

## BÖLÜM 9

### ÇÜRÜKSÜZ SERVİKAL LEZYONLAR

Gül YILDIZ TELATAR<sup>1</sup>

Barış Can TELATAR<sup>2</sup>

#### GİRİŞ

Çürüksüz servikal lezyonlar (ÇSL) genellikle dişin servikal kısmında erozyon, abrazyon veya abfraksiyon nedeniyle ortaya çıkabilen klinikte yaygın olarak karşılaşılan lezyonlardır<sup>1-3</sup>.

Çürüksüz servikal lezyonların görülmeye sıklığının % 5 ile % 85 arasında değiştiği ve yaşla birlikte yükselseme eğilimi gösterdiği bildirilmiştir<sup>4</sup>. Bu nedenle, demografik değişim ve yaşlanan bir nüfus dikkate alındığında, ÇSL'in prevalansı gelecekte önemli ölçüde artacaktır. Bu lezyonlar diş hassasiyetini, plak retansiyonunu ve servikal çürük oluşumunu etkileyebilir. Tedavi seçeneği olarak ilk başta koruyucu yöntemler önerilse de pulpanın açılma olasılığının bulunduğu lezyonlarda restoratif tedavi seçenekleri uygulanmaktadır<sup>4,5</sup>.



**Resim:1** Çürüksüz servikal lezyonlar (YILDIZ TELATAR G, olgu arşivinden)

<sup>1</sup> Doktor Öğretim Üyesi, Recep Tayyip Erdoğan Üniversitesi Diş Hekimliği Fakültesi Restoratif Diş Tedavisi, gulyildiz@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Uzman Diş Hekimi, Barış Can Telatar Ortodonti Kliniği, bariscantelatar@gmail.com.

## KAYNAKLAR

1. Bartlett DW, Shah P. A critical review of non-carious cervical (wear) lesions and the role of abfraction, erosion, and abrasion. *J Dent Res.* 2006;85(4):306–12.
2. Levitch LC, Bader JD, Shugars DA, Heymann HO. Non-carious cervical lesions. *J Dent.* 1994;22(4):195–207.
3. Perez CDR, Gonzalez MR, Prado NAS, De Miranda MSF, Macêdo MDA, Fernandes BMP. Restoration of noncarious cervical lesions: When, why, and how. *Int J Dent.* 2012;2012.
4. May S, Cieplik F, Hiller KA, Buchalla W, Federlin M, Schmalz G. Flowable composites for restoration of non-carious cervical lesions: Three-year results. *Dent Mater.* 2017;33(3):e136–45.
5. Celik EU, Tunac AT, Yilmaz F. Three-year clinical evaluation of high-viscosity glass ionomer restorations in non-carious cervical lesions: a randomised controlled split-mouth clinical trial. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2019;23(3):1473–80.
6. Mayhew RB, Jessee SA, Martin RE. Association of occlusal, periodontal, and dietary factors with the presence of non-carious cervical dental lesions. *Am J Dent.* 1998;11(1):29–32.
7. Grippo JO, Simring M, Schreiner S. Attrition, abrasion, corrosion and abfraction revisited: A new perspective on tooth surface lesions. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2004;135(8):1109–18.
8. Lee WC, Eakle WS. Possible role of tensile stress in the etiology of cervical erosive lesions of teeth. *J Prosthet Dent.* 1984;52(3):374–80.
9. Coatoam GW, Behrents RG, Bissada NF. The Width of Keratinized Gingiva During Orthodontic Treatment: Its Significance and Impact on Periodontal Status. *J Periodontol.* 1981;52(6):307–13.
10. Zucchelli G, Mounssfif I. Periodontal plastic surgery. *Periodontology.* 2000;68(1):333–68.
11. Szesz A, Parreira S, Martini E, Reis A, Loguercio A. Effect of flowable composites on the clinical performance of non-carious cervical lesions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Dent.* 2017;65:11–21.
12. Bayraktar Y, Ercan E, Hamidi MM, Çolak H. One-year clinical evaluation of different types of bulk-fill composites. *J Investig Clin Dent.* 2017;8(2):1–9.
13. Chesterman J, Jowett A, Gallacher A, Nixon P. Bulk-fill resin-based composite restorative materials: A review. *Br Dent J.* 2017;222(5):337–44.
14. Correia A, Jurema A, Andrade MR, Borges A, Bresciani E, Caneppele T. Clinical Evaluation of Noncarious Cervical Lesions of Different Extensions Restored With Bulk-fill or Conventional Resin Composite: Preliminary Results of a Randomized Clinical Trial. *Oper Dent.* 2020;45(1):E11–20.
15. Torres CRG, Da Silva TM, Da Fonseca BM, Sales ALLS, Holleben P, Di Nicolo R, et al. The effect of three desensitizing agents on dentin hypersensitivity: A randomized, split-mouth clinical trial. *Oper Dent.* 2014;39(5):E186–94.
16. Peumans M, De Munck J, Van Landuyt KL, Poitevin A, Lambrechts P, Van Meerbeek B. A 13-year clinical evaluation of two three-step etch-and-rinse adhesives in non-carious class-V lesions. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2012;16(1):129–37.
17. Lopes GC, Baratieri CM, Baratieri LN, Monteiro S Jr, Cardoso Vieira LC. Bonding to cervical sclerotic dentin: effect of acid etching time. *J Adhes Dent.* 2004;6:19–23.
18. Uzer Celik E, Yıldız G, Katırcı G. Sklerotik dentine bağlanması. *EÜ Dişhek Fak Derg.* 2009;30:61–7.
19. Namgung C, Rho YJ, Jin BH, Lim BS, Cho BH. A retrospective clinical study of cervical restorations: Longevity and failure-prognostic variables. *Oper Dent.* 2013;38(4):376–85.
20. Palaniappan S, Elsen L, Lijnen I, Peumans M, Van Meerbeek B, Lambrechts P. Nanohybrid and microfilled hybrid versus conventional hybrid composite restorations: 5-year clinical wear performance. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2012;16(1):181–90.
21. Canali GD, Ignácio SA, Rached RN, Souza EM. One-year clinical evaluation of bulk-fill flowable vs. regular nanofilled composite in non-carious cervical lesions. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2019;23(2):889–97.

22. Kubo S, Yokota H, Yokota H, Hayashi Y. Three-year clinical evaluation of a flowable and a hybrid resin composite in non-carious cervical lesions. *J Dent*. 2010;38(3):191–200.
23. Fernandes N, Vally Z, Sykes L. The longevity of restorations -A literature review. *South African Dent J*. 2015;70(9):410–3.
24. Türkün LS, Celik EU. Noncarious class V lesions restored with a polyacid modified resin composite and a nanocomposite: a two-year clinical trial. *J Adhes Dent*. 2008;10(5):399–405.
25. American Dental Association. ADA acceptance program guidelines: resin based composites for posterior restorations. Chicago; 2001.
26. Subramaniam P, Konde S, Mandanna D. Retention of a resin-based sealant and a glass ionomer used as a fissure sealant: A comparative clinical study. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent*. 2008;26(3):114–20.
27. Perez CDR. "Alternative technique for class v resin composite restorations with minimum finishing/ polishing procedures. *Oper Dent*. 2010;35(3):375–9.
28. Santamaria MP, Suaid FF, Casati MZ, Nociti FH, Sallum AW, Sallum EA. Coronally Positioned Flap Plus Resin-Modified Glass Ionomer Restoration for the Treatment of Gingival Recession Associated With Non-Carious Cervical Lesions: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. *J Periodontol*. 2008;79(4):621–8.
29. Modica F, Pizzo M Del, Roccuzzo M, Romagnoli R. Coronally Advanced Flap for the Treatment of Buccal Gingival Recessions With and Without Enamel Matrix Derivative. A Split-Mouth Study. *J Periodontol*. 2000;71(11):1693–8.