

2.

Chapter

CAS (COURT OF ARBITRATION FOR SPORT)

Dr. Abdullah Sencer TEMEL¹

1. Introduction

Sports have a depth of meaning ranging from heroism, courage and physical-moral competence in various periods of history and have included different meanings and those new meanings and contents have been uploaded to its concept in each period. The main reason of this, sport are perceived and evaluated with different forms in respect of its branch, content and ways of doing. In the first meaning, sport is a social process involving aesthetic, technical, physical, and competition based on the acquirements and main skills gained while fighting nature with equipments or without, individually or collectively, in a peaceful manner, as a game, killing time and leisure activities. Beside that sport is an social process based on aesthetic, technical, physic, competition. This strong interest arises from the way sports are shaped by social processes. Therefore, the sport does not spontaneously occur autonomously.

Rapid developments in science and technology in the 20th century have changed the living standards of people and sports has

¹ Dr, sencer_temel@yahoo.com, Konya Şeker San.ve Tic.A.Ş

3. References

- Blackshaw, IS., Siekmann R., Soek, J., (1993). Sports marketing-the ec challange. *European business law review*. Cas Usul Talimatı. R37,R42,R45,R56,R58. s.111- 112
- CAS (2009). *Cas spor tahkim kodu'nun tam metni için*. (01/05/2020) tarihinde <http://www.tas-cas.org/rules> adresinden ulařılmıştır.
- CAS (2014). *Cas kuruluřu tarihi ve iřleyiři*. (04/04/2020) tarihinde <http://febav.org/casin-kurulusu-tarihi-isleyisi-prensipleri#>.VvpMEUdrNy0 adresinden ulařılmıştır.
- CAS (2016).Code statutes of icas and cas. (04/04/2020) tarihinde <https://www.tas-cas.org/en/icas/code-statutes-of-icas-and-cas.html> adresinden ulařılmıştır.
- CAS (2020).*Cas jurisprudence archive Statistics*. (01/05/2020) tarihinde <https://www.tas-cas.org/en/jurisprudence/archive.html> adresinden ulařılmıştır.
- Coakley,JJ.,Blackshaw EI.,Siekmann R.,Janwielllem S.,(1986). Sport in society, issues and controversies. Santa Clara, College Publishing, Lahey. p 12.
- Erkiner, K., (2006). Uluslararası Spor Tahkim Mahkemesi C.A.S. Ankara.
- Fiřek, K., (1983). Devlet politikası ve toplumsal yapıyla iliřkileri aısından dnyada ve Trkiyede spor ynetimi, Ankara, A..S.B.F. Yayınları, 135.
- Gardiner, N., Felix S., Joseph A., (1994). Juridification of the football field strategies for giving law the elbow. *Marquette Sports Law Journal*, 5.C., 1.S., p. 215-250.
- Gilson, TE., (2006).Exploring the court of arbitration for sport. *Law Library Journal*, 98.C., Newjersey.
- Giray, FK., (2019). Tenfize iliřkin  soru: tenfize konu yabancı ilmın hukuk devletinden sadır olması gerekir mi? arabuluculuk neticesinde yapılan sulh anlaşması tenfiz edilebilir mi? yabancı mahkemeden sadır deme emri kararı tenfiz edilebilir mi?" s 39(2)
- Hahn, D., (1993). Presentation of cas judicial practice law and sport. International Conference Court of Arbitration for Sport.
- Ian, B., (2003). The court of arbitration for sport. An international forum for settling disputes effectively with in the family of sport entertainment law, 2.c, s.2, London.
- Kane, D., (2003). Twenty years on an evaluation of the cas. *Melbourne Journal Of International Law*, p. 4
- Kaufman, GK., (2001).Arbitration at the olympics issues of the fast-track dispute resolution and sports law. Kluwer Law International, Lahey.
- Kkngr, E., (2004). Spor hukuku uyuřmazlıklarında milletlerarası tahkim. AHFD53.C.(Milletlerarası Tahkim). p.2
- Lewis, JT., (2003). Sport law and practice.Tottel Publishing 2 edition. London, p.271-278.
- McComb, D., (2004). Sports in world history. Routledge. Place of Publication, New York, p.1-2
- Michael, J., Beloff, VD. (1971). Sports law oxford, *The rules of international olympic committee and law olympic review*. p. 50-51-58

- Moore, C., (2000). Sports law and litigation, Welwyn Garden City.
- Nafziger, J., (1999). The court of arbitration for sport and the general process of international sports law, international law and the hague's 750th anniversary. p.239-250.
- Oschütz, F., (2002). Harmonization of anti-doping code through arbitration. The case law of the court of arbitration for sport. *Marquette Sports Law Review*, 12.C., p.2
- Polvino, A., (1994). Arbitration as preventative medicine for olympic ailments. the international olympic committee's court of arbitration for sport and the future for the settlement of international sporting disputes. *Emory International Law Review*, 8. C.1.S.
- Simma, B., (2006). The court of arbitration for sport, Lahey.
- Stephen, J.C., (2005). Civil justice, asbestos litigation p.22-24
- Şit, B., (2005). Olympic committee's court of arbitration for sport and the future for the settlement of international sporting disputes, emory international law review (Kurumsal Tahkim ve Hakem Kararlarının Tanınması ve Tenfizi). Ankara, p.8 (1).
- Wada (2020). *Wada Organizations and structures*. (05.03.2020) tarihinde <https://www.wadaama.org/en/who-we-are> adresinden ulaşılmıştır.