Chapter 1

HUBRIS SYNDROME IN MANAGERS: A CONCEPTUAL EVALUATION

Didem ÖZTÜRK ÇİFTCݹ

INTRODUCTION

The concept of hubris, whose origin is thought to be based on Greek mythology, is described as a dangerous mix of extreme self-confidence, ambition, arrogance and pride (Picone et al., 2014; Sadler-Smith, 2016; Park et al., 2018). Hubris, which is thought to emerge with the acquisition of power, especially in individuals with a predisposition, has therefore been dealt with in academic research mostly within the framework of characters with power such as heads of states and executive managers. (Sheaffer & Eckhous, 2017; Park et al., 2018; Sadler Smith et al., 2016; Li & Tang, 2010). It is also linked to behavioural disorders, narcissistic personality disorder and potential addictive behaviors within the godly ego of leaders whose connection with reality gradually diminishes as the power they hold decreases their reasoning power (Pincus ve Lukowitsky, 2010).

Research shows that hubristic leaders are extremely self-confident and ambitious in making strategic decisions, perceive their own abilities more exaggerated than they are, and because they ignore the possibility of making mistakes for these reasons, they exhibit extreme risk-taking behaviours in their managerial activities (Zeidan, & Müllner, 2015; Sheaffer & Eckhous, 2017). In other words, hubris leads to an exaggerated belief in the individual's own decisions by deviating from objective standards with a cognitive bias that can affect his/her decisions (Hayward & Hambrick, 1997; Hayward et al., 2006).

Throughout history, hubris has been the subject of many researches as a concept that has taken its place among the causes of failed leadership. In particular, when evaluated in the context of management, it has been observed that decisions taken without utilizing decision-making mechanisms and processes resulted in higher costs for companies.

In this research, the hubristic characteristics created in managers by increasing power in direct proportion to the rise in hierarchical steps were examined. In this

¹ Dr. Ordu University, didemciftci@gmail.com

Management Studies

because of the high risks in financial decisions and the resulting costs, but also because of creating negative consequences in terms of strategy formation in a wider range. Therefore, the prior detection of the signs of hubristic leadership will provide an option for organizations to avoid paying such costs, especially during the employment of professional managers who will be at the top of the hierarchy and at the heart of decision-making.

It is clear that the management forms of hubristic leaders, prioritizing themselves, ignoring others, and not allowing questioning of their decisions, do not align with the organizational structure that is expected in today's organizations, such as participatory management, team spirit and horizontal hierarchy rather than centralized leadership. The non-participatory form of management leads to the implementation of decisions made from a single center without passing through a filter of criticism and the possibility of making mistakes.

The concept of hubristic leadership has been evaluated with a prejudice in the academic literature due to its characteristics, and often its negative consequences have been focused on. However, there are also studies demonstrating that this type of leadership can make a difference in making decisions that require courage, especially in high-pressure environments such as crisis periods, and can increase intrinsic motivation and creativity unexpectedly in certain situations.

In the context of the above information, in this study, information about the emergence of the concept of hubris, the process of development both in psychological and managerial sense, its characteristics and negative aspects have been included.

REFERENCES

Akstinaite, V., Robinson, G. & Sadler-Smith, E. (2019). Linguistic markers of CEO hubris, *Journal of Business Ethics*, May, 1- 19.

Arena, C., Michelon, G. & Trojanowski, G. (2018). Big egos can be green: A study of CEO hubris and environmental innovation. *British Journal of Management*, 29, 316–336.

Beinart, P.(2010), The Icarus Syndrome: A history of American hubris, Harper Collins Publishers.

Brown, R., & Sarma, N. (2007). CEO hubris, CEO dominance and corporate acquisitions. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 59(5), 358–379.

Cormier, D., Lapointe-Antunes, P. & Magnan, M. (2016). CEO power and CEO hubris: A prelude to financial misreporting? *Management Decision*, 54(2), 522-554.

Eckhaus, E. & Sheafer, Z. (2018). Managerial hubris detection: The case of Enron, *Risk Management*, 20(4), 304-325.

Fischhoff, B., Slovic, P., & Lichtenstein, S. (1977). Knowing with certainty: The appropriateness of extreme confidence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 3(4), 552–564.

Gervais, S., Heaton, J. B., & Odean, T. (2007). Overconfidence, investment policy, and manager welfare. Working Paper. Fuqua School of Business.

Hayward, M., & Hambrick, D. (1997). Explaining the premiums paid for large acquisitions: Evi-

Management Studies

- dence of CEO hubris. Administrative Science Quarterly, 42: 103-127.
- Hayward, M., Shepherd, D., & Griffin, D. (2006). A hubris theory of entrepreneurship. *Management Science*, 52: 160–172.
- Johnson, D., & Fowler, J. 2011. The evolution of overconfidence. Nature, 477: 317-320
- Judge, T. A., Piccolo, R. F., & Kosalka, T. (2009). The bright and dark sides of leader traits: A review and theoretical extension of the leader trait paradigm. *Leadership Quarterly*, 20(6), 855–875.
- Kroll, M. J., Toombs, L. A., & Wright, P. (2000). Napoleon's tragic march home from Moscow: Lessons in hubris. Academy of Management Executives, 14(1), 117–128.
- Langer, E. J. (1975). The illusion of control. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 32*(2), 311–328.
- Li, J. & Tang, Y. (2010). Ceo hubris and firm risk taking in China: The moderating role of managerial discretion. *Academy of Management Journal* 53(1), 45–68.
- Malmendier, U., & Tate, G. (2008). Who makes acquisitions? CEO overconfidence and the market's reaction. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 89(1), 20–43.
- Owen, L. D. (2006). Hubris and Nemesis in heads of government. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 99(11), 548-551.
- Owen, D. & Davidson, J. (2009). Hubris syndrome: An acquired personality disorder? A study of US Presidents and UK Prime Ministers over the last 100 years, *Braina Journal Of Neurology*, 132: 1396–1406.
- Park, J. H., et al.(2018). CEO hubris and firm performance: Exploring the moderating roles of CEO power and board vigilance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 147(4), 919–933.
- Payne, R., (1960). Hubris: A study of pride, Harpler Torchbook Publishing, New York.
- Petit, V. & Bollaert, H. (2012). Flying Too Close to the Sun? Hubris among CEOs and how to prevent it. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 108(3): 265-283.
- Picone PM, Dagnino GB and Mina A (2014) The origin of failure: A multidisciplinary appraisal of the hubris hypothesis and proposed research agenda. *The Academy of Management Perspectives*, 28(4): 447–468.
- Pincus, A.L. & Lukowitsky, M.R.(2010). Pathological narcissism and narcissistic personality disorder, *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 6, 421-446.
- Roll, R. (1986). The hubris hypothesis of corporate takeovers. *Journal of Business*, 2(59), 197–216.
- Sadler-Smith E (2016) Hubris in business and management research: A 30-year review of studies. In: Garrard P and Robinson G (eds) *The Intoxication of Power: Interdisciplinary Insights.*(pp. 39–74), Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Sadler-Smith, E., et al. (2017). Hubristic leadership: A review. Leadership, 13(5), 525-548.
- Sadler-Smith, E., et al. (2019). Hubristic leadership: Understanding the hazard and mitigating the risks. *Organizational Dynamics*, 48, 8-18.
- Sheaffer, Z. & Eckhous, E. (2017). Managerial hubris detection: The case of Enron, *Multidisciplinary Academic Conference*.
- Tang, Y, Li, J. & Yang, H. (2015). What I see, what I do: How executive hubris affects firm innovation. *Journal of Management*, 41(6), 1698-1723.
- Timur, T. (2017). Hubris ve Nemesis: Deliler, doktorlar ve muktedirler, Mülkiye Haber, Mart, 2017.
- Wade, J.B. et al. (2008). Star CEOs benefit or burden? Organizational Dynamics, 37(2), 203-210.
- Zeidan, R., & Müllner, J. (2015). Firm, market and top management antecedents of speculation: Lessons for corporate governance. *Journal of Multinational Financial Management*, 32–33, 42-58.
- Zhang, L., Ren, S., Chen, X. *et al.* (2018). CEO Hubris and Firm Pollution: State and Market Contingencies in a Transitional Economy. *Journal of Business Ethics*, August, 459-478.