

**İŞLETMELERDE
ÖZÜMSEME
KAPASİTESİ - İŞLETME
PERFORMANSI İLİŞKİSİ**

Dr. Aslı GEYLAN

© Copyright 2019

Bu kitabın, basım, yayın ve satış hakları Akademisyen Kitabevi A.Ş.'ne aittir. Anılan kuruluşun izni alınmadan kitabın tümü ya da bölümleri mekanik, elektronik, fotokopi, manyetik kağıt ve/veya başka yöntemlerle çoğaltılamaz, basılamaz, dağıtılmaz. Tablo, şekil ve grafikler izin alınmadan, ticari amaçlı kullanılamaz. Bu kitap T.C. Kültür Bakanlığı bandrolü ile satılmaktadır.

ISBN

978-605-258-740-9

Kitap Adı

İşletmelerde Özümseme Kapasitesi - İşletme Performansı İlişkisi

Yazar

Dr. Aslı GEYLAN

Yayın Koordinatörü

Yasin DİL��EN

Sayfa ve Kapak Tasarımı

Akademisyen Dizgi Ünitesi

Yayıncı Sertifika No

25465

Baskı ve Cilt

Sonçağ Matbaa

Bisac Code

BUS000000

DOI

10.37609/akya.1417

GENEL DAĞITIM

Akademisyen Kitabevi A.Ş.

Halk Sokak 5 / A

Yenişehir / Ankara

Tel: 0312 431 16 33

siparis@akademisyen.com

www.akademisyen.com

ÖNSÖZ

Bilgi, günümüz yoğun rekabet ortamında işletmelerin yaşamalarını sürdürme bilmeleri için vazgeçilmez bir faktör haline gelmiştir. Bu yüzden, bilginin işletmeler tarafından edinilmesi, benimsenmesi ve dönüştürülererek kullanılması sürecinin, yani özümseme kapasitesinin, etkin bir şekilde geliştirilmesi büyük önem kazanmıştır. Bu çalışma, işletmelerin özümseme kapasitesinin, işletme performansıyla olan ilişkisini ortaya koymayı amaçlamaktadır. Araştırmada anket tekniği kullanılmıştır. Eskişehir Sanayi Odası'na (ESO) kayıtlı Otomotiv, Metal Eşya İmalat, Gıda ve Tekstil sektörlerinden toplam 598 adet kullanılabilir soru formu elde edilmiştir. Özümseme kapasitesi ve işletme performansına ilişkin literatürde ileri sürülen ilişkileri test etmek için Yapısal Eşitlik Analizi (YEM) kullanılmıştır. Bulgular, özümseme kapasitesinin kendi alt boyutları arasındaki ilişkiye ilişkin literatürü doğrulamıştır. Özümseme kapasitesi en çok işletme performansının finansal boyutunu etkilerken, bu etkiyi ticari ve operasyonel performans boyutları izlemiştir. Özümseme kapasitesi düzeyleri işletmelerin ve yöneticilerin demografik profillerine, faaliyet gösterdikleri sektörre ve büyülüklerine göre farklılıklar göstermektedir. Araştırma sonuçları doğrultusunda işletmelerin özumeseme kapasitelerini arttırmalarına yönelik öneriler sunulmuştur.

İÇİNDEKİLER

Önsöz.....	iii
1. GİRİŞ	1
1.2. Amaç	7
1.3. Önem.....	7
1.4. Varsayımlar	9
1.5. Sınırlılıklar	10
1.6. Tanımlar	10
2. LİTERATÜR	13
2.1. Durumsallık Yaklaşımı.....	13
Örgüt ve Çevre Odaklı Çalışmalar	20
2.2. Örgütsel Öğrenme	27
2.3. Özümseme Kapasitesi	41
Özümseme Kapasitesinin Kavramsalştırılması.....	45
Özümseme Kapasitesinin Boyutları.....	52
Özümseme Kapasitesini Etkileyen Faktörler.....	54
Özümseme Kapasitesi, Çevre ve Strateji	59
Özümseme Kapasitesi ve Örgütsel Öğrenme.....	64
Özümseme Kapasitesi ve Kültür	72
Özümseme Kapasitesi ve Ar-Ge Faaliyetleri	75
Özümseme Kapasitesi ve Teknoloji.....	80
Özümseme Kapasitesi ve İnovasyon	85
Özümseme Kapasitesi ve Ortaklıklar	92
Özümseme Kapasitesi ve İşletme Büyüklüğü	96

<i>Özümseme Kapasitesi ve Sektörel Yapı</i>	102
<i>Özümseme Kapasitesi ve Çalışmalarda Kullanılan Vekiller</i>	105
2.4. Algılanan İşletme Performansı	110
<i>Özümseme Kapasitesi ve Algılanan İşletme Performansı</i>	118
3. YÖNTEM	129
3.1. Araştırma Modeli.....	130
3.2. Araştırmacıların Evreni ve Örneklemi	132
3.3. Veri Toplama Aracının Geliştirilmesi	137
3.3.1. Değişkenlerin belirlenmesi	138
3.3.2. Derinlemesine görüşme	141
3.3.3. Pilot uygulama ve veri toplama aracı son şeklinin verilmesi	144
3.4. Verilerin Toplanması	147
3.5. Veri Analiz Yöntemi.....	149
4. VERİLERİN ANALİZİ.....	153
4.1. Güvenirlik Analizi	153
4.2. Açıklayıcı Faktör Analizi (AFA)	154
4.3. Doğrulayıcı Faktör Analizi (DFA).....	157
4.4. Yapısal Modellerin Test Edilmesi	168
4.5. Özümseme Kapasitesi Düzeyleri ve İşletme Profili	174
<i>Sektörlere ilişkin farklılıklar</i>	175
<i>Bilgi transfer etmek amacıyla kurduğu ortaklara ilişkin farklılıklar</i>	175
<i>Patent sayılarına ilişkin farklılıklar</i>	176
<i>Katıldığı fuar sayısına ilişkin farklılıklar</i>	176
<i>Üretim modeline ilişkin farklılıklar</i>	177
<i>İşletmenin yaşına ilişkin farklılıklar</i>	177
4.6. Özümseme Kapasitesi Düzeyleri ve Yönetici Profili	178
<i>Yöneticinin yaşına ilişkin farklılıklar</i>	178
<i>Yöneticinin eğitim düzeyine ilişkin farklılıklar</i>	178
<i>Yöneticinin mezun olduğu bölüme ilişkin farklılıklar</i>	179
<i>Yöneticinin işletmedeki görevine ilişkin farklılıklar</i>	179

<i>Yöneticinin işletmede bağlı bulunduğu bölüme ilişkin farklılıklar.....</i>	180
<i>Yöneticinin işletmedeki deneyimine ilişkin farklılıklar</i>	180
5. BULGULAR VE YORUM	181
5.1. İşletme Profiline İlişkin Bulgular.....	181
5.2. Katılımcı Profiline İlişkin Bulgular.....	185
5.3. Özümseme Kapasitesinin İşletme Performansına Etkisi	195
<i>Özümseme Kapasitesi.....</i>	195
<i>İşletme Performansı.....</i>	200
5.4. Özümseme Kapasitesini Etkileyen Faktörler	203
<i>Bilgi transfer etmek amacıyla kurulan ortaklar.....</i>	206
<i>Patent sayılarına ilişkin farklılıklar.....</i>	207
<i>Katıldığı fuar sayısı.....</i>	209
<i>Üretim modeli</i>	210
<i>İşletmenin yaşı</i>	211
<i>Yöneticinin yaşı.....</i>	211
<i>Yöneticinin eğitim düzeyi.....</i>	212
<i>Yöneticinin mezun olduğu bölüm.....</i>	214
<i>Yöneticinin işletmedeki görevi.....</i>	215
<i>Yöneticinin işletmede bağlı bulunduğu bölüm</i>	216
<i>Yöneticinin işletmedeki deneyimi.....</i>	217
<i>Özümseme Kapasitesi Düzeyleri ve İşletme Profiline Yönelik Sonuçlar.....</i>	219
EK 1: Araştırmada Kullanılan Soru Formu Örneği	229
EK 2: ESO'nun Üye Listesi*.....	233
EK 3: ESO'nun Sektöre ve Büyüklüğe Göre İşletme Sayıları*	255
EK 4: İstanbul Sanayi Odası'nın Sektör Sınıflaması	256
EK 5: Soru Formunun Uygulandığı ESO'ya Bağlı Üye Listesi	257
EK 6: Özümseme Kapasitesi Ölçeği Kullanım İzni	263
EK 7: Soru Formunda Kullanılan Ölçekler ve İfadeler	264
EK 8: Pilot Uygulamaya İlişkin Analiz Sonuçları.....	266

EK 9: Pilot Uygulamaya İlişkin Analiz Sonuçları (Devam).....	267
EK 10: Güvenirlilik Tablosu.....	268
EK 11: Kovaryans Matrisi (Ölçüm Modeli)	270
Kaynaklar.....	273

Kaynaklar

1. Ahuja, G. ve Katila, R. (2001). Technological acquisitions and the innovation performance of acquiring firms: A longitudinal study, *Strategic Management Journal*, 22 (3), 197-220.
2. Aiken, M. ve Hage, J. (1966). Organizational alienation: A comparative analysis. *American Sociological Review*, 31(4), 497-507.
3. Akal, Z. (1992). *İşletmelerde performans ölçü ve denetimi*. Ankara: MPM.
4. Aldrich, H. ve Herker, D. (1977). Boundary spanning roles and organization structure. *The Academy of Management Review*, 2 (2), 217-230.
5. Alpar, R. (2011). *Uygulamalı çok değişkenli istatistiksel yöntemler*. Ankara: Detay Yayıncılık.
6. Altunışık, R.; Çoşkun, R.; Yıldırım, E. ve Bayraktaroğlu, S. (2007). *Sosyal bilimlerde araştırma yöntemleri SSPS uygulamalı*. (5. baskı) Sakarya: Sakarya Yayıncılık.
7. Amaratunga, D., D. Baldry ve M. Sarshar (2000). Assessment of facilities management performance. *MCB Facilities*, 18(1).
8. Anderson, E. W.; Fornell, C. ve Lehman, D. (1994). Customer satisfaction, market share and profitability: Findings from Sweeden. *Journal of Marketing*, 58 (3), 53-66.
9. Ansett, S. (2005). Boundary spanner: The gatekeeper of innovation in partnerships. *Accountability Forum*, 6, 36-44.
10. Ansoff, H. I. (1965). *Corporate strategy: An analytic approach to business policy for growth and expansion*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
11. Argyris, C. ve Schön, D. (1978). *Organizational learning: A theory of action perspective*. USA: Addison Wesley Publication.
12. Argyris, C. ve Schön, D. A. (1996). *Organizational learning II: Theory, method, and practice*. London, Addison-Wesley.
13. Arora, A. ve Gambardella A. (1990). Complementarity and external linkages: The strategies of the large firms in biotechnology. *Journal of Industrial Economics* 38(4), 361-379.
14. Aydınlı, H. İ. (2005). ÖrgütSEL öğrenme ve oryantasyonları. *Bilgi Dergisi*, 7 (1).
15. Balachandran, R. ve Friar, J. H. (1997), Factors for success in R&D projects and new product innovations. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 44(3), 276-87.
16. Balcı, A. (2006). *Sosyal bilimlerde araştırma yöntem, teknik ve ilkeler*. Ankara: Pegem Yayıncılık.
17. Barkema H. G. ve Vermeulen F. (1998). International expansion through start-up or acquisition: A learning perspective. *Academy of Management Journal*, 41(1), 7-26.
18. Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Ma-*

- nagement, 17 (1), 99-120.
19. Barron, D. J. (1996). *Learning to become a learning organization*. Yayınlanmamış doktora tezi. Canada: University of Alberta.
 20. Basım, H. N.; Şeşen, H. ve Çetin, F. (2009). Öğrenme ve Öğrenen Örgütler. *Örgütlerde Değişim ve Öğrenme*. (Ed. A. K. Varoğlu, ve H. N. Basım, Ankara: Siyasal Kitapevi.
 21. Baştat, H. T. (2010). *Performans prizması*. Ankara: Sistem Yayıncılık
 22. Becker, W. ve Peters, J. (2006). Technological opportunities, absorptive capacities, and innovation. *Universitaet Augsburg, Institute for Economics, Discussion Paper Series 195*, 1-37.
 23. Beckett, R. C. (2008). Utilizing and adaptation of the absorptive capacity concept in a virtual enterprise context. *International Journal of Production Research*, 46 (5), 1243-1252.
 24. Belderbos, R.; Carree M.; Diederend, B.; Lokshin, B. ve Veugelers, R. (2004). Heterogeneity in R&D cooperation strategies. *International Journal of Industrial Organization* 22 (8), 1237-1263.
 25. Bergh, D. D. ve Lim, E. N. (2008). Learning how to restructure: Absorptive capacity and improvisational views of restructuring actions and performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 29 (6), 593-616.
 26. Berman, S. L.; Wicks, A. C.; Kotha, S. ve Jones, T. M. (1999). Does stakeholder orientation matter? The relationship between stakeholder management models and a firm financial performance. *Academy of Management Journal* 42 (5), 488-506.
 27. Bessant, J.; Tsekouras, G. ve Rush, H. (2009). Getting the tail to wag: Developing innovations capability in SMEs. In: *CI Net 2009, 6-8 September*, Brisbane.
 28. Bilim, Sanayi ve Teknoloji Bakanlığı. (2013a). Gıda ve İçecek Sektörü Raporu. Sektörel Raporlar ve Analizler Serisi (2013/1).
 29. Bilim, Sanayi ve Teknoloji Bakanlığı. (2013b). Makine Sektörü Raporu. Sektörel Raporlar ve Analizler Serisi (2013/1).
 30. Bilim, Sanayi ve Teknoloji Bakanlığı. (2013c). Tekstil, Hazırlıyorum, Deri ve Deri Ürünleri Sektörleri Raporu. Sektörel Raporlar ve Analizler Serisi (2013/1).
 31. Bilim, Sanayi ve Teknoloji Bakanlığı. (2013d). Otomotiv Sektörü Raporu. Sektörel Raporlar ve Analizler Serisi (2013/1).
 32. Birkinshaw, J.; Nobel, R. ve Ridderstrale, J. (2002). Knowledge as a contingency variable: do the characteristics of knowledge predict organization structure? *Organization Science* 13 (3), 274-289.
 33. Birley, S. ve Westhead, P. (1990). Growth and performance contrasts between 'types' of small firms. *Strategic Management Journal*, 11 (7), 535-557.
 34. Bourgeois, L. J. (1985). Strategic goals, perceived uncertainty, and economic performance in volatile environments. *Academy of Management Journal*, 28 (3), 548-573.
 35. Bower, G. H. ve Ernest, R. H. (1981). *Theories of Learning*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.

36. Bower, J. ve Christensen, C. (1995). Disruptive technologies: Catching the wave. *Harvard Business Review*, 73 (1), 43-53.
37. Brown, T. A. (2006). *Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research*. New York: The Guilford Press.
38. Burns, T. ve Stalker, G. M. (1961). *The Management of Innovation*. London: Tavistock.
39. Burns, T. ve Stalker, G. M. (1994). *The Management of Innovation*. New York: Oxford University Press.
40. Büyüköztürk, Ş. (2010). *Sosyal bilimler için veri analizi el kitabı*. Ankara. Pegem Akademi
41. Büyüköztürk, Ş.; Çakmak, E. K.; Akgün, Ö. E.; Karadeniz, Ş. ve Demirel, F. (2008). *Bilimsel araştırma yöntemleri*. Ankara: Pegem Akademi.
42. Byrne, B. M. (1998). *Structural equation modeling with LISREL, PRELIS, and SIMPLIS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming*. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associate.
43. Cabrita, M. ve Vaz, J. (2006). Intellectual capital and value creation: Evidence from the portoguese banking industry. *The Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management*, 4 (1), 11-20.
44. Cadiz, D.; Sawyveer, J.E. Griffith, T. L. (2009). Developing and validating field measurement scales for absorptive capacity and experienced community of practice. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 69 (6), 1035-1058.
45. Cameron, K. (1978). Measuring organizational effectiveness in institutions of higher education. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 23 (4), 604-632.
46. Carlsson, S. (2004). Enabling and Enhancing Potential Absorptive Capacity through the use of ICT, *Proceedings of the Fifth European Conference on Organizational Knowledge, Learning and Capabilities*. 1-17.
47. Carton, R. B. ve Hofer, C. W. (2006). *Measuring organizational performance: Metrics for entrepreneurs and strategic management research*, Massachusetts: Edward Elgar Publishing.
48. Cassiman, B. ve Veugelers, R. (2002). Complementarity in the Innovation Strategy: Internal R&D, External Technology Acquisition, and Cooperation in R&D. *The Strategic Management Society Conference 2000' de sunulan bildiri*. Vancouver.
49. Cassiman, B. ve Veugelers, R. (2006). In search of complementarity in innovation strategy: Internal R&D and external knowledge acquisition. *Management Science*, 52 (1), 68-82.
50. Chaudhuri, S. ve Tabrizi, B. (1999). Capturing the real value in high-tech acquisitions. *Harvard Business Review*, 77 (5), 123-130.
51. Chen, T.; Hung, K. ve Tseng, C. (2010). The effects of learning capacity, transparency and relationship quality on inter-organizational learning. *International Journal of Management*, 27 (3), 405-420.
52. Child, J. (1972). Organization structure and strategies of control: A replication of the Aston study. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 17(2), 163-177.

53. Choi, B.; Poon, S. K. ve Davis, J. G. (2008). Effects of knowledge management strategy on organizational performance: A complementary theory-based approach. *The International Journal of Management Science*, 36 (2), 235-251.
54. Christensen, C. (1998). Why great companies lose their way. *Across the Board*, 35(9), 36-41.
55. Clark, K. B. ve Fujimoto, T. (1991). *Product development performance*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
56. Cockburn, I. M., ve Henderson, R. M. (1998). Absorptive capacity, coauthoring behavior, and the organization of research in drug discovery. *The Journal of Industrial Economics*, 46(2), 157-182.
57. Cohen, W. M. ve Levinthal, D. A. (1989). Innovation and learning: The two faces of R&D. *The Economic Journal*, 99 (397), 569-596.
58. Cohen, W. M. ve Levinthal, D. A. (1990). Absorptive capacity: A new perspective on learning and innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35 (1), 128-152.
59. Cole, G. A. (1993). *Management: Theory and practice*. Australia: Pearson Press.
60. Colman, H. L. (2010). The interaction of contributive and absorptive capacities in post-acquisition integration. *Academy of Management Annual Meeting Proceedings*, 1-6.
61. Crossan, M.; Lane, H. W. ve White, R. E. (1999). An organizational learning framework: from intuition to institution. *The Academy of Management Review*, 24(3), 522-538.
62. Çakır, R. ve Yükseltürk, E. (2010). Bilgi toplumu olma yolunda öğrenen organizasyonlar, bilgi yönetimi ve e-öğrenme üzerine teorik bir çözümleme. *Kastamonu Eğitim Dergisi*, 18 (2), 501-512.
63. Çelik, C. ve Karadal, H. (2007). KOBİ'lerin sorunları ve çözüm stratejilerinin algılanan performans üzerine etkileri: Aksaray ve Mersin örneği. *Çukurova Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 16 (2), 119-138.
64. Çokluk, Ö.; Şekercioğlu, G. ve Büyüköztürk, Ş. (2010). *Sosyal bilimler için çok değişkenli istatistik SPSS ve LISREL uygulamaları*. Ankara: Pegem Akademi Yayınları.
65. Daft, R. L. (2003), *Organization Theory and Design*. NewYork: South-Western Publications.
66. Damanpour, F. (1996). Organizational complexity and innovation: Developing and testing multiple contingency, *Management Science*, 42 (5), 693-716.
67. Damanpour, F. ve Evans, W. (1984). Organizational innovation and performance: The problem of organizational lag. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 29 (3), 392-402.
68. Dattalo, P. (2008). *Determining sample size balancing power, precision and practicality*. Oxford: University Press.
69. Davids, M. ve Tai, S. E. T. T. (2009). Absorptive capacity, knowledge circulation and coal cleaning innovation : the Netherlands in the 1930s. *Business History*, Vol. 51(5), 668-69.

70. Dawes, J. (1999). The relationship between subjective and objective company performance measures in market orientation research: further empirical evidence. *Marketing Bulletin* 10, 65–75.
71. Decarolis, D. M. ve Deeds, D. L. (1999). The impact of stocks and flows of organizational knowledge on firm performance: An empirical investigation of the biotechnology industry, *Strategic Management Journal*, 20(10) 953–968.
72. Deeds, D. L. (2001). The role of R&D intensity, technical development and absorptive capacity in creating entrepreneurial wealth in high technology start-ups. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 18 (1), 29-47.
73. Delaney, J.T. ve Huselid, M. (1996). The impact of human resource management practices on perceptions of organizational performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39(4), 949-969.
74. Delmas, M.; Hoffmann, V. H. ve Kuss, M. (2011). Under the tip of the iceberg: Absorptive capacity, environmental strategy, and competitive advantage. *Business & Society*, 50 (1), 116-154.
75. Deng, P. (2010). Absorptive capacity and a failed cross-border M&A. *Management Research Review*, 33 (7), 673-682.
76. Deshpandé, R. ve Farley, J. U. (1998). Measuring Market Orientation: Generalization and synthesis. *Journal of Market Focused Management*, 2 (3), 213-232.
77. Dess, G. G. ve Robinson, R. B., Jr. (1984). Measuring organizational performance in the absence of objective measures: The case of the privately-held firm and conglomerate business unit. *Strategic Management Journal* 5(3): 265–73.
78. Diamantopoulos, A. ve Siguaw, J. (2000). *Introducing LISREL a guide for the uninitiated*. London: Sage Publications.
79. DiBella, A. ve Nevis, E. C. (1998). *How organizations Learn?* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
80. Diehl-Taylor, C. (1997). Charles Perrow and business history: A neo-weberian approach to business bureaucratization. *Business and Economic History*, 26 (1), 138- 158.
81. Dill, W. R. (1958). Environment as an influence on managerial autonomy, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 2(4), 409-443.
82. Dixon, S. E. A. ve Day, M. (2007). Leadership, administrative heritage and absorptive capacity. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 28 (8), 727-748.
83. Dodgson, M. (1993). Technological collaboration in industry: Strategy, policy, and internalization in innovation. London: Routledge.
84. Donaldson, L. (1976). Woodward, technology, organizational structure and performance- a critique of the universal generalization. *Journal of management Studies*, 13(3), 255-273.
85. Doz, Y. L. Olk, P. M. ve Ring, P. S. (2000). Formation processes of R&D consortia: Which path to take? Where does it lead? *Strategic Management Journal*, 21 (3), 239-266.

86. Drucker, P. F. (1954). *The Practice of Management*, New York: Harper & Row.
87. Drucker, P. F. (1995). Değişim çağının yönetimi. (Çev: Z. Dicleli) İstanbul: Henkel.
88. Drucker, P. F. (2006). *Klasik Drucker*, AnKara: Bahçeşehir Üniversitesi Yayınları.
89. Duncan, R. B. (1972). Characteristics of organizational environments and perceived environmental uncertainty. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 17 (3), 313-327.
90. Dyer, J. H. ve Singh, H. (1998). The relational view: Cooperative strategy and sources of interorganizational competitive advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(4): 660-679.
91. Easterby-Smith, M.; Graça, M.; Antonacopoulou, E. ve Ferdinand, J. (2008). Absorptive capacity: A process perspective. *Management Learning*, 39 (5), 483–501.
92. Elbashir, M. Z.; Collier, P. A. ve Sutton, S. G. (2011). The role of organizational absorptive capacity in strategic use of business intelligence to support integrated management control systems. *The Accounting Review*, 86 (1), 155-184.
93. Ellis, H. C. (1965). *The Transfer of Learning*. New York: MacMillan.
94. Emery, F. E. ve Trist, E. (1965). The casual texture of organizational environments. *Human Relations*, 18 (1), 21-32.
95. Eraslan, İ. H., Bakan, İ. ve Kuyucu, A. D. H. (2008). Türk tekstil ve hazır giyim sektörünün uluslararası rekabetçilik düzeyinin analizi. İstanbul Ticaret Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 7 (13), 265-300.
96. Erdoğan, İ. (1998). *SPSS kullanım örnekleriyle araştırma dizaynı ve istatistik yöntemleri*. Ankara: Emel Matbaası.
97. Erdoğan, İ. (2003). *Pozitivist metodoloji, bilimsel araştırma tasarımları istatistiksel yöntemler analiz ve yorum*. Ankara: Erk Yayınları.
98. Erkuş, A. (2005). *Bilimsel araştırma sarmalı*. Ankara: Seçkin Yayınları.
99. ESO (2013). *Eskişehir Sanayi Odası*. 20.08.2013 tarihinde "<http://www.eso.org.tr/>" adresinden alınmıştır.
100. European Commissions (2005). *The new SME defination, userguide and model decleration*. Enterprise and Industry Publications.
101. Ewins, A. (1993). Organizational learning. *Yayınlanmamış Çalışma*, San Francisco: Saybrook Institute.
102. Expósito-Langa, M.; Molina-Morales, F. X. ve Capó-Vicedo, J. (2011). New product development and absorptive capacity in industrial districts: a multidimensional approach. *Regional Studies*, 45(3), 319-331.
103. Fabrizio, K. R. (2004). Absorptive Capacity and Innovation: Evidence from Pharmaceutical and Biotechnology Firms. *Working paper, UC-Berkeley*, 1-51.
104. Field, A. (2005). *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS* (İkinci Baskı). London: Sage Publications.
105. Fiol, C. M. (1996). Squeezing harder doesn't always work: Continuing the search for consistency in innovation research. *Academy of Management Review*, 21(4): 1012-1021.

106. Flatten, T. C.; Engelen, A.; Zahra, S. A. ve Brettel, M. (2011). A measure of absorptive capacity: Scale development and validation. *European Management Journal*, 29 (2), 98-116.
107. Fosfuri, A. ve Tribó, J.A. (2006). Exploring the antecedents of potential absorptive capacity and its impact on innovation performance. *Omega*, 36 (2), 173-187.
108. Fraj-Andres, E.; Martinez-Salinas, E. ve Matute-Vallejo, J. (2009). A multidimensional approach to the influence of environmental marketing and orientation on the firm's organizational performance, *Journal of Business Ethics*, 88(2), 263-286.
109. Franco, C.; Marzucci, A. ve Montresor, S. (2011). The "potential" face of absorptive capacity. An empirical investigation for an area of 3 european countries. *Orkestra Working Paper Series in Territorial Competitiveness Number 2011-R08*. 1-32.
110. Franganito, R. M. F. (2010). An approach to absorptive capacity based on the Portuguese textile industry, Proceedings of the European Conference on Knowledge Management 2010, Portugal. 414-425.
111. Freeman, E. R. (1984). Strategic Management-A Stakeholder Approach. London: Pitman.
112. Gambardella, A. (1992). Competitive advantages from in-house scientific research: The US pharmaceutical industry in the 1980s. *Research Policy* (21) 5, 391-407.
113. Gann, D. (2001). Putting academic ideas into practice: Technological progress and the absorptive capacity of construction organizations. *Construction Management and Economics*, 19 (3), 321-330.
114. Gao, S.; Xu, K. ve Yang, J. (2008). Managerial ties, absorptive capacity, and innovation. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 25 (3), 395-412.
115. García-Morales, V. J.; Ruiz-Moreno, A. ve Llorens-Montes, F. J. (2007). Effects of technology absorptive capacity and technology proactivity on organizational learning, innovation and performance: an empirical examination Technology. *Analysis & Strategic Management*, 19 (4), 527-558.
116. Garvin, D. A. (1993). Building a Learning Organization. *Harvard Business Review*, 71(4), 79-91.
117. Geringer, J. M. ve Hebert, L. (1991). Measuring performance of international joint ventures. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 22 (2), 249-263.
118. Ghalayini, A. M. ve Noble, J. S. (1996). The Changing Basis of Performance Measurement. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 11 (8), 63-80.
119. Godkin, L. (2010). The zone of inertia: absorptive capacity and organizational change. *The Learning Organization*, 17 (3), 196-207.
120. Golden, B. R. (1992). SBU strategy and performance: The moderating effects of the corporate- SBU relationship. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13 (3), 145-58.
121. Gorsuch, R. L. (1974). *Factor analysis*. Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders Company.
122. Granstrand O. ve Sjolander S. (1990). The acquisition of technology and small firms by large firms. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 13(3), 367-386.

123. Gray, C. (2006). Absorptive capacity, knowledge management and innovation in entrepreneurial small firms. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, 12 (6), 345-360.
124. Greve, G.; Engelen, A. ve Brettel, M. (2009). An integrative view on absorptive capacity and national culture. *International Journal of Business Studies*, 17 (1), 19-43.
125. Griffith, R.; Redding, S. ve Van Reenen, S. (2000). Mapping the two faces of R&D: productivity growth in a panel of OECD industries. *CEP Discussion Papers dp0458, Centre for Economic Performance, LSE*, 1-79.
126. Grinyer, P. H. ve Yasai-Ardekani, M. (1980). Dimensions of Organizational Structure: A Critical Replication. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 23(3), 405-421.
127. Gulati, R.; Nohria, N. ve Zaheer, A. (2000). Strategic networks. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21 (3), 203- 215.
128. Gupta, A. K. ve Govindarajan, V. (2000). Knowledge flows within multinational corporations. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21 (4), 473-496.
129. Hair, J. F. Jr.; Black, W. C.; Babin, R. E. ve Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis*. (7. Baskı). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
130. Hanris, D. M. ve DeSimone, R. L. (1994), *Human Resource Development*. Forth Worth, TX: Harcourt Brace.
131. Hargadon, A., B.; Davis G. ve Weick, K. E. (2003). oOrganizations in action: Societal science bases of administrative theory by Dames D. Thompson. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 48 (3), 498-509.
132. Harris, R. ve Li, Q. C. (2009). Exporting, R&D, and absorptive capacity in UK establishments. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 61 (1) (2009), 74-103.
133. Harvey, G.; Skelcher, C.; Spencer, E.; Jas, P. ve Walshe K. (2010). Absorptive Capacity in a non-market enviironment - A knowledge-based approach to analysing the performance of sector organizations. *Public Management Review*, 12 (1), 77-97.
134. Henard, D. H. ve Szymanski, D. M. (2001), Why some new products are more successful than others. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 38 (3), 362-75.
135. Henderson, R. ve Cuckburn, I. (1996). Scale, scope and spillovers: The determinants of research productivity in drug discovery. *Rand Journal Economics*, 27 (1), 32-59.
136. Hickson, D. J.; Pugh, D. S. ve Pheysey, D. C. (1969). Operations technology and organizational structure: An Empirical Reappraisal. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 14 (3), 378-397.
137. Hinings, C. R. ve Lee, G. L. (1971). Dimensions of organization structure and their context: a replication. *Sociology*, 5(1), 83-93.
138. Hodge, B. J.; Anthony, W.P. ve Gales, L. M. (2002). *Orginaztion theory: A strategic approach* (Six edition). NewJersey: Prantice Hall, Pearson Education.
139. Hofer, C. W. (1975). Toward a contingency theory of business strategy. *Academy of Management Journal*, 18 (4), 784-810.
140. Hoque, Z. (2005). Linking enviromental uncertainly to non-financial performance measures and performace: A research note. *The British Accounting Review*, 37 (4) 471-481.

141. Hoyle, R. H. (1995). The structural equation modelling approach: Basic concepts and fundamental issues. *Structural equation modelling concepts, issues and applications* (Ed: R. H. Hoyle). London: Sage Publications, ss.1-16.
142. Hsu, I.C. (2008). Knowledge sharing practices as a facilitating factor for improving organizational performance through human capital: A preliminary test. *Expert Systems With Applications*, 35 (3),1316- 1326.
143. http://www.canaktan.org/yeni-trendler/bilgi-toplumu/bilgi_toplumu-ozellik.htm (Erişim tarihi: 10.05. 2012).
144. http://www.google.com.tr/books?hl=tr&lr=&id=hXroN8btsN8C&oi=fnd&pg=PR11&ots=4bukfIP1SA&sig=Nlb2jrveQPWLsTrCUT958Fjj9Qs&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=tavistock&f=false (Erişim tarihi: 23.09. 2012).
145. Hu, L.T. ve Bentler, P.M. (1995). Evaluating model fit. *Structural equation modeling: concepts, issues and applications*. (Ed: R. D. Hoyle). Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications, ss. 76-99.
146. Huang, C. ve Hsueh, S. (2007). A study on the relationship between intellectual capital and business performance in the engineering consulting industry: A path analysis. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, 13 (4), 265-271.
147. Huang, F. ve Rice, J. (2009). The role of absorptive capacity in facilitating “open innovation” outcomes: A study of Australian smes in the manufacturing sector. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 13 (2), 201-220.
148. Huang, T-C. (2001). The effects of linkage between business and human resource management strategies. *Personnel Review*, 30 (2), 132- 151.
149. Huang, Y. C. ve Shih, H-C. (2011). A new mode of learning organization. *International Journal of Manpower*, 32 (5/6), 623- 644.
150. Huber, G. (1991), Organizational Learning: The Contributing Processes and Literature. *Organizational Science*, 2 (1), 88-115.
151. Huber, G. P. (2006). Designing firms for knowledge acquisition and absorptive capacity, information and organization. *Design Series*, 6 (4), 219-242.
152. Hurmelinna, P. ve Puumalainen, K. (2005). The dynamics of appropriability regimes.
153. Copenhagen: DRUID Tenth Anniversary Summer Conference sunulmuş bildiri.
154. Hurmelinna-Laukkanen, P. ve Blomqvist, K. (2007). Fostering R&D collaboration-The interplay of trust, appropriability and absorptive capacity. *IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology*, 243, 15-22.
155. Hussinger, K. (2010). Absorptive capacity and post-acquisition inventor productivity. *Center for European Economic Research*, 10 (66), 1-27.
156. Hyvönen, J. (2007). Strategy, performance measurement techniques and information technology of the firm and their links to organizational performance. *Management Accounting Research*, 18 (3), 343-366.
157. Inkpen, A. C. ve Crossan, M. M. (1995). Believing is seeing: Joint ventures and organizational learning. *Journal of Management Studies*, 32 (5), 595-618.

158. Inkpen, A.C., ve Dinur, A. (1998). Knowledge management processes and international joint ventures. *Organization Science*, 9 (4), 454-468.
159. Inkson, J. H. K.; Pugh, D. S. ve Hickson, D. J. (1970). Organization context and structure: An abbreviated replication. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 15(3), 318-329.
160. İslamoğlu, A. H. (2009). *Sosyal bilimlerde araştırma yöntemleri*. İstanbul: Beta Basın Yayımları.
161. İstanbul İhracatçı Birlikleri: <http://www.iib.org.tr/tr/iib-gundem-turk-ihracatcisi-bakan-esliginde-ism-2013te-.html>. (Erişim Tarihi: 21.10.2013).
162. İstanbul Sanayi Odası Dergisi (2010). Türkiye'nin En Büyük 500 Sanayi İşletmesi. Özel Sayı.
163. James, C. R. (2003). Designing Learning Organizations. *Organizational Dynamics*, 32 (1), 46-61.
164. Jansen, J. J. P.; Van Den Bosch, F. A. J. ve Volberda H. W. (2005). Managing Potential and Realized Absorptive Capacity: How Do Organizational Antecedents Matter? *The Academy of Management Journal*, 48 (6), 999-1015.
165. Jaworski, B. J. Kohli, A. K. (1993). Market orientation: Antecedents and consequences. *Journal of Marketing* 57 (3), 53–70.
166. Jones, G. K.; Lanctot Jr. A. ve Teegen, H. J. (2001). Determinants and performance impacts of external technology acquisition. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 16 (3), 255-283.
167. Jones, O. (2006). Developing Absorptive Capacity in Mature Organizations - The Change Agent's Role. *Management Learning*, 37 (3), 355–376.
168. Jones, O. and Craven, M. (2001). Expanding capabilities in mature manufacturing firm: Absorptive capacity and the TCS. *International Small Business Journal*, 19 (3), 39-55.
169. Jöreskog, K. ve Sörbom, D. (1993). *LISREL 8: Structural equation modeling with the SIMPLIS Command Language*. Lincolnwood: Scientific Software International Inc.
170. Kamien, M. I. ve Zang, I. (2000). Meet me halfway: Research joint ventures and absorptive capacity. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 18 (7), 995-1012.
171. Kaplan, R. ve Norton, D. (1996). *The balanced scorecard*. Harvard Business Press.
172. Karalar, R. (1998). *İşletme temel bilgiler işlevler*. (7. Baskı) Eskişehir: Anadolu Üniversitesi Basım Evi.
173. Kaynak, E. ve Kara, A. (2004). Market orientation and organizational performance: A comparison of industrial versus consumer companies in mainland China using market orientation scale. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 33 (8), 743-753.
174. Kedia, B. L. ve Bhagat, R. S. (1988). Cultural constraints on transfer of technology across nations: Implications for research in international and comparative management. *Academy of Management Review*, 13(4), 559-571.
175. Keller, R. T. ve Holland, W. E. (1978). Individual characteristics of innovativeness and communication in research and development organizations. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 63 (6), 759-762.

176. Khandwalla, P. N. (1977). Some top management styles, their context and performance. *Organization & Administrative Sciences*, 7(4), 21-45.
177. Khanna, T.; Gulati, R. ve Nohria, N. (1998). The dynamics of learning alliances: Competition, cooperation, and relative scope. *Strategic Management Journal*, 19 (3), 193- 210.
178. Khoja, F. ve Maranville, S. (2010). How Do Firms Nurture Absorptive Capacity? *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 22 (2), 262-278.
179. Kim, L. (1997a). The dynamics of Samsung's technological learning in semiconductors. *California Management Review*, 39 (3), 86-100.
180. Kim, L. (1997b). *From imitation to innovation: The dynamics of Korea's technological learning*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
181. Kim, L. (1998). Crisis construction and organizational learning: Capability building in catching-up at Hyundai Motor. *Organization Science*, 9 (4), 506-521.
182. Kleinknecht, A. ve Reijnen, J. O. N. (1992). Why do firms cooperate on R&D? An empirical study. *Research Policy*, 21 (4), 347-360.
183. Kline, R. B. (2011). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling* (3. baskı). The Guilford Press: New York.
184. Knott, A. M. (2008). R&D>Returns causality: Absorptive capacity or organizational IQ. *Management Science*, 54(12), 2054-2067.
185. Knudsen, H. K. ve Roman, P. M. (2004). Modeling the use of innovations in private treatment organizations: The role of absorptive capacity. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 26 (1), 51-59.
186. Koch, M.J. ve McGrath, R.G. (1996). Improving labor product Minbaevaivity: human resource management policies do matter. *Strategic Management Journal*, 17(5): 335-354.
187. Koç, U. (2009). ÖrgütSEL öğrenme: Tanımı, yakın terimler arasındaki kavramsal ayırmalar ve davranışsal yaklaşımı, *Afyon Kocatepe Üniversitesi İ.I.B.F. Dergisi*, 11(1), 151-165.
188. Koçel, T. (2010). *İşletme Yöneticiliği* (12. Baskı). İstanbul: Beta yayın.
189. Kotabe, M.; Jiang, C. X. ve Murray, J. Y. (2011). Managerial ties, knowledge acquisition, realized absorptive capacity and new product market performance of emerging multinational companies: A case of China. *Journal of World Business*, 46 (2), 166-176.
190. Koza, M. P. ve Lewin, A. Y. (1998). The Co-evolution of Strategic Alliances. *Organization Science*, 9 (3), 255-264.
191. Kreitner, R. (1983). *Management*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.
192. Kutlu, E. (2004). *İktisadi Kalkınma ve Büyüme* (Editör). Eskişehir: Anadolu Üniversitesi, AÖF Yayınları.
193. Lane, J. P. ve Lubatkin, M. (1998). Relative absorptive capacity and interorganizational learning. *Strategic Management Journal*, 19 (5), 461-477.

194. Lane, J. P.; Salk, J.E. ve Lyles, A. M. (2001). Absorptive Capacity, learning, and performance in international joint ventures. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22 (12), 1139-1161.
195. Lane, P.; Koka, B., ve Pathak, S. (2006). The reification of absorptive capacity: A critical review and rejuvenation of the construct. *Academy of Management Review*, 31(4), 833-863.
196. Laursen, K. ve Salter, A. (2006). Open for innovation: the role of openness in explaining innovation performance among U.K. manufacturing firms. *Strategic Management Journal*, 27 (2), 131–150.
197. Law, C. ve Ngai, E. (2008). An Empirical Study of the Effects of Knowledge Sharing and Learning Behaviours on Firm Performance. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 34 (4), 2342-2349.
198. Lawrence, P. R. ve Lorsch, J. W. (1967a). *Organizationan and environment, managing differentiation and integration*. Boston: Graduate School of Business Administration, Harvard University.
199. Lawrence, P. R. ve Lorsch, J. W. (1967b). Differentiation and integration in complex organizations, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 12 (1), 1-47.
200. Lee, S.C. Liang, H. ve Liu, C. Y. (2010). The effects of absorptive capacity, knowledge sourcing strategy, and alliance forms on firm performance. *The Service Industries Journal*, 30(14), 2421-2440.
201. Lee, W. (2010). The role of absorptive capacity in cross-national investment: Real option view. *Review of Business Research*, 9(3), 104-112.
202. Leifer R. ve Huber G. P. (1977). Relations among perceived environmental uncertainty, organization structure, and boundary-spanning behavior. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 22(2), 235-247.
203. Lenox, M. ve King, A. (2004). Prospects for developing absorptive capacity through internal information provision. *Strategic Management Journal*, 25 (4), 331-345.
204. Levine, S. ve White P. E. (1961). Exchange as a conceptual framework for the study of interorganizational relationships. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 5(4), 583-601.
205. Levinson, N.S. ve Asahi, M. (1995). Cross-national alliances and interorganizational learning. *Organizational Dynamics* 24 (2), 50–63.
206. Li, S.; Nathan, B.; Nathan, T. S. ve Rao, S. S. (2006). The Impact of Supply Chain Management Practices on Competitive Advange and Organizational Performance. *The International Journal of Managment Science*, 34 (2), 107-124.
207. Li, Y. H.; Huang, J. W. ve Tsai, M. T. (2009). Entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance: The role of knowledge creation process. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 38(4), 440-449.
208. Liao S.; Fei, W-C. ve Chen, C-C. (2007). Knowledge sharing, absorptive capacity, and innovation capability: an empirical study of Taiwan's knowledge-intensive industries. *Journal of Information Science*, 33 (3), 340-359.

209. Liao, J.; Welsch, H. ve Stoica, H. (2003). Organizational Absorptive capacity and responsiveness: An empirical investigation of growth-oriented SMEs. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 28 (1), 63-86.
210. Liao, S.; Wu, C.; Hu, D. ve Tsuei, G. A. (2009). Knowledge acquisition, absorptive capacity, and innovation capability: An empirical study of Taiwan's knowledge-intensive industries. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 41, 160-167.
211. Lichtenhaler, U. (2009). Absorptive capacity, environmental turbulence, and the complementarity of organizational learning processes. *Academy of Management Journal*, 52 (4), 822-846.
212. Lim, K. (2009). The many faces of absorptive capacity: Spillovers of copper interconnect technology for semiconductor chips. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 18 (6), 1249-1284.
213. Lin, C.; Tan, B. ve Chang, S. (2002). The critical factors for technology absorptive capacity. *Industrial Management Data Systems*, 102 (6), 300-308.
214. Liu, X. ve White, S. (1997). The relative contributions of foreign technology and domestic inputs to innovation in Chinese manufacturing industries' *Technovation*, 17 (3), 119-125.
215. Lofstrom, S. M. (2000). Absorptive capacity in strategic alliances: Investigating the effects of individuals' social and human capital on inter-firm learning. *Keystone, Colorado: Paper Organization Science Winter Conference'da sunulmuş bildiri*.
216. Lun, Y. H. V. ve Quaddus, M. A. (2011). Firm size and performance: A study on the use of electronic commerce by container transport operators in Hong Kong. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38 (6), 7227-7234.
217. Lyles, M. A. ve Salk, J. E. (1996). Knowledge acquisition from foreign parents in international joint ventures: An empirical examination in the Hungarian context. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 27 (5), 877-903.
218. Lyles, M. A. ve Schwenk, C. R. (1992). Top management, strategy and organizational knowledge structures. *Journal of Management Studies*, 29 (2), 155-174.
219. Macinati, M.S. (2008). The Relationship Between Quality Management Systems and Organizational Performance in Italian National Health Service. *Health Policy*, 85 (2), 228-241.
220. Mangematin V. ve Nesta L. (1999). What kind of knowledge can a firm absorb? *International Journal of Technology Management* 37 (3-4), 149-172.
221. Martin, G. ve Reddington, M. (2009). Reconceptualising absorptive capacity to explain the e-enablement of the HR function (e-HR) in organizations. *Employee Relations*, 31(5), 515-537.
222. McAdam, R.; McAdam, M. ve Brown, V. (2009). Proof of concept processes in UK university technology transfer: An absorptive capacity perspective. *R&D Management*, 39(2), 192-210.
223. McGill, M. E. ve Slocum, W. J. (1993). Unlearning the organization. *Organizational Dynamics*, 22(2), 67-74.

224. McGrath, R. G.; MacMillan, I. C. ve Venkataraman, S. (1995). Defining and developing competence: A strategic process paradigm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 16(4), 251- 275.
225. Mercader, J., Cerdan, A.L. ve Sanchez, R.S. (2006). Information technology and learning: Their relationship and impact on organizational performance in small business. *International Journal of Informational Management*, 26 (1), 16-29.
226. Miles, R. E. and Snow, C.C. (1978). *Organizational Strategy, Structure, and Process*. NewYork: McGraw-Hill.
227. Miles, R. H. ve Perreault Jr, W. D. (1976). Organizational role conflict: Its antecedents and consequences. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 17 (1), 19-44.
228. Minbaeva, D.; Pedersen, T.; Björkman, I.; Fey, C. F. ve Park, H. J. (2003). MNC knowledge transfer, subsidiary absorptive capacity, and HRM. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 34 (6), 586-599.
229. Mintzberg, H. (1979). *The Structuirinig ogf Organizations*, NewJersey: Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs.
230. Mintzberg, H. ve McHugh, A. (1985), Strategy formulation in an adhocracy. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 30 (2), 160-197.
231. Mowery, D. C.; Oxley, J. E. ve Silverman, B. S. (1996). Strategic alliances and inter-firm knowledge transfer. *Strategic Management Journal* 17 (Winter Special Issue), 77-91.
232. Mueller, R. O. (1996). *Basic principles of structural equation modeling, An introduction to LISREL and EQS*. Springer-Verlag New York Inc.: New York.
233. Muscio, A. (2007). The impact of absorptive capacity on smes' collaboration. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 16 (8), 653-668.
234. Nadolska, A. ve Barkema, H. (2007). Learning to internationalize: the pace and success of foreign acquisitions. *Journal of International Business Studies*,38(7), 1170-1186.
235. Nakip, M. (2006). *Pazarlama araştırmaları teknikler ve (SPSS) uygulamalar*. Ankara: Seçkin Yayıncılık.
236. Naldi, L. (2010). Does fortune favor the prepared or the brave small firm?: Exploring how absorptive capacity affects the growth of international small firms. *Academy of Management Best Paper Proceedings*.
237. Nemanich, L. A.; Keller, R. T; Vera, D. ve Chin, W. W. (2010). Absorptive capacity in R&D project teams: A conceptualization and empirical test. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 57 (4), 674-687.
238. Ng, D. (2011). Thinking Outside the Box: An Absorptive Capacity Approach to the Product Development Process. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, 14 (3), 67-86.
239. Nicholls-Nixon, C. (1993). Absorptive capacity and technological sourcing: Implications for the responsiveness of established firms. *yayınlanmamış doktora tezi*, Indiana: Purdue University.

240. Nieto, M. ve Quevedo, P. (2005). Absorptive capacity, technological opportunity, knowledge spillovers, and innovative effort. *Technovation*, 25 (10), 1141-1157.
241. Niven, P. (2006). Balanced scorecard step-by-step. Hoboken NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
242. Nonaka, I. (1994). A dynamic theory of organizational knowledge creation. *Organization Science*, 5 (1), 14-37.
243. Oltra, M. J. ve Flor, M. (2003). The impact of technological opportunities and innovative capabilities on firms' output innovation. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 12 (3), 137-144.
244. O'Reilly, C. ve Chatman, J. (1994). Working harder and smarter: A longitudinal study of early career success. *Administrative Sciences Quarterly*, 39 (4): 603-627.
245. Öğüt, A. (2001). *Bilgi Çağında Yönetim*. Ankara: Detay Yayıncılık.
246. Özdamar, K. (2004). *Paket programlar ile istatistiksel veri analizi (Çok değişkenli analizler)* 2 (5. Baskı). Eskişehir: Kaan Kitabevi.
247. Özer, G. ve Akça, Y. (2007), Yenilikçi özelliklerin, kurumsal kaynak planlaması uygulama başarısına ve algılanan organizasyonel performans üzerine etkisi. *Zonguldak Karaelmas Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 3 (5), 53-70.
248. Özgen, H. ve Türk, M. (1996). Öğrenen Organizasyon Sistemi ve Bir Öğrenen Organizasyon Modeli, *Amme İdaresi Dergisi*, 29 (2), 71-83.
249. Özkalp, E. ve Kirel, Ç. (2005). *Örgütsel Davranış*. Eskaşehir: Etam AŞ.
250. Park, B. I. (2011). Differences in knowledge acquisition mechanisms between IJVs with Western vs. Japanese parents: focus on factors comprising absorptive capacity. *Management Decisions*. (49) 3, 1-42.
251. Park, G. ve Kang, J. (2009). The effects of teacher firms' characteristics and student firms' absorptive capacity on firm performance in technology alliances. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 13 (3), 393-409.
252. Pearce, J.A.; Robbins, D.K.; Robinson, R.B. (1987). The impact of grand strategy and planning formality on financial performance. *Strategic Management Journal* 8 (3), 125-134.
253. Pennings, J. M. (1975). The relevance of the structural-contingency model for organizational effectiveness. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 20(3), 393-410.
254. Pennings, J. M. ve Harianto, F. (1992a). The diffusion of technological innovation in the commercial banking industry, *Strategic Management Journal*, 13 (1), 29-46.
255. Pennings, J. M. ve Harianto, F. (1992b). Technological networking and innovation implementation. *Organization Science*, 3 (3), 356-382.
256. Perrow, C. (1967). A Framework for the Comparative Analysis of Organizations. *American Sociological Review*, 32 (2), 194-208.
257. Petraite, M. (2010). Knowledge absorption for innovation in new technology based firms: Lithuanian case. *Proceedings of the European Conference on Knowledge Management 2010*, 767-773.
258. Pfeffer, J. ve Leblebici, H. (1973). The effect of competition on some dimensions of organizational structure. *Social Forces*, 53(2), 268-279.

259. Polanyi, M. (1966), *The Tacit Dimension*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
260. Poldahl, A. (2011). The two faces of R&D: does firm absorptive capacity matter? *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*, 12 (2), 221-237.
261. Pugh, D. S. ve Hickson, D. J. (1972). Causal inference and the Aston studies, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 17 (2), 273-276.
262. Pugh, D. S.; Hickson, D. J.; Hinings, C. R. ve Turner, C. (1968). Dimensions of organization structure. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 13 (1), 65-105.
263. Pugh, D. S.; Hickson, D. J.; Hinings, C. R. ve Turner, C. (1969). The context of organization structures. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 14 (1), 91-114.
264. Punch, K. F. (2005). *Sosyal araştırmalara giriş niceł ve nitel yaklaşımalar* (Çev: D. Bayrak; H. B. Arslan ve Z. Akyüz). Ankara: Siyasal Kitapevi.
265. Ramalingam, A. (2006). *Tools for knowledge and learning: A guide for development and humanitarian organisations*. London: Research and Policy in Development.
266. Rebolledo, C.; Halley, A. ve Nagati, H. (2009). The effects of absorptive capacity on operational performance within the context of customer-supplier relationships. *Supply Chain Forum an International Journal*, 10 (2), 52-62.
267. Resmi Gazete (2005). *Küçük ve Orta Büyüklükteki İşletmelerin Tanımı, Nitelikleri ve Sınıflandırılması Hakkında Yönetmelik*. T.C. Resmi Gazete, 25997, 18.11.2005.
268. Rigdon, E. E. (1998). Structural equation modeling. (ed: G. Marcoulides). *Modern methods for business research*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, ss.251-294.
269. RİSK Mühendislik Eğitim Danışmanlık Hizmetleri Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş. (2010). Halkbank Kurumsal Sosyal Sorumluluk Projesi Tekstil ve Hazır Giyim Sektör Raporu.
270. Robinson, R. ve Pearce, J. (1988). Planned patterns of behaviour and their relationship to business unit performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 9 (1), 43-60.
271. Rocha, F. (1997). Inter-firm technological cooperation: Effects of absorptive capacity, firm-size and specialization. *Discussion paper series No. 9707*, United Nations University, Institute for New Technology, Maastricht, Netherlands.
272. Rocha, F. (1999). Inter-firm technological cooperation: Effects of absorptive capacity, firm-size and specialization. *Economies of innovation and new Technology*, 8 (3), 253-271.
273. Rodríguez-Castellanos, A.; Hagemeister, M. ve Ranguelov, S. (2010). Absorptive capacity for R&D: The identification of different firm profiles. *European Planning Studies*, 18 (8), 1267-1283.
274. Rose, R.C., Kumar, N. ve Pak, O. G. (2009). The effect of organizational learning on organizational commitment, job satisfaction and work performance, *The Journal of Applied Business Research*, 25 (6), 55-65.
275. Rothaermel, F. T. ve Thursby, M. (2005). Incubator firm failure or graduation? The role of university linkages. *Research Policy* 34 (7), 1076-1090.
276. Rothwell, R. ve Dodgson, M. (1991). External linkages and innovation in small and medium-sized enterprises. *R&D Management*, 21 (2), 125-137.

277. Rudez, H. N. ve Mihalic, T. (2007). Intellectual Capital in the hotel industry: A case study from Slovenia, *Hospitality Management*, 26(1), 188-199.
278. Salner, M. (1999). Preparing for the learning organization. *Journal of Management Education*, 23 (5), 489-508.
279. Sanchez, R. (1997). Preparing for an uncertain future: Managing Organizations for strategic flexibility. *International Studies of Management and Organizations*, 27 (2), 71-94.
280. Saruhan, S. C. (2012). Yönetim düşüncesinin evrimi. *Yönetimde Güncel Yaklaşım-*lar (Ed: S. Besler, Z. Tonus). Eskişehir: Anadolu Üniversitesi Açıköğretim Yayınları, ss.2-45.
281. Schmidt, T. (2010). Absorptive capacity-One size fits all? A firm-level analysis of absorptive capacity for different kinds of knowledge. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, (31) 1, 1-18.
282. Schumacker, R. E. ve Lomax, R. G. (2004). *A beginner's guide to structural equation modeling* (2. baskı). Mawah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
283. Senge, P. M. (1993), *Beşinci Disiplin*. (2. Baskı) (Çev: A. İldeniz ve A. Doğukan), İstanbul: Yapı Kredi Yayıncıları.
284. Sharp, B. M. (2009). Multilevel Absorptive Capacity and Radical Innovation. *Yayınlanmamış doktora tezi*. USA: Purdue University.
285. Siachou, E. ve Ioannidis, A. (2010). Knowledge transfer in strategic alliances: Moderating effects of limited absorptive capacity and powerful relationships on business model innovation performance, *Proceedings of the European Conference on Knowledge Management*, 933-943.
286. Sin, L.; Tse, A.; Yau, O.; Chow, R. ve Lee, J. (2005). Market orientation, relationship marketing orientation, and business performance: The moderating effects of economic ideology and industry type. *Journal of International Marketing*, 13 (1), 36 – 57.
287. Skerlavaj, M.; Stemberger, M.I.; Skrinjar, R. ve Dimovski, V. (2007). Organizational learning culture: The missing link between business process change and organizational performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 106 (2), 346-367.
288. Slater, S. ve Narver, J. (1994). Does competitive environment moderate the market orientation performance relationship? *Journal of Marketing*, 58 (1), 46-55.
289. Sorenson, J.B., ve Stuart, T.E. (2000). Aging, obsolescence, and organisational innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 45(1), 81–112.
290. Spender, J-C. (1996). Making knowledge the basis of a dynamic theory of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 17 (winter special issue), 45-62.
291. Srivastava, P. ve Frankwick, G. L. (2011). Environment, management attitude, and organizational learning in alliances. *Management Decision*, 49 (1), 156-166.
292. Stock, N. G.; Greis, P. N. ve Fischer, A. W. (2001). Absorptive Capacity and new product development. *Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 12 (1), 77-91.
293. Szulanski, G. (1996). Exploring internal stickiness: Impediments to the transfer of best practice within the firm, *Strategic Management Journal*, 17 (Special Issue: Knowledge and the Firm), 27-43.

294. Şimşek, Ö. F. (2007). *Yapısal eşitlik modellemesine giriş temel ilkeler ve LISREL uyumlamları*. Ekinoks Yayınları: Ankara.
295. Tabachnick, B. G. ve Fidel, L. S. (2007). *Using multivariate statistics*. Boston: Pearson Education. Inc.
296. Tegarden, L. F.; Hatfield, D. E. ve Echols, A. E. (1999). Doomed from the start: What is the value of selecting a future dominant design? *Strategic Management Journal*, 20 (6), 495-518.
297. Terreberry, S. (1968). The Evolution of Organizational Environments. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 12 (4), 590-613.
298. Thérin, F. (2007). Absorptive capacity: An empirical test of Zahra and George's contribution in small business settings. *Gestion 2000*, n°4. 17-29.
299. Thomas, H. (2007). Business school strategy and metrics for success. *Journal of Management Development*, 26 (1), 33-42.
300. Thompson, B. (2004). *Exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis understanding concepts and applications*. Washington: American Psychological Association.
301. Thompson, J. D. (1967). *Organizations in Action*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
302. Thompson, J. D. (2004). *Organizations in Action: Social science bases of administrative theory (With a new preface by Mayer N. Zald and a new introduction by W. Richard Scott ed.)*. New Brunswick, New Jersey: Transaction Publishers
303. Todorova, G. ve Durisin, B. (2007). Absorptive capacity: Valuing a reconceptualization. *Academy of Management Review*, 32 (3), 774-786.
304. Toffler, A. (2012). *Üçüncü Dalga*. (Çev: S. İleri), İstanbul: Koridor yayıncılık.
305. Tripsas, M. (1997). Surviving radical technological change through dynamic capability: evidence from the typesetter industry. *Industrial and Corporate Change* 6 (2), 341-377.
306. Tripsas, M. ve Gavetti, G. (2000). Capabilities, cognition, and inertia: Evidence from digital imaging. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21 (10-11), 1147-1162.
307. Trist, E. L. ve Bamforth, K. W. (1951). Some social and psychological consequences of the longwall method of coal-getting: An examination of the psychological situation and defences of a work group in relation to the social structure and technological content of the work system. *Human Relations*, 4 (3), 3-38.
308. Tsai, W. (2001). Knowledge transfer in intra-organizational networks: Effects of network position and absorptive capacity on business unit innovation and performance. *Academy of Management*, 44 (5), 996-1004.
309. Tushman, M. ve Katz, R. (1980). External communication and project performance: An investigation into the role of gatekeepers. *Management Science*, 26(1), 1071-1085.
310. Tushman, M.L. ve Anderson, P. (1986). Technological discontinuities and organizational environment. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 31(3), 439-465.
311. Türkiye Gıda ve İçecek Sanayii Derneği Federasyonu. (2011). Türk Gıda ve İçecek Sanayi Rekabet Raporu.

312. Ülgen, H. ve Mirze, S. K. (2004). *İşletmelerde Stratejik Yönetim*. (3.Baskı) İstanbul: Literatür Yayıncılık.
313. Valentina, N. Ve Passiante, G. (2012). Özümseme kabiliyetinin değer yaratma üzerindeki etkileri (Çev: E. Bayraktaroğlu). *Anatolia: Turizm Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 23 (1), 99-111.
314. Valentina, N. ve Passiante, P. (2009). Impacts of absorptive capacity on value creation. *Anatolia: An International Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 20 (2), 269-287.
315. Van Den Bosch, F. A. J.; Van Wijk, R. ve Volberda, H. W. (2002). Absorptive Capacity: Antecedents, Models and Outcomes. *ERIM Report Series Reference No. ERS-2003-035-STR*. 1-51.
316. Van den Bosch, F.A.J.; Volberda, H.W. ve de Boer, M. (1999). Co-evolution of firm absorptive capacity and knowledge environment: Organizational forms and combinative capabilities. *Organization Science*, 10 (5), 551-568.
317. Vance, C. M. ve Paik, Y. (2005). Forms of host-country national learning for enhanced MNC absorptive capacity. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 20 (7), 590-606.
318. Vanhaverbeke, W.; Vareska V. V. ve Cloost, M. (2008). Connecting absorptive capacity and open innovation. SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1091265> (Erişim tarihi 25.05.2011).
319. Vega-Jurado, J.; Gutie'rrez-Gracia, A. ve Ferna'ndez-de-Lucio, I. (2008). Analyzing the determinants of firm's absorptive capacity: beyond R&D. *R&D Management*, 38 (4), 392-405.
320. Venkatraman, N. ve Ramanujam, V. (1986). Measurement of business performance in strategic research: A comparsion of approaches. *Academy of Management Review*, 11 (4), 801-814.
321. Vermeulen F. ve Barkema H. G. (2001). Learning through acquisitions, Academy of Management Journal, 44 (3), 457-476.
322. Veugelers, R. (1997). Internal R&D expenditures and external technology sourcing. *Research Policy* 26 (3), 303-315.
323. Vickery, S. K.; Dröge, C. ve Markland, R. E. (1997). Dimensions of manufacturing strength in the furniture industry, *Journal of Operations Management*, 15 (4), 317-330.
324. Vinding, A.L. (2000). Absorptive capacity and innovative performance: A human capital approach. Denmark: Department of Business Studies, DRUID/IKE Group. Aalborg University, 1-20.
325. Vinding, A.L.; Coombs, J.E. ve Bierly, P.E. (2006). Measuring technological capability and performance. *R&D Management*, 36 (4), 421-438.
326. Voss, C. A.; Ahlström, A. ve Blackmon, K. (1997). Benchmarking and operational performance: some empirical results. *Benchmarking for Quality Management & Technology*, 4 (4), 273 – 285.

327. Wall, T. D.; Michie, J.; Patterson, M.; Wood, S. J.; Sheehan, M.; Clegg, C. W. ve West M. (2004). On the validity of subjective measures of company performance. *Personnel Psychology*, 57 (1), 95-118.
328. Walsh, J. P. ve Ungson, G. R. (1991). Organizational memory. *Academy of Management Review*, 16 (1), 57-91.
329. Wang Y.; Wang Y. ve Horng (2010). Learning and innovation in small and medium enterprises. *Industrial Management & Data*, 110 (2), 175-192.
330. Watkins, K. ve Marsick, V. (1997). Dimensions of the learning organization questio-nare: participant's guide for interpreting results. *Yayınlanmamış Çalışma*.
331. Wiklund, J. (1999). The sustainability of the entrepreneurial orientation-performance relationship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* 24(1), 37-48.
332. Woodward, J. (1965). *Industrial Organizations, Theory and Practice*. London: Oxford Press.
333. Wooton, L., M. (1977). The mixed blessings of contingency management. *The Academy of Management Review*, 2(3), 431-441.
334. Yang, J.; Rui, M. ve Wang, J. (2006). Enhancing the firm's innovation capability through knowledge management: A study of high technology firms in China. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 36 (4), 305-317.
335. Yazıcıoğlu, Y. ve Erdoğan, S. (2004). *SPSS uygulamalı bilimsel araştırma yöntemleri*. Ankara: Detay Yayıncılık.
336. Yıldız, L. (2013). Klasik ve Neo-Klasik yönetim kuramları. *İşletme Yönetimi* (Ed: B. Baraz, A. N. Şakar). Eskişehir: Anadolu Üniversitesi Açıköğretim Yayınları, ss.80-113.
337. Yılmaz, V. ve Çelik, E. (2009). *LISREL ile yapısal eşitlik modellemesi-I temel kavram-lar, uygulamalar, programlama*. Ankara: Pegem Akademi.
338. Yükselen, C. (2006). *Pazarlama araştırmaları*. Ankara: Detay Yayıncılık.
339. Zahra, S. A. ve George, G. (2002). Absorptive Capacity: A Review, Reconceptualiza-tion, and Extension. *The Academy of Management Review*, 27(2), 185-203.