

BÖLÜM



UTERUS HASTALIKLARI

Ömer ÖZÇAĞLAYAN¹

Vaka 1: Adenomyosis

Vaka 2: Endometrial polip

Vaka 3: Endometrial kanser

Vaka 4: Uterus bikornis

Vaka 5: Miyoma uteri

Vaka 6: Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser (MRKH) Sendromu

¹ Dr.Öğr.Ü. Namık Kemal Üniversitesi, Tıp Fakültesi, Radyoloji Anabilim Dalı omer.ozcaglayan@gmail.com

Tedavi ve yaklaşım

MRKH hastalarında tedavinin amacı seksüel fonksiyonların restorasyonu amaçlanır. En sık yapılan prosedür vajinoplastilerdir.

KAYNAKLAR

1. Takeuchi M, Matsuzaki K. Adenomyosis: usual and unusual imaging manifestations, pitfalls, and problem-solving MR imaging techniques. *Radiographics*. 2011;31(1):99-115.
2. Rosai J. Female reproductive system. In: Rosai J, ed. *Ackerman's surgical pathology*. 8th ed. St Louis, Mo: Mosby-Year Book, 1996;1319-1564.
3. Matalliotakis IM, Kourtis AI, Panidis DK. Adenomyosis. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am*. 2003;30(1):63-82.
4. Ascher SM, Jha RC, Reinhold C. Benign myometrial conditions: leiomyomas and adenomyosis. *Top Magn Reson Imaging*. 2003;14(4):281-304.
5. Pontis A, D'Alterio MN, Pirarba S, et al. Adenomyosis: a systematic review of medical treatment. *Gynecol Endocrinol*. 2016;32(9):696-700.
6. Wong M, Crnobrnja B, Liberale V, et al. The natural history of endometrial polyps. *Hum Reprod*. 2017;32(2):340-345.
7. Perri T, Rahimi K, Ramanakumar AV, et al. Are endometrial polyps true cancer precursors? *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;203:232.
8. Hase S, Mitsumori A, Inai R, et al. Endometrial polyps: MR imaging features. *Acta Med Okayama*. 2012;66(6):475-485.
9. Kamel HS, Darwish AM, Mohamed SA. Comparison of transvaginal ultrasonography and vaginal sonohysterography in the detection of endometrial polyps. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2000;79(1):60-64.
10. Grasel RP, Outwater EK, Siegelman ES, et al. Endometrial polyps: MR imaging features and distinction from endometrial carcinoma. *Radiology*. 2000;214:47-52.
11. Wang J, Yu T, Bai R, et al. The value of the apparent diffusion coefficient in differentiating stage IA endometrial carcinoma from normal endometrium and benign diseases of the endometrium: initial study at 3-T magnetic resonance scanner. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 2010;34(3):332-337.
12. Nijkang NP, Anderson L, Markham R, et al. Endometrial polyps: Pathogenesis, sequelae and treatment. *SAGE Open Med*. 2019;2:7. Doi: 2050312119848247.
13. Morice P, Leary A, Creutzberg C, et al. Endometrial cancer. *Lancet*. 2016;12;387(10023):1094-1108.
14. Kaaks R, Lukanova A, Kurzer MS. Obesity, endogenous hormones and endometrial cancer risk: a synthetic review. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2002;11:1531-1543.
15. Smith-Bindman R, Kerlikowske K, Feldstein VA, et al. Endovaginal ultrasound to exclude endometrial cancer and other endometrial abnormalities. *JAMA*. 1998;280:1510-1517.
16. Manfredi R, Mirk P, Maresca G, et al. Local-regional staging of endometrial carcinoma: Role of MR imaging in surgical planning. *Radiology*. 2004;231:372-378.
17. Beddy P, O'Neill AC, Yamamoto AK, et al. FIGO staging system for endometrial cancer: added benefits of MR imaging. *Radiographics*. 2012;32(1):241-254.
18. Takac I. Transvaginal ultrasonography with and without saline infusion in assessment of myometrial invasion of endometrial cancer. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2007;26:949-957.
19. Troiano RN, McCarthy SM. Mullerian duct anomalies: imaging and clinical issues. *Radiology*. 2004; 233(1):19-34.
20. Li S, Qayyum A, Coakley FV, Hricak H. Association of renal agenesis and mullerian duct anomalies. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 2000;24(6):829-834.
21. Robbins JB. The AFS classification of adnexal adhesions, distal tubal occlusion, tubal occlusion secondary to tubal ligation, tubal pregnancies, Mullerian anomalies and uterine adhesions. *Fertil Steril* 1988;49:944-955.
22. Behr SC, Courtier JL, Qayyum A. Imaging of müllerian duct anomalies. *Radiographics*. 2012;32(6):233-250. doi: 10.1148/rg.326125515.
23. Robbins JB, Broadwell C, Chow LC, et al. Müllerian duct anomalies: embryological development, classification, and MRI assessment. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2015;41(1):1-12.

24. Baird DD, Dunson DB, Hill MC, et al. High cumulative incidence of uterine leiomyoma in black and white women: ultrasound evidence. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2003;188, 100–107
25. Munro MG, Critchley HO, Broder MS, et al. FIGO Working Group on Menstrual Disorders. FIGO classification system (PALM-COEIN) for causes of abnormal uterine bleeding in nongravid women of reproductive age. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2011;113(1):3-13.
26. Stewart EA, Laughlin-Tommaso SK, Catherino WH, et al. Uterine fibroids. *Nat Rev Dis Primers.* 2016;23;2:16043.
27. Amant F, Coosemans A, Debiec-Rychter M, et al. Clinical management of uterine sarcomas. *The Lancet Oncology.* 2009;10, (12):1188–1198
28. Tamai K, Koyama T, Saga T, et al. The utility of diffusion-weighted MR imaging for differentiating uterine sarcomas from benign leiomyomas. *Eur Radiol.* 2008;18:723–730.
29. Tanos V, Berry KE, Frist M, et al. Prevention and Management of Complications in Laparoscopic Myomectomy. *Biomed Res Int.* 2018 Mar 5;2018:8250952.
30. Troiano RN, McCarthy SM. Mullerian duct anomalies: imaging and clinical issues. *Radiology.* 2004; 233(1):19–34.
31. Pittock ST, Babovic-Vuksanovic D, Lteif A. Mayer- Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser anomaly and its associated malformations. *Am J Med Genet A.* 2005;135:314–316.
32. Boruah DK, Sanyal S, Gogoi BB, et al. Spectrum of MRI Appearance of Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser (MRKH) Syndrome in Primary Amenorrhea Patients. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2017;11(7):30-35.
33. Pompili G, Munari A, Franceschelli G, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging in the preoperative assessment of Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster- Hauser syndrome. *Radiol Med.* 2009;114:811-826.