

Bölüm 8

MİDE, DUODENUM VE İNCE BARSAK PERFORASYONLARI

Erman ALÇI¹⁰

GİRİŞ

Helicobacter pylori'nin tanınması ve tedavisinin yanısıra, nonsteroid antiinflamatuvar ilaçların ve asit hipersekresyonunun farmakolojik tedavisinde kullanılan ilaçların giderek artan kullanımlarına bağlı olarak gastroduodenal perforasyonların nedeni ve tedavisi son yıllarda değişmiştir (Şekil 1) (1). Son yıllarda *Helicobacter pylori* eradikasyonu sebebiyle, komplikasyon gelişmemiş peptik ülser hastalığı görülme oranı azalmış olmasına karşın, peptik ülserle bağlı komplikasyon (hemoraji, perforasyon, obstrüksiyon) gelişme oranlarında herhangi bir değişiklik olmamıştır (2). Bu kısmen, özellikle yaşlı hastalarda non-steroid antiinflamatuvar ilaçların ve/veya aspirinin artan kullanımına bağlıdır.

Peptik ülserle bağlı perforasyon günümüzde halen hastanelerin acil kliniklerine yapılan başvuruların önemli bir kısmını oluşturmakta ve acil cerrahi müdahalelerin en sık sebeplerinden bir tanesidir (3). Türkiye'de peptik ülserle bağlı perforasyon (%2-%10), peptik ülserle bağlı diğer komplikasyonlar olan hemoraji ve obstrüksiyondan daha fazla oranda meydana gelir ve peptik ülser hastalığına bağlı mortalitenin %70' den çoğunun sebebidir (2).

İlaç tedavisi ile ülser nüksünün azalmasının sonucu olarak gastroduodenal perforasyonlu hastalara cerrahi yaklaşım son 30 yıldır değişmiştir ve ülser cerrahisi artık çok nadiren uygulanmaktadır (4, 5). Perfore peptik ülserlerin çoğu duodenumun birinci kısmında (%35-65), %25-45' i pilorda ve %5-25 ise midede yerleşir (6-9).

ETİYOLOJİ

Gastroduodenal perforasyonlar peptik ülser hastalığı, travma, iyatrojenik, yabancı cisim, apandisit, enflamasyon, tümör gibi nedenlerle ortaya çıkar ve erken tanı ve zama-

¹⁰ Doktor Öğretim Üyesi, Balıkesir Üniversitesi Sağlık Uygulama ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Genel Cerrahi AD, ealci@yahoo.com

SONUÇ

Peptik ülserle bağlı perforasyon günümüzde halen hastenelerin acil kliniklerine yapılan başvuruların önemli bir kısmını oluşturmakta ve acil cerrahi müdahalelerin en sık sebeplerinden bir tanesidir. Gastroduodenal perforasyonların en sık sebebi ülser hastalığıdır. Gastroduodenal perforasyonların diğer sebepleri travma, tümör, yabancı cisim yutma veya endoskopik girişimlere bağlı iatrojenik yaralanmalardır. Gastroduodenal perforasyonlar hemen teşhis edilir ve uygun tedavi edilirse sonuçlar mükemmeldir. Gastroduodenal perforasyonların yaklaşık olarak yarısının kendiliğinden kapandığı tahmin edilmektedir ve bu yüzden seçilmiş hasta grubunda perforate ülserin nonoperatif tedavisi mantıklı bir seçenektir. Konservatif tedavi için, bilgisayarlı tomografi veya gastroduodenografi ile serbest kontrast ekstravazasyonu olmadığının ve kaçığın sınırlandırılmış olduğunun gösterilmesi gerekir. Hemodinamik olarak instabil olan, semptomların başlangıcı 24 saatten daha uzun olan, fizik muayenede peritoniti olan ve sistemik sepsis bulguları olan hastalar cerrahi olarak eksplere edilmelidir. Peptik ülserle bağlı gastroduodenal perforasyonların tedavisinde altın standart, asit azaltıcı prosedürle birlikte cerrahi onarımın uygulandığı geleneksel açık eksplorasyondur

Günümüzde endoskopik girişimler esnasında oluşan iatrojenik yaralanmalar ince barsak perforasyonunun en sık görüldüğü durumdur. Endoskopik sfinkterotomili ERCP işlemi esnasında meydana gelen duodenum perforasyonları iatrojenik yaralanmaların en sık oluşma şeklidir. Endoskopik işlemler esnasında meydana gelen iatrojenik ince barsak perforasyonları eğer işlem esnasında hemen farkedilirse bazen açık cerrahiye gerek kalmaksızın endoskopik teknikler kullanılarak da minimal invaziv olarak onarılabilir.

Jejunal ve ileal perforasyonlar, her zaman periton içine perforate oldukları için primer tamir ile cerrahi onarım veya segmental rezeksiyon ile tedavi edilirler.

Anahtar Kelimeler: mide perforasyonu, duodenum perforasyonu, gastroduodenal perforasyon, ince barsak perforasyonu, peptik ülser, ercp

KAYNAKLAR

1. Nirula, R., Gastroduodenal perforation. *Surgical Clinics*, 2014. 94(1): p. 31-34.
2. Güzel, H., et al., Peptic ulcer complications requiring surgery: what has changed in the last 50 years in Turkey. *The Turkish journal of gastroenterology: the official journal of Turkish Society of Gastroenterology*, 2014. 25(2): p. 152-155.
3. Al Wadaani, H., Emergent laparoscopy in treatment of perforated peptic ulcer: a local experience from a tertiary centre in Saudi Arabia. *World journal of emergency surgery*, 2013. 8(1): p. 10.
4. Lui, F. and K. Davis, Gastroduodenal perforation: maximal or minimal intervention? *Scandinavian Journal of Surgery*, 2010. 99(2): p. 73-77.
5. Meissner, K., H2-receptor antagonists and the incidence of gastroduodenal ulcer perforation and hemorrhage. An epidemiological study. *Der Chirurg; Zeitschrift für alle Gebiete der operativen Medizin*, 1990. 61(6): p. 449-52; discussion 453.
6. Bulut, O., C. Rasmussen, and A. Fischer, Acute surgical treatment of complicated peptic ulcers with special reference to the elderly. *World journal of surgery*, 1996. 20(5): p. 574-577.

7. Hermansson, M., C.S. Von Holstein, and T. Zilling, Peptic ulcer perforation before and after the introduction of H2-receptor blockers and proton pump inhibitors. *Scandinavian journal of gastroenterology*, 1997. 32(6): p. 523-529.
8. Næsgaard, J.M., et al., Laparoscopic and open operation in patients with perforated peptic ulcer. *The European journal of surgery*, 1999. 165(3): p. 209-214.
9. Svanes, C., et al., Perforated peptic ulcer over 56 years. Time trends in patients and disease characteristics. *Gut*, 1993. 34(12): p. 1666-1671.
10. Yeung, K.-W., et al., CT evaluation of gastrointestinal tract perforation. *Clinical imaging*, 2004. 28(5): p. 329-333.
11. Watts, D.D., S.M. Fakhry, and E.M.-I.H.R. Group, Incidence of hollow viscus injury in blunt trauma: an analysis from 275,557 trauma admissions from the East multi-institutional trial. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*, 2003. 54(2): p. 289-294.
12. Oosting, S.F., et al., A patient with metastatic melanoma presenting with gastrointestinal perforation after dacarbazine infusion: a case report. *Journal of medical case reports*, 2010. 4(1): p. 10.
13. Goh, B.K., et al., Perforation of the gastrointestinal tract secondary to ingestion of foreign bodies. *World journal of surgery*, 2006. 30(3): p. 372-377.
14. Golfier, C., F. Holguin, and A. Kobayashi, Duodenal perforation because of swallowed ballpoint pen and its laparoscopic management: report of a case. *Journal of pediatric surgery*, 2009. 44(3): p. 634-636.
15. Jalihal, A. and V.H. Chong, Duodenal perforations and haematoma: complications of endoscopic therapy. *ANZ journal of surgery*, 2009. 79(10): p. 767-768.
16. Bianchini, A.U., et al., Duodenal perforation by a Greenfield filter: endoscopic diagnosis. *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, 1997. 92(4).
17. Feezor, R.J., et al., Duodenal perforation with an inferior vena cava filter: an unusual cause of abdominal pain. *Journal of vascular surgery*, 2002. 35(5): p. 1-3.
18. Mao, Z., et al., Duodenal perforations after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography: experience and management. *Journal of Laparoendoscopic & Advanced Surgical Techniques*, 2008. 18(5): p. 691-695.
19. Palanivelu, C., et al., Laparoscopic management of a retroperitoneal duodenal perforation following ERCP for periampullary cancer. *JLS: Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons*, 2008. 12(4): p. 399.
20. Zeb, F., et al., Duodenal impaction/perforation of a biliary stent--a rare complication in the management of choledocholithiasis. *Journal of gastrointestinal and liver diseases: JGLD*, 2009. 18(3): p. 391.
21. Cotton, P., et al., Endoscopic sphincterotomy complications and their management: an attempt at consensus. *Gastrointestinal endoscopy*, 1991. 37(3): p. 383-393.
22. Arici, C., et al., Analysis of risk factors predicting (affecting) mortality and morbidity of peptic ulcer perforations. *International surgery*, 2007. 92(3): p. 147-154.
23. Kocer, B., et al., Factors affecting mortality and morbidity in patients with peptic ulcer perforation. *Journal of gastroenterology and hepatology*, 2007. 22(4): p. 565-570.
24. Lee, F.Y.J., et al., Predicting mortality and morbidity of patients operated on for perforated peptic ulcers. *Archives of Surgery*, 2001. 136(1): p. 90-93.
25. Bucher, P.A.R., et al., Results of conservative treatment for perforated gastroduodenal ulcers in patients not eligible for surgical repair. *Swiss medical weekly*, 2007. 137(23-24): p. 337-40.
26. Boey, J., et al., Risk stratification in perforated duodenal ulcers. A prospective validation of predictive factors. *Annals of surgery*, 1987. 205(1): p. 22.
27. Lohsiriwat, V., S. Prapasrivorakul, and D. Lohsiriwat, Perforated peptic ulcer: clinical presentation, surgical outcomes, and the accuracy of the Boey scoring system in predicting postoperative morbidity and mortality. *World journal of surgery*, 2009. 33(1): p. 80-85.
28. Linder, M., et al., The Mannheim peritonitis index. An instrument for the intraoperative prognosis of peritonitis. *Der Chirurg; Zeitschrift für alle Gebiete der operativen Medizin*, 1987. 58(2): p. 84-92.

29. Lunevicius, R. and M. Morkevicius, Systematic review comparing laparoscopic and open repair for perforated peptic ulcer. *British Journal of Surgery: Incorporating European Journal of Surgery and Swiss Surgery*, 2005. 92(10): p. 1195-1207.
30. So, J., et al., Risk factors related to operative mortality and morbidity in patients undergoing emergency gastrectomy. *British journal of surgery*, 2000. 87(12): p. 1702-1707.
31. Boey, J., J. Wong, and G.B. Ong, Bacteria and septic complications in patients with perforated duodenal ulcers. *The American Journal of Surgery*, 1982. 143(5): p. 635-639.
32. Lee, S.-C., et al., Candida peritonitis due to peptic ulcer perforation: incidence rate, risk factors, prognosis and susceptibility to fluconazole and amphotericin B. *Diagnostic microbiology and infectious disease*, 2002. 44(1): p. 23-27.
33. Fakhry, S.M., et al., Current diagnostic approaches lack sensitivity in the diagnosis of perforated blunt small bowel injury: analysis from 275,557 trauma admissions from the EAST multi-institutional HVI trial. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*, 2003. 54(2): p. 295-306.
34. Bertleff, M.J. and J.F. Lange, Perforated peptic ulcer disease: a review of history and treatment. *Digestive surgery*, 2010. 27(3): p. 161-169.
35. Thorsen, K., et al., Trends in diagnosis and surgical management of patients with perforated peptic ulcer. *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, 2011. 15(8): p. 1329-1335.
36. Genzlinger, J.L., et al., Significance of retroperitoneal air after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography with sphincterotomy. *The American journal of gastroenterology*, 1999. 94(5): p. 1267.
37. Cho, K.C., Diagnosis and significance. *Radiol Clin North Am*, 1994. 32: p. 829-844.
38. Rice, R., W. Thompson, and R. Gedgudas, The diagnosis and significance of extraluminal gas in the abdomen. *Radiologic Clinics of North America*, 1982. 20(4): p. 819.
39. Hainaux, B., et al., Accuracy of MDCT in predicting site of gastrointestinal tract perforation. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 2006. 187(5): p. 1179-1183.
40. Mindelzun, R.E. and R.B. Jeffrey. *The acute abdomen: current CT imaging techniques*. in *Seminars in Ultrasound, CT and MRI*. 1999. Elsevier.
41. Rosen, M.P., et al., Value of abdominal CT in the emergency department for patients with abdominal pain. *European radiology*, 2003. 13(2): p. 418-424.
42. Kim, S.H., et al., Gastrointestinal tract perforation: MDCT findings according to the perforation sites. *Korean journal of radiology*, 2009. 10(1): p. 63-70.
43. Imuta, M., et al., Multidetector CT findings suggesting a perforation site in the gastrointestinal tract: analysis in surgically confirmed 155 patients. *Radiation medicine*, 2007. 25(3): p. 113-118.
44. Singh, J., et al., Evolution of imaging for abdominal perforation. *The Annals of The Royal College of Surgeons of England*, 2010. 92(3): p. 182-188.
45. Fakhry, S., et al., Diagnosing blunt small bowel injury (SBI): an analysis of the clinical utility of computerized tomography (CT) scan from a large multi-institutional trial. *J Trauma*, 2001. 51: p. 1232.
46. Malhotra, A.K., et al., Blunt bowel and mesenteric injuries: the role of screening computed tomography. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*, 2000. 48(6): p. 991-1000.
47. Jacobs, D.G., et al., Peritoneal lavage white count: a reassessment. *The Journal of trauma*, 1990. 30(5): p. 607-612.
48. Rozycki, G.S., et al., Surgeon-performed ultrasound for the assessment of truncal injuries: lessons learned from 1540 patients. *Annals of surgery*, 1998. 228(4): p. 557.
49. Sanders, F., F. Boereboom, and L. Haas, Is there free air in the abdomen? A false impression of a pneumoperitoneum. *The Netherlands journal of medicine*, 2014. 72(4): p. 228, 232-228, 232.
50. Kirmızı, S., et al., Kapalı Peptik Ülser Perforasyonunda Metilen Mavisi Kullanımı: Olgu sunumu.
51. Crofts, T.J., et al., A randomized trial of nonoperative treatment for perforated peptic ulcer. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 1989. 320(15): p. 970-973.
52. Marshall, C., et al., Evaluation of a protocol for the non-operative management of perforated peptic ulcer. *British journal of surgery*, 1999. 86(1): p. 131-134.

53. Chamberlain, D., et al., Discussion on the operative and conservative treatment of perforated peptic ulceration. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 1951. 44(4): p. 273-282.
54. Taylor, H., Guest lecture: The non-surgical treatment of perforated peptic ulcer. *Gastroenterology*, 1957. 33(3): p. 353-368.
55. Solomkin, J.S., et al., Diagnosis and management of complicated intra-abdominal infection in adults and children: guidelines by the Surgical Infection Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Surgical infections*, 2010. 11(1): p. 79-109.
56. Hermansson, M., C.S. von Holstein, and T. Zilling, Surgical approach and prognostic factors after peptic ulcer perforation. *The European journal of surgery*, 1999. 165(6): p. 566-572.
57. Svanes, C., et al., Adverse effects of delayed treatment for perforated peptic ulcer. *Annals of surgery*, 1994. 220(2): p. 168.
58. Wakayama, T., et al., Risk factors influencing the short-term results of gastroduodenal perforation. *Surgery today*, 1994. 24(8): p. 681-687.
59. Bugnon, P., F. Rivoalan, and C. Gautier-Benoit, Present status of the Taylor method in perforated ulcer of the duodenal bulb. *Journal de chirurgie*, 1986. 123(8-9): p. 463-466.
60. Berne, T.V. and A.J. Donovan, Nonoperative treatment of perforated duodenal ulcer. *Archives of Surgery*, 1989. 124(7): p. 830-832.
61. Keane, T., et al., Conservative management of perforated duodenal ulcer. *British journal of surgery*, 1988. 75(6): p. 583-584.
62. Rabinovici, R. and J. Manny, Perforated duodenal ulcer in the elderly. *The European journal of surgery= Acta chirurgica*, 1991. 157(2): p. 121-125.
63. Siu, W., et al., Routine use of laparoscopic repair for perforated peptic ulcer. *British Journal of Surgery*, 2004. 91(4): p. 481-484.
64. Hentschel, E., et al., Effect of ranitidine and amoxicillin plus metronidazole on the eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* and the recurrence of duodenal ulcer. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 1993. 328(5): p. 308-312.
65. Van der Hulst, R., et al., Prevention of ulcer recurrence after eradication of *Helicobacter pylori*: a prospective long-term follow-up study. *Gastroenterology*, 1997. 113(4): p. 1082-1086.
66. Blomgren, L.G., Perforated peptic ulcer: long-term results after simple closure in the elderly. *World journal of surgery*, 1997. 21(4): p. 412-415.
67. Raimes, S. and H. Devlin, Perforated duodenal ulcer. *British journal of surgery*, 1987. 74(2): p. 81-82.
68. Bornman, P., et al., Simple closure of perforated duodenal ulcer: a prospective evaluation of a conservative management policy. *British Journal of Surgery*, 1990. 77(1): p. 73-75.
69. Axon, A., et al., Randomised double blind controlled study of recurrence of gastric ulcer after treatment for eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *BMJ*, 1997. 314(7080): p. 565.
70. Graham, D.Y., et al., Effect of treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection on the long-term recurrence of gastric or duodenal ulcer: a randomized, controlled study. *Annals of internal medicine*, 1992. 116(9): p. 705-708.
71. Wong, B., et al., Triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication is more effective than long-term maintenance antisecretory treatment in the prevention of recurrence of duodenal ulcer: a prospective long-term follow-up study. *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 1999. 13(3): p. 303-310.
72. Busman, D.C., A. Volovics, and J.D. Munting, Recurrence rate after highly selective vagotomy. *World journal of surgery*, 1988. 12(2): p. 217-223.
73. Sawyer, J.L. and H. Scott Jr, Selective gastric vagotomy with antrectomy or pyloroplasty. *Annals of surgery*, 1971. 174(4): p. 541.
74. Pescatore, P., et al., Combined laparoscopic-endoscopic method using an omental plug for the therapy of gastroduodenal ulcer perforation. *Gastrointestinal endoscopy*, 1998. 48(4): p. 411-414.
75. Irvin, T., Mortality and perforated peptic ulcer: a case for risk stratification in elderly patients. *British journal of surgery*, 1989. 76(3): p. 215-218.