

# MULTİPLE MYELOMDA GERİATRİK HASTA YÖNETİMİ

44.  
BÖLÜM

Osman ŞAHİN<sup>1</sup>

## GİRİŞ

Multiple myelom (MM), intrinsik kromozomal anormallikler ve ekstrinsik stromal hücre desteği ile tetiklenen kontrollsüz plazma tümör hücre proliferasyonu ile birlikte kan ve/veya idrarda monoklonal protein varlığı ile karakterize malign bir hastalıktır. MM, tüm kanserlerin % 1 ve hematolojik kanserlerin % 10-12'sini oluşturur; dünya çapında yaşa göre standardize edilmiş yıllık insidans 100.000'de 1.5'tir ve yılda yaklaşık 115.000 yeni vaka geliştiği tahmin edilmektedir(1). Dünya çapındaki tahmini 5 yıllık prevalansı 230.000 hastadır(1). Plazma hücreli miyelom, medyan başlangıç yaşı 70 olan yaşlıların hastalığıdır(2). Tanı konulanların % 60'ından fazlası ve ölümlerin yaklaşık % 75'i 65 yaşın üzerindeki yaş grubunda meydana gelir(3).

Son dönemlerde hayatı kalma oranları, büyük olasılıkla otolog kök hücre nakli (OKHN) ile etkili tedavilere bağlı olarak, genel popülasyon için önemli ölçüde iyileşmiştir. Bu konuya ilgili bir çalışmada 1989-1992'de % 34 olan 5 yıllık göreceli sağkalımın 2001-2005 dönemlerinde % 56'ya yükseldiği bulunmuştur(4). Birleşik Devletler'de yapılan çalışmalarda 1990-1992'den 2002-2004'e kadar MM'li hastaların 5 yıllık göreceli sağkalımına ilişkin bir tahminde % 29-% 35 arasında önemli bir sağkalım artışı bildirmiştir. 50 yaş ve üstü hastalarda (% 45'ten % 57'ye) ve 50-59 yaş arası hastalarda (% 39'dan % 48'e) daha önemli artışlar görülmüştür. Ancak bu artışlar 60-69 yaşlarındaki hastalarda çok belirgin değildir (%31'den % 36'ya) ve 70 yaş ve üzerindeki hastalarda 5 yıllık sağkalımda iyileşme görülmemiştir(% 27'den% 29'a)(5).

Yüksek doz kemoterapi (YDK) ve OKHN geleneksel ve yeni ajanlarla karşılaştırılığında hastalıksız sağkalım (DFS), toplam sağkalım (OS) ve progres-

<sup>1</sup> Uzm. Dr., Şanlıurfa Mehmet Akif İnan Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Hematoloji Kliniği  
drceylan@hotmail.com

bilir. Elde bulunan tedavi seçenekleri nedeniyle hastalığın son aşamaya ulaşlığını belirlemek zorlaşmaktadır ve hastalar bazen son güne kadar tedavi edilmektedir. Bu yüzden прогноз hakkında tanı aşamasında ve her nüks sürecinde hasta ve yakınlarıyla tedaviler ve palyatif bakım açısından uygun bir değerlendirme yapmak çok önemlidir.

## KAYNAKÇA

1. Cid Ruza J, Merinopoulou E, Baggaley RF, et al. Patient population with multiple myeloma and transitions across different lines of therapy in the USA: an epidemiologic model. *Pharmacoepidemiology and drug safety*. 2016 Aug;25(8):871–9.
2. Willan J, Eyre TA, Sharpley F, et al. Multiple myeloma in the very elderly patient: challenges and solutions. *Clinical interventions in aging*. 2016;11:423–35.
3. Diamond E, Lahoud OB, Landau H. Managing multiple myeloma in elderly patients. *Leukemia and Lymphoma* [Internet]. 2018;59(6):1300–11. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10428194.2017.1365859>
4. Schaapveld M, Visser O, Siesling S, et al. Improved survival among younger but not among older patients with Multiple Myeloma in the Netherlands, a population-based study since 1989. *European journal of cancer (Oxford, England : 1990)*. 2010 Jan;46(1):160–9.
5. Palumbo A, Bringhen S, Ludwig H, et al. Personalized therapy in multiple myeloma according to patient age and vulnerability: a report of the European Myeloma Network (EMN). *Blood*. 2011 Oct;118(17):4519–29.
6. Morgan GJ, Davies FE, Linet M. Myeloma aetiology and epidemiology. *Biomedicine & pharmacotherapy = Biomedecine & pharmacotherapie*. 2002 Jul;56(5):223–34.
7. Adami J, Nyrén O, Bergström R, et al. Smoking and the risk of leukemia, lymphoma, and multiple myeloma (Sweden). *Cancer causes & control : CCC*. 1998 Jan;9(1):49–56.
8. Bourguet CC, Logue EE. Antigenic stimulation and multiple myeloma. A prospective study. *Cancer*. 1993 Oct;72(7):2148–54.
9. Koepsell TD, Daling JR, Weiss NS, et al. Antigenic stimulation and the occurrence of multiple myeloma. *American journal of epidemiology*. 1987 Dec;126(6):1051–62.
10. Linet MS. Is Chronic Antigenic Stimulation Etiologically Related to Multiple Myeloma? In: *Epidemiology and Biology of Multiple Myeloma* [Internet]. Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 1991 [cited 2020 Nov 14]. p. 99–102. Available from: [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-76655-8\\_16](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-76655-8_16)
11. Grass S, Preuss K-D, Wikowicz A, et al. New Insights in the Pathogenesis of MGUS, Multiple Myeloma (MM) and Waldenström's Macroglobulinemia (WM): Chronic Antigenic Stimulation by Autoantigenic Targets of Paraproteins Is Mediated by CD4+ t-Cells. *Blood* [Internet]. 2011 Nov 18;118(21):472. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.V118.21.472.472>
12. Multiple Myeloma: Potential Causes & Risk Factors | CTCA [Internet]. [cited 2020 Nov 15]. Available from: <https://www.cancercenter.com/cancer-types/multiple-myeloma/risk-factors>
13. Seidl S, Kaufmann H, Drach J. New insights into the pathophysiology of multiple myeloma. Vol. 4. *Lancet Oncology*. Elsevier; 2003. p. 557–64.
14. Kyle RA, Gertz MA, Witzig TE, et al. Review of 1027 patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. *Mayo Clinic proceedings*. 2003 Jan;78(1):21–33.
15. Raje N, Roodman GD. Advances in the biology and treatment of bone disease in multiple myeloma [Internet]. Vol. 17, *Clinical Cancer Research*. American Association for Cancer Research; 2011 [cited 2020 Nov 28]. p. 1278–86. Available from: [www.aacrjournals.org](http://www.aacrjournals.org)
16. Tian E, Zhan F, Walker R, et al. The role of the Wnt-signaling antagonist DKK1 in the development of osteolytic lesions in multiple myeloma. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2003 Dec;349(26):2483–94.

17. Hutchison CA, Batuman V, Behrens J, et al. The pathogenesis and diagnosis of acute kidney injury in multiple myeloma [Internet]. Vol. 8, Nature Reviews Nephrology. NIH Public Access; 2012 [cited 2020 Nov 29]. p. 43–51. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC3375610/?report=abstract](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3375610/?report=abstract)
18. Ludwig H, Pohl G, Osterborg A. Anemia in multiple myeloma. Clinical advances in hematology & oncology : H&O. 2004 Apr;2(4):233–41.
19. Greipp PR, San Miguel J, Durie BGM, et al. International staging system for multiple myeloma. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2005 May;23(15):3412–20.
20. Fonseca R, Bergsagel PL, Drach J, et al. International Myeloma Working Group molecular classification of multiple myeloma: spotlight review. Leukemia. 2009 Dec;23(12):2210–21.
21. Dimopoulos MA, Barlogie B, Smith TL, et al. High serum lactate dehydrogenase level as a marker for drug resistance and short survival in multiple myeloma. Annals of internal medicine. 1991 Dec;115(12):931–5.
22. Palumbo A, Avet-Loiseau H, Oliva S, et al. Revised International Staging System for Multiple Myeloma: A Report From International Myeloma Working Group. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2015 Sep;33(26):2863–9.
23. Bensinger W. Stem-cell transplantation for multiple myeloma in the era of novel drugs. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2008 Jan;26(3):480–92.
24. Attal M, Harousseau JL, Stoppa AM, Sotto JJ, et al. A prospective, randomized trial of autologous bone marrow transplantation and chemotherapy in multiple myeloma. Intergroupe Français du Myélome. The New England journal of medicine. 1996 Jul;335(2):91–7.
25. Moschetta M, Kawano Y, Podar K. Targeting the bone marrow microenvironment. In: Cancer Treatment and Research [Internet]. Kluwer Academic Publishers; 2016 [cited 2020 Dec 6]. p. 63–102. Available from: [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-40320-5\\_6](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-40320-5_6)
26. Roodman GD. Role of the Bone Marrow Microenvironment in Multiple Myeloma. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research [Internet]. 2002 Nov 1 [cited 2020 Dec 6];17(11):1921–5. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1359/jbmr.2002.17.11.1921>
27. Cavo M, Rajkumar SV, Palumbo A, et al. International Myeloma Working Group consensus approach to the treatment of multiple myeloma patients who are candidates for autologous stem cell transplantation. Blood. 2011 Jun;117(23):6063–73.
28. Richardson PG, Weller E, Lonial S, et al. Lenalidomide, bortezomib, and dexamethasone combination therapy in patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. Blood. 2010 Aug;116(5):679–86.
29. Kumar S, Flinn I, Richardson PG, et al. Randomized, multicenter, phase 2 study (EVOLUTION) of combinations of bortezomib, dexamethasone, cyclophosphamide, and lenalidomide in previously untreated multiple myeloma. Blood. 2012 May;119(19):4375–82.
30. Rajkumar SV. Multiple myeloma: 2020 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification and management. American journal of hematology. 2020 May;95(5):548–67.
31. Jain T, Sonbol MB, Firwana B, et al. High-Dose Chemotherapy with Early Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation Compared to Standard Dose Chemotherapy or Delayed Transplantation in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Multiple Myeloma: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. 2019 Feb;25(2):239–47.
32. Remenyi P, Varga G, Mikala G, et al. Early Versus Delayed Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation and Interferon Maintenance in Multiple Myeloma: Single-Center Experience of 18 Years. Transplantation proceedings. 2016;48(1):177–84.
33. Harousseau J-L, Attal M, Avet-Loiseau H, et al. Bortezomib plus dexamethasone is superior to vincristine plus doxorubicin plus dexamethasone as induction treatment prior to autologous stem-cell transplantation in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: results of the IFM

- 2005-01 phase III trial. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2010 Oct;28(30):4621–9.
- 34. Moreau P, Hulin C, Macro M, et al. VTD is superior to VCD prior to intensive therapy in multiple myeloma: results of the prospective IFM2013-04 trial. *Blood*. 2016 May;127(21):2569–74.
  - 35. Kumar SK, Dingli D, Lacy MQ, et al. Autologous stem cell transplantation in patients of 70 years and older with multiple myeloma: Results from a matched pair analysis. *American journal of hematology*. 2008 Aug;83(8):614–7.
  - 36. Minoia C, Pisapia G, Palazzo G, et al. Impact of novel agents followed by autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for multiple myeloma patients aged 65 years or older: a retrospective single Institutional analysis. Vol. 50, *Bone marrow transplantation*. England; 2015. p. 1486.
  - 37. Pawlyn C, Cairns D, Menzies T, et al. Autologous stem cell transplantation is safe and effective for fit older myeloma patients: exploratory results from the Myeloma XI trial. *Haematologica*. 2020 Dec;Online ahe:0.
  - 38. Belotti A, Ribolla R, Cancelli V, et al. The IMWG Frailty Score and Age Can be More Effective Than Clinical Judgement in the Selection of Elderly Patients with Newly Diagnosed Multiple Myeloma Who Can Benefit from an Intensive Treatment Approach with High Dose Melphalan and Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation. *Blood*. 2018 Nov 29;132(Supplement 1):2151–2151.
  - 39. Palumbo A, Bringhen S, Mateos MV, et al. Geriatric assessment predicts survival and toxicities in elderly myeloma patients: An International Myeloma Working Group report. *Blood* [Internet]. 2015 Mar 26 [cited 2020 Dec 12];125(13):2068–74. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC4375104/?report=abstract](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4375104/?report=abstract)
  - 40. Wildes TM, Campagnaro E. ScienceDirect Meet the experts Management of multiple myeloma in older adults : Gaining ground with geriatric assessment. *Journal of Geriatric Oncology* [Internet]. 2016;1–9. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jgo.2016.04.001>
  - 41. Moreau P, Attal M, Facon T. Frontline therapy of multiple myeloma [Internet]. Vol. 125, *Blood*. American Society of Hematology; 2015 [cited 2020 Dec 12]. p. 3076–84. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25838345/>
  - 42. Benboubker L, Dimopoulos MA, Dispenzieri A, et al. Lenalidomide and Dexamethasone in Transplant-Ineligible Patients with Myeloma. *New England Journal of Medicine* [Internet]. 2014 Sep 4 [cited 2020 Dec 12];371(10):906–17. Available from: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa1402551>
  - 43. Niesvizky R, Flinn IW, Rifkin R, et al. Community-based phase IIIB trial of three UPFRONT bortezomib-based myeloma regimens. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* [Internet]. 2015 Nov 20 [cited 2020 Dec 12];33(33):3921–9. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26056177/>
  - 44. Durie BGM, Hoering A, Abidi MH, et al. Bortezomib with lenalidomide and dexamethasone versus lenalidomide and dexamethasone alone in patients with newly diagnosed myeloma without intent for immediate autologous stem-cell transplant (SWOG S0777): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *The Lancet* [Internet]. 2017 Feb 4 [cited 2020 Dec 12];389(10068):519–27. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28017406/>
  - 45. O'Donnell EK, Laubach JP, Yee AJ, et al. A phase 2 study of modified lenalidomide, bortezomib and dexamethasone in transplant-ineligible multiple myeloma. *British journal of haematology*. 2018 Jul;182(2):222–30.
  - 46. Kumar S, Paiva B, Anderson KC, Durie B, et al. International Myeloma Working Group consensus criteria for response and minimal residual disease assessment in multiple myeloma. *The Lancet Oncology*. 2016 Aug;17(8):e328–46.
  - 47. Kumar SK, Therneau TM, Gertz MA, et al. Clinical course of patients with relapsed multiple myeloma. *Mayo Clinic proceedings*. 2004 Jul;79(7):867–74.

48. Kumar SK, Lee JH, Lahuerta JJ, et al. Risk of progression and survival in multiple myeloma relapsing after therapy with IMiDs and bortezomib: a multicenter international myeloma working group study. *Leukemia*. 2012 Jan;26(1):149–57.
49. Majithia N, Rajkumar S V, Lacy MQ, et al. Early relapse following initial therapy for multiple myeloma predicts poor outcomes in the era of novel agents. *Leukemia* [Internet]. 2016 Nov 1 [cited 2020 Dec 13];30(11):2208–13. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC5541860/?report=abstract
50. Nijhof IS, van de Donk NW CJ, Zweegman S, et al. Current and New Therapeutic Strategies for Relapsed and Refractory Multiple Myeloma: An Update [Internet]. Vol. 78, Drugs. Springer International Publishing; 2018 [cited 2020 Dec 13]. p. 19–37. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC5756574/?report=abstract
51. Rajkumar SV, Harousseau J-L, Durie B, et al. Consensus recommendations for the uniform reporting of clinical trials: report of the International Myeloma Workshop Consensus Panel 1. *Blood*. 2011 May;117(18):4691–5.
52. Ludwig H, Sonneveld P, Davies F, et al. European perspective on multiple myeloma treatment strategies in 2014. *The oncologist*. 2014 Aug;19(8):829–44.
53. Stewart AK, Rajkumar SV, Dimopoulos MA, et al. Carfilzomib, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone for relapsed multiple myeloma. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2015 Jan;372(2):142–52.
54. Moreau P, Masszi T, Grzasko N, et al. Oral Ixazomib, Lenalidomide, and Dexamethasone for Multiple Myeloma. *New England Journal of Medicine* [Internet]. 2016 Apr 28 [cited 2020 Dec 13];374(17):1621–34. Available from: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa1516282>
55. Palumbo A, Bringhen S, Ludwig H, et al. Personalized therapy in multiple myeloma according to patient age and vulnerability: a report of the European myeloma network (EMN). *Blood* 2011;118(17):4519–4529.
56. Overview | End of life care for adults: service delivery | Guidance NICE [Internet]. [cited 2020 Dec 13]. Available from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng142>