CHAPTER 11

THE MEDIATING ROLE OF LEADER-MEMBER EXCHANGE IN THE EFFECT OF TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE AND JOB SATISFACTION

Hüseyin ASLAN¹

Introduction

The concept of transformational leadership, developed by Burns (1978) and promoted by Bass (1985), was received with great interest and became one of the most-frequently studied leadership theories in the four decades following its introduction (Mhatre and Riggio, 2014). It is a style of leadership based on protecting and advancing the interests of its followers. It represents individual's interests, supporting awareness and loyalty about the group's purpose and mission (Nielsen *et al.*, 2009). As a leadership style aiming for sudden and effective change in an organization, transformational leadership seeks to facilitate learning and innovation rather than establishing a leadership based on the control and coordination of the organizational environment. It is all about describing reality and creating excitement for change (Buluç, 2009).

The concept of leader-member exchange was first developed by Graen *et al.* (1982) under the name "vertical dyad linkage theory" (Dienesch & Liden, 1986). According to this theory, which generally focuses on the vertical relations between superiors and subordinates, the leaders in an organization have limited time, resources, and power at their disposal, hence they cannot sustain the same leadership style for all subordinates. The essence of this theory is to determine the ways superiors use their power and resources to develop various social exchanges with their subordinates (Bolat, 2011).

The basis of transformational leadership in an organization is the interaction between the leader and followers. It channels the employee effort to a vision, rather than to specific objectives. On the other hand, a transformational leader can play a pioneering role in the development of a leader-member exchange (Anand *et al.*, 2011), after all the purpose of this type of leadership is to clarify long-term goals, tap into the talents and skills of the followers and boost their confidence (Erkuş & Günlü, 2008). With their tendency to respond positively

¹ Assistant Professor Dr., Osmaniye Korkut Ata University, huseyinaslan@osmaniye.edu.tr

of studies (Thomas, 2016; Saleem, 2015; Salau, 2018; Braun et al., 2013) performed to determine the relation between transformational leadership and job satisfaction. In the course of the study, the effect of leader-member exchange on employee performance and job satisfaction was also examined. It was found that leadermember exchange had a significant positive effect on employee performance. This finding is consistent with the studies (Li, Sanders & Frenkel, 2012; Wang, 2016; Choy, McCormack & Djurkovic, 2016) conducted to determine the relation between leader-member exchange and employee performance. The findings showed that leader-member exchange had a significantly positive effect on job satisfaction. This finding is consistent with the studies (Thomas, 2016; Loi, Chan & Lam, 2014; Nielsen et al., 2009) conducted to determine the relation between leader-member exchange and job satisfaction. The mediating role of leadermember exchange in transformational leadership's effect on the relation between employee performance and job satisfaction was examined as the primary aim of the study and it was concluded that leader-member exchange had a mediating role in the effect of transformational leadership on the relation between employee performance and job satisfaction. This finding is consistent with the relevant study (Thomas, 2016).

As shown by analyses conducted in the course of this study, high-levels of transformational leadership and leader-member exchange elevate employees' job performance and job satisfaction levels.

The most important limitation of this study is that it was conducted in one type of business environment, *i.e.* hotels. For a future confirmation of these results, it is important to perform similar studies in different industries and with employees of different characteristics.

References

- Anand, S., Hu, J., Liden, R. C., & Vidyarthi, P. R. (2011). Leader-member exchange: Recent research findings and prospects for the future. *The Sage handbook of leadership*, 311-325.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, *51*(6), 1173-1182.
- Bass, B. M. (1985). Leadership and performance beyond expectations. New York, NY: Free Press.
- Bass, Bernard M.-Avolio, Bruce J. (1995), MLQ Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire, Mind Garden, Palo Alto, California.
- Baş, T., Keskin, N., & Mert, İ. S. (2010). Lider Üye Etkileşimi (LÜE) Modeli ve Ölçme Aracının Türkçe'de Geçerlik ve Güvenilirlik Analizi. Ege Akademik Bakış Dergisi, 10(3), 1013-1039.

- Bolat, O. İ. (2011). Öz yeterlilik ve tükenmişlik ilişkisi: lider-üye etkileşiminin aracılık etkisi. *Ege Akademik Bakış*, 11(2), 255-266.
- Braun, S., Peus, C., Weisweiler, S., & Frey, D. (2013). Transformational leadership, job satisfaction, and team performance: A multilevel mediation model of trust. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 24(1), 270-283.
- Buluç, B. (2009). Sınıf öğretmenlerinin algılarına göre okul müdürlerinin liderlik stilleri ile örgütsel bağlılık arasındaki ilişki. *Kuram ve Uygulamada Egitim Yönetimi Dergisi*, 15(1), 5-34.
- Burns, J. M. (1978). Leadership. New York, NY: Harper & Row.
- Chen, F. C., Ku, E., Shyr, Y. H., Chen, F. H., & Chou, S. S. (2009). Job demand, emotional awareness, and job satisfaction in internships: The moderating effect of social support. *Social Behavior and Personality: an international journal*, *37*(10), 1429-1440.
- Chen, T. J., & Wu, C. M. (2017). Improving the turnover intention of tourist hotel employees: Transformational leadership, leader-member exchange, and psychological contract breach. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 29(7), 1914-1936.
- Choy, J., McCormack, D., & Djurkovic, N. (2016). Leader-member exchange and job performance: The mediating roles of delegation and participation. *Journal of Management Development*, 35(1), 104-119.
- Dienesch, R. M., & Liden, R. C. (1986). Leader-member exchange model of leadership: A critique and further development. *Academy of management review*, *11*(3), 618-634.
- Erkuş, A., & Günlü, E. (2008). Duygusal zekanın dönüşümcü liderlik üzerine etkileri. 9(2), 187-209.
- Güneysu Atasoy, F. (2018) "Evaluating the Turkish Tourism Sector Using Input and Output Analysis", Social Science Researches in the Globalization World, St. Kliment
- Gürbüz, S. ve Şahin, F. (2016). Sosyal bilimlerde araştırma yöntemleri felsefe, yöntem, analiz (3. bs.). Ankara: Seçkin Yayıncılık.
- Kammerhoff, J., Lauenstein, O., & Schütz, A. (2018). Leading toward harmony–Different types of conflict mediate how followers' perceptions of transformational leadership are related to job satisfaction and performance. *European Management Journal*.1-12.
- Karagöz, Y. (2016). SPSS ve AMOS 23 uygulamalı istatistiksel analizler (1. bs.). Ankara: Nobel Akademik Yayıncılık.
- Karip, Emin (1998), Dönüşümcü Liderlik, Kuram ve Uygulamada Eğitim Yönetimi Dergisi, Cilt.4, Sayı.4, (443-465).
- Kirkman, B. L., & Rosen, B. (1999). Beyond self-management: Antecedents and consequences of team empowerment. *Academy of Management journal*, 42(1), 58-74.
- Li, X., Sanders, K., & Frenkel, S. (2012). How leader-member exchange, work engagement and HRM consistency explain Chinese luxury hotel employees' job performance. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 31(4), 1059-1066.
- Liden, R. C., & Maslyn, J. M. (1998). Multidimensionality of leader-member exchange: An empirical assessment through scale development. *Journal of management*, 24(1), 43-72.
- Loi, R., Chan, K. W., & Lam, L. W. (2014). Leader–member exchange, organizational identification, and job satisfaction: A social identity perspective. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational psychology*, 87(1), 42-61.

- Mhatre, K. H., & Riggio, R. E. (2014). Charismatic and transformational leadership: Past, present, and future. The Oxford Handbook of Leadership and Organizations, 221–240.
- Mihalcea, A. (2014). Leadership, personality, job satisfaction and job performance. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 127, 443-447.
- Nandedkar, A., & Brown, R. S. (2018). Transformational leadership and positive work outcomes: A framework exploring the role of LMX and distributive justice. *International Journal of Organization Theory & Behavior*, 21(4), 315-327.
- Nielsen, K., Yarker, J., Randall, R., & Munir, F. (2009). The mediating effects of team and self-efficacy on the relationship between transformational leadership, and job satisfaction and psychological well-being in healthcare professionals: A cross-sectional questionnaire survey. *International journal of nursing studies*, 46(9), 1236-1244.
- Salau, O., Oludayo, O., Falola, H., Olokundun, M., Ibidunni, S., & Atolagbe, T. (2018). Integrated datasets on transformational leadership attributes and employee engagement: The moderating role of job satisfaction in the Fast Moving Consumer Goods (FMCG) industry. *Data in brief*, 19, 2329-2335.
- Saleem, H. (2015). The impact of leadership styles on job satisfaction and mediating role of perceived organizational politics. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 172, 563-569.
- Sigler, T. H., & Pearson, C. M. (2000). Creating an empowering culture: examining the relationship between organizational culture and perceptions of empowerment. *Journal of quality management*, 5(1), 27-52.
- Sobel M.E. (1982). A symptotic confidence intervals for indirect effects in structural equation models. In S. Leinhardth (Ed.). *Sociological Methodology*, ss.290-312. Wahington D.C.: American Sociological Association.
- Thomas, W. H. (2016). Transformational leadership and performance outcomes: Analyses of multiple mediation pathways. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 28(3), 385-417.
- Turunç, Ö., & Çelik, M. (2010). Çalışanların algıladıkları örgütsel destek ve iş stresinin örgütsel özdeşleşme ve iş performansına etkisi. *Yönetim ve Ekonomi: Celal Bayar Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi*, 17(2), 183-206.
- Turunç, Ö., & Çelik, M. (2012). İş Tatmini-Kişi Örgüt Uyumu ve Amire Güven-Kişi-Örgüt Uyumu İlişkisinde Dağıtım Adaletinin Düzenleyici Rolü. *ISGUC The Journal of Industrial Relations and Human Resources*, 14(2), 57-78.
- Wang, C. J. (2016). Does leader-member exchange enhance performance in the hospitality industry? The mediating roles of task motivation and creativity. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 28(5), 969-987.
- Yıldız, B., & Çetindaş, A. (2018). Stratejik Kaynak Kullanımının Firma Performansı Üzerindeki Etkisinde Tedarik Zinciri Çevikliğinin Aracı Rolü. *BMIJ*,(2018), 6(4), 878-897.