

BÖLÜM 29

PENİL KANSERLER

Derya DEMİRTAŞ ESMER¹

EPİDEMİYOLOJİ

Penis kanseri, gelişmiş ülkelerde 100.000 erkekte 0.1-1 prevalansı olan nadir bir kanserdir. Bununla birlikte, küresel insidans, insan papilloma virüsü (HPV) enfeksiyonu, sigara içme ve kötü hijyen gibi risk faktörlerine veya rutin bebek sünneti gibi koruyucu faktörlere bağlı olarak farklı popülasyonlar arasında önemli ölçüde değişmektedir(1,2) .Bu nedenle, bazı Afrika, Asya ve Güney Amerika bölgelerinde penis kanseri erkek malignitelerinin %10'unu oluşturabilir(1)Penis kanseri tipik olarak yaşlı erkeklerin bir hastalığıdır ve görülme oranı yaşla birlikte artar (3)40 yaşın altındaki erkeklerde de penis kanseri görülebilmese rağmen ortalama tanı yaşı 60'tır (4,5)

Risk faktörleri:

Penisin skuamöz hücreli karsinomu (SCC) veya bir öncül lezyonun gelişmesi bir dizi risk faktörü ile ilişkilidir.

Epidemiyolojik faktörler:

Bir seride, in situ ve invaziv penil kanser için risk faktörlerini belirlemek amacıyla penil kanserli 137 erkek ve kansersiz 606 erkek ile görüşülmüştür (6). Penis kanseri gelişenler daha sık bekar, hiç evlenmemiş ve daha büyük yaşta sünnet edilmiş erkeklerdi. (ortalama yaş, 38'e karşı 20 yıl)

Penisin medikal durumları:

Bir dizi tıbbi durum, penis kanseri riskinin artmasıyla önemli ölçüde ilişkilidir (6,7)

- Genital siğiller (olasılık oranı [OR 7.6).
- İdrar yolu enfeksiyonu (OR 1.7).
- Penil yırtık (OR 5.2). Çocuklukta sünnet edilen erkekler arasında risk iki kat daha fazlaydı (OR 2.1); hiç sünnet olmamış erkeklerde ise 12 kattan daha yüksekti (OR 12.5).

¹ Uzm. Dr., Ankara Şehir Hastanesi, Tıbbi Onkoloji Kliniği, drderyademirtas@gmail.com

- Patolojik olarak pozitif nodlarla (pN+) LND uygulanan hastalar, lokal ve/veya uzak nüks açısından yüksek risk altındadır. Bu hastalar ilk iki yıl üç ayda bir, 3. yıl dört ayda bir, daha sonra 4. ve 5. yıllarda altı ayda bir fizik muayene ile takip edilmelidir. Nod pozitif hastalar için ilk iki yıldaki tüm ziyaretlerde abdominal-pelvik CT önermeliyiz. Bu dönemden sonra görüntüleme çalışmaları isteğe bağlıdır.

Rekürens

İnvaziv hastalık ilk organ koruyucu tedavi sonrası olumsuz bir bulgudur ve nüksün evresine göre tedavi edilmelidir(86,87). Yakın zamanda yapılan bir çalışma, lokal tekrarlayan ILN metastazları olan penis kanserli hastalarda ILND'nin faydalı olabileceğini düşündürmektedir(88).

Metastatic Hastalık

Yaygın hastalık (M1) ile başvuran veya metastaz gelişen hastalar için, tedaviyi hastanın performans durumu ve semptomlarına göre bireyselleştirmek gerekir [89]. Performans durumu iyi olan erkekler için platin bazlı kemoterai veya varsa bir klinik çalışmaya yönlendirilmesi önerilir. Diğerleri için en iyi destekleyici bakımı(destek tedavi) tercih ediyoruz; bu hastalardan bazıları, paklitaksel ve karboplatin gibi palyatif platin bazlı kemoterapi için de uygun olabilir. Kontrol noktası inhibitörleri de dahil olmak üzere immünoterapinin rolü, DNA onarım eksikliği veya mikro satellit instabilitesi olan durumlar dışında ileri penis kanseri için belirlenmemiştir.

Kemoterapi, uzak metastatik penil karsinomu olan hastalarda yüzde 30 ila 38'e varan genel yanıt oranlarıyla sonuçlanır [90-92]. İlk kemoterapiden sonra progrese olan hastalarda prognoz kötüdür ve medyan sağkalım altı aydan azdır [93].

KAYNAKLAR

1. Douglawi, A. & Masterson, T. A. Penile cancer epidemiology and risk factors: a contemporary review. *Curr. Opin. Urol.* **29**, 145–149 (2019).
2. Olesen, T. B. et al. Prevalence of human papillomavirus DNA and p16(INK4a) in penile cancer and penile intraepithelial neoplasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol.* **20**, 145–158 (2019).
3. Hernandez BY, Barnholtz-Sloan J, German RR, et al. Burden of invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the penis in the United States, 1998-2003. *Cancer* 2008; 113:2883.
4. Hegarty PK, Kayes O, Freeman A, et al. A prospective study of 100 cases of penile cancer managed according to European Association of Urology guidelines. *BJU Int* 2006; 98:526.
5. Favorito LA, Nardi AC, Ronalsa M, et al. Epidemiologic study on penile cancer in Brazil. *Int Braz J Urol* 2008; 34:587.
6. Daling JR, Madeleine MM, Johnson LG, et al. Penile cancer: importance of circumcision, human papillomavirus and smoking in in situ and invasive disease. *Int J Cancer* 2005; 116:606.
7. Maden C, Sherman KJ, Beckmann AM, et al. History of circumcision, medical conditions, and

- sexual activity and risk of penile cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993; 85:19.
8. Zequi Sde C, Guimarães GC, da Fonseca FP, et al. Sex with animals (SWA): behavioral characteristics and possible association with penile cancer. A multicenter study. *J Sex Med* 2012; 9:1860.
 9. Barnes KT, McDowell BD, Button A, et al. Obesity is associated with increased risk of invasive penile cancer. *BMC Urol* 2016; 16:42.
 10. Bezerra AL, Lopes A, Santiago GH, et al. Human papillomavirus as a prognostic factor in carcinoma of the penis: analysis of 82 patients treated with amputation and bilateral lymphadenectomy. *Cancer* 2001; 91:2315.
 11. Olesen TB, Sand FL, Rasmussen CL, et al. Prevalence of human papillomavirus DNA and p16INK4a in penile cancer and penile intraepithelial neoplasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol* 2019; 20:145.
 12. Afonso LA, Cordeiro TI, Carestiatto FN, et al. High Risk Human Papillomavirus Infection of the Foreskin in Asymptomatic Men and Patients with Phimosis. *J Urol* 2016; 195:1784.
 13. Djajadiningrat RS, Jordanova ES, Kroon BK, et al. Human papillomavirus prevalence in invasive penile cancer and association with clinical outcome. *J Urol* 2015; 193:526.
 14. Gunia S, Erbersdobler A, Hakenberg OW, et al. p16(INK4a) is a marker of good prognosis for primary invasive penile squamous cell carcinoma: a multi-institutional study. *J Urol* 2012; 187:899.
 15. Minhas S, Manseck A, Watya S, et al. Penile cancer--prevention and premalignant conditions. *Urology* 2010;76:S24-35. 10.1016/j.urology.2010.04.007 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
 16. Viens LJ, Henley SJ, Watson M, et al. Human Papillomavirus-Associated Cancers - United States, 2008-2012. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2016;65:661-6. 10.15585/mmwr.mm6526a1 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
 17. Christodoulidou M, Sahdev V, Houssein S, et al. Epidemiology of penile cancer. *Curr Probl Cancer* 2015;39:126-36. 10.1016/j.crrprobcancer.2015.03.010 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
 18. Misra S, Chaturvedi A, Misra NC. Penile carcinoma: a challenge for the developing world. *Lancet Oncol* 2004;5:240-7. 10.1016/S1470-2045(04)01427-5 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
 19. Moore TO, Moore AY, Carrasco D, et al. Human papillomavirus, smoking, and cancer. *J Cutan Med Surg* 2001;5:323-8. 10.1007/s102.270.000029 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
 20. Aubin F, Puzenat E, Arveux P, et al. Genital squamous cell carcinoma in men treated by photochemotherapy. A cancer registry-based study from 1978 to 1998. *Br J Dermatol* 2001;144:1204-6. 10.1046/j.1365-2133.2001.04231.x [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
 21. Stern RS. Genital tumors among men with psoriasis exposed to psoralens and ultraviolet A radiation (PUVA) and ultraviolet B radiation. The Photochemotherapy Follow-up Study. *N Engl J Med* 1990;322:1093-7. 10.1056/NEJM199.004.193221601 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
 22. Brierley JD, Gospodarowicz MK, Wittekind C. TNM classification of malignant tumours Union for International Cancer Control; Oxford. *John Wiley & Sons*. 2017 [Google Scholar]
 23. Erbersdobler A. [Pathology and histopathological evaluation of penile cancer] *Urologe*. 2018;57:391-397. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
 24. Dickstein RJ, Munsell MF, Pagliaro LC, et al. Prognostic factors influencing survival from regionally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the penis after preoperative chemotherapy. *BJU Int*. 2016;117:118-125. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
 25. Hoekstra, R. J., Trip, E. J., Ten Kate, F. J., Horenblas, S. & Lock, M. T. Penile intraepithelial neoplasia: nomenclature, incidence and progression to malignancy in the Netherlands. *Int. J. Urol*. 26, 353-357 (2019)
 26. Ingles, D. J. et al. Human papillomavirus virus (HPV) genotype- and age-specific analyses of external genital lesions among men in the HPV Infection in Men (HIM) study. *J. Infect. Dis*.

- 211, 1060–1067 (2015).
27. Sudenga, S. L. et al. Genital human papillomavirus infection progression to external genital lesions: the HIM study. *Eur. Urol.* **69**, 166–173 (2016).
 28. Akogbe, G. O. et al. Race and prevalence of human papillomavirus infection among men residing in Brazil, Mexico and the United States. *Int. J. Cancer* **131**, E282–E291 (2012).
 29. Yoon, C. S., Kim, K. D., Park, S. N. & Cheong, S. W. alpha(6) Integrin is the main receptor of human papillomavirus type 16 VLP. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **283**, 668–673 (2001).
 30. Yugawa, T. & Kiyono, T. Molecular mechanisms of cervical carcinogenesis by high-risk human papillomaviruses: novel functions of E6 and E7 oncoproteins. *Rev. Med. Virol.* **19**, 97–113 (2009).
 31. Emmanuel, A., Nettleton, J., Watkin, N. & Berney, D. M. The molecular pathogenesis of penile carcinoma-current developments and understanding. *Virchows Archiv.* **475**, 397–405 (2019).
 32. Ferreux, E. et al. Evidence for at least three alternative mechanisms targeting the p16INK4A/cyclin D/Rb pathway in penile carcinoma, one of which is mediated by high-risk human papillomavirus. *J. Pathol.* **201**, 109–118 (2003).
 33. Steinestel, J. et al. The role of histologic subtype, p16(INK4a) expression, and presence of human papillomavirus DNA in penile squamous cell carcinoma. *BMC Cancer* **15**, 220 (2015)
 34. Klingelutz, A. J., Foster, S. A. & McDougall, J. K. Telomerase activation by the E6 gene product of human papillomavirus type 16. *Nature* **380**, 79–82 (1996).
 35. Gewin, L., Myers, H., Kiyono, T. & Galloway, D. A. Identification of a novel telomerase repressor that interacts with the human papillomavirus type-16 E6/ E6-AP complex. *Genes Dev.* **18**, 2269–2282 (2004).
 36. Hakenberg, O. W. et al. EAU guidelines on penile cancer: 2014 update. *Eur. Urol.* **67**, 142–150 (2015).
 37. Hernandez, B. Y. et al. Burden of invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the penis in the United States, 1998–2003. *Cancer* **113**, 2883–2891 (2008).
 38. Barnholtz-Sloan, J. S., Maldonado, J. L., Pow-sang, J. & Giuliano, A. R. Incidence trends in primary malignant penile cancer. *Urol. Oncol.* **25**, 361–367 (2007).
 39. Favorito, L. A. et al. Epidemiologic study on penile cancer in Brazil. *Int. Braz. J. Urol.* **34**, 587–591 (2008).
 40. Dufour, J. F. et al. Urogenital manifestations in Wegener granulomatosis: a study of 11 cases and review of the literature. *Medicine* **91**, 67–74 (2012).
 41. Lee, D. K., Hinshaw, M., Cripps, D. & Jarrard, D. F. Pyoderma gangrenosum of penis. *J. Urol.* **170**, 185–186 (2003).
 42. Khan, D., Choudhary, A., Dutta, A. & Khan, I. Tuberculosis of the glans penis mimicking as carcinoma. *Int. J. Mycobacteriol.* **5**, 341–342 (2016).
 43. Tsauro, I., Ochsendorf, F. R., Bug, R. & Jonas, D. Primary syphilitic lesion mimicking penile cancer. Atypical manifestation with an unconventional diagnostic approach. *Urologe A* **48**, 1210–1213 (2009).
 44. Li Z, Li X, Lam W, et al. Corpora Caveros invasion vs. Corpus Spongiosum invasion in Penile Cancer: A systematic review and meta- analysis. *J Cancer* 2021;12:1960-1966.
 45. Amin MB, Edge SB, Greene F, et al., eds. *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual*, 8th ed. New York: Springer International Publishing; 2017.
 46. European Association of Urology. *EAU Guidelines Penile Cancer*. 2018. Accessed January 4, 2022.
 47. Olesen TB, Sand FL, Rasmussen CL, et al. Prevalence of human papillomavirus DNA and p16(INK4a) in penile cancer and penile intraepithelial neoplasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol* 2019;20:145-158.
 48. Sand FL, Rasmussen CL, Frederiksen MH, et al. Prognostic Significance of HPV and p16 Status in Men Diagnosed with Penile Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2018;27:1123-1132.
 49. Lont AP, Besnard AP, Gallee MP, et al. A comparison of physical examination and imaging in

- determining the extent of primary penile carcinoma. *BJU Int* 2003;91:493-495.
50. Kamel MH, Tao J, Su J, et al. Survival outcomes of organ sparing surgery, partial penectomy, and total penectomy in pathological T1/T2 penile cancer: Report from the National Cancer Data Base. *Urol Oncol* 2018;36: 82.e7-82.e15.
 51. Ficarra V, Akduman B, Bouchot O, et al. Prognostic factors in penile cancer. *Urology* 2010;76:S66-73.
 52. Heyns CF, Fleshner N, Sangar V, et al. Management of the lymph nodes in penile cancer. *Urology* 2010;76:S43-57.
 53. de Vries HM, Brouwer OR, Heijmink S, et al. Recent developments in penile cancer imaging. *Curr Opin Urol* 2019;29:150-155.
 54. Ottenhof SR, Leone AR, Horenblas S, et al. Advancements in staging and imaging for penile cancer. *Curr Opin Urol* 2017;27:612-620.
 55. Hughes B, Leijte J, Shabbir M, et al. Non-invasive and minimally invasive staging of regional lymph nodes in penile cancer. *World J Urol* 2009;27:197-203.
 56. Caso JR, Rodriguez AR, Correa J, Spiess PE. Update in the management of penile cancer. *Int Braz J Urol* 2009;35:406-415.
 57. Mueller-Lisse UG, Scher B, Scherr MK, Seitz M. Functional imaging in penile cancer: PET/computed tomography, MRI, and sentinel lymph node biopsy. *Curr Opin Urol* 2008;18:105-110
 58. Hedgire SS, Pargaonkar VK, Elmi A, et al. Pelvic nodal imaging. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2012;50:1111-1125.
 59. Scher B, Seitz M, Reiser M, et al. 18F-FDG PET/CT for staging of penile cancer. *J Nucl Med* 2005;46:1460-1465
 60. Scher B, Seitz M, Albinger W, et al. Value of PET and PET/CT in the diagnostics of prostate and penile cancer. *Recent Results Cancer Res* 2008;170:159-179.
 61. Salazar A, Junior EP, Salles PGO, et al. (18)F-FDG PET/CT as a prognostic factor in penile cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2019;46:855-863.
 62. Ottenhof SR, Vegt E. The role of PET/CT imaging in penile cancer. *Transl Androl Urol* 2017;6:833-838.
 63. Graafland NM, Leijte JA, Valdes Olmos RA, et al. Scanning with 18F-FDG-PET/CT for detection of pelvic nodal involvement in inguinal node-positive penile carcinoma. *Eur Urol* 2009;56:339-345.
 64. Drager DL, Heuschkel M, Protzel C, et al. [18F]FDG PET/CT for assessing inguinal lymph nodes in patients with penile cancer - correlation with histopathology after inguinal lymphadenectomy. *Nuklearmedizin* 2018;57:26-30
 65. 112. Stancik I, Holtl W. Penile cancer: review of the recent literature. *Curr Opin Urol* 2003;13:467-472.
 66. Kroon BK, Horenblas S, Lont AP, et al. Patients with penile carcinoma benefit from immediate resection of clinically occult lymph node metastases. *J Urol* 2005;173:816-819.
 67. McDougal WS. Preemptive lymphadenectomy markedly improves survival in patients with cancer of the penis who harbor occult metastases. *J Urol* 2005;173:681
 68. Sharp DS, Angermeier KW. Surgery of penile and urethral carcinoma. In: Wein AJ, Kavoussi L, Novick AC, et al., eds. *Campbell- Walsh Urology* (ed 9). Philadelphia: Saunders; 2007:993-1022.
 69. Catalona WJ. Re: Modified inguinal lymphadenectomy for carcinoma of the penis with preservation of saphenous veins: technique and preliminary results. *J Urol* 1988;140:836
 70. Soria JC, Fizazi K, Piron D, et al. Squamous cell carcinoma of the penis: multivariate analysis of prognostic factors and natural history in monocentric study with a conservative policy. *Ann Oncol* 1997;8:1089- 1098.
 71. Theodorescu D, Russo P, Zhang ZF, et al. Outcomes of initial surveillance of invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the penis and negative nodes. *J Urol* 1996;155:1626-1631.
 72. Leijte JA, Kirrander P, Antonini N, et al. Recurrence patterns of squamous cell carcinoma of

- the penis: recommendations for follow-up based on a two-centre analysis of 700 patients. *Eur Urol* 2008;54:161- 168
73. Horenblas S. Lymphadenectomy for squamous cell carcinoma of the penis. Part 2: the role and technique of lymph node dissection. *BJU Int* 2001;88:473-483
 74. Cabanas RM. An approach for the treatment of penile carcinoma. *Cancer* 1977;39:456-466.
 75. Lughezzani G, Catanzaro M, Torelli T, et al. The relationship between characteristics of inguinal lymph nodes and pelvic lymph node involvement in penile squamous cell carcinoma: a single institution experience. *J Urol* 2014;191:977-982
 76. Lont AP, Kroon BK, Gallee MP, et al. Pelvic lymph node dissection for penile carcinoma: extent of inguinal lymph node involvement as an indicator for pelvic lymph node involvement and survival. *J Urol* 2007;177:947-952; discussion 952.
 77. Pagliaro LC, Williams DL, Daliani D, et al. Neoadjuvant paclitaxel, ifosfamide, and cisplatin chemotherapy for metastatic penile cancer: a phase II study. *J Clin Oncol* 2010; 28:3851.
 78. Dickstein RJ, Munsell MF, Pagliaro LC, Pettaway CA. Prognostic factors influencing survival from regionally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the penis after preoperative chemotherapy. *BJU Int* 2016; 117:118.
 79. Djajadiningrat RS, Bergman AM, van Werkhoven E, et al. Neoadjuvant taxane-based combination chemotherapy in patients with advanced penile cancer. *Clin Genitourin Cancer* 2015; 13:44.
 80. NCCN Guidelines Version 1.2012: Penile Cancer. http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/penile.pdf (Accessed on June 14, 2012).
 81. Sharma P, Djajadiningrat R, Zargar-Shoshtari K, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy is associated with improved overall survival in pelvic node-positive penile cancer after lymph node dissection: a multi-institutional study. *Urol Oncol* 2015; 33:496.e17.
 82. Robinson R, Marconi L, MacPepple E, et al. Risks and benefits of adjuvant radiotherapy after inguinal lymphadenectomy and node-positive penile cancer: a systematic review by the European Association of Urology Penile Cancer Guidelines panel. *Eur Urol* 2018.
 83. Chakiryan NH, Dahmen A, Bandini M, et al. Patterns of Recurrence following Inguinal Lymph Node Dissection for Penile Cancer: Optimizing Surveillance Strategies. *J Urol* 2021;206:960-969.
 84. Hakenberg OW, Compérat EM, Minhas S, et al. EAU guidelines on penile cancer: 2014 update. *Eur Urol* 2015; 67:142.
 85. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines. Penile cancer. Available at: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/penile.pdf (Accessed on April 18, 2020).
 86. Chau A, Reuter V, Lezcano C, et al. Comparison of morphologic features and outcome of resected recurrent and nonrecurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the penis: a study of 81 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2009;33:1299-1306.
 87. Ornellas AA, Nobrega BL, Wei Kin Chin E, et al. Prognostic factors in invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the penis: analysis of 196 patients treated at the Brazilian National Cancer Institute. *J Urol* 2008;180:1354-1359
 88. Baumgarten AS, Alhammali E, Hakky TS, et al. Salvage surgical resection for isolated locally recurrent inguinal lymph node metastasis of penile cancer: international study collaboration. *J Urol* 2014;192:760- 764.
 89. Connell CF, Berger NA. Management of advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the penis. *Urol Clin North Am* 1994; 21:745.
 90. Haas GP, Blumenstein BA, Gagliano RG, et al. Cisplatin, methotrexate and bleomycin for the treatment of carcinoma of the penis: a Southwest Oncology Group study. *J Urol* 1999; 161:1823.