

Patolojide Sağlık Hizmetinden Doğan Zararlar (Patolojide Tıbbi Uygulama Hataları)

Işıl PAKIŞ¹

Süheyla EKEMEN²

Patoloji Nedir?

Patoloji bilim dalındaki mesleki uygulama hatalarının neler olabileceğini irdelemeden önce bu bilim dalının ne yaptığını, mesleği uygularken hangi yöntem ve tekniklerden yararlandığını ve bu yöntem ve teknikler için en uygun koşulların neler olacağını bilmek zorundayız. Çünkü Tıbbi patoloji bilimi tıbbın hem cerrahi bilimleri içinde yer alan bir dalıdır hem de laboratuvar uygulamalarını da içerdiğinden temel bilimlerden de özellikler taşımaktadır. Uygulama hataları yalnız uzman hekimin eksik bilgi ya da yanlış uygulamalarından değil aynı zamanda laboratuvar süreçlerinin doğru yönetilmemesinden de kaynaklanır. Dolayısıyla uzman hekim -patolog- hem kendi uzmanlık bilgi ve becerisinden hem de laboratuvar yönetimi ve laboratuvar tekniklerinin doğru uygulanmasından sorumludur.

Patoloji en basit tanımıyla hastalık bilimidir. Patolog vücuttan alınan örnekleri inceleyerek hastalığın tanısını ortaya koyarken aynı zamanda tedavi planlamasını da yönlendiren bir uzman hekimdir. 6 yıllık tıp fakültesi eğitimi üstüne Türkiye’de 4 yıl, Amerika ve bazı Avrupa ülkelerinde 5 yıl süren uzmanlık eğitimi bulunmaktadır. Patoloji anabilim dalı içinde uzmanlık sonrası sınavla girilen ve 2 yıl eğitimi süresi olan Sitopatoloji yan dalı vardır. Avrupa’da bazı ülkelerde Moleküler Patoloji, Dermatopatoloji ve Nöropatoloji patoloji uzmanlığı üzerine eğitim alınan yan dallar olarak kabul edilirken bazı ülkelerde de ayrı birer uzmanlık alanı ya da doktora programı olarak kabul edilmektedir. Ülkemizde resmi bir yan dal olmasa da üniversitelerde ve asistan eğitimi veren büyük hastanelerde patoloji uygulamalarında Cerrahi patoloji, Baş Boyun Patolojisi, Oral Patoloji, Kemik ve yumuşak doku patolojisi, Dermatopatoloji,

¹ Prof. Dr., Acıbadem Mehmet Ali Aydınlar Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Adli Tıp AD., isil.pakis@acibadem.edu.tr

² Dr. Öğr. Üyesi, Acıbadem Mehmet Ali Aydınlar Üniversitesi, SMYO, suheylaekemen@gmail.com

göre tedavi yaklaşımı belirledi. Hasta yaklaşık 40 gün sonra yaygın metastazlara bağlı multiorgan yetmezliğine bağlı eks oldu. Aile kullanılan kemoterapatik ilacın yan etkilerine bağlı olarak hastanın öldüğünü iddia ederek onkologa dava açtı. Onkolog savunmasında ilacı kullanmayı seçmesini patologun raporunun neden olduğunu söyledi. Bilirkişi değerlendirmesinde patoloji raporunun ayrıntılı yazılmış olduğu, tümör hücresinin az olması nedeniyle testin suboptimal olduğu, terminal evredeki hastanın ölümünün ilaç kullanımında bağımsız olarak kanserin etkilerine bağlı gelişmiş olabileceğini belirtti. Onkolog ve patolog için soruşturma açılmamasına karar verildi.

Kaynaklar

1. Berg KD, Murphy KM. Floaters in surgical pathology tissues. Genetic identity testing potential and pitfalls. *Pathol Case Rev* 2003;8(3):103-10.
2. Crowson AN, Harvey M, Stout S. Data warehouse strategies and the modern anatomic pathology laboratory: Quality management, patient safety, and pathology productivity issues and opportunities. *Semin Diagn Pathol* 2019;36(5):294-302. doi: 10.1053/j.sem-dp.2019.05.001.
3. Miller RT. Avoiding pitfalls in diagnostic immunohistochemistry-important technical aspects that every pathologist should know. *Semin Diagn Pathol* 2019;36(5):312-335. doi: 10.1053/j.sem-dp.2019.05.002.
4. Raab SS, Grzybicki DM. Anatomic pathology workload and error *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2006;125(6):809-12. doi: 10.1309/YYL4-BK3C-BXP6-MCR8.
5. Yorukoğlu K. Quality Assurance in Pathology Laboratories. *Aegean Pathology Journal* 2005;2: 86-97.
6. <https://www.mevzuat.gov.tr/anasayfa/Mevzuat-FihristDetayIframe?MevzuatTur=7&Mevzuat-No=18933&MevzuatTertip=5>
7. Son JI, Rhee SY, Woo J, Park WS, Byun JK, Kim Y, Byun JM, Chin SO, Chon S, Oh S, Kim SW, Kim YS. Insufficient Experience in Thyroid Fine-Needle Aspiration Leads to Misdiagnosis of Thyroid Cancer. *Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2014;29(3):293-299. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3803/EnM.2014.29.3.293>.
8. Arbyn M, Bergeron C, Klinkhamer P, et al. Liquid compared with conventional cervical cytology: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol* 2008;111:167-77
9. Chengquan Z, Zaibo L., R. Marshall A., Cervical Screening Test Results Associated With 265 Histopathologic Diagnoses of Cervical Glandular Neoplasia *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, Volume 140, Issue 1, July 2013, Pages 47-54, <https://doi.org/10.1309/AJCP9M8HPVBSSC>
10. Ma DY, Dong Y, Feng H, Wang TT, Zhao J Analysis of factors influencing the false-negative diagnosis of cervical/vaginal liquid based cytology/Zhonghua Bing Li Xue Za Zhi. 2020 Aug 8;49(8):806-811. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.cn112151-20200106-00013
11. Yi, K.-I. , Ahn S, Park D.Y, Lee J.-C., Lee B.-J Lee, Wang S.-G, Cha W. False-positive cytopathology results for papillary thyroid carcinoma: A trap for thyroid surgeons. *Clin Otolaryngol* 2017;42(6):1153-1160. doi: 10.1111/coa.12840.
12. Zhu Y, Song Y, Xu G, Fan Z, Ren W, Causes of misdiagnoses by thyroid fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC): our experience and a systematic review *Diagn Pathol.* 2020 Jan 3;15(1):1. doi: 10.1186/s13000-019-0924-z
13. Levine PH, Elgert PA, Mittal K: False-positive squamous cell carcinoma in cervical smears: cytologic-histologic correlation in 19 cases. *Diagn Cytopathol* 2003, 28:23-27
14. Chen Y-Z, Zhang J-X, Chen J-J, Liu Z-B, Huang X-Y, Cheng J-Y, Yang W-T, Shao Z-M, Shen Z-Z, Wu J. Factors associated with the misdiagnosis of sentinel lymph nodes using touch imprint cytology for early stage breast cancer. *Oncology Letters* January 13, 2011 <https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2011.233>. Erişim tarihi:20.10.2021
15. Nizzoli R, Tiseo M, Gelsomino F, Bartolotti M, Majori M, Ferrari L, De Filippo M, Rindi G, Silini EM, Guazzi A, Ardizzoni A. Accuracy of Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology in the Pathological Typing of Non-small Cell Lung Cancer *J Thorac Oncol.* 2011;6: 489-493
16. Ackerman LV, Ramirez GA. The indications for and limitations of frozen section diagnosis; a review of 1269 consecutive frozen section diagnoses *Br J Surg* 1959 Jan;46(198):336-50. doi: 10.1002/bjs.18004619806
17. Horn RC. What can be expected of the surgical pathologist from frozen section examinations *Surg Clin North Am* 1962;42:443-54. doi: 10.1016/s0039-6109(16)36640-3.
18. Zarbo RJ, Schmidt WA, Bachner P, Howanitz PJ, Meier FA, Schifman RB, Boone DJ, Herron RM. Indications and immediate patient outcomes of pathology intraoperative consultations. College of American Pathologists/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Outcomes Working Group Study *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 1996 Jan;120(1):19-25
19. Novis DA, Gephardt GN, Zarbo RJ, College of American Pathologists. Interinstitutional comparison of frozen section consultation in small hospitals: a College of American Pathologists Q-Probes study of 18,532 frozen section consultation diagnoses in 233 small hospitals *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 1996;120(12):1087-93

20. Akyıldız EÜ. Intraoperative pathology consultation for pulmonary lesions: errors and deferrals *Int J Clin Exp Pathol* 2015;8(7):7961-7966
21. Slama J, Dundr P, Dusek L, Cibula D. High false negative rate of frozen section examination of sentinel lymph nodes in patients with cervical cancer *Gynecol Oncol.* 2013;129(2):384-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ygy-no.2013.02.001
22. Russo L, Betancourt L, Romero G, Godoy A, Bergamo L, Delgado R, Ruiz A, Gutiérrez M, Salas E, and Puzzi M. Frozen section evaluation of sentinel lymph nodes in breast carcinoma: a retrospective analysis *Ecancermedicallscience* 2017; 18;11:774. doi: 10.3332/ecancer.2017.774. eCollection 2017
23. Godazande G, Moradi S, Naghshvar F, Shojaee L. Is Necessary Intraoperative Frozen Section In Sentinel Lymph Node Biopsy For Breast Cancer Patients? *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2020 Mar 1;21(3):647-651. doi: 10.31557/APJCP.2020.21.3.647
24. Moo TA, Edelweiss M, Hajiyeva S, Stempel M, Raiss M, Zabor EC, Barrio A, Morrow M. Is Low-Volume Disease in the Sentinel Node After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy an Indication for Axillary Dissection? *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2018 Jun;25(6):1488-1494. doi: 10.1245/s10434-018-6429-2.
25. Grabenstetter A, Moo TA, Hajiyeva S, Schüffler PJ, Khattar P, Friedlander MA, McCormack MA, Raiss M, Zabor EC, Barrio A, Morrow M, Edelweiss M. Accuracy of Intraoperative Frozen Section of Sentinel Lymph Nodes After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Breast Carcinoma *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2019 Oct;43(10):1377-1383. doi: 10.1097/PAS.0000000000001311
26. Kneubil MC, Lohsiriwat V, Curigliano G, Brollo J, Botteri E, Rotmensz N, Martella S, Mastropasqua MG, Iera M, Coelho MB, Intra I, Orecchia R, Rey P, Rietjens M, Petit JY. Risk of locoregional recurrence in patients with false-negative frozen section or close margins of retroareolar specimen in nipple-sparing mastectomy *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2012 Dec;19(13):4117-23. doi: 10.1245/s10434-012-2514-0. Epub 2012 Jul 21)
27. Sukumaran R, Somanathan T, Mathews A, Kattor J, Sambasivan S, Nair RP. Role of frozen section in intraoperative assessment of ovarian masses: a tertiary oncology center experience *Indian J Surg Oncol.* 2014 Jun;5(2):99-103. doi: 10.1007/s13193-014-0311-x.
28. Stephen S. Raab, Joseph A. Tworek, Rhona Souers, Richard J. Zarbo. The Value of Monitoring Frozen Section-Permanent Section Correlation Data Over Time *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2006;130 (3): 337-342. <https://doi.org/10.5858/2006-130-337-TVOMFS>
29. E Heinmöller, B Renke, K Beyser, W Dietmaier, C Langner, J Rüschoff. Pitfalls in diagnostic molecular pathology--significance of sampling error *Virchows Arch* 2001 ;439(4):504-11. doi: 10.1007/s004280100450
30. Melinda L Telli, Sharon A Hunt, Robert W Carlson, Alice E Guardino. Trastuzumab-related cardiotoxicity: calling into question the concept of reversibility *J Clin Oncol.* 2007;10;25(23):3525-33. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.11.0106
31. Lawrence D. True. Quality control in molecular immunohistochemistry *Histochem Cell Biol.* 2008;130(3):473-80. doi: 10.1007/s00418-008-0481-0
32. Kayhan CK, İnce Ü. *Telepatoloji, Telesaglık Temel Başvuru Kitabı* sayfa: 319-348 Editörler İlker Küçükparlak, Hakan Karasay Yayinevi Nobel Akademik Yayıncılık, Ankara 2021, ISBN978-625-439-191-0, E-ISBN 978-625-439-192-7.
33. Peck M, Moffat D, Latham B, Badrick T. Review of diagnostic error in anatomical pathology and the role and value of second opinions in error prevention *J Clin Pathol.* 2018 Nov;71(11):995-1000. doi: 10.1136/jclinpath-2018-205226)
34. Volmar KE, Idowu MO, Hunt JL, Souers RJ, Meier FA, Nakhleh RE. Surgical pathology report defects: a College of American Pathologists Q-Probes study of 73 institutions *Multicenter Study Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 2014 May;138(5):602-12. doi: 10.5858/arpa.2013-0099-CP
35. Graber M. Diagnostic errors in medicine: a case of neglect. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf* 2005;31:106-13
36. Foucar E. Error in anatomic pathology. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2001;116, 34-46.
37. Li Y, Gao D, Tu M, Luo Y-Z, Deng Z-H. Investigation of pathology malpractice claims in China from 2002-2015. *Journal of forensic and legal medicine.* 2017;48:30-34.
38. Mahe E, Ara S, Bishara M, et al. Intraoperative pathology consultation: error, cause and impact. *Can J Surg J Can de Chir.* 2013;56:13-18.
39. Raab SS, Nakhleh RE, Ruby SG. Patient safety in anatomic pathology: measuring discrepancy frequencies and causes. *Archives Pathol. Lab. Med.* 2005;129:459-466,
40. Renshaw AA, Cartagena N, Granter SR, Gould EW. Agreement and error rates using blinded review to evaluate surgical pathology of biopsy material. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2003;119:797-800
41. Jena AB, Seabury S, Lakdawalla D, Chandra A. Malpractice risk according to physician specialty. *N Engl J Med.* 2011; 18;365(7):629-36. doi: 10.1056/NEJMs1012370
42. Gómez-Durán EL, Mulà-Rosías JA, Laila-Vicens JM, Benet-Travé J, Arimany-Manso J. Analysis of obstetrics and gynecology professional liability claims in Catalonia, Spain (1986-2010). *J Forensic Leg Med* 2013;20(5):442-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jflm.2012.12.006.
43. Carroll AE, Buddenbaum JL. High and low-risk specialties experience with the U.S. medical malpractice system. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2013;6;13:465. doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-13-46

44. Knaak J-P, Parzeller M. Court decisions on medical malpractice. *Int J Leg Med.*2014;128:1049-1057
45. Dark D, Medical Malpractice Study Reveals that Pathologists Are at Greater Risk than Other Specialists for Claims and Lawsuits, Aug 22, 2012, accessed 8/7/18.
46. Association of Directors of Anatomic and Surgical Pathology. Curriculum content and evaluation of resident competency in anatomic pathology: a proposal. *Hum Pathol.*2003;34:1083-1090
47. Nofech-Mozes S, Hanna WM, Cil T, Quan ML, Holloway C, Khalifa MA. Intra-operative consultation for axillary sentinel lymph node biopsy: an 8-year audit. *Int J Surg Pathol.*2010;18:129-137
48. Ferrara SD. Medical malpractice and legal medicine. *Int J Leg Med.* 2013;127:541e543.18
49. Troxel DB. Medicolegal aspects of error in pathology. *Archives Pathol. Lab. Med.*2006;130:617-619
50. Foucar E. Classification of error in anatomic pathology: a proposal for an evidence-based standard. *Seminars Diagnostic Pathol* 2005;22:139-146
51. Frable WJ. Surgical pathology—second reviews, institutional reviews, audits, and correlations: what's out there? Error or diagnostic variation? *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2006;130:620–5
52. Renshaw AA, Gould EW. Measuring errors in surgical pathology in real-life practice. Defining what does and does not matter. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2007;127:144-52
53. Berlin L. Malpractice issues in radiology. Hindsight bias. *AJR* 2000;175:597–601.
54. Giard RWM, Broekman JM. Objective re-evaluation procedures in cases of possible diagnostic error. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk* 2000;144:566–71
55. Giard RWM When is the practice of pathology malpractice? *J Clin Pathol.* 2010 Nov;63(11):957-61. doi: 10.1136/jcp.2010.080929.
56. Troxel D. Pitfalls in the diagnosis of malignant melanoma: findings of a risk management panel study. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2003;27:1278–1283
57. Troxel DB. Trends in pathology malpractice claims. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2012;36(1):e1-5. doi: 10.1097/PAS.0b013e31823836bb.
58. Kornstein M, Byrne SP. The medicolegal aspect of error in pathology: a search of jury verdicts and settlements. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2007 Apr;131(4):615-8
59. Allen TC, Stafford M, Liang BA, Pathology and Medical Malpractice Academic and Trainee Empirical Review of Cases by State of Texas Physicians. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2014;141:501-509 5
60. Nakhleh R. Diagnostic error in surgical pathology. *Diagnostic Histopathology* 2013;433-437
61. Davis GG. Malpractice in pathology: what to do when you are sued. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2006;130:975–8
62. Davenport A, Morris J, Pritchard SA, Salmo E, Scott M, Haboubi NY. Interobserver variability amongst gastrointestinal pathologists in assessing prognostic parameters of malignant colorectal polyps: a cause for concern. *Tech Coloproctol.* 2016 Sep;20(9):647-52.
63. Harvey NT, Budgeon CA, Leecy T, Beer TW, Kattampallil J, Yu L, Van Vliet C, Muirhead R, Sparrow S, Swarbrick N, Wood BA. Interobserver variability in the diagnosis of circumscribed sebaceous neoplasms of the skin. *Pathology.* 2013 Oct;45(6):581-6.
64. Osmond A, Li-Chang H, Kirsch R, Divaris D, Falck V, Liu DF, Marginean C, Newell K, Parfitt J, Rudrick B, Sapp H, Smith S, Walsh J, Wasty F, Driman DK. Interobserver variability in assessing dysplasia and architecture in colorectal adenomas: a multicentre Canadian study. *J Clin Pathol.* 2014 Sep;67(9):781-6.
65. Ozkan TA, Erucar A2, Cebeci O1, Memik I, Ozcan I, Kuskonmaz I. Interobserver variability in Gleason histological grading of prostate cancer. *Scand J Urol.* 2016 Dec;50(6):420-424.