

Chapter 4

LAPAROSCOPIC SURGERY IN PREGNANCY

Büşra KANYILDIZ¹
Hakan Gökalp TAŞ²

Although the good outcomes of laparoscopic surgery are parallel for non-pregnant and pregnant women, this technique is avoided in pregnant women due to possible concerns such as fetal acidosis, fetal hypoxia, uterine perforation, premature rupture of membranes, premature birth. However, in many studies, it has been shown that laparoscopic interventions such as appendectomy, cholecystectomy, adnexal mass and torsion operations, radical nephrectomy, splenectomy, adrenalectomy, retroperitoneal lymphadenectomy and ventral hernia repair are reliable and performed successfully in pregnant women (1-3).

Once the need for surgery is determined, the surgical approach (laparotomy or laparoscopy) is based on the surgeon's skills and the availability of appropriate personnel and equipment. In pregnant women requiring surgery, laparoscopy appears to be associated with less risk than laparotomy (4, 5). In a national cohort study of 20,000 women who had an appendectomy or cholecystectomy during pregnancy, laparotomy was associated with a threefold raise in the risk of postoperative obstetric complications compared with laparoscopy (6). In a study investigating the effect of laparoscopy and laparotomy on the fetus during pregnancy, it was shown that there was no significant difference between stillbirth, weight at birth, gestational term, intrauterine growth restriction, neonatal death rates and congenital malformations (7).

In any trimester we can perform laparoscopy, but the best time for surgery is the early second trimester (3). Although the procedures done in the first trimester are technically easier, the emergence of a potential teratogen during organogenesis is alarming. Whether a laparoscopic procedure can be performed in the third trimester is variable by individual clinical factors (size of the uterus, the disorder being treated, body habitus, medical and obstetric conditions).

¹ PhD, Department Of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Siran Government Hospital, Gumushane busra.knyldz@gmail.com

² PhD, Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Siran Government Hospital, Gumushane, hakangokalptas@hotmail.com

was no significant difference in PaCO₂, pH values and mean PaCO₂-PetCO₂, and capnography was sufficient to guide ventilation (13). It is recommended that the PaCO₂ level be kept between 32 and 34 mmHg because there is no report of respiratory acidosis at this level (14, 15). If maternal acidosis occurs, the patient should be hyperventilated immediately and the intra-abdominal pressure should be reduced. These measures can help rejuvenate the fetus by improving the blood flow of placenta and oxygenation of fetus (16).

Fetal heart rate must be verified and documented with a Doppler device or ultrasonography before and after the procedure. Antiemetics and opioids can be used to prevent postoperative nausea and pain. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs should be avoided since they may cause fetal ductus arteriosus to be closed early, especially after 32 weeks of pregnancy.

REFERENCES:

1. Nasioudis D, Tsilimigras D, Economopoulos KP. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy during pregnancy: A systematic review of 590 patients. *International journal of surgery (London, England)*. 2016;27:165-75.
2. Cox TC, Huntington CR, Blair LJ et al. Laparoscopic appendectomy and cholecystectomy versus open: a study in 1999 pregnant patients. *Surgical endoscopy*. 2016;30(2):593-602.
3. Pearl JP, Price RR, Tonkin AE et al. SAGES guidelines for the use of laparoscopy during pregnancy. *Surgical endoscopy*. 2017;31(10):3767-82.
4. Erekson EA, Brousseau EC, Dick-Biascochea MA et al. Maternal postoperative complications after nonobstetric antenatal surgery. *The journal of maternal-fetal & neonatal medicine : the official journal of the European Association of Perinatal Medicine, the Federation of Asia and Oceania Perinatal Societies, the International Society of Perinatal Obstet*. 2012;25(12):2639-44.
5. Lee SH, Lee JY, Choi YY et al. Laparoscopic appendectomy versus open appendectomy for suspected appendicitis during pregnancy: a systematic review and updated meta-analysis. 2019;19(1):41.
6. Sachs A, Guglielminotti J, Miller R et al. Risk Factors and Risk Stratification for Adverse Obstetrical Outcomes After Appendectomy or Cholecystectomy During Pregnancy. *JAMA surgery*. 2017;152(5):436-41.
7. Reedy MB, Källén B, Kuehl TJ. Laparoscopy during pregnancy: a study of five fetal outcome parameters with use of the Swedish Health Registry. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. 1997;177(3):673-9.
8. Guyatt GH, Akl EA, Crowther M et al. Executive summary: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest*. 2012;141(2 Suppl):7s-47s.
9. Upadhyay A, Stanten S, Kazantsev G et al. Laparoscopic management of a nonobstetric emergency in the third trimester of pregnancy. *Surgical endoscopy*. 2007;21(8):1344-8.
10. Yuen PM, Ng PS, Leung PL et al. Outcome in laparoscopic management of persistent adnexal mass during the second trimester of pregnancy. *Surgical endoscopy*. 2004;18(9):1354-7.

11. Roman H, Accoceberry M, Bolandard F et al. Laparoscopic management of a ruptured benign dermoid cyst during advanced pregnancy. *Journal of minimally invasive gynecology*. 2005;12(4):377-8.
12. Mathevet P, Nessah K, Dargent D et al. Laparoscopic management of adnexal masses in pregnancy: a case series. *European journal of obstetrics, gynecology, and reproductive biology*. 2003;108(2):217-22.
13. Bhavani-Shankar K, Steinbrook RA, Brooks DC et al. Arterial to end-tidal carbon dioxide pressure difference during laparoscopic surgery in pregnancy. *Anesthesiology*. 2000;93(2):370-3.
14. O'Rourke N, Kodali BS. Laparoscopic surgery during pregnancy. *Current opinion in anaesthesiology*. 2006;19(3):254-9.
15. Kodali BS, Chandrasekhar S, Bulich LN et al. Airway changes during labor and delivery. *Anesthesiology*. 2008;108(3):357-62.
16. Fatum M, Rojansky N. Laparoscopic surgery during pregnancy. *Obstetrical & gynecological survey*. 2001;56(1):50-9.