

## Bölüm 6

# OVER REZERV TESTLERİ

Adem YAVUZ<sup>1</sup>

### GİRİŞ

Günümüzde kadınların eğitim hayatına devam etme isteği, kariyer planları, ekonomik kaygıları, metropol şehirlerde ikamet etme talebi gibi pek çok neden, hem evlilik yaşıının yükselmesine hem de ileri yaşlarda çocuk sahibi olmalarına yol açmaktadır. Kadının yaşıının ilerlemesiyle birlikte oosit ve folikül havuzunda azalma meydana gelmektedir (1). Son 20 yıl içerisinde üreme sisteminde yaşılanmanın etkisini ve klinik sonuçlarını inceleyen araştırmalar, kadınlarda kalan overyan folikül havuzunun büyülüğünü ve kalitesini belirlemeye kullanılan over rezervi konusuna odaklanmıştır (2).

Over rezervini belirlemeye kullanılan test sonuçları, eldeki kaynakların en iyi şekilde kullanılmasına yardımcı olabilecek prognostik bilgiyi elde etmede büyük önem taşımaktadır. Üremeye yardımcı tekniklerin maliyeti, yan etkileri, çiftler açısından zorlukları göz önüne alındığında, tedavi öncesi hastaların seçimi, optimal stimülasyon stratejisi, ovarian hiperstimülasyon sendromu gibi iatrojenik komplikasyonlardan kaçınma ve başarılı gebelik oranları için over rezerv testlerinden elde edilen sonuçların hekimler tarafından dikkatli bir şekilde yorumlanması gerekmektedir.

### OVER REZERVİ VE DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ

Geleneksel olarak over rezervi (OR), “bir kadının overlerinde, menstrüel döngüyü sürdürmek veya gebeliğin oluşumunu sağlamak amacıyla ovülasyon için matür folikülleri üretme potansiyeline sahip olan yumurta deposunu” ifade etmek-

<sup>1</sup> Doktor Öğretim Görevlisi, Niğde Ömer Halisdemir Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Cerrahi Tıp Bilimleri, Kadın Hastalıkları ve Doğum Ana Bilim Dalı, [ademyavuz@ohu.edu.tr](mailto:ademyavuz@ohu.edu.tr)

## REFERANSLAR

1. Steiner AZ, Pritchard D, Stanczyk FZ, et al. Association Between Biomarkers of Ovarian Reserve and Infertility Among Older Women of Reproductive Age. *JAMA*. 2017;318(14):1367-1376. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.14588
2. Fritz MA, Speroff L. (2014). Kadın infertilitesi. Speroff L (Ed.), Klinikjinekolojikendokrinoloji 8. baskı (1137-1190). Ankara: Güneş Tip Kitabevleri .
3. Ulrich ND, Marsh EE. Ovarian Reserve Testing: A Review of the Options, Their Applications, and Their Limitations. *ClinObstet Gynecol.* 2019;62(2):228-237. doi: 10.1097/GRF.0000000000000445
4. Balachandren N, Davies M. Fertility, ovarian reserve and cancer. *Maturitas*. 2017;105:64-68. doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2017.07.013
5. Jacobs SL, Metzger DA, Dodson WC, et al. Effect of age on response to human menopausal gonadotropin stimulation. *J ClinEndocrinolMetab*. 1990;71:1525-1530. doi: 10.1210/jcem-71-6-1525
6. Keefe DL, Niven-Fairchild T, Powell S, et al. Mitochondrial deoxyribonucleic acid deletions in oocytes and reproductive aging in women. *FertilSteril*. 1995;64(3):577-583. PMID: 7641914
7. Maroulis GB. Effect of Aging on Fertility and Pregnancy. *Seminars in Reproductive Endocrinology*. 1991;9(3):165-175.
8. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Gynecologic Practice and Practice Committee. Female age-related fertility decline. Committee Opinion No. 589. *FertilSteril*. 2014;101(3):633-4. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.12.032
9. Ferraretti AP, La Marca A, Fauser BC, et al. ESHRE working group on Poor Ovarian Response Definition. ESHRE consensus on the definition of 'poor response' to ovarian stimulation for in vitro fertilization: the Bologna criteria. *Hum Reprod*. 2011;26(7):1616-1624. doi: 10.1093/humrep/der092
10. Alviggi C, Andersen CY, Buehler K., et al. A new more detailed stratification of low responders to ovarian stimulation: from a poor ovarian response to a low prognosis concept. *Fertility and Sterility*. 2016;105(6):1452-1453. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.02.005
11. Erdem M, Erdem A, Gursoy R., et al. Comparison of basal and clomiphene citrate induced FSH and inhibin B, ovarian volume and antral follicle counts as ovarian reserve tests and predictors of poor ovarian response in IVF. *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 2004;21(2):37-45.
12. Tal R, Seifer DB. Ovarian reserve testing: a user's guide. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;217:129-140. doi: 10.1016 / j.ajog.2017.02.027
13. Broekmans FJ, Kwee J, Hendriks DJ., et al. A systematic review of tests predicting ovarian reserve and IVF outcome, *Hum Reprod Update*. 2006;12(6):685-718. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dml034
14. Roberts JE, Spandorfer S, Fasouliotis SJ., et al. Taking a basal follicle-stimulating hormone history is essential before initiating in vitro fertilization. *FertilSteril*. 2005; 83(1):37-41. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2004.06.062.
15. Abdalla H, Thum MY, Repeated testing of basal FSH levels has no predictive value for IVF outcome in women with elevated basal FSH, *Hum Reprod*. 2006;21:171-174. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dei288
16. Smotrich DB, Widra EA, Gindoff PR., et al. Prognostic value of day 3 estradiol on in vitro fertilization outcome. *FertilSteril*. 1995;64(6):1136-1140. PMID: 7589666
17. McIlveen M, Skull JD, Ledger WL. Evaluation of the utility of multiple endocrine and ultrasound measures of ovarian reserve in the prediction of cycle cancellation in a high risk IVF population. *Hum Reprod*. 2007;22(3):778-785. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2004.10.011
18. Buyalos RP, Daneshmand S, Brzechffa PR, Basal estradiol and follicle-stimulating hormone predict fecundity in women of advanced reproductive age undergoing ovulation induction therapy, *Fertil Steril*.1997;68:272-277.doi: 10.1016/s0015-0282(97)81514-2
19. American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Testing and interpreting measures of ovarian reserve: a committee opinion. (2015). *Fertility and Sterility*. 2015;103(3):9-17. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2014.12.093

20. Lambalk CB, de Koning CH, Flett A., et al. Assessment of ovarian reserve: Ovarian biopsy is not a valid method for the prediction of ovarian reserve. *Human Reproduction*. 2004;19(5):1055-1059. doi:10.1093/humrep/deh216
21. Weenen C, Laven JS, Von Bergh AR., et al. Anti-Müllerian hormone expression pattern in the human ovary: potential implications for initial and cyclic follicle recruitment. *Mol Hum Reprod.* 2004;10(2):77-83. doi: 10.1093/molehr/gah015
22. Broer SL, Broekmans FJ, Laven JS., et al. Anti-Müllerian hormone: ovarian reserve testing and its potential clinical implications. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2014;20(5):688-701. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmu020
23. Hansen KR, Hodnett GM, Knowlton N., et al. Correlation of ovarian reserve tests with histologically determined primordial follicle number. *FertilSteril*. 2011;95(1):170-175. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2010.04.006
24. Hazout A, Bouchard P, Seifer DB., et al. Aussage P, Junca AM, Cohen-Bacrie P, Serum antimüllerian hormone/mullerian-inhibiting substance appears to be a more discriminatory marker of assisted reproductive technology outcome than follicle-stimulating hormone, inhibin B, or estradiol. *FertilSteril*. 2004;82(5):1323-1329. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2004.03.061
25. Muttukrishna S, Suharjono H, McGarrigle H., et al. Inhibin B and anti-Müllerian hormone: markers of ovarian response in IVF/ICSI patients? *BJOG*. 2004;111(11):1248-1253. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.2004.00452.x
26. Nelson SM, Iliodromiti S, Fleming R., et al. Reference range for the antimüllerian hormone Generation II assay: a population study of 10,984 women, with comparison to the established Diagnostics Systems Laboratory nomogram. *FertilSteril*. 2014;101(2):523-529. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.10.021
27. Toner JP, &Seifer DB. Why we may abandon basal follicle-stimulating hormone testing: a sea change in determining ovarian reserve using antimüllerian hormone. *Fertility and Sterility*. 2013;99(7):1825-1830. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.03.001
28. Ficioglu C, Kutlu T, Baglam E., et al. Early follicular antimüllerian hormone as an indicator of ovarian reserve. *FertilSteril*. 2006;85(3):592-696. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2005.09.019
29. Gnoth C, Schuring AN, Friol K, Tigges J., et al. Relevance of anti-Müllerian hormone measurement in a routine IVF program. *Hum Reprod*. 2008;23(6):1359-65. doi: 10.1093/humrep/den108
30. Peñarrubia JI, Fábregues F, Manau D., et al. Basal and stimulation day 5 anti-Müllerian hormone serum concentrations as predictors of ovarian response and pregnancy in assisted reproductive technology cycles stimulated with gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist--gonadotropin treatment. *Hum Reprod*. 2005;20:915-922. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deh718
31. Broer SL, Eijkemans C, Scheffer GJ., et al. Anti-mullerian hormone predicts menopause: a long-term follow-up study in normoovulatory women. *J ClinEndocrinolMetab*. 2011;96(8):2532-2539. doi: 10.1210/jc.2010-2776
32. Tal R, Seifer DB, Khanimov M., et al. Antimüllerian hormone (AMH) is an independent predictor of twin versus singleton pregnancy in fresh ART cycles. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2013;26(4):360-367. doi: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2012.12.002
33. Hendriks DJ, Broekmans FJ, Bancsi LF., et al. Repeated clomiphene citrate challenge testing in the prediction of outcome in IVF: a comparison with basal markers for ovarian reserve. *Hum Reprod*. 2005;20(1):163-169. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deh553
34. Hendriks DJ, Mol BW, Bancsi LF, et al. Antral follicle count in the prediction of poor ovarian response and pregnancy after in vitro fertilization: a meta-analysis and comparison with basal follicle-stimulating hormone level. *FertilSteril*. 2005;83(2):291-301. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2004.10.011
35. Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Testing and interpreting measures of ovarian reserve: a committee opinion. *FertilSteril*. 2015;103:9-17. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2014.12.093

36. Broer SL, Dölleman M, Opmeer BC., et al. AMH and AFC as predictors of excessive response in controlled ovarian hyperstimulation: a metaanalysis. *Hum Reprod Update.* 2011;17:46-54. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmq034
37. Jayaprakasan K, Campbell B, Hopkisson J., et al. Establishing the intercycle variability of three-dimensionalultrasonographic predictors of ovarian reserve. *FertilSteril.* 2008;90:2126-2132. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.10.028
38. Lass A, Silye R, Abrams DC., Follicular density in ovarian biopsy of infertile women: a novel method to assess ovarian reserve, *Hum Reprod.* 1997;12:1028-1031.doi: 10.1093/humrep/12.5.1028
39. Lass A, Skull J, McVeigh E., et al. Measurement of ovarian volume by transvaginal sonography before human menopausal gonadotrophin superovulation for in-vitro fertilization can predict poor response, *Hum Reprod.* 1997;12:294-297.doi: 10.1093/humrep/12.2.294