

# TEKRARLAYAN GEBELİK KAYBI OLAN BİKORNUAT UTERUSLU GEBE: SERVİKAL SERKLAJ VE LİTERATÜR DERLEME

**Mehmet Nafi SAKAR<sup>70</sup>**

## GİRİŞ

Yirmi üç yaşında, G7A6 olan gebe vaginal lekelenme şikayeti ile başvurdu. Yapılan ultrasonda 8 hafta canlı tek gebelik ve bikornuat uterus bulgusu saptandı. Gebelik sol hemiuterusa yerleşmişti. Gebeliğin 17. haftasında servikal serklaj uygulandı. Gebelik 25 hafta 2 günlük iken preterm prematür membran rüptürü (PPROM) gelişti. Fetal distres ve transvers duruş endikasyonu ile sezaryen doğum gerçekleşti. Bebeğin yenidoğan yoğun bakım ünitesinde 11 haftadır yataşı devam etmektedir. Daha önce viabilité sınırına ulaşan gebeliği olmayan gebede, servikal serklajın gebelik süresini uzattığı ve gebeliğin viabilité sınırına ulaşlığı görüldü. Burada tekrarlayan gebelik kaybı olan bikornuat uteruslu gebede servikal serklajın gebelik sonuçlarına etkisi literatür bilgileri kapsamında tartışılmıştır.

Konjenital uterus anomalileri (KUA), fetal yaşamda Müllerian kanalların anormal formasyon, füzyon veya rezorpsiyonundan kaynaklanır (1). Kadın üreme sisteminin normal gelişimi, Müllerian sisteminin farklılaşması, migrasyonu, füzyonu ve ardından kanalize olması ile karakterize bir dizi karmaşık süreç içerir. (2). Uterin anomaliler bu süreçler kesintiye uğradığında ortaya çıkar.

Sistematik bir derlemede, KUA prevalansı genel popülasyonda %5.5, infertil kadınlarında %8, düşük öyküsü olan kadınlarda %12.3, düşük ve infertilitesi olan kadınlarda %24.5 olarak bulunmuştur (3).

Bikornuat uterus, Müllerian kanalların unifikasiyon defektidir ve Müllerian kanal anomalilerinin yaklaşık %26'sını kapsamaktadır (4). Bikornuat uteruslu kadınların gebe kalma kabiliyeti tipik olarak bozulmaz. Çoğu durumda bikornuat uterus, pelvik görüntülemede tesadüfen saptanır. En sık görülen semptomatik prezantasyonu erken gebelik kaybı ve servikal yetmezlik ile ilgilidir (5). Literatür-

<sup>70</sup> Doç, Dr. Memorial Diyarbakır Hospital, Kadın Hastalıkları ve Doğum Kliniği, nafisakar@gmail.com

## KAYNAKLAR

1. Moore KL, Persaud TV, Torchia MG. 2008. The urogenital system. In: Before We Are Born: Essentials of Embryology and Birth Defects. 7 th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders/Elsevier; p. 162-189.
2. Ammesse LS, Pfaff-Amessee T. 2007. Congenital anomalies of the reproductive tract. In: Falcone T, Hurd WW, editors. Clinical Reproductive Medicine and Surgery. 1 st ed. New York: Mosby; p. 171-190.
3. Chan YY, Jayaprakasan K, Zamora J, et al. The prevalence of congenital uterine anomalies in unselected and high-risk populations: a systematic review. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2011; 17(6):761-771.
4. Grimbizis GF, Camus M, Tarlatzis BC, et al. Clinical implications of uterine malformations and hysteroscopic treatment results. *Hum Reprod Update* 2001; 7:161-174.
5. Nahum GG. Uterine anomalies. How common are they, and what is their distribution among subtypes? *J Reprod Med*. 1998;43:877-887.
6. Ludmir J, Samuels P, Brooks S, et al. Pregnancy outcome of patients with uncorrected uterine anomalies managed in a high-risk obstetric setting. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1990;75(6):906-910.
7. Raga F, Bauset C, Remohi J, et al. Reproductive impact of congenital Müllerian anomalies. *Hum Reprod*. 1997;12 (10):2277-2281.
8. Venetis CA, Papadopoulos SP, Campo R, et al. Clinical implications of congenital uterine anomalies: a meta-analysis of comparative studies. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2014;29(6):665-683.
9. Final report of the Medical Research Council/Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists multicentre randomised trial of cervical cerclage. MRC/RCOG Working Party on Cervical Cerclage. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1993; 100(6):516-523.
10. Rush RW, Isaacs S, McPherson K, et al. A randomized controlled trial of cervical cerclage in women at high risk of spontaneous preterm delivery. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1984; 91(8):724-730.
11. Lazar P, Gueguen S, Dreyfus J, et al. Multicentred controlled trial of cervical cerclage in women at moderate risk of preterm delivery. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1984;91(8):731-735.
12. Golan A, Langer R, Wexler S, et al. Cervical cerclage-its role in the pregnant anomalous uterus. *Int J Fertil*. 1990;35:164-170.
13. Harger JH. Cervical cerclage: patient selection, morbidity, and success rates. *Clin Perinatol*. 1983;10(2):321-341.
14. The American Fertility Society classifications of adnexal adhesions, distal tubal occlusion, tubal occlusion secondary to tubal ligation, tubal pregnancies, müllerian anomalies and intrauterine adhesions. *Fertil Steril*. 1988;49(6):944-955.
15. Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Electronic address: ASRM@asrm.org, Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Uterine septum: a guideline. *Fertil Steril*. 2016;106(3):530-540.
16. Troiano RN, McCarthy SM. Mullerian duct anomalies: imaging and clinical issues. *Radiology*. 2004; 233(1):19-34.
17. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Practice Bulletin No.142: Cerclage for the management of cervical insufficiency. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2014;123:372-379. Reaffirmed 2019.
18. Owen J, Hankins G, Iams JD, et al. Multicenter randomized trial of cerclage for preterm birth prevention in high-risk women with shortened midtrimester cervical length. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2009; 201:375.e1-375.e8.
19. Berghella V, Keeler SM, To MS, et al. Effectiveness of cerclage according to severity of cervical length shortening: a meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2010; 35:468-473.
20. Ehsanipoor RM, Seligman NS, Saccone G, et al. Physical Examination-Indicated Cerclage: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2015; 126:125-135.
21. Shirodkar, VN. A new method of operative treatment for habitual abortion in the second trimester of pregnancy. *Antiseptic*. 1955; 52:299.

22. McDonald IA. Suture of the cervix for inevitable miscarriage. *J Obstet Gynaecol Br Emp.* 1957; 64:346.
23. Buckingham JC, Buethe RA Jr, Danforth DN. Collagen-muscle ratio in clinically normal and clinically incompetent cervices. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1965; 91:232-237.
24. Leppert PC, Yu SY, Keller S, et al. Decreased elastic fibers and desmosine content in incompetent cervix. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1987; 157:1134-1139.
25. Rechberger T, Uldbjerg N, Oxlund H. Connective tissue changes in the cervix during normal pregnancy and pregnancy complicated by cervical incompetence. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1988;71(4):563-567.
26. Simón C, Martínez L, Pardo F, et al. Müllerian defects in women with normal reproductive outcome. *Fertil Steril.* 1991; 56:1192-1193.
27. Acién P. Incidence of Müllerian defects in fertile and infertile women. *Hum Reprod.* 1997; 12:1372-1376.
28. Prior M, Richardson A, Asif S, et al. Outcome of assisted reproduction in women with congenital uterine anomalies: a prospective observational study. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2018; 51:110-117.
29. Reichman D, Laufer MR, Robinson BK. Pregnancy outcomes in unicornuate uterus: a review. *Fertil Steril.* 2009; 91:1886-1894.
30. Andrews MC, Jones HW Jr. Impaired reproductive performance of the unicornuate uterus: intrauterine growth retardation, infertility, and recurrent abortion in five cases. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1982;144:173-176.
31. Ben-Rafael Z, Seidman DS, Recabi K, et al. Uterine anomalies. A retrospective, matched-control study. *J Reprod Med.* 1991; 36:723-727.
32. Heinonen PK, Pystynen PP. Primary infertility and uterine anomalies. *Fertil Steril.* 1983; 40:311-316.
33. Papp Z, Mezei G, Gavai M, et al. Reproductive performance after transabdominal metroplasty: A review of 157 consecutive cases. *J Reprod Med.* 2006;51:544-552.
34. Harger JH. Cerclage and cervical insufficiency: an evidence-based analysis. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2002; 100:1313-1327.
35. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Practice Bulletin. Cervical insufficiency. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2003;102:1091-1099.
36. Berghella V, Rafael TJ, Szychowski JM, et al. Cerclage for short cervix on ultrasonography in women with singleton gestations and previous preterm birth: a meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2011; 117:663-671.
37. Odibo AO, Berghella V, To MS, et al. Shirodkar versus McDonald cerclage for the prevention of preterm birth in women with short cervical length. *Am J Perinatol.* 2007; 24:55-60.
38. Perrotin F, Marret H, Ayeva-Derman M, et al. [Second trimester cerclage of short cervixes: which technique to use? A retrospective study of 25 cases]. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris).* 2002; 31:640-648.
39. Ezechi OC, Kalu BK, Nwokoro CA. Prophylactic cerclage for the prevention of preterm delivery. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2004; 85:283-284.
40. Cunningham FG, Levono KJ, Bloom SL, et al. 2010. Abortion. In: Cunningham FG, editors. *Williams Obstetrics.* 23rd edition. USA: McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. p.215-237.
41. Smith J, DeFranco EA. Tocolytics used as adjunctive therapy at the time of cerclage placement: a systematic review. *J Perinatol.* 2015; 35:561-565.
42. Novy MJ, Ducsay CA, Stanczyk FZ. Plasma concentrations of prostaglandin F2 alpha and prostaglandin E2 metabolites after transabdominal and transvaginal cervical cerclage. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1987; 156:1543-1552.
43. Dijkstra K, Funai EF, O'Neill L, et al. Change in cervical length after cerclage as a predictor of preterm delivery. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2000; 96:346-350.

44. O'Connell MP, Lindow SW. Reversal of asymptomatic cervical length shortening with cervical cerclage: a preliminary study. *Hum Reprod.* 2001; 16:172-173.
45. Hershkovitz R, Burstein E, Pinku A. Tightening McDonald cerclage suture under sonographic guidance. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2008; 31:194-197.
46. Rust OA, Atlas RO, Meyn J, et al. Does cerclage location influence perinatal outcome? *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2003; 189:1688-1691.
47. Hedriana HL, Lanouette JM, Haesslein HC, et al. Is there value for serial ultrasonographic assessment of cervical lengths after a cerclage? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2008; 198:705.e1-705.e6.
48. Miller ES, Gerber SE. Association between sonographic cervical appearance and preterm delivery after a history-indicated cerclage. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2014; 33:2181-2186.
49. Doyle LW, Crowther CA, Middleton P, et al. Magnesium sulphate for women at risk of preterm birth for neuroprotection of the fetus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009; :CD004661.
50. Zeng X, Xue Y, Tian Q, et al. Effects and Safety of Magnesium Sulfate on Neuroprotection: A Meta-analysis Based on PRISMA Guidelines. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016; 95:e2451.
51. Althuisius SM, Dekker GA, Hummel P, Bekedam DJ, van Geijn HP. Final results of the Cervical Incompetence Prevention Randomized Cerclage Trial (CIPRACT): therapeutic cerclage with bed rest versus bed rest alone. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2001; 185(5):1106-1112.
52. Pereira L, Cotter A, Gómez R, Berghella V, Prasertcharoensuk W, Rasanen J, et al. Expectant management compared with physical examination-indicated cerclage (EM-PEC) in selected women with a dilated cervix at 14(0/7)-25(6/7) weeks: results from the EM-PEC international cohort study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007;197(5):483.e1-483.e8.
53. Cahill AG, Odibo A, Willers DM, Chang JJ, Shanks A, Goetzinger K. Cervical dilation in mid-pregnancy: expectant management versus cerclage: a study by Pereira et al. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007; 197(5):551-552.
54. Yassaee F , Mostafaei L. The Role of Cervical Cerclage in Pregnancy Outcome in Women with Uterine Anomaly. *J Reprod Infertil.* 2011;12(4):277-279.
55. Leo L, Arduino S, Febo G, Tessarolo M, Lauricella A, Wierdis T, et al. Cervical cerclage for malformed uterus. *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol.* 1997;24(2):104-106.
56. Alfirevic Z, Stampalija T, Roberts D, Jorgensen AL. Cervical stitch (cerclage) for preventing preterm birth in singleton pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; :CD008991.
57. Jorgensen AL, Alfirevic Z, Tudur Smith C, Williamson PR; cerclage IPD Meta-analysis Group. Cervical stitch (cerclage) for preventing pregnancy loss: individual patient data meta-analysis. *BJOG.* 2007;114(12):1460-1476.
58. Incerti M, Ghidini A, Locatelli A, Poggi SH, Pezzullo JC. Cervical length < or = 25 mm in low-risk women: a case control study of cerclage with rest vs rest alone. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007;197(3): 315.e1-315e4.
59. Woodring TC, Klauser CK, Cromartie DA, Magann EF, Chauhan SP, Morrison JC. When is a cerclage indicated for cervical insufficiency? A literature review. *J Miss State Med Assoc.* 2006;47(9):264-266.