Chapter 8

THE IMPORTANCE OF ANATOMICAL REGION IN COLON TUMORS

Serkan MENEKŞE¹

INTRODUCTION

Tumors originating in different areas of the colon show clear clinical and molecular differences. Tumors of the cecum, the ascending colon, the transverse colon and the splenic flexura are included in the diagnosis of right colon cancer (RCC), while left colon cancers comprise tumors of the descending colon, the sigmoid colon and the rectum. Anatomical classification of colorectal cancers (CRC) was first performed by Bufill et al. (Bufill, 1990). In later studies, clear differences in the molecular pathways, epidemiology, pathogenesis, genetics and epigenetics of tumors were observed according to their anatomical region.

The left and right colon are different both embryologically and anatomically. The right colon derives from the midgut, and the right colon from the hindgut. The right colon is fed mainly from the superior mesenteric artery, while the left colon is fed mainly from the inferior mesenteric artery, and while RCC patients are diagnosed at a later stage with symptoms of anemia and weight loss than LCC patients, LCC shows itself with changes in bowel habits and rectal bleeding (Also, vascular invasion is more frequently seen in mucinous and high grade right colon tumors) (Nawa & et al., 2008).

Gao et al. showed that the location of the tumor was an independent indicator of survival in mucinous adenocarcinoma. A worse survival rate was found in rectally located mucinous adenocarcinomas than in right-located mucinous adenocarcinomas (Gao & et al., 2013).

It has been observed that 80% of sporadic CRCs have chromosomal instability characterized by oncogene activation (KRAS) and/or loss of tumor suppressor genes (APC, SMAD4 and p53). This accumulation of genetic changes supports the adenocarcinoma model described by Fearon and Vogelstein. These genetic and epigenetic changes are clearer in rectal cancer and are more frequent in left colon tumors (Fearon & Vogelstein, 1990).

¹ Uzm.Dr Manisa Şehir Hastanesi Tıbbi Onkoloji drsermen@hotmail.com

Current Topics in Hemato-Oncology

REFERENCES

- Ahmed S, Johnson K, Ahmed O, Iqbal N. (2014). Advances in thr management of colorectal cancer :from biology to treatment. *Int Colorectal Dis*, 29, 1031-42.
- Benderdaf R, Buhmedia A, Hilska M, Laato M, Syrjanen S, Syrjanen K, et al. (2008) VEGF-1 expression in colorectal cancer is associated with disease localization, stage and long-term disease specific survival. *Anticancer Res*, 28 (6B), 3865-70.
- Boisen MK, Johansen JS, Dehlendorff C, Larsen JS, Osterlind K, Hansen J, et al. (2013). Primary tumor location and bevacizumab effectiveness in patients with metastatativc colorectal cancer . *Ann Oncol*, 24 (10), 2554-9.
- Breivik J, Lothe RA, Meling GI, Rognum TO, Borresen-Dale AL, Gaudernack O. (1997). Different genetic pathways to proximal and distal colorectal cancer influenced by sex-related factors. *Int J Cancer Journal international du cancer*, 74, 664-9.
- Bufill JA. (1990). Colorectal cancer: evidence for distinct genetic catogories based on proximal or distal tumor location. *Ann Intern Med*, 113, 779-88.
- Burt RW, Bishop DT, Lynch HT, Rozen P, Winawer SJ. (1990). Risk and surveillance of individuals with heritable factors for colorectal cancer. WHO Collaborating Centre fort he Prevention of Colorectal Cancer. *Bull World Health Organ*, 68, 655-65.
- Fearon ER, Vogelstein B. (1990). A genetic model for colorectal cancer tumorigenesis. *Cell*, 61,759-67.
- Gao P, Song YX, Xu YY et al. (2013). Does the prognosis of colorectal mucinous carcinoma depend upon the primary tumour site? Results from two independent databases. *Histopathology*, 63, 603-10.
- Jarvinen HJ. (1992). Epidemiology of familial adenomatous polyposis in Finland: impact of family screening on the colorectal cancer rate and survival. *Gut*, 33, 357-60.
- Karim S, Brennan K, Nanji S, Berry SR, Booth CM. (2017). Associtation between prognosis and tumor laterality in erarly stage colon cancer *JAMA Oncology*, 3, 1386-92.
- Kerr DJ, Domingo E, Kerr R. (2016). Is sideness prognostically across important all stage of colorectal cancer? *Lancet Oncol*, 17, 1480-2.
- Li P, Xiao Z, Braciak TA, Ou Q, Chen G, Oduncu F. (2017). A relationship to survival is seen by combining the factors of mismatch repair status, tumor location and age of on set in colorectal cancer patients. *Plos One*, 12 (3), 172799.
- Loupakis F; Yang D, Yau L et al. (2015). Primary tumor location as a prognostic factor in metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Nat Cancer Inst*, 107 (3), 24725.
- Lynch HT, Smyrk T, Lynch JF. (1996). Owerview of natutal history, patology, moleculer, genetic and management of HNPCC (Lynch Sendrome). *Int Journal Cancer Journal internationaldu cancer*, 69, 38-43.
- Missiaglia E, Jacobs B, D'Ario G, Di Narzo AF, Soneson C, Budinka E, et al. (2014). Distal and proximal colon cancers differ in term of molecular, pathological and clinical features. *Ann Oncol*, 25 (10), 1995-2001.
- Nawa T, Kato J, Kawamoto H, et al. (2008). Differences between right and left sided colon cancer in patient characteristics, cancer morphology and histology. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*, 23, 418-23.
- Nielsen M, de Miranda NF, van Puijenbroek M, et al. (2009). Colorectal carsinomas in MUTYH associated polyposis display histopathologial similarities to microsatellite unstable carcinomas. *BMC Cancer*, 9, 18.
- Nosho K, Irahara N, Shima et al. (2008). Comprehensive biostatistical analysis of CpG island metylator phenotype in colorectal cancer using a large population-based samp-

le. Plos One, 3, 369.

- Nugent KP, Spigerman AU, Phillips RK. (1993). Life expectancy after colectomy and ileorectal anastomosis for familial adenomatous polyposis. *Dis Colon Rectum*, 36, 1059-62.
- Petrelli F, Tomasello G, Borgonova K, Ghidini M, Turati L, Dallera P et al. (2017). Prognostic survival associated with left-sided vs right sided Colon Cancer; a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Oncology*. 3, 211-9.
- Samowitz WS, Albertsen H, Herrick J, et al. (2005). Evaluation of a large population-based sample supports a CpG island metylator phenotype in colorectal cancer. *Gastroenterology*, 129, 837-45.
- Sinicrope FA, Mahoney MR, Smyrk TC, et all. (2013). Prognostic impact of deficent DNA mismatch repair in patients with stage III colon cancer from a randomised trial of FOLFOX – based adjuvant chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol, 31 (29), 3664-3672.
- Soneson C, et al. (2015). The consensus molecular subtypes of colorectal cancer. *Nat Med*, 21 (11), 1350-6.
- Soong R, Powell B, Elsaleh H, et al. (2000). Prognostic significance of TP53 gene mutation in 995 cases of colorectal carcinoma. Influence of tumour site, stage, adjuvant chemotherapy and type of mutation. *Eur J Cancer*, 36, 2053-60.
- Tejpar S Stintziing S, Ciardelllo F, et al. (2016). Prognostic and predictive revelance of primary tumor location in patients with RAS wild-type metastâtic colorectal cancer; retrospective analyses of the CRYSTAL and FIRE-3 trials. *JAMA Oncol.*
- Venook AP, Niedwiecki D, İnnocenti F, et al. (2016). İmpact of primary tumor location on over all survival (OS) and progression free survival (PFS) in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: analysis of CALBG/SWOG 80405 (Alliance). J Clin Oncol, 34(supp), Abstract 3504.
- Weisenberger DJ, Siegmund KD, Campan M, et al. (2006). CPG island methylator phenotype underlies sporoadic microsatelitte instability and is tightly associated with BRAF mutation in colorectal cancer. *Nat Genet*. 38. 787-9.