

Bölüm

10

Presbiyopi Düzeltici Göz İçi Lensler: Akomodatif Göz İçi Lensler

Göksu Hande NAZ ŞİMDİVAR¹

Günümüzde katarakt cerrahisi tekniklerindeki yenilikler bu operasyonların komplikasyonlarını önemli ölçüde azaltmıştır. Cerrahideki gelişmelere paralel olarak biyometri ve göz içi lens (GİL) teknolojisindeki gelişmeler, cerrahları postoperatif astigmatizma ve düşük sıralı aberasyonların düzeltilmesi ve yakın görmeının iyileştirilmesi arayışına yönlendirmiştir. Katarakt cerrahisinden sonra uzak görme problemlerinin giderilmesinin yanında yakın görme ve astigmatizmanın da düzeltilmesi ile postoperatif dönemde optik cihazlardan kurtulma beklenisi ortaya çıkmıştır. Avrupa Katarakt ve Refraktif Cerrahi Derneği Klinik Araştırması, katarakt prosedürlerinin % 43'ünde monovizyon hedeflendiğini ortaya koymuştur (1). Bunun yanında farklı GİL tiplerinin kullanımıyla, emetropi neredeyse tüm vakalarda elde edilebilmektedir (2-5). Gözlük düzeltmesi olmadan yakın, orta ve uzak odak noktalarında net görüş sağlamayı amaçlayan multifokal GİL'ler, akomodatif göz içi lensler (AGİL) ve astigmatizmayı düzeltmek için kullanılan torik GİL'ler ideal görüşü sağlamak için yeni nesil lens alternatiflerini oluşturmaktadır. Bu bölümde AGİL'ler ayrıntılı olarak ele alınacaktır.

¹ Göz Hastalıkları Uzmanı, SBÜ Adana Şehir Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, drghande@gmail.com

ması ve fizyolojik davranışın devam etmesi gibi avantajlar, bu lensleri psödofakik presbiyopide iyi bir seçenek haline getirecektir.

KAYNAKÇA

1. European Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery Clinical Survey <http://www.eurotimes.org/escrs-clinical-survey-2016-results/> Accessed 14 December 2017.
2. Wang SY, Stem MS, Oren G, et al. Patient-centered and visual quality outcomes of premium cataract surgery: a systematic review. *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2017;27(4):387-401.
3. Braga-Mele R, Chang D, Dewey S, et al. ASCRS Cataract Clinical Committee. Multifocal intraocular lenses: relative indications and contraindications for implantation. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2014;40(2): 313-322.
4. Alio JL, Plaza-Puche AB, Fernandez-Buenaga R, et al. Multifocal intraocular lenses: an overview. *Surv Ophthalmol*. 2017;62(5): 611-634.
5. Visser N, Bauer NJ, Nuijts RM. Toric intraocular lenses: historical overview, patient selection, IOL calculation, surgical techniques, clinical outcomes, and complications. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2013;39(4): 624-637.
6. Charman WN. The eye in focus: Accommodation and presbyopia. *Clin Exp Optom* 2008;91:207-225.
7. Goertz AD, Stewart WC, Burns WR, et al. Review of the impact of presbyopia on quality of life in the developing and developed world. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2014;92:497-500.
8. Glasser A. Accommodation: Mechanism and measurement. *Ophthalmol Clin North Am* 2006;19:1-12, v.
9. Helmholz H. Ueber die accommodation des auges. *Graefe's Arch Klin Ophthalmol*.1855;1:1-74.
10. Comander J, Pineda R. Accommodating intraocular lenses: theory and practice. *Int Ophthalmol Clin*. 2010;50:107 -117.
11. Strenk SA, Strenk LM, Guo S. Magnetic resonance imaging of the anteroposterior position and thickness of the aging, accommodating, phakic, and pseudophakic ciliary muscle. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2010;36:235-241.
12. Strenk SA, Semmlow JL, Strenk LM, et al. Age-related changes in human ciliary muscle and lens: A magnetic resonance imaging study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1999;40:1162-1169.
13. Strenk SA, Strenk LM, Guo S. Magnetic resonance imaging of aging, accommodating, phakic, and pseudophakic ciliary muscle diameters. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2006;32:1792-1798.
14. Nakazawa M, Ohtsuki K. Apparent accommodation in pseudophakic eyes after implantation of posterior chamber intraocular lenses: optical analysis. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1984;25:1458-1460.
15. Yamamoto S, Adachi-Usami E. Apparent accommodation in pseudophakic eyes as measured with visually evoked potentials. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1992;33:443-446.
16. Alio JL, Alio Del Barrio JL, Vega-Estrada A. Accommodative intraocular lenses: where are we and where we are going. *Eye Vis (Lond)*. 2017;4:16.

17. Berdahl J, Bala C, Dhariwal M, et al. Patient and Economic Burden of Presbyopia: A Systematic Literature Review. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2020 Oct 22;14:3439 3450. doi: 10.2147/OPTH.S269597.
18. Pallikaris IG, Kontadakis GA, Portaliou DM. Real and pseudoaccommodation in accommodative lenses. *J Ophthalmol*. 2011;2011: 284961.
19. Pepose JS, Burke J, Qazi M. Accommodating intraocular lenses. *Asia Pac J Ophthalmol (Phila)*. 2017;6(4):350-357.
20. Mastropasqua L, Toto L, Falconio G, et al. Longterm results of 1 CU accommodative intraocular lens implantation: 2-year follow-up study. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand*. 2007;85(4):409-414.
21. Kramer GD, Werner L, Neuhann T, et al. Anterior haptic flexing and in-the-bag subluxation of an accommodating intraocular lens due to excessive capsular bag contraction. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2015; 41(9):2010-2013.
22. Alio JL, Ben-Nun J. Study of the force dynamics at the capsular interface related to ciliary body stimulation in a primate model. *J Refract Surg*. 2015;31(2):124-128.
23. Cumming JS. History and function of the crystallens. *Cataract Refract Surg Today*. 2004;4:38-40.
24. Coleman DJ. On the hydraulic suspension theory of accommodation. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc*. 1986;84:846-868.
25. <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/pdf3/P030002a.pdf> AT-45 Approval Letter. 2003, Food & Drug Administration.
26. Marchini G, Pedrotti E, Sartori P, et al. Ultrasound biomicroscopic changes during accommodation in eyes with accommodating intraocular lenses: pilot study and hypothesis for the mechanism of accommodation. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2004;30(12):2476-2482.
27. Stachs O, Schneider H, Beck R, et al. Pharmacological-induced haptic changes and the accommodative performance in patients with the AT-45 accommodative IOL. *J Refract Surg* 2006;22(2):145-150.
28. Kose S, Palamar M, Eğrilmmez S. Kataraktlı hastalarda akomodatif ve multifokal intraokuler lens implantasyonu sonuçlarımız. *Turk J Ophthalmol*. 2009;39:4-16.
29. Cumming JS, Colvard DM, Dell SJ, et al. Clinical evaluation of the Crystalens AT-45 accommodating intraocular lens: results of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration clinical trial. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2006;32:812-825.
30. Patel S, Alio JL, Feinbaum C. Comparison of Acri.Smart multifocal IOL, Crystalens AT-45 accommodative IOL and Technovision PresbyLASIK for correcting presbyopia. *J Refract Surg*. 2008;24:294-299.
31. Karavitaki AE, Pallikaris IG, Panagopoulou SI, et al. Long-term visual outcomes after Crystalens^(*) HD intraocular lens implantation. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2014;8:937-943.
32. Pérez-Vives C, Montés-Micó R, López-Gil N, et al. Crystalens HD intraocular lens analysis using an adaptive optics visual simulator. *Optom Vis Sci* 2013;90(12):1413-1423.
33. Zamora-Alejo KV, Moore SP, Parker DG, et al. Objective accommodation measurement of the Crystalens HD compared to monofocal intraocular lenses. *J Refract Surg* 2013;29(2): 133-139.

34. Sadoughi MM, Einollahi B, Roshandel D, et al. Visual and refractive outcomes of phacoemulsification with implantation of accommodating versus standard monofocal intraocular lenses. *J Ophthalmic Vis Res.* 2015;10:370–374.
35. Vilupuru S, Lin L, Pepose JS. Comparison of contrast sensitivity and through focus in small-aperture inlay, accommodating intraocular lens, or multifocal intraocular lens subjects. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2015;160:150–162.
36. Pérez-Merino P, Birkenfeld J, Dorronsoro C, et al. Aberrometry in patients implanted with accommodative intraocular lenses. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2014;157:1077–1089.
37. Dhital A, Spalton DJ, Gala KB. Comparison of near vision, intraocular lens movement, and depth of focus with accommodating and monofocal intraocular lenses. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2013;39:1872–1878.
38. Alió JL, Plaza-Puche AB, Montalban R, et al. Visual outcomes with a single-optic accommodating intraocular lens and a low-addition-power rotational asymmetric multifocal intraocular lens. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2012;38:978–985.
39. Alió JL, Plaza-Puche AB, Montalban R, et al. Near visual outcomes with single-optic and dual-optic accommodating intraocular lenses. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2012;38:1568–1575.
40. Yuen L, Trattler W, Boxer Wachler BS. Two cases of Z syndrome with the crystalens after uneventful cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2008;34:1986–1989.
41. Pepose JS, Burke J, Qazi MA. Benefits and barriers of accommodating intraocular lenses. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol* 2017;28:3–8.
42. Page TP, Whitman J. A stepwise approach for the management of capsular contraction syndrome in hinge-based accommodative intraocular lenses. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2016;10:1039–1046.
43. Uthoff D, Gulati A, Hepper D, Holland D. Potentially accommodating 1CU intraocular lens: 1-year results in 553 eyes and literature review. *J Refract Surg.* 2007;23:159–171.
44. Harman FE, Maling S, Kampougeris G, et al. Comparing the 1CU accommodative, multifocal, and monofocal intraocular lenses: a randomized trial. *Ophthalmology.* 2008;115:993–1001.
45. Ong HS, Evans JR, Allan BD. Accommodative intraocular lens versus standard monofocal intraocular lens implantation in cataract surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014;5:CD009667.
46. Sanders DR, Sanders ML. Visual performance results after Tetraflex accommodating intraocular lens implantation. *Ophthalmology.* 2007;114:1679–1684.
47. Saiki M, Negishi K, Dogru M, et al. Biconvex posterior chamber accommodating intraocular lens implantation after cataract surgery: Long-term outcomes. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2010;36:603–608.
48. Brown D, Dougherty P, Gills JP, et al. Functional reading acuity and performance: comparison of 2 accommodating intraocular lenses. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2009;35:1711–1714.
49. Ferk J, Ferkova A. IOL Tetraflex, KH 3500--presbyopia treatment. *Oftalmologia* 2009;53(4):72-73.
50. Wolffsohn JS, Davies LN, Gupta N, et al. Mechanism of action of the tetraflex accommodating intraocular lens. *J Refract Surg.* 2010;26:858–862.

51. Leng L, Chen Q, Yuan Y, et al. Anterior segment biometry of the accommodating intraocular lens and its relationship with the amplitude of accommodation. *Eye Contact Lens.* 2017;43(2):123–129.
52. Tan N, Zheng D, Ye J. Comparison of visual performance after implantation of 3 types of intraocular lenses: accommodative, multifocal, and monofocal. *Eur J Ophthalmol.* 2014;24:693–698.
53. Beiko GH. Comparison of visual results with accommodating intraocular lenses versus mini-monovision with a monofocal intraocular lens. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2013;39:48–55.
54. Ossma IL, Galvis A, Vargas LG, et al. Synchrony dual-optic accommodating intraocular lens. Part 2: pilot clinical evaluation. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2007;33:47–52.
55. Ho A, Manns F, Therese, et al. Predicting the performance of accommodating intraocular lenses using ray tracing. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2006;32(1):129-136.
56. Sheppard AL, Bashir A, Wolffsohn JS, et al. Accommodating intraocular lenses: a review of design concepts, usage and assessment methods. *Clin Exp Optom* 2010;93(6):441-452.
57. Langenbucher A, Reese S, Jakob C, et al. Pseudophakic accommodation with translation lenses-dual optic vs mono optic. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2004;24(5):450-457.
58. Peris-Martínez C, Díez-Ajenjo A, García-Domene C. Short-term results with the Synchrony lens implant for correction of presbyopia following cataract surgery. *J Emmetropia* 2013;4:137-143.
59. Glasser A. Restoration of accommodation: surgical options for correction of presbyopia. *Clin Exp Optom* 2008;91(3):279-295.
60. Fernández-Buenaga R, Alio JL, Pérez-Ardoy AL, et al. Late in-the-bag intraocular lens dislocation requiring explantation: risk factors and outcomes. *Eye (Lond)* 2013;27(7):795-801.
61. García M, González C, Pascual I, et al. Magnification and visual acuity in highly myopic phakic eyes corrected with an anterior chamber intraocular lens versus by other methods. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1996;22(10):1416-1422.
62. Ale JB, Manns F, Ho A. Magnifications of single and dual element accommodative intraocular lenses: paraxial optics analysis. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2011;31(1):7-16.
63. Montés-Micó R, España E, Bueno I, et al. Visual performance with multifocal intraocular lenses: mesopic contrast sensitivity under distance and near conditions. *Ophthalmology* 2004;111(1):85-96.
64. Stachs O, Martin H, Kirchhoff A, et al. Monitoring accommodative ciliary muscle function using three-dimensional ultrasound. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2002;240:906–912.
65. Marchini G, Pedrotti E, Modesti M, et al. Anterior segment changes during accommodation in eyes with a monofocal intraocular lens: high-frequency ultrasound study. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2008;34:949–956.
66. Pallikaris IG, Karavitaki AE, Kymionis GD, et al. Unilateral sulcus implantation of the crystallens HD. *J Refract Surg.* 2012;28:299–301.

67. Alio JL, Simonov A, Plaza-Puche AB, et al. Visual Outcomes and Accommodative Response of the Lumina Accommodative Intraocular Lens. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2016;164:37–48.
68. Alió JL, Ben-Nun J, Rodríguez JL, et al. Visual and accommodative outcomes 1 year after implantation of an accommodating intraocular lens based on a new concept. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2009;35:1671–1678.
69. Studeny P, Krizova D, Urminsky J. Clinical experience with the WIOL-CF accommodative bioanalogic intraocular lens: Czech national observational registry. *Eur J Ophthalmol.* 2016;26:230–235.
70. Kohl JC, Werner L, Ford JR, et al. Long-term uveal and capsular biocompatibility of a new accommodating intraocular lens. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2014;40(12):2113–2119.
71. Kennedy S, Werner L, Bontu S, et al. Explantation/exchange of the components of a new fluid-filled, modular, accommodating intraocular lens. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2020 Jul 31. doi: 10.1097/j.jcrs.0000000000000367. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 32818354.
72. Bontu S, Werner L, Kennedy S, et al. Long-term uveal and capsular biocompatibility of a new fluid-filled, modular accommodating intraocular lens. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2020 Aug 14. doi: 10.1097/j.jcrs.0000000000000391. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 32815864.
73. Nishi O, Nishi K, Nishi Y, et al. Capsular bag refilling using a new accommodating intraocular lens. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2008;34:302–309.
74. Koopmans SA, Terwee T, Glasser A, et al. Accommodative lens refilling in rhesus monkeys. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2006;47:2976–2984.