

AKUT KORONER SENDROMLA PREZENTE OLAN HASTALARA YAPILAN KORONER ANJİYOGRAFİDE TESPİT EDİLEN BİFURKASYON LEZYONLARINA VAKA EŞLİĞİNDE YAKLAŞIM

Ersin İBİŞOĞLU¹

GİRİŞ

Akut koroner sendrom dünyada en sık ölüm nedenlerinden biridir. Bilindiği üzere akut koroner sendromlar; kardiyojenik şoktan unstable angina pectoris (USAP)'a kadar geniş bir yelpazeye sahiptir. Bu hastalara uygulanan erken koroner anjiyografi ve erken koroner girişim mortalite ve sonrasında gelişmesi olası olayların görülme ihtimalini azaltmaktadır (1,2).

Daha önceden yapılan çalışmalarla çoklu damar hastalığı olan ve özellikle kardiyojenik şok ve akut miyokard enfarktüsü ile başvuran hastalarda komplet revaskülarizasyon önerilmektedir. Ancak günümüzde yapılan çalışmalar stabil olmayan akut koroner sendromlarda mümkün olduğunda sorumlu lezyona girişimin yapılması, diğer lezyonların daha sonraki bir seansa bırakılması gerektiğini göstermektedir. Mevcut durumda kardiyojenik şok ve yüksek riskli ST elevasyonlu miyokard enfarktüsü (STEMI)'nde sorumlu lezyonu revaskülarize edip, sonraki lezyonları başka bir seanstas revaskülarize etmek genel uygulama şeklinde yerini almıştır (3).

Yine yapılan çalışmalarla akut koroner sendromlarda tek stent stratejisinin çift stratejisine oranla mortalite ve kardiyovasküler olay açısından daha düşük riskli olduğu gösterilmiştir. Ancak,

düşük riskli STEMI (stabil STEMI), stabil non-ST elevasyonlu miyokard enfarktüsü (NSTEMI) ve USAP ile prezente olan hastalarda stabil oldukça rıdan dolayı yan dal lezyonlarına müdahale düşünülebilirktedir. Hasta bazlı girişim planlanması bu durumda önem arz etmektedir (4,5,6).

OLGU 1:

Son 30 dakikadır başlayan tipik göğüs ağrısı nedeniyle acile başvuran ve hipertansiyon (HT) öyküsü olan 72 yaşında kadın hastanın çekilen 12 derivasyonlu elektrokardiyografi (EKG)'sında göğüs derivasyonları (V1-6) ve D1, AVL'de ST elevasyonu saptanması üzerine Anterior STEMI tanısıyla antiagregan ve antikoagulan tedavisi başlanarak primer girişim amacıyla anjiyografi laboratuvarına alındı. Koroner anjiyografi (KAG) işlemi femoral yoldan 6F kateter ile yapıldı. KAG'da sağ koroner arter (RCA): %80-90, non-dominant, sol ön inen arter (LAD): %100; sirkumfeks arter (CX): plaklı izlendi (Figür 1). LAD lezyonuna perkütan koroner girişim (PCI) planlandı. 6F guiding kateter ile left main coronary artery (LMCA)'ye oturulduktan sonra LAD lezyon floppy guidewire ile geçildi. 2,0*20 mm balon ile percutaneus trans-luminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) sonrası 3,0*22 drug eluting stent (DES) lezyona implante

¹ Uzman Doktor, Bitlis-Tatvan Devlet Hastanesi Kardiyoloji Bölümü, Bitlis, e_ibisoglu@hotmail.com ORCID iD:0000-0002-1231-0933

KAYNAKÇA:

1. Switaj TL, Christensen SR, Brewer DM. Acute Coronary Syndrome: Current Treatment. *Am Fam Physician*. 2017 Feb 15;95(4):232-240.
2. Gach O¹, El HZ², Lancellotti P³. [Acute coronary syndrome]. *Rev Med Liege*. 2018 May;73(5-6):243-250.
3. Souza Júnior JM¹, Kulchetscki RM¹, Linhares Filho JPP², Lima EG², Serrano Junior CV². CULP-RIT-SHOCK study. *Rev Assoc Med Bras* (1992). 2018 Sep;64(9):783-786. doi: 10.1590/1806-9282.64.09.783.
4. Kim MC¹, Ahn Y¹, Sun Sim D¹, Joon Hong Y¹, Han Kim J¹, Ho Jeong M¹, Gwon HC², Kim HS³, Rha SW⁴, Yoon JH⁵, Jang Y⁶, Tahk SJ⁷, Seung KB⁸. Comparison of the planned one- and elective two-stent techniques in patients with coronary bifurcation lesions with or without acute coronary syndrome from the COBIS II Registry. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2018 Nov 15;92(6):1050-1060. doi: 10.1002/ccd.27551. Epub 2018 Mar 24.
5. Nairooz R¹, Saad M¹, Elgendi IY², Mahmoud AN², Habash F³, Sardar P⁴, Anderson D², Shavelle DM⁵, Abbott JD⁶. Long-term outcomes of provisional stenting compared with a two-stent strategy for bifurcation lesions:a meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Heart*. 2017 Sep;103(18):1427-1434. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2016-310929. Epub 2017 Mar 17.
6. Colombo A, Bramucci E, Sacca S, Violini R, Lettieri C, Zanini R, Sheiban I, Paloscia L, Grube E, Schofer J, Bolognese L, Orlandi M, Niccoli G, Latib A, Airolidi F. Randomized study of the crush technique versus provisional side-branch stenting in true coronary bifurcations. The CACTUS (coronary bifurcations: application of the crushing technique using sirolimus stents) study. *Circulation* 2009;119:71-78.
7. Levine GN, Bates ER, Blakenship JC, Bailey SR, Bittl JA, Cersek B, Chambers CE, Ellis SG, Guyton RA, Hollenberg SM, Khot UN, Lange RA, Mauri L, Mehran R, Moussa ID, Mukherjee D, Nallamothu BK, Ting HH. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI Guideline for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;24:e44-122.
8. Windecker S, Kolh P, Alfonso F, Collet JP, Cremer J, Falk V, Filippatos G, Hamm C, Head SJ, Juni P, Kappetein AP, Kastrati A, Knuuti J, Landmesser U, Laufer G, Neumann FJ, Richter DJ, Schauerte P, Sousa Uva M, Stefanini GG, Taggart DP, Torracca L, Valgimigli M, Wijns W, Witkowski A. 2014 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization: The Task Force on Myocardial Revascularization of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) Developed with the special contribution of the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI). *Eur Heart J* 2014;35: 2541-2619.
9. Azzalini L¹, Poletti E², Lombardo F², Laricchia A², Beneduce A², Moscardelli S², Bellini B², Maccagni D², Cappelletti A³, Ancona MB², Carlino M², Chieffo A², Colombo A², Montorfano M². Risk of contrast-induced nephropathy in patients undergoing complex percutaneous coronary intervention. *Int J Cardiol*. 2019 Sep 1;290:59-63. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2019.04.043. Epub 2019 Apr 14.
10. Sindberg B¹, Aagren Nielsen CG¹, Hestbjergr Poulsen M¹, Bøhme Rasmussen M¹, Carstensen S¹, Thim T¹, Jakobsen L¹, Thorsted Sørensen J¹, Hastrup B², Maare Søndergaard H², Mæng M¹, Juul Terkelsen C¹. Randomized Comparison of Terumo® Coated Slender™ versus Terumo® Noncoated Traditional Sheath during Radial Angiography or Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. *J Interv Cardiol*. 2019 Mar 4;2019:7348167. doi: 10.1155/2019/7348167.
11. Damluji AA¹, Nelson DW², Valgimigli M³, Windecker S³, Byrne RA⁴, Cohen F⁵, Patel T⁶, Brilakis ES⁷, Banerjee S⁸, Mayol J⁹, Cantor WJ¹⁰, Alfonso CE¹¹, Rao SV¹², Moscucci M¹³, Cohen MG¹⁴. Transfemoral Approach for Coronary Angiography and Intervention: A Collaboration of International Cardiovascular Societies. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2017 Nov 27;10(22):2269-2279. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2017.08.035.
12. Ikari Y¹, Nagaoka M, Kim JY, Morino Y, Tanabe T. The physics of guiding catheters for the left coronary artery in transfemoral and transradial interventions. *J Invasive Cardiol*. 2005 Dec;17(12):636-41.
13. Chatterjee A¹, Brott BC², Foley R³, Alli O¹, Sasse M¹, Ahmed M¹, Al Solaiman F¹, Reddy G¹, Ather S¹, Leesar MA⁴. Safety of hydrophilic guidewires used for side-branch protection during stenting and proximal optimization technique in coronary bifurcation lesions. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med*. 2016 Oct - Nov;17(7):456-462. doi: 10.1016/j.carrev.2016.04.006. Epub 2016 Apr 22.
14. Zimarino M^{1,2}, Barbato E³, Nakamura S⁴, Radico F¹, Di Nicola M⁵, Briguori C⁶, Gil RJ⁷, Kanic V⁸, Perfetti M², Pellicano M^{3,9}, Mairic K¹⁰, Stankovic G¹¹; European Bifurcation Club. The impact of the extent of side branch disease on outcomes following bifurcation stenting. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2020 Mar 9. doi: 10.1002/ccd.28842.
15. Kumsars I^{#1}, Holm NR^{#2}, Niemelä M³, Erlglis A⁴, Kervinen K³, Christiansen EH², Maeng M², Dombrovskis A¹, Abraaitis V⁵, Kibarskis A⁵, Trovik T⁶, Latkovskis G⁴, Sondore D¹, Narbute I⁴, Terkelsen CJ², Eskola M⁷, Romppanen H⁸, Laine M⁹, Jensen LO¹⁰, Pietila M¹¹, Gunnes P¹², Hebsgaard L², Frobert O¹³, Calais F¹³, Hartikainen J⁸, Aarøe J¹⁴, Ravkilde J¹⁴, Engstrøm T¹⁵, Steigen TK¹⁶, Thuesen L¹⁴, Lassen JF²; Nordic Baltic bifurcation study group. Randomised comparison of provisional side branch stenting versus a two-stent strategy for treatment of true coronary bifurcation lesions involving a large side branch: the Nordic-Baltic Bifurcation Study IV. *Open Heart*. 2020 Jan 19;7(1):e000947. doi: 10.1136/openhrt-2018-000947. eCollection 2020.
16. Yurtdaş M¹, Asoğlu R², Özdemir M³, Asoğlu E⁴. An Upfront Two-Stent Strategy for True Coronary Bifurcation Lesions with A Large Side Branch in Acute Coronary Syndrome: A Two-Year Follow-Up Study. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. 2020 Feb 29;56(3). pii: E102. doi: 10.3390/medicina56030102.
17. Mohamed MO, Mamas MA, Nagaraja V, Alraies MC, Lamelas P, Tzemos N, Ayan D, Lavi S, Bagur R¹. Dedicated Bifurcation Stents for Coronary Bifurcati-

- on Lesions: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized-Controlled Trials. *J Invasive Cardiol.* 2019 Dec;31(12):E344-E355.
18. Song PS, Ryu DR, Choi SH, Yang JH, Song YB, Hahn JY, Choi JH, Seung KB, Park SJ, Gwon HC. Impact of acute coronary syndrome classification and procedural technique in clinical outcomes in patients with coronary bifurcation lesions treated with drug-eluting stents. *Clin Cardiol* 2012;35:610–618.
 19. Gil RJ¹, Pawłowski T¹, Legutko J², Lesiak M³, Witkowski A⁴, Gaśior M⁵, Kern A⁶, Bil J¹. Rationale and design of the randomized, multicenter, open-label, controlled POLBOS 3 trial aimed to compare regular drug-eluting stents versus the dedicated coronary bifurcation sirolimus-eluting BiOSS LIM C stent. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2019 Apr;98(14):e15106. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000015106.
 20. Biolè C¹, Huczek Z², Nuñez-Gil I³, Bocuzzi G⁴, Autelli M⁵, Montefusco A⁵, Trabattoni D⁶, Ryan N³, Venuti G⁷, Imori Y⁸, Takano H⁸, Matsuda J⁸, Shimizu W⁸, Muscoli S⁹, Montabone A¹⁰, Wojakowski W¹¹, Rognoni A¹², Helft G¹³, Gallo D¹⁴, Parma R², De Luca L¹⁵, Figini F¹⁶, Mito-mo S¹⁷, Pennone M⁵, Mattesini A¹⁰, Templin C¹⁸, Quadri G¹⁹, Wańha W¹¹, Cerrato E¹⁹, Smolka G¹¹, Protasiewicz M²⁰, Kuliczkowski W²⁰, Rolfo C¹⁹, Cortese B²¹, Capodanno D⁷, Chieffo A¹⁷, Morbiducci U¹⁴, Iannaccone M⁵, Gili S¹⁸, di Mario C¹⁰, D'Amico M⁵, Romeo F²², Lüscher TF¹⁸, Sheiban I¹⁶, Escaned J³, Varbella F¹⁹, D'Ascenzo F⁵. Daily risk of adverse outcomes in patients undergoing complex lesions revascularization: A subgroup analysis from the RAIN-CARDIOGROUP VII study (veRy thin stents for patients with left mAIn or bifurcatioN in real life). *Int J Cardiol*. 2019 Sep 1;290:64–69. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2019.03.038. Epub 2019 Mar 22.
 21. Zhang D¹, He Y^{1,2}, Yan R^{1,3}, Yin D¹, Feng L¹, Xu B¹, Yang Y¹, Zhu C¹, Dou K¹.a novel technique for coronary bifurcation intervention: Double rewire crush technique and its clinical outcomes after 2 years of follow-up. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2019 Feb 15;93(S1):851-858. doi: 10.1002/ccd.28066. Epub 2019 Jan 2.
 22. Kim TH, Lee HJ, Jang HJ, Kim JS, Park JS, Choi RK, Choi YJ, Shim WH, Ro YM, Yu CW, Kwon SW. Impact of final kissing balloon inflation after simple stent implantation for the treatment of nonleft main true coronary bifurcation lesions in patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Int J Cardiol* 2014;177:907–911.
 23. Collet C^{1,2}, Mizukami T², Grundeken MJ^{1,3}. Contemporary techniques in percutaneous coronary intervention for bifurcation lesions. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther*. 2018 Oct;16(10):725-734. doi: 10.1080/14779072.2018.1523717. Epub 2018 Oct 3.
 24. Sawaya FJ¹, Lefèvre T¹, Chevalier B¹, Garot P¹, Hovasse T¹, Morice MC¹, Rab T², Louvard Y³. Contemporary Approach to Coronary Bifurcation Lesion Treatment. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2016 Sep 26;9(18):1861-78. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2016.06.056.
 25. Zimarino M¹, Briguori C², Amat-Santos IJ³, Radico F⁴, Barbato E⁵, Chieffo A⁶, Cirillo P⁷, Costa RA⁸, Erglis A⁹, Gamra H¹⁰, Gil RJ¹¹, Kanic V¹², Kedev SA¹³, Maddestra N¹⁴, Nakamura S¹⁵, Pellicano M¹⁶, Petrov I¹⁷, Strozzi M¹⁸, Tesorio T¹⁹, Vukcevic V²⁰, De Caterina R⁴, Stankovic G²⁰; EuroBifurcation Club. Mid-term outcomes after percutaneous interventions in coronary bifurcations. *Int J Cardiol*. 2019 May 15;283:78-83. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2018.11.139. Epub 2018 Dec 2.
 26. Lassen JF¹, Burzotta F, Banning AP, Lefèvre T, Darremont O, Hildick-Smith D, Chieffo A, Pan M, Holm NR, Louvard Y, Stankovic G. Percutaneous coronary intervention for the left main stem and other bifurcation lesions: 12th consensus document from the European Bifurcation Club. *EuroIntervention*. 2018 Jan 20;13(13):1540-1553. doi: 10.4244/EIJ-D-17-00622.
 27. Zheng, W.; Li, Y.; Tian, J.; Li, L.; Xie, L.; Mao, Q.; Tong, W.; Zhou, D.; Azzalini, L.; Zhao, X. Effects of Ticagrelor versus Clopidogrel in Patients with Coronary Bifurcation Lesions Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2019, 2019.
 28. Wallentin, L.; Becker, R.C.; Budaj, A.; Cannon, C.P.; Emanuelsson, H.; Held, C.; Horow, J.; Husted, S.; James, S.; Katus, H. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2009, 361, 1045–1057.