

Manyetik Rezonans Görüntüleme Sedasyon

Dr. Metin Alkan

Manyetik rezonans görüntüleme (MRG) çok güçlü bir mıknatıs ve radyo dalgaları kullanılarak görüntü elde edilmesini sağlayan, iyonizan radyasyon içermeyen, kesitsel bir radyolojik inceleme yöntemidir. İnsanlar sabit bir manyetik alan içine yerleştirildiğinde vücutlarındaki protonlar mıknatısın vektörü doğrultusunda paralel ve antiparalel dizilim göstererek dönüş (spin) yapar. Daha sonra radyo dalgaları gönderilerek dokulardaki hidrojen atomlarında sapmalar sağlanır. Radyo dalgaları kesildiğinde ise protonlar mıknatıs doğrultusundaki tekrar eski konumlarına döner ve dönerken aldığı enerjiyi geri verir. Bir alıcı vasıtasıyla bu enerji sinyale dönüştürülür. Her doku için oluşan sapma farklı olduğundan, eski konumlarına dönme zamanları da farklı olur. Bu sinyal farklılıkları bir işlemci aracılığı ile görüntüye dönüştürülür. İnsan vücudunda en fazla suda olmak üzere su ve yağ içerisinde hidrojen atomu fazladır. O nedenle özellikle beyin, kas-iskelet sistemi ve karın içi organlar gibi solid organların değerlendirilmesinde MRG etkin şekilde kullanılır. Akciğer dokusu, su açısından diğer sistemlere göre daha fakir olduğu için MRG toraksta bazı parankimal akciğer hastalıklarında da uygulanmakla birlikte, çoğunlukla mediasteninin görüntülenmesinde, plevral sıvı/ampiyem, konsolidasyon ve kitle gibi solid lezyonların ayırımında daha etkili kullanılmaktadır (1).

Mevcut diğer radyolojik görüntüleme yöntemleri ile karşılaştırıldığında en önemli avantajı iyonizan radyasyon içermemesidir. Akut, kısa süreli, statik manyetik alana [2 Tesla (T)'ye kadar] maruz kalmanın tehlikeli ya da geri dönüşsüz zarar verdiği dair hiçbir kanıt görülmemektedir. Amerika'da FDA'nın onayladığı manyetik saha limiti 4 T olmasına rağmen MRG işlemi sırasında sıklıkla 1,5 T değeri kullanılmaktadır (2).

Manyetik rezonans görüntüleme tarayıcısı ortalama 2 metre (m) genişliğinde, 2 m boyunda ve 3 m uzunluğundadır. Hasta, her iki tarafı açık,

Kaynaklar

1. Herek D, Karabulut N. Manyetik Rezonans Görüntüleme. TTD Toraks Cerrahisi Bülteni. 2010; 1(3);214-222.
2. Food and Drug Administration. Center for Devices and Radiologic Health. A primer on medical device interactions with magnetic resonance imaging systems. <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/ode/primerf6.html>, 1997
3. Colletti PM. Size "H" oxygen cylinder: accidental MR projectile at 1.5 Tesla. J Magn Reson Imaging 2004;19: 141-3.
4. Shellock FG, Crues JV. MR procedures: biologic effects, safety, and patient care. Radiology 2004;232:635-652.
5. Chaljub G, Kramer LA, Johnson RF, et al. Projectile Cylinder Accidents Resulting from the Presence of Ferromagnetic Nitrous Oxide or Oxygen Tanks in the MR Suite. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2001;177: 27-30.
6. Işık B. Manyetik Rezonans Görüntüleme ve Anestezi. Marmara Medical Journal 2006;19(2);98-103.
7. Gooden CK. Anesthesia for magnetic resonance imaging. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol 2004;17: 339-342.
8. Shellock FG, Crues 3rd JV. MR safety and the American College of Radiology White Paper. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2002;178:1349-1352.
9. Magnetic resonance diagnostic device; panel recommendation and report on petitions for magnetic resonance reclassification and codification of reclassification-FDA. Final rule. Fed Regist 1989,1;54: 5077-5078.
10. Tope WD, Shellock FG. Magnetic resonance imaging and permanent cosmetics (tattoos): survey of complications and adverse events. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2002;15(2):180-184.
11. Kanal E, Borgstede J, Barkovich A, et al. American College of Radiology white paper on MR safety. Am J Roentgenol 2002; 178:1335-1347.
12. Morton G, Gildersleve C. Noise in the MRI scanner. Anaesthesia 2000;55: 1213-1214.
13. Murphy K, Brunberg J, Cohan R. Adverse reactions to gadolinium contrast media: a review of 36 cases. Am J Roentgenol 1996; 167:847-849.
14. Ucisik-Keser FE, Chi TL, Hamid Y, Dinh A, Chang E, et al. Impact of airway management strategies on magnetic resonance image quality. Br J Anaesth. 2016;117(S1):i97-i102.
15. Schmitz B, Nimsky C, Wendel G, Wienerl J, Ganslandt O, et al. Anesthesia during high-field intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging experience with 80 consecutive cases. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2003;15: 255-262.
16. Serafini G, Zadra N. Anaesthesia for MRI in the paediatric patient. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. 2008 ;21(4):499-503.
17. Hertzog JH, Havidich JE. Nonanaesthesiologists-provided pediatric procedural sedation: an update. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol 2007; 20:365-372.
18. McBrien ME, Winder J, Smyth L. Anaesthesia for magnetic resonance imaging: a survey of current practice in the UK and Ireland. Anaesthesia. 2000 ;55(8):737-743.
19. Owen J. Arthurs and Michael Suryb. Anaesthesia or sedation for paediatric MRI: advantages and disadvantages. Curr Opin Anesthesiol 2013, 26:489-494.
20. Kannikeswaran N, Mahajan P, Sethuraman U, Groebe A, Chen X. et al. Sedation medication received and adverse events related to sedation for brain MRI in children with and without developmentally disabilities. Paediatr Anaesth 2009; 19:250-256.
21. Kannikeswaran N, Chen X, Sethuraman U. Utility of end tidal carbon dioxide monitoring in detection of hypoxia during sedation for brain magnetic resonance imaging in children with developmental disabilities. Paediatr Anaesth 2011; 21:1241-1246.
22. Cortellazzi P, Lamperti M, Minati L, Falcone C, Pantaleoni C, et al. Sedation of neurologically impaired children undergoing MRI: a sequential approach. Paediatr Anaesth 2007;

- 17:630-636.
23. Finnemore A, Toulmin H, Merchant N, Arichi T, Tusor N, et al. Chloral hydrate sedation for magnetic resonance imaging in newborn infants. *Paediatr Anaesth.* 2014 ;24(2):190-5.
 24. Öztürk Ö, Üstebay S, Bilge A. Pediatrik Hastalarda Manyetik Rezonans Görüntüleme Sırasında Uygulanan Anestezi Deneyimlerimiz. *Kafkas J Med Sci* 2017; 7(1):26-28.
 25. Jevdjic J, Surbatović M, Drakulić M, Zunic F. Deep sedation with midazolam and propofol in children undergoing ambulatory magnetic resonance imaging of the brain. *Vojnosanit Pregl.* 2011; 68(10): 842-845.
 26. Rooks V, Chung T, Connor L, Zurakowski D, Hoffer FA, et al. Comparison of oral pentobarbital sodium (Nembutal) and oral chloral hydrate for sedation of infants during radiologic imaging: preliminary results. *Am J Roentgenol* 2003; 180:1125-1128.
 27. Gómez-Ríos MÁ, Freire-Vila E, Kuczkowski KM, Pensado-Castiñeiras A. Comparison of sevoflurane administered through a face mask versus rectal thiopental sodium in children undergoing magnetic resonance imaging. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2017 Feb;30(4):437-441.
 28. Green SM, Roback MG, Krauss B, Brown L, McGlone RG, et al. Predictors of airway and respiratory adverse events with ketamine sedation in the emergency department: an individual-patient data meta-analysis of 8282 children. *Ann Emerg Med* 2009; 54:171-180.
 29. Kang R, Shin YH, Gil NS, Kim KY, Yeo H, et al. A comparison of the use of propofol alone and propofol with midazolam for pediatric magnetic resonance imaging sedation- a retrospective cohort study. *BMC Anesthesiol.* 2017 ;11;17(1):138.
 30. Schulte-Uentrop L, Goepfert MS. Anaesthesia or sedation for MRI in children. *Current Opinion in Anaesthesiology* 2010;23:513-517.
 31. Mason KP, Fontaine PJ, Robinson F, Zgleszewski S. Pediatric sedation in a community hospital-based outpatient MRI center. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2012; 198:448-452.
 32. Mason K, Zurakowski D, Zgleszewski S, Robson CD, Carrier M, et al. High dose dexmedetomidine as the sole sedative for pediatric MRI. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2008; 18:403-411.
 33. Ahmed SS, Unland T, Slaven JE, Nitu ME. High dose dexmedetomidine: effective as a sole agent sedation for children undergoing MRI. *Int J Pediatr.* 2015;2015:397372.
 34. Sulton C, Kamat P, Mallory M, Reynolds J. The Use of Intranasal Dexmedetomidine and Midazolam for Sedated Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Children: A Report From the Pediatric Sedation Research Consortium. *Paediatr Emerg Care.* 2017 Jun 12. doi: 10.1097/PEC.0000000000001199. [Epub ahead of print]
 35. Heyer CM, Lemburg SP, Sterl S, et al. Dispensing with sedation in pediatric MR imaging of the brain: what is feasible? [in German]. *Rofo* 2012; 184: 1034–1042.
 36. Machata A, Willschke H, Kabon B, Kettner SC, Marhofer P. Propofol-based sedation regimen for infants and children undergoing ambulatory magnetic resonance imaging. *Br J Anaesth* 2008; 101:239-243.
 37. Özalevli M, Ünlügenç H, Gündüz M, Çetin T, Özcengiz D. Çocuklarda Manyetik Rezonans Görüntüleme Propofol ile Ketaminin Karşılaştırmalı Değerlendirilmesi. *Türkiye Klinikleri J Anest Reanim* 2005;3(1):7-14.
 38. Usher AG, Kearney RA, Tsui BC. Propofol total intravenous anesthesia for MRI in children. *Paediatr Anaesth.* 2005;15: 23-8.
 39. Kamal K, Asthana U, Bansal T, Dureja J, Ahlawat G, et al. Evaluation of efficacy of dexmedetomidine versus propofol for sedation in children undergoing magnetic resonance imaging. *Saudi J Anaesth.* 2017;11(2):163-168.
 40. Wu J, Mahmoud M, Schmitt M, Hossain M, Kurth D. Comparison of propofol and dexmedetomidine techniques in children undergoing magnetic resonance imaging. *Paediatr Anaesth.* 2014 ;24(8):813-818.

41. Malviya S, Voepel-Lewis T, Eldevik OP, Rockwell DT, Wong SH, et al. Sedation and general anaesthesia in children undergoing MRI and CT: adverse events and outcomes. *Br J Anaesth* 2000; 84: 743-748.
42. Keengwe IN, Hedge S, Dearlove O, Wilson B, Yates RW, et al. Structured sedation programme for magnetic resonance imaging examination in children. *Anaesthesia* 1999;54: 1069-1072.
43. See JJ, Manninen PH. Anesthesia for neuroradiology. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol.* 2005; 18(4):437-441.
44. Nimsy C, Ganslandt O, Kober H, Buchfelder M, Fahlbusch R. Intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging combined with neuronavigation: a new concept. *Neurosurgery* 2001; 48:1082-1091.
45. Schmitz B, Nimsy C, Wendel G, Wienerl J, Ganslandt O, et al. Anesthesia during high-field intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging experience with 80 consecutive cases. *J Neurosurg Anesthesiol* 2003; 15:255-262.
46. Windram J, Grosse-Wortmann L, Shariat M, Greer ML, Crawford MW, et al. Cardiovascular MRI without sedation or general anesthesia using a feed-and-sleep technique in neonates and infants. *Pediatr Radiol* 2012; 42:183-187.
47. Knudsen LM, Moen A. Practical planning to maintain premature infants' safety during magnetic resonance imaging: a systematic review. *Adv Neonatal Care.* 2015; 15(1):23-37
48. Barkovich MJ, Xu D, Desikan RS, Williams C, Barkovich AJ. Pediatric neuro MRI: tricks to minimize sedation. *Pediatr Radiol.* 2017 ;48 (1):50-55.
49. Kharabish A, Mkrtchyan N, Meierhofer C, Martinoff S, Ewert P, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance is successfully feasible in many patients aged 3 to 8years without general anesthesia or sedation. *J Clin Anesth.* 2016; 34:11-14.
50. Mellon RD, Simone AF, Rappaport. Use of anesthetic agents in neonates and young children *Anesth Analg.* 2007 ;104(3):509-520.
51. Kitt E, Friderici J, Kleppel R, Canarie M. Procedural sedation for MRI in children with ADHD. *Paediatr Anaesth.* 2015;25(10):1026-1032.
52. Bluemke DA, Breiter SN. Sedation procedures in MR imaging: safety, effectiveness, and nursing effect on examinations 1. *Radiology* 2000; 216:645-52.
53. Loh PS, Ariffin MA, Rai V, Lai LL, Chan L, et al. Comparing the efficacy and safety between propofol and dexmedetomidine for sedation in claustrophobic adults undergoing magnetic resonance imaging (PADAM trial). *J Clin Anesth.* 2016; 34:216-222.
54. Sandner-Kiesling A, Schwarz G, Vicenzi M, Fall A, James RL, et al. Side-effects after inhalational anaesthesia for paediatric cerebral magnetic resonance imaging. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2002;12: 429-437.
55. Cravero JP, Beach M, Thyr B, Whalen K. The effect of small dose fentanyl on the emergence characteristics of pediatric patients after sevoflurane anesthesia without surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2003;97: 364-367
56. Işık B, Arslan M, Tunga AT, Kurtipek Ö. Dexmedetomidine decreases emergence agitation in pediatric patients after sevoflurane anesthesia without surgery. *Pediatric Anesthesia* 2006; 16: 748-753.
57. Öztürk E, Yücel A, Begeç Z et al. Manyetik Rezonans Görüntüleme Ünitesindeki Pediyatrik Olgularda Anestezi Deneyimlerimiz. İnönü Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Dergisi. 2008; 15 (4) 239-243.