
4. Pan M, de Lezo JS, Medina A, et al. Rapamycin-eluting stents for the treatment of bifurcated coronary lesions: a randomized comparison of a simple versus complex strategy. *Am Heart J*. 2004;148(5): 857-64. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2004.05.029.
5. Colombo A, Bramucci E, Saccà S, et al. Randomized study of the crush technique versus provisional side-branch stenting in true coronary bifurcations: the CACTUS (Coronary Bifurcations: Application of the Crushing Technique Using Sirolimus-Eluting Stents) Study. *Circulation*. 2009;119(1): 71-8. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.808402.
6. Généreux P, Kumsars I, Lesiak M, et al. A randomized trial of a dedicated bifurcation stent versus provisional stenting in the treatment of coronary bifurcation lesions. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;65(6): 533-43. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.11.031.
7. Hildick-Smith D, Behan MW, Lassen JF, et al. The EBC TWO Study (European Bifurcation Coronary TWO): A Randomized Comparison of Provisional T-Stenting Versus a Systematic 2 Stent Culotte Strategy in Large Caliber True Bifurcations. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2016;9(9): e003643. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.115.003643.
8. Fihn SD, Blankenship JC, Alexander KP, et al. 2014 ACC/AHA/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS focused update of the guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64(18): 1929-49. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.07.017.
9. Steigen TK, Maeng M, Wiseth R, et al. Randomized study on simple versus complex stenting of coronary artery bifurcation lesions: the Nordic bifurcation study. *Circulation*. 2006;114(18): 1955-61. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.664920.
10. Burzotta F, Lassen JF, Louvard Y, et al. European Bifurcation Club white paper on stenting techniques for patients with bifurcated coronary artery lesions. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2020;96(5): 1067-1079. doi: 10.1002/ccd.29071.
11. Chen SL, Xu B, Han YL, et al. Comparison of double kissing crush versus Culotte stenting for unprotected distal left main bifurcation lesions: results from a multicenter, randomized, prospective DKCRUSH-III study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61(14): 1482-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.01.023.
12. Windecker S, Kolh P, Alfonso F, et al. 2014 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization: The Task Force on Myocardial Revascularization of the ESC and EACTS Developed with the special contribution of the EAPCI. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35(37): 2541-2619. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu278
13. Lassen JF, Holm NR, Banning A, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention for coronary bifurcation disease: 11th consensus document from the EBC. *EuroIntervention*. 2016;12(1): 38-46. Doi: 10.4244/EIJV12I1A7
14. Lassen JF, Burzotta F, Banning AP, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention for the left main stem and other bifurcation lesions: 12th consensus document from the European Bifurcation Club. *EuroIntervention*. 2018;13(13): 1540-1553. doi: 10.4244/EIJ-D-17-00622.
15. Hildick-Smith D, Lassen JF, Albiero R, et al. EBC. Consensus from the 5th EBC meeting. *EuroIntervention*. 2010;6(1): 34-38
16. Hildick-Smith D, Egedred M, Banning A, et al. The Euro-

- pean bifurcation club Left Main Coronary Stent study: a randomized comparison of stepwise provisional vs. systematic dual stenting strategies (EBC MAIN). *Eur Heart J.* 2021;42(37): 3829-3839. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab283.
17. Kumsars I, Holm NR, Niemelä M, et al. Randomised comparison of provisional side branch stenting versus a two-stent strategy for treatment of true coronary bifurcation lesions involving a large side branch: the Nordic-Baltic Bifurcation Study IV. *Open Heart.* 2020;7(1): e000947. doi: 10.1136/openhrt-2018-000947.
 18. Zhang JJ, Ye F, Xu K, et al. Multicentre, randomized comparison of two-stent and provisional stenting techniques in patients with complex coronary bifurcation lesions: the DEFINITION II trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2020;41(27): 2523-2536. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa543.
 19. Neumann FJ, Sousa-Uva M, Ahlsson A, et al. 2018 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *Eur Heart J.* 2019;40(2): 87-165. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy394.
 20. Burzotta F, Lassen JF, Lefèvre T, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention for bifurcation coronary lesions: the 15th consensus document from the European Bifurcation Club. *EuroIntervention.* 2021;16(16): 1307-1317. doi: 10.4244/EIJ-D-20-00169.
 21. Banning AP, Lassen JF, Burzotta F, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention for obstructive bifurcation lesions: the 14th consensus document from the European Bifurcation Club. *EuroIntervention.* 2019;15(1): 90-98. doi: 10.4244/EIJ-D-19-00144.
 22. Di Gioia G, Sonck J, Ferenc M, et al. Clinical Outcomes Following Coronary Bifurcation PCI Techniques: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis Comprising 5,711 Patients. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2020;13(12): 1432-1444. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2020.03.054.
 23. Hildick-Smith D, Eged M, Banning A, et al. The European bifurcation club Left Main Coronary Stent study: a randomized comparison of stepwise provisional vs. systematic dual stenting strategies (EBC MAIN). *Eur Heart J.* 2021;42(37): 3829-3839. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab283.
 24. Neumann FJ, Sousa-Uva M, Ahlsson A, et al. 2018 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *Eur Heart J.* 2019;40(2): 87-165. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy394.
 25. Burzotta F, Trani C. Jailed balloon protection and rescue balloon jailing techniques set the field for safer bifurcation provisional stenting. *Int J Cardiol.* 2015;201:376-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.06.185.
 26. Milasinovic D, Wijns W, Ntsekhe M, et al. Step-by-step manual for planning and performing bifurcation PCI: a resource-tailored approach. *EuroIntervention.* 2018;13(15): 1804-1811. doi: 10.4244/EIJ-D-17-00580.
 27. Brunel P, Lefevre T, Darremont O, et al. Provisional T-stenting and kissing balloon in the treatment of coronary bifurcation lesions: results of the French multicenter "TULIPE" study. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2006;68(1): 67-73. doi: 10.1002/ccd.20800.
 28. Choi YJ, Lee SJ, Kim BK, et al. Effect of Wire Jailing at Side Branch in 1-Stent Strategy for Coronary Bifurcation Lesions. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2022;15(4): 443-455. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2021.11.012.
 29. Burzotta F, De Vita M, Sgueglia G, et al. How to solve difficult side branch access? *EuroIntervention.* 2010;6 Suppl J:72-80. doi: 10.4244/EIJV6SUPJA12.
 30. Shah M, Najam O, Bhindi R, et al. Calcium Modification Techniques in Complex Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2021;14(5): e009870. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.120.009870.
 31. Kandan SR, Johnson TW. Management of percutaneous coronary intervention complications. *Heart.* 2019;105:75-86.
 32. Sandoval Y, Lobo AS, Brilakis ES. Covered stent implantation through a single 8-french guide catheter for the management of a distal coronary perforation. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2017;90(4): 584-588. doi: 10.1002/ccd.27200.
 33. Zhang JJ, Ye F, Xu K, et al. Multicentre, randomized comparison of two-stent and provisional stenting techniques in patients with complex coronary bifurcation lesions: the DEFINITION II trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2020;41(27): 2523-2536. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa543.
 34. Foin N, Secco GG, Ghilencea L, et al. Final proksimal post-dilatataion is necessary after kissing baloon in bifurcation stenting. *EuroIntervention.* 2011;7(5): 597-604. Doi: 10.4244/EIJV7I5A96
 35. Darremont O, Leymarie JL, Lefevre T, et al. Technical aspects of the provisional side branch stenting strategy. *EuroIntervention.* 2015;11(Suppl V): V86-V90. doi: 10.4244/EIJV11SVA19
 36. Zhong M, Tang B, Zhao Q, et al. Should kissing balloon inflation after main vessel stenting be routine in the one-stent approach? A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(6): e0197580. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0197580.
 37. Koo BK, Kang HJ, Youn TJ, et al. Physiologic assesment of jailed side branch lesions using FFR. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2005;46(4): 633-637. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.04.054