

Bölüm 7

DEFİBRİLYASYON VE KARDİOVERSİYON

Seray TÜRKMEN¹

GİRİŞ

Duran kalbi çalıştırmak veya ritim bozukluklarını düzeltmek amacı ile elektiriksel akım kullanımı çok eskilere dayanır. 18'nci yüzyıl sonlarında deney hayvanlarında yapılan çalışmalarda ilk elektiriksel uyarın sonrası deneğin kalbinin durduđu, 2'inci elektiriksel uyarın sonrası ise aynı deneğin tekrar kalp atımlarının başladıđından bahsedilmektedir ⁽¹⁾.

Yılda ortalama 350 000 Amerikalı kalp hastalıklarından dolayı hayatını kaybetmektedir. Bunların yarısı hastane dışında aniden gelişir. Erişkinlerde ani kalp ölümünün en sık nedeni nabızsız ventriküler taşikardi (VT) ve ventriküler fibrilasyondur (VF). Nabız varlığında da VT gelişebilir fakat genelde sonrasında VF gelişir ⁽²⁾.

VT genellikle hızlı, 0.12 saniyeden daha geniş QRS kompleksi ile tanımlanır. Supraventriküler Taşikardi (SVT) atım hızı 100 üzerine olan, his demetinin proksimalinden kaynaklanan ritimler için kullanılır. SVT ise hızlı, 0.12 saniyeden dar QRS kompleksleri ile tanımlanır. Ventrikülden kaynaklanmayan taşikardik ritimler genellikle SVT olarak adlandırılır ve sinüs taşikardisi, junctional taşikardiler, reentran taşikardiler, multiple atriyal taşikardiler, multifokal atriyal taşikardiler (MAT), atriyal fibrilasyon ve atriyal flutter bu tanımın içinde yer alır. Farklı supraventriküler ritimler SVT'ye neden olabilir ve hepsi klinik olarak benzer şekilde tedavi edilirler ⁽³⁾.

¹ Uzm. Dr., Prof. Dr. Cemil Taşcıođlu Şehir Hastanesi, drserayturkmen@yahoo.com.tr

- Yine hastaya bağlı olarak, transtorasik empedans, aritminin tipi, kullanılan antiaritmik ilaç ve aritminin süresi gibi pek çok faktör de başarılı kardiyoversiyon veya defibrilasyon şansını etkilemektedir.
- Pek çok hastada ped veya kaşıkların yerleştirilmesi için genelde antero-posterior pozisyonun önerilmesine paralel olarak, ICD veya kalp pili olan hastalarda da ICD üzerinde yer alan deri ile temas etmemek şartı ile pedlerin anteroposterior olarak benzer şekilde yerleştirilmesi önerilmektedir. Bu sayede şokun etkisi artar ve olası ICD hasarı riski en aza indirilmiş olur.

KAYNAKLAR

1. Singh BN. Controlling cardiac arrhythmias: an overview with a historical perspective. *The American journal of cardiology*. 1997;80(8a):4g-15g.
2. Tainter CR, Hughes PG. *Commotio Cordis*. StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing Copyright © 2020, StatPearls Publishing LLC.; 2020.
3. McWilliam JA. Electrical Stimulation of the Heart in Man. *British medical journal*. 1889;1(1468):348-50.
4. Gurvich NL, Yuniev GS. Restoration of regular rhythm in the mammalian fibrillating heart. *American review of Soviet medicine*. 1946;3:236-9.
5. Tsukerman BM. [Experience in electrical defibrillation of the auricle in 20 patients with mitral heart defects]. *Vestnik Akademii meditsinskikh nauk SSSR*. 1961;16(8):32-5.
6. Zoll PM, Linenthal AJ, Gibson W, Paul MH, Norman LR. Termination of ventricular fibrillation in man by externally applied electric countershock. *The New England journal of medicine*. 1956;254(16):727-32.
7. Alexander S, Kleiger R, Lown B. Use of external electric countershock in the treatment of ventricular tachycardia. *Jama*. 1961;177:916-8.
8. Paul MH, Miller RA. External electrical termination of supraventricular arrhythmias in congenital heart disease. *Circulation*. 1962;25:604-9.
9. Kerber RE, Martins JB, Kienzle MG, Constantin L, Olshansky B, Hopson R, et al. Energy, current, and success in defibrillation and cardioversion: clinical studies using an automated impedance-based method of energy adjustment. *Circulation*. 1988;77(5):1038-46.
10. Lerman BB, Halperin HR, Tsitlik JE, Brin K, Clark CW, Deale OC. Relationship between canine transthoracic impedance and defibrillation threshold. Evidence for current-based defibrillation. *The Journal of clinical investigation*. 1987;80(3):797-803.
11. Kerber RE, Jensen SR, Gascho JA, Grayzel J, Hoyt R, Kennedy J. Determinants of defibrillation: prospective analysis of 183 patients. *The American journal of cardiology*. 1983;52(7):739-45.

12. Lerman BB, DiMarco JP, Haines DE. Current-based versus energy-based ventricular defibrillation: a prospective study. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 1988;12(5):1259-64.
13. Plonsey R, Barr RC. Effect of microscopic and macroscopic discontinuities on the response of cardiac tissue to defibrillating (stimulating) currents. *Medical & biological engineering & computing*. 1986;24(2):130-6.
14. Mower MM, Mirowski M, Spear JF, Moore EN. Patterns of ventricular activity during catheter defibrillation. *Circulation*. 1974;49(5):858-61.
15. Witkowski FX, Penkoske PA, Plonsey R. Mechanism of cardiac defibrillation in open-chest dogs with unipolar DC-coupled simultaneous activation and shock potential recordings. *Circulation*. 1990;82(1):244-60.
16. Zipes DP, Fischer J, King RM, Nicoll Ad, Jolly WW. Termination of ventricular fibrillation in dogs by depolarizing a critical amount of myocardium. *The American journal of cardiology*. 1975;36(1):37-44.
17. Chen PS, Wolf PD, Ideker RE. Mechanism of cardiac defibrillation. A different point of view. *Circulation*. 1991;84(2):913-9.
18. Chen PS, Shibata N, Dixon EG, Martin RO, Ideker RE. Comparison of the defibrillation threshold and the upper limit of ventricular vulnerability. *Circulation*. 1986;73(5):1022-8.
19. Chen PS, Wolf PD, Melnick SD, Danieleley ND, Smith WM, Ideker RE. Comparison of activation during ventricular fibrillation and following unsuccessful defibrillation shocks in open-chest dogs. *Circulation research*. 1990;66(6):1544-60.
20. Huang J, KenKnight BH, Walcott GP, Rollins DL, Smith WM, Ideker RE. Effects of transvenous electrode polarity and waveform duration on the relationship between defibrillation threshold and upper limit of vulnerability. *Circulation*. 1997;96(4):1351-9.
21. Sweeney RJ, Gill RM, Steinberg MI, Reid PR. Ventricular refractory period extension caused by defibrillation shocks. *Circulation*. 1990;82(3):965-72.
22. Zhou XH, Knisley SB, Wolf PD, Rollins DL, Smith WM, Ideker RE. Prolongation of repolarization time by electric field stimulation with monophasic and biphasic shocks in open-chest dogs. *Circulation research*. 1991;68(6):1761-7.
23. Moubarak JB, Karasik PE, Fletcher RD, Franz MR. High dispersion of ventricular repolarization after an implantable defibrillator shock predicts induction of ventricular fibrillation as well as unsuccessful defibrillation. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2000;35(2):422-7.
24. Goktekin O, Melek M, Gorenek B, Birdane A, Kudaiberdieva G, Cavusoglu Y, et al. Cardiac troponin T and cardiac enzymes after external transthoracic cardioversion of ventricular arrhythmias in patients with coronary artery disease. *Chest*. 2002;122(6):2050-4.
25. Lown B, Kleiger R, Wolff G. THE TECHNIQUE OF CARDIOVERSION. *American heart journal*. 1964;67:282-4.
26. Botto GL, Politi A, Bonini W, Broffoni T, Bonatti R. External cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: role of paddle position on technical efficacy and energy requirements. *Heart (British Cardiac Society)*. 1999;82(6):726-30.

27. Walsh SJ, McCarty D, McClelland AJ, Owens CG, Trouton TG, Harbinson MT, et al. Impedance compensated biphasic waveforms for transthoracic cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: a multi-centre comparison of antero-apical and antero-posterior pad positions. *European heart journal*. 2005;26(13):1298-302.
28. Stiell IG, Sivilotti MLA, Taljaard M, Birnie D, Vadeboncoeur A, Hohl CM, et al. Electrical versus pharmacological cardioversion for emergency department patients with acute atrial fibrillation (RAFF2): a partial factorial randomised trial. *Lancet* (London, England). 2020;395(10221):339-49.
29. Thomas ED, Ewy GA, Dahl CF, Ewy MD. Effectiveness of direct current defibrillation: role of paddle electrode size. *American heart journal*. 1977;93(4):463-7.
30. Ewy GA, Horan WJ. Effectiveness of direct current defibrillation: role of paddle electrode size: II. *American heart journal*. 1977;93(5):674-5.
31. Dahl CF, Ewy GA, Warner ED, Thomas ED. Myocardial necrosis from direct current countershock. Effect of paddle electrode size and time interval between discharges. *Circulation*. 1974;50(5):956-61.
32. Hoyt R, Grayzel J, Kerber RE. Determinants of intracardiac current in defibrillation. Experimental studies in dogs. *Circulation*. 1981;64(4):818-23.
33. Kirchhof P, Mönnig G, Wasmer K, Heinecke A, Breithardt G, Eckardt L, et al. A trial of self-adhesive patch electrodes and hand-held paddle electrodes for external cardioversion of atrial fibrillation (MOBIPAPA). *European heart journal*. 2005;26(13):1292-7.
34. Niebauer MJ, Brewer JE, Chung MK, Tchou PJ. Comparison of the rectilinear biphasic waveform with the monophasic damped sine waveform for external cardioversion of atrial fibrillation and flutter. *The American journal of cardiology*. 2004;93(12):1495-9.
35. Faddy SC, Jennings PA. Biphasic versus monophasic waveforms for transthoracic defibrillation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2016;2:Cd006762.
36. Schneider T, Martens PR, Paschen H, Kuisma M, Wolcke B, Gliner BE, et al. Multi-center, randomized, controlled trial of 150-J biphasic shocks compared with 200- to 360-J monophasic shocks in the resuscitation of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest victims. Optimized Response to Cardiac Arrest (ORCA) Investigators. *Circulation*. 2000;102(15):1780-7.
37. Morrison LJ, Dorian P, Long J, Vermeulen M, Schwartz B, Sawadsky B, et al. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest rectilinear biphasic to monophasic damped sine defibrillation waveforms with advanced life support intervention trial (ORBIT). *Resuscitation*. 2005;66(2):149-57.
38. Kudenchuk PJ, Cobb LA, Copass MK, Olsufka M, Maynard C, Nichol G. Transthoracic incremental monophasic versus biphasic defibrillation by emergency responders (TIMBER): a randomized comparison of monophasic with biphasic waveform ascending energy defibrillation for the resuscitation of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest due to ventricular fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2006;114(19):2010-8.
39. Page RL, Kerber RE, Russell JK, Trouton T, Waktare J, Gallik D, et al. Biphasic versus monophasic shock waveform for conversion of atrial fibrillation: the results of an

- international randomized, double-blind multicenter trial. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2002;39(12):1956-63.
40. Mortensen K, Risius T, Schwemer TF, Aydin MA, Köster R, Klemm HU, et al. Biphasic versus monophasic shock for external cardioversion of atrial flutter: a prospective, randomized trial. *Cardiology*. 2008;111(1):57-62.
 41. Niebauer MJ, Chung MK, Brewer JE, Tchou PJ. Reduced cardioversion thresholds for atrial fibrillation and flutter using the rectilinear biphasic waveform. *Journal of interventional cardiac electrophysiology : an international journal of arrhythmias and pacing*. 2005;13(2):145-50.
 42. Stiell IG, Walker RG, Nesbitt LP, Chapman FW, Cousineau D, Christenson J, et al. BIPHASIC Trial: a randomized comparison of fixed lower versus escalating higher energy levels for defibrillation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation*. 2007;115(12):1511-7.
 43. Deale OC, Lerman BB. Intrathoracic current flow during transthoracic defibrillation in dogs. Transcardiac current fraction. *Circulation research*. 1990;67(6):1405-19.
 44. Geddes LA, Tacker WA, Cabler P, Chapman R, Rivera R, Kidder H. The decrease in transthoracic impedance during successive ventricular defibrillation trials. *Medical instrumentation*. 1975;9(4):179-80.
 45. Kerber RE, Grayzel J, Hoyt R, Marcus M, Kennedy J. Transthoracic resistance in human defibrillation. Influence of body weight, chest size, serial shocks, paddle size and paddle contact pressure. *Circulation*. 1981;63(3):676-82.
 46. Fumagalli S, Tarantini F, Caldi F, Makhani Y, Padeletti M, Boncinelli L, et al. Multiple shocks affect thoracic electrical impedance during external cardioversion of atrial fibrillation. *Pacing and clinical electrophysiology : PACE*. 2009;32(3):371-7.
 47. Sirna SJ, Kieso RA, Fox-Eastham KJ, Seabold J, Charbonnier F, Kerber RE. Mechanisms responsible for decline in transthoracic impedance after DC shocks. *The American journal of physiology*. 1989;257(4 Pt 2):H1180-3.
 48. Kerber RE, Vance S, Schomer SJ, Mariano DJ, Charbonnier F. Transthoracic defibrillation: effect of sternotomy on chest impedance. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 1992;20(1):94-7.
 49. Ewy GA, Hellman DA, McClung S, Taren D. Influence of ventilation phase on transthoracic impedance and defibrillation effectiveness. *Critical care medicine*. 1980;8(3):164-6.
 50. Ewy GA, Taren D. Comparison of paddle electrode pastes used for defibrillation. *Heart & lung : the journal of critical care*. 1977;6(5):847-50.
 51. Link MS, Atkins DL, Passman RS, Halperin HR, Samson RA, White RD, et al. Part 6: electrical therapies: automated external defibrillators, defibrillation, cardioversion, and pacing: 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation*. 2010;122(18 Suppl 3):S706-19.
 52. Kienzle MG, Miller J, Falcone RA, Harken A, Josephson ME. Intraoperative endocardial mapping during sinus rhythm: relationship to site of origin of ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation*. 1984;70(6):957-65.

53. Kerber RE, Kienzle MG, Olshansky B, Waldo AL, Wilber D, Carlson MD, et al. Ventricular tachycardia rate and morphology determine energy and current requirements for transthoracic cardioversion. *Circulation*. 1992;85(1):158-63.
54. Dalzell GW, Adgey AA. Determinants of successful transthoracic defibrillation and outcome in ventricular fibrillation. *British heart journal*. 1991;65(6):311-6.
55. Ricard P, Lévy S, Trigano J, Paganelli F, Daoud E, Man KC, et al. Prospective assessment of the minimum energy needed for external electrical cardioversion of atrial fibrillation. *The American journal of cardiology*. 1997;79(6):815-6.
56. Lown B. Electrical reversion of cardiac arrhythmias. *British heart journal*. 1967;29(4):469-89.
57. Echt DS, Black JN, Barbey JT, Cox DR, Cato E. Evaluation of antiarrhythmic drugs on defibrillation energy requirements in dogs. Sodium channel block and action potential prolongation. *Circulation*. 1989;79(5):1106-17.
58. Suddath WO, Deychak Y, Varghese PJ. Electrophysiologic basis by which epinephrine facilitates defibrillation after prolonged episodes of ventricular fibrillation. *Annals of emergency medicine*. 2001;38(3):201-6.