

## BÖLÜM 14

### KRONİK YARA TEDAVİSİNDE DERMİS İSKLETELERİNİN YERİ

Ahmet Deniz UÇAR<sup>1</sup>

#### GİRİŞ

Dermis iskeletleri (deri eşdeğerleri – skin substitutes) herhangi bir nedenle oluşan açık deri yaralarının geçici veya kalıcı olarak kapatılmasını sağlayan biyolojik, sentetik veya biyosenteтик тürnlere verilen genel bir terimdir. Dermis iskeletlerinin amacı, normal derinin özelliklerini taklit ederek yara iyileşmesini, deri rejenerasyonunu optimum hız ve koşullarda sağlamaktır. Dermis iskeletleri, akut veya kronik yaraların tedavisinde, rekonstrüksiyon prosedürlerinde kullanılabilir [1].

Her tür dermis iskeletinin kendine özgü avantajları ve dezavantajları vardır. Yara iyileşmesi bireye özgü olma eğiliminde olduğundan, dermis iskeletlerinin kullanımı, yaranın tipine (akut, kronik), etiyolojisine (yanık, travma, kronik yara), ve cilt katmanına (epidermis, dermis veya her ikisi) göre değişebilmektedir. Ayrıca istenen fonksiyonel ve estetik sonuçların da göz önünde bulundurulması gereklidir.

Dermis iskeletleri ideal olarak derinin bileşimine ve işlevine yakın özelliklere veya bir yarağa uygulandığında otolog rejeneratif iyileşme potansiyeline sahiptir [2]. Dermis iskeletleri

uygun zaman ve yarada doğru teknik ile uygulanlığında, tedavisi zor yaralar için oldukça yüz güldürücü sonuçlar verebilir. Bu malzemelerin üretimi ve mühendisliğinde son yıllarda önemli ilerlemeler kaydedilmiştir.

Belirli bir dermis iskeleti kullanmadan önce, klinisyenin kullanılacak ürünü ve yaranın özelliklerini tam olarak anlaması önemlidir. Her yaranın kendine özgü ve değişken bir fizyopatolojisi vardır ve hangi hastada, hangi yarada ne tür dermis iskeleti kullanılacağına ilişkin alternatif seçenekler ve çeşitli ihtiyaçları karşılamaya çalışmak için birçok ürün geliştirilmiştir (Tablo 1) [3-8].

İnsan derisi allograftleri, amniyon zarı ürünleri ve çeşitli hayvanlardan (örneğin domuz, kurbağa, balık) elde edilen ksenograftler özel yanık merkezlerinde kullanılmaktadır [8]. Dermis iskeleti olarak ksenograft kullanımını MÖ 15. yüzyıla kadar gitmektedir. O zamandan beri, çeşitli dermis iskeletleri geliştirilmiştir. Deri allograftlerinin, hissedilmeyen sıvı, elektrolit ve protein kaybını önlemede etkili olduğu ve ayrıca mikrobiyal kontaminasyon/enfeksiyona karşı bir bariyer görevi gördüğü kanıtlanmıştır. Allograftler ayrıca, yetersiz donör alanı mevcu-

<sup>1</sup> Doç. Dr., İzmir Bozyaka Eğitim Araştırma Hastanesi Genel Cerrahi Kliniği, ahmetdenizucar@hotmail.com

yon), değiştirilmesi gereken cilt bileşenine (epidermis, dermis veya her ikisi) ve yara üzerinde kalıcı durma süresine bağlıdır. Ayrıca istenen fonksiyonel ve estetik sonuçların da göz önünde bulundurulması gerekir.

## KAYNAKLAR

1. Figus A, Leon-Villalpando J, Philp B, Dziewulski P. Severe multiple extensive postburn contractures: a simultaneous approach with total scar tissue excision and resurfacing with dermal regeneration template. *J Burn Care Res* 2007; 28:913.
2. Buchanan PJ, Kung TA, Cederna PS. Evidence-Based Medicine: Wound Closure. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2016; 138:257S.
3. Nyame TT, Chiang HA, Orgill DP. Clinical applications of skin substitutes. *Surg Clin North Am* 2014; 94:839.
4. Tenenhaus M, Rennekampff HO. Current Concepts in Tissue Engineering: Skin and Wound. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2016; 138:42S.
5. Chua AW, Khoo YC, Tan BK, et al. Skin tissue engineering advances in severe burns: review and therapeutic applications. *Burns Trauma* 2016; 4:3.
6. van der Veen VC, van der Wal MB, van Leeuwen MC, et al. Biological background of dermal substitutes. *Burns* 2010; 36:305.
7. Hughes OB, Rakosi A, Macquhae F, et al. A Review of Cellular and Acellular Matrix Products: Indications, Techniques, and Outcomes. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2016; 138:138S.
8. [https://www.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/wysiwyg/research/findings/ta/drafts-for-review/skin-substitutes\\_draft.pdf](https://www.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/wysiwyg/research/findings/ta/drafts-for-review/skin-substitutes_draft.pdf) (Accessed on March 15, 2019).
9. Yasti AC, Akgun AE, Surel AA, Kim J, Akin M; Graft of 3D bioprinted autologous minimally manipulated homologous adipose tissue for the treatment of diabetic foot ulcer. Graft of 3D bioprinted autologous minimally manipulated homologous adipose tissue for the treatment of diabetic foot ulcer. *Wounds* 2022, X(X): xxx-xxx DOI: Unpublished
10. Kumar P. Classification of skin substitutes. *Burns* 2008; 34:148.
11. Davison-Kotler E, Sharma V, Kang NV, García-García E. A Universal Classification System of Skin Substitutes Inspired by Factorial Design. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev* 2018; 24:279.
12. Ferreira M, Paggiaro A, Isaac C, et al. Skin substitutes: Current concepts and a new classification system. *Rev Bras Cir Plast* 2011; 26:696.
13. Han G, Ceilley R. Chronic Wound Healing: A Review of Current Management and Treatments. *Adv Ther* 2017; 34:599.
14. Nicholas MN, Yeung J. Current Status and Future of Skin Substitutes for Chronic Wound Healing. *J Cutan Med Surg* 2017; 21:23.
15. Skin Substitutes for Treating Chronic Wounds, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US).
16. Pham C, Greenwood J, Cleland H, et al. Bioengineered skin substitutes for the management of burns: a systematic review. *Burns* 2007; 33:946.
17. Shahrokh S, Arno A, Jeschke MG. The use of dermal substitutes in burn surgery: acute phase. *Wound Repair Regen* 2014; 22:14.
18. Wasiak J, Cleland H, Campbell F, Spinks A. Dressings for superficial and partial thickness burns. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013; :CD002106.
19. Widjaja W, Tan J, Maitz PM. Efficacy of dermal substitute on deep dermal to full thickness burn injury: a systematic review. *ANZ J Surg* 2017; 87:446.
20. Hoogewerf CJ, Van Baar ME, Hop MJ, et al. Topical treatment for facial burns. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013; :CD008058.
21. Yeong EK, Chen SH, Tang YB. The treatment of bone exposure in burns by using artificial dermis. *Ann Plast Surg* 2012; 69:607.
22. Heimbach DM, Warden GD, Luterman A, et al. Multicenter postapproval clinical trial of Integra dermal regeneration template for burn treatment. *J Burn Care Rehabil* 2003; 24:42.
23. Singer AJ, Clark RA. Cutaneous wound healing. *N Engl J Med* 1999; 341:738.
24. Telgenhoff D, Shroot B. Cellular senescence mechanisms in chronic wound healing. *Cell Death Differ* 2005; 12:695.
25. Agren MS, Steenfos HH, Dabelsteen S, et al. Proliferation and mitogenic response to PDGF-BB of fibroblasts isolated from chronic venous leg ulcers is ulcer-age dependent. *J Invest Dermatol* 1999; 112:463.
26. Santema TB, Poyck PP, Ubbink DT. Skin grafting and tissue replacement for treating foot ulcers in people with diabetes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; 2:CD011255.
27. Paggiaro AO, Menezes AG, Ferrassi AD, et al. Biological effects of amniotic membrane on diabetic foot wounds: a systematic review. *J Wound Care* 2018; 27:S19.
28. Guo X, Mu D, Gao F. Efficacy and safety of acellular dermal matrix in diabetic foot ulcer treatment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Surg* 2017; 40:1.
29. Haugh AM, Witt JG, Hauch A, et al. Amnion Membrane in Diabetic Foot Wounds: A Meta-analysis. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open* 2017; 5:e1302.
30. Gordon AJ, Alfonso AR, Nicholson J, Chiu ES. Evidence for Healing Diabetic Foot Ulcers with Biologic Skin Substitutes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Ann Plast Surg* 2019; 83:S31.
31. Falanga V. Wound healing and its impairment in the diabetic foot. *Lancet* 2005; 366:1736.
32. Loot MA, Kenter SB, Au FL, et al. Fibroblasts derived from chronic diabetic ulcers differ in their response to stimulation with EGF, IGF-I, bFGF and PDGF-AB compared to controls. *Eur J Cell Biol* 2002; 81:153.
33. Brem H, Tomic-Canic M. Cellular and molecular ba-

- sis of wound healing in diabetes. *J Clin Invest* 2007; 117:1219.
34. Climov M, Bayer LR, Moscoso AV, et al. The Role of Dermal Matrices in Treating Inflammatory and Diabetic Wounds. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2016; 138:148S.
  35. Reyzelman A, Crews RT, Moore JC, et al. Clinical effectiveness of an acellular dermal regenerative tissue matrix compared to standard wound management in healing diabetic foot ulcers: a prospective, randomized, multicentre study. *Int Wound J* 2009; 6:196.
  36. Driver VR, Lavery LA, Reyzelman AM, et al. A clinical trial of Integra Template for diabetic foot ulcer treatment. *Wound Repair Regen* 2015; 23:891.
  37. Tchero H, Herlin C, Bekara F, et al. Failure rates of artificial dermis products in treatment of diabetic foot ulcer: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Wound Repair Regen* 2017; 25:691.
  38. Veves A, Falanga V, Armstrong DG, et al. Graftskin, a human skin equivalent, is effective in the management of noninfected neuropathic diabetic foot ulcers: a prospective randomized multicenter clinical trial. *Diabetes Care* 2001; 24:290.
  39. Kirsner RS, Sabolinski ML, Parsons NB, et al. Comparative effectiveness of a bioengineered living cellular construct vs. a dehydrated human amniotic membrane allograft for the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers in a real-world setting. *Wound Repair Regen* 2015; 23:737.
  40. Zelen CM, Serena TE, Gould L, et al. Treatment of chronic diabetic lower extremity ulcers with advanced therapies: a prospective, randomised, controlled, multi-centre comparative study examining clinical efficacy and cost. *Int Wound J* 2016; 13:272.
  41. Jeschke MG, Rose C, Angele P, et al. Development of new reconstructive techniques: use of Integra in combination with fibrin glue and negative-pressure therapy for reconstruction of acute and chronic wounds. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2004; 113:525.
  42. Brem H, Balleux J, Bloom T, et al. Healing of diabetic foot ulcers and pressure ulcers with human skin equivalent: a new paradigm in wound healing. *Arch Surg* 2000; 135:627.
  43. Edmonds M, European and Australian Apligraf Diabetic Foot Ulcer Study Group. Apligraf in the treatment of neuropathic diabetic foot ulcers. *Int J Low Extrem Wounds* 2009; 8:11.
  44. Martinson M, Martinson N. A comparative analysis of skin substitutes used in the management of diabetic foot ulcers. *J Wound Care* 2016; 25:S8.
  45. Serena TE, Carter MJ, Le LT, et al. A multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial evaluating the use of dehydrated human amnion/chorion membrane allografts and multilayer compression therapy vs. multilayer compression therapy alone in the treatment of venous leg ulcers. *Wound Repair Regen* 2014; 22:688.
  46. Gould LJ, Dosi G, Couch K, et al. Modalities to Treat Venous Ulcers: Compression, Surgery, and Bioengineered Tissue. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2016; 138:199S.
  47. Falanga V, Margolis D, Alvarez O, et al. Rapid healing of venous ulcers and lack of clinical rejection with an allogeneic cultured human skin equivalent. Human Skin Equivalent Investigators Group. *Arch Dermatol* 1998; 134:293.
  48. Jones JE, Nelson EA, Al-Hity A. Skin grafting for venous leg ulcers. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;CD001737.
  49. Towler MA, Rush EW, Richardson MK, Williams CL. Randomized, Prospective, Blinded- Enrollment, Head-To-Head Venous Leg Ulcer Healing Trial Comparing Living, Bioengineered Skin Graft Substitute (Apligraf) with Living, Cryopreserved, Human Skin Allograft (TheraSkin). *Clin Podiatr Med Surg* 2018; 35:357.
  50. Harding K, Sumner M, Cardinal M. A prospective, multicentre, randomised controlled study of human fibroblast-derived dermal substitute (Dermagraft) in patients with venous leg ulcers. *Int Wound J* 2013; 10:132.
  51. Bianchi C, Cazzell S, Vayser D, et al. A multicentre randomised controlled trial evaluating the efficacy of dehydrated human amnion/chorion membrane (Epi-Fix®) allograft for the treatment of venous leg ulcers. *Int Wound J* 2018; 15:114.
  52. Alvarez OM, Makowitz L, Patel M. Venous Ulcers Treated with a Hyaluronic Acid Extracellular Matrix and Compression Therapy: Interim Analysis of a Randomized Controlled Trial. *Wounds* 2017; 29:E51.
  53. Brown-Etris M, Milne CT, Hodde JP. An extracellular matrix graft (Oasis® wound matrix) for treating full-thickness pressure ulcers: A randomized clinical trial. *J Tissue Viability* 2019; 28:21.
  54. Brígido SA. The use of an acellular dermal regenerative tissue matrix in the treatment of lower extremity wounds: a prospective 16-week pilot study. *Int Wound J* 2006; 3:181.
  55. Rehim SA, Singhal M, Chung KC. Dermal skin substitutes for upper limb reconstruction: current status, indications, and contraindications. *Hand Clin* 2014; 30:239.
  56. Ryssel H, Germann G, Kloeters O, et al. Dermal substitution with Matriderm (®) in burns on the dorsum of the hand. *Burns* 2010; 36:1248.
  57. Dantzer E, Braye FM. Reconstructive surgery using an artificial dermis (Integra): results with 39 grafts. *Br J Plast Surg* 2001; 54:659.
  58. Groos N, Guillot M, Zilliox R, Braye FM. Use of an artificial dermis (Integra) for the reconstruction of extensive burn scars in children. About 22 grafts. *Eur J Pediatr Surg* 2005; 15:187.
  59. Iorio ML, Shuck J, Attinger CE. Wound healing in the upper and lower extremities: a systematic review on the use of acellular dermal matrices. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2012; 130:232S.
  60. Ellis CV, Kulber DA. Acellular dermal matrices in hand reconstruction. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2012; 130:256S.
  61. Ho G, Nguyen TJ, Shahabi A, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of complications associated

- with acellular dermal matrix-assisted breast reconstruction. *Ann Plast Surg* 2012; 68:346.
- 62. Schonfeld WH, Villa KF, Fastenau JM, et al. An economic assessment of Apligraf (Graftskin) for the treatment of hard-to-heal venous leg ulcers. *Wound Repair Regen* 2000; 8:251.
  - 63. Redekop WK, McDonnell J, Verboom P, et al. The cost effectiveness of Apligraf treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2003; 21:1171.
  - 64. Kesting MR, Wolff KD, Hohlweg-Majert B, Steinstrasser L. The role of allogenic amniotic membrane in burn treatment. *J Burn Care Res* 2008; 29:907.
  - 65. 65. Ramakrishnan KM, Jayaraman V. Management of partial-thickness burn wounds by amniotic membrane: a cost-effective treatment in developing countries. *Burns* 1997; 23 Suppl 1:S33.
  - 66. Fairbairn NG, Randolph MA, Redmond RW. The clinical applications of human amnion in plastic surgery. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 2014; 67:662.
  - 67. 67. Fetterolf DE, Snyder RJ. Scientific and clinical support for the use of dehydrated amniotic membrane in wound management. *Wounds* 2012; 24:299.
  - 68. 68. Koob TJ, Rennert R, Zabek N, et al. Biological properties of dehydrated human amnion/chorion composite graft: implications for chronic wound healing. *Int Wound J* 2013; 10:493.
  - 69. 69. Ryssel H, Gazyakan E, Germann G, Ohlbauer M. The use of MatriDerm in early excision and simultaneous autologous skin grafting in burns--a pilot study. *Burns* 2008; 34:93.
  - 70. 70. Hu S, Kirsner RS, Falanga V, et al. Evaluation of Apligraf persistence and basement membrane restoration in donor site wounds: a pilot study. *Wound Repair Regen* 2006; 14:427.
  - 71. 71. <https://www.integralife.com/integra-dermal-regeneration-template/product/wound-reconstruction-care-inpatient-acute-or-integra-dermal-regeneration-template> (Accessed on June 07, 2018).
  - 72. 72. Hogg P, Rooney P, Ingham E, Kearney JN. Development of a decellularised dermis. *Cell Tissue Bank* 2013; 14:465.
  - 73. 73. Wai RT. Use of glycerol-preserved skin in plastic surgery. *Burns* 1994; 20 Suppl 1:S27.
  - 74. 74. [https://allergan-web-cdnprod.azureedge.net/actavis/actavis/media/allergan-pdf-documents/labelling/alloderm/adm\\_ifu\\_121p0541f\\_t3.pdf](https://allergan-web-cdnprod.azureedge.net/actavis/actavis/media/allergan-pdf-documents/labelling/alloderm/adm_ifu_121p0541f_t3.pdf) (Accessed on June 07, 2018).
  - 75. 75. Song IC, Bromberg BE, Mohn MP, Koehlein E. Heterografts as biological dressings for large skin wounds. *Surgery* 1966; 59:576.
  - 76. 76. Elliott RA Jr, Hoehn JG. Use of commercial porcine skin for wound dressings. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1973; 52:401.