

MYELOMADA GENETİK VE EPİGENETİK DEĞİŞİKLİKLER

Sevcan TUĞ BOZDOĞAN¹
Atıl BİŞGİN²

Myelomada genetik değişiklikler, diagnostik özelliğin yanı sıra tedaviyi de etkilediği için oldukça yoğun çalışılmıştır. Myelomanın genetik alt tipini belirlemek riski daha net olarak öngörmekte ve daha uygun tedavi seçeneklerini belirlemeye önemlidir (1). Myelomada hem genetik hem de çevresel faktörler hastalık etyolojisinde rol oynarlar. Klonal heterojenite hem solid tümörlerde hem de hematolojik malignensilerde olduğu gibi myelomada da belirgin bir özellikdir (2). Bu nedenle myelomadaki değişiklikleri; kromozomal, DNA düzeyi mutasyonlar ve epigenetik değişiklikler olarak ayrı ayrı değerlendirmek gereklidir.

KROMOZOMAL DEĞİŞİKLİKLER

Myelomalı hastaların yaklaşık yarısında karyotip analizinde değişiklikler görülmektedir (3). Bu hastalarda sayısal kromozomal anomaliler görüldüğü gibi dengeli veya dengesiz olabilen yapısal kromozomal anomalileri de görülebilmektedir.

Myeloma, hiperdiploid olan ve olmayan (non-hiperdiploid) olarak ikiye ayırlabilir (1,4). Hiperdiploidi, yani diploid ($2n$) olması gereken kromozom sayısının artışı, yeni tanı alan hastaların ortalama %55’inde görülmekte iken (2,4), hipodiploidi de daha az olmakla beraber yine sıklıkla karşımıza çıkmaktadır (3). Hiperdiploidide görülen kromozomal artışlar rastgele değildir ve bazı kromozomlarda (3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 19 ve 21. kromozomlar) daha fazla gözlenmektedir (5-10). Hiperdiploidi genel olarak iyi prognoz göstermesi yani progresyonsuz sağ kalım

¹ Doç. Dr., Çukurova Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Balcalı Hastanesi ve Klinikleri, Tıbbi Genetik Anabilim Dalı ve Çukurova Üniversitesi AGENTEM (Adana Genetik Hastalıklar Tanı ve Tedavi Merkezi), Adana, sevcantb@gmail.com

² Doç. Dr., Çukurova Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Balcalı Hastanesi ve Klinikleri, Tıbbi Genetik Anabilim Dalı ve Çukurova Üniversitesi AGENTEM (Adana Genetik Hastalıklar Tanı ve Tedavi Merkezi), Adana, abisgin@yahoo.com

kop altında göz ile görülemeyecek kromozomal anomalileri uygun FISH problemi kullanarak görmek mümkündür. Ayrıca interfaz FISH ile duyarlılık artmakta, daha az sayıdaki mutasyonlu hücreyi yakalamak mümkün hale gelmektedir (3). Genom analizi, gen ekspresyon çalışmaları ile pek çok yeni bilgi edinilmiş olsa da myeloma analizlerinde rutin uygulamada altın standart sitogenetik çalışmalar ve FISH'tir (16).

Sonuç

Yapılan çok sayıda araştırmalar ile myelomanın oldukça heterojen olan alt yapısı ile ilgili geniş bilgiler edinilmiş ve subklonal heterojenitenin de etkili olduğu ortaya konmuştur. Ancak moleküller genetik alanındaki gelişmeler ve gündelik klinik uygulamalarda gittikçe artan kullanım alanı bulan yeni nesil dizilime gibi yeni teknolojilere rağmen halen myelomaya özgü gerek etiyopatogenezde gereksiz tedaviye yön vermede tek bir sorumlu genetik varyasyon saptanamamış; subklonlarda sürücü olabilecek çok sayıda mutasyonun birlikte görüleceği ve rol alabileceği öne sürülmüştür. Ancak sadece genomik değil transkriptomik ve proteomik çalışmaların da artmasıyla hastalıklarındaki bilgi birikiminin artması ve ilişkili olabilecek biyomarkırların tesbiti ile hedeflenmiş tedavi seçeneklerinden gen ve hücre tedavilerinin geliştirilmesine kadar birçok alanda yol gösterici bilgiler elde edilecektir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Myeloma, sitogenetik, moleküller genetik, epigenetik

KAYNAKÇA

1. Lim AS, Krishnan S, Lim TH, *et al.* Amplification of 1q21 and other abnormalities in multiple myeloma patients from a tertiary hospital in Singapore. *Indian J Hematol Blood Transfus.* 2014;30(4):253–258. doi:10.1007/s12288-013-0294-8.
2. Merz M, Jauch A, Hielscher T, *et al.* Prognostic significance of cytogenetic heterogeneity in patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. *Blood Adv.* 2017;2(1):1–9. doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2017013334.
3. Jekarl DW, Min CK, Kwon A, *et al.* Impact of genetic abnormalities on the prognoses and clinical parameters of patients with multiple myeloma. *Ann Lab Med.* 2013;33(4):248–254. doi:10.3343/alm.2013.33.4.248.
4. Corre J, Munshi N, Avet-Loiseau H. Genetics of multiple myeloma: another heterogeneity level?. *Blood.* 2015;125(12):1870–1876. doi:10.1182/blood-2014-10-567370.
5. Dewald GW, Kyle RA, Hicks GA, *et al.* The clinical significance of cytogenetic studies in 100 patients with multiple myeloma, plasma cell leukemia, or amyloidosis. *Blood.* 1985;66(2):380–390.
6. Sawyer JR, Waldron JA, Jagannath S, *et al.* Cytogenetic findings in 200 patients with multiple myeloma. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet.* 1995;82(1):41–49.
7. Laï JL, Zandecki M, Mary JY, *et al.* Improved cytogenetics in multiple myeloma: a study of 151 patients including 117 patients at diagnosis. *Blood.* 1995;85(9):2490–2497.
8. Calasanz MJ, Cigudosa JC, Odero MD, *et al.* Cytogenetic analysis of 280 patients with multiple myeloma and related disorders: primary breakpoints and clinical correlations. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer.* 1997;18(2):84–93.

9. Smadja NV, Bastard C, Brigaudeau C, et al. Hypodiploidy is a major prognostic factor in multiple myeloma. *Blood*. 2001;98(7):2229–2238.
10. Sonneveld P, Avet-Loiseau H, Lonial S, et al. Treatment of multiple myeloma with high-risk cytogenetics: a consensus of the International Myeloma Working Group. *Blood*. 2016;127(24):2955–2962. doi:10.1182/blood-2016-01-631200.
11. Fonseca R, Blood E, Rue M, et al. Clinical and biologic implications of recurrent genomic aberrations in myeloma. *Blood*. 2003;101(11):4569–75.
12. Tan D, Teoh G, Lau LC, et al. An abnormal non-hyperdiploid karyotype is a significant adverse prognostic factor for multiple myeloma in the Bortezomib era. *Am J Hematol*. 2010;85(10):752–6. doi:10.1002/ajh.21812.
13. Avet-Loiseau H, Attal M, Moreau P, et al. Genetic abnormalities and survival in multiple myeloma: the experience of the Intergroupe Francophone du Myélome. *Blood*. 2007;109(8):3489–3495.
14. Morrison T, Booth RA, Hauff K, et al. Laboratory assessment of multiple myeloma. *Adv Clin Chem*. 2019;89:1–58. doi: 10.1016/bs.acc.2018.12.001.
15. Yadav P, Merz M, Mai EK, et al. Cytogenetic aberrations in multiple myeloma are associated with shifts in serum immunoglobulin isotypes distribution and levels. *Haematologica*. 2018;103(4):e162–e164. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2017.184226.
16. Seggei P, Braggio E, Minnicelli C, et al. Genetic aberrations in multiple myeloma characterized by clg-FISH: a Brazilian context. *Braz J Med Biol Res*. 2016;49(5):e5034. doi: 10.1590/1414-431X20155034.
17. Kuehl WM, Bergsagel PL. Multiple myeloma: evolving genetic events and host interactions. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2002;2(3):175–187.
18. Chesi M, Bergsagel PL, Brents LA, et al. Dysregulation of cyclin D1 by translocation into an IgH gamma switch region in two multiple myeloma cell lines. *Blood*. 1996;88(2):674–681.
19. Gertz MA, Lacy MQ, Dispenzieri A, et al. Clinical implications of t(11;14)(q13;q32), t(4;14)(p16.3;q32), and -17p13 in myeloma patients treated with high-dose therapy. *Blood*. 2005;106(8):2837–2840.
20. Fonseca R, Blood EA, Oken MM, et al. Myeloma and the t(11;14)(q13;q32); evidence for a biologically defined unique subset of patients. *Blood*. 2002;99(10):3735–3741.
21. Avet-Loiseau H, Attal M, Moreau P, et al. Genetic abnormalities and survival in multiple myeloma: the experience of the Intergroupe Francophone du Myélome. *Blood*. 2007;109(8):3489–3495.
22. Chesi M, Nardini E, Brents LA, et al. Frequent translocation t(4;14)(p16.3;q32.3) in multiple myeloma is associated with increased expression and activating mutations of fibroblast growth factor receptor 3. *Nat Genet*. 1997;16(3):260–264.
23. Hurt EM, Wiestner A, Rosenwald A, et al. Overexpression of c-maf is a frequent oncogenic event in multiple myeloma that promotes proliferation and pathological interactions with bone marrow stroma. *Cancer Cell*. 2004;5(2):191–199.
24. Avet-Loiseau H, Facon T, Grosbois B, et al. Oncogenesis of multiple myeloma: 14q32 and 13q chromosomal abnormalities are not randomly distributed, but correlate with natural history, immunological features, and clinical presentation. *Blood*. 2002;99:2185–2191.
25. Hanamura I, Stewart JP, Huang Y, et al. Frequent gain of chromosome band 1q21 in plasma-cell dyscrasias detected by fluorescence in situ hybridization: incidence increases from MGUS to relapsed myeloma and is related to prognosis and disease progression following tandem stem-cell transplantation. *Blood*. 2006;108(5):1724–1732.
26. Avet-Loiseau H, Li C, Magrangeas F, et al. Prognostic significance of copy-number alterations in multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27:4585–4590.
27. Zhan F, Colla S, Wu X, et al. CKS1B, overexpressed in aggressive disease, regulates multiple myeloma growth and survival through SKP2- and p27Kip1-dependent and -independent mechanisms. *Jr Blood*. 2007;109(11):4995–5001.
28. García R, Chen W, Koduru P. Clinical impact of MYC abnormalities in plasma cell myeloma. *Cancer Genet*. 2018;228–229:115–126. doi:10.1016/j.cancergen.2018.10.007.

29. Hoang PH, Houlston RS. Multiple mechanisms can disrupt oncogenic pathways in multiple myeloma. *Oncotarget.* 2018;9(88):35801-35802. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.26301.
30. Avet-Loiseau H, et al. Long-term analysis of the IFM 99 trials for myeloma: cytogenetic abnormalities [t(4;14), del(17p), 1q gains] play a major role in defining long-term survival. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2012;30:1949–1952.
31. Tiedemann RE, Gonzalez-Paz N, Kyle RA, et al. Genetic aberrations and survival in plasma cell leukemia. *Leukemia.* 2008;22(5):1044-52. doi:10.1038/leu.2008.4.
32. Chang H, Sloan S, Li D, et al. Multiple myeloma involving central nervous system: high frequency of chromosome 17p13.1 (p53) deletions. *Br. J. Haematol.* 2004;127:280–284.
33. Lakshman A, Painuly U, Rajkumar SV, et al. Natural history of multiple myeloma with de novo del(17p). *Blood Cancer J.* 2019;9(3):32. doi:10.1038/s41408-019-0191-y.
34. Teoh PJ, Chung TH, Sebastian S, et al. p53 haploinsufficiency and functional abnormalities in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia.* 2014; 28(10):2066-74.
35. Herrero, AB, Rojas EA, Misiewicz-Krzeminska I, et al. Molecular mechanisms of p53 deregulation in cancer: an overview in multiple myeloma. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2016;17, 2003.
36. Lodé L, Eveillard M, Trichet V, et al. Mutations in TP53 are exclusively associated with del(17p) in multiple myeloma. *Haematologica.* 2010;95(11):1973-6. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2010.023697.
37. Kortüm KM, Langer C, Monge J, et al. Targeted sequencing using a 47 gene multiple myeloma mutation panel (M(3)P) in -17p high risk disease. *Br. J. Haematol.* 2015;168, 507–510.
38. Cremer WF, Bila J, Buck I, et al. Delineation of distinct subgroups of multiple myeloma and a model for clonal evolution based on interphase cytogenetics. *Genes Chromosom Cancer.* 2005;44:194–203.
39. Fonseca R, Bergsagel PL, Drach J, et al. International Myeloma Working Group molecular classification of multiple myeloma: spotlight review. *Leukemia.* 2009;23(12):2210-21.
40. Chng WJ, Dispenzieri A, Chim CS, et al. IMWG consensus on risk stratification in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia.* 2014;28(2):269-77.
41. Dolinoy DC, Weidman JR, Jirtle RL. Epigenetic gene regulation: Linking early developmental environment to adult disease. *Reprod. Toxicol.* 2007;23:297-307.
42. Wong KY, Chim CS. DNA methylation of tumor suppressor protein-coding and non-coding genes in multiple myeloma. *Epigenomics.* 2015;7(6):985-1001.
43. Leone E, Morelli E, Di Martino MT, et al. Targeting miR-21 inhibits in vitro and in vivo multiple myeloma cell growth. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2013; 19(8):2096-106.
44. Zhao JJ, Lin J, Zhu D, et al. miR-30-5p functions as a tumor suppressor and novel therapeutic tool by targeting the oncogenic Wnt/β-catenin/BCL9 pathway. *Cancer Res.* 2014; 74(6):1801-13.
45. Wong KY, Yim RL, So CC, et al. Epigenetic inactivation of the MIR34B/C in multiple myeloma. *Blood.* 2011;118(22):5901–5904.
46. Wong KY, Liang R, So CC, et al. Epigenetic silencing of MIR203 in multiple myeloma. *Br J Haematol.* 2011;154(5):569–578.
47. Wong KY, Yim RL, Kwong YL, et al. Epigenetic inactivation of the MIR129-2 in hematological malignancies. *J Hematol Oncol.* 2013;6:16.
48. Qin Y, Zhang S, Deng S, An G, et al. Epigenetic silencing of miR-137 induces drug resistance and chromosomal instability by targeting AURKA in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia.* 2011; 31(5):1123-1135.
49. Li Z, Wong KY, Calin GA, et al. Epigenetic silencing of miR-340-5p in multiple myeloma: mechanisms and prognostic impact. *Clin Epigenetics.* 2019;11(1):71. doi:10.1186/s13148-019-0669-2.
50. Ohguchi H, Hideshima T, Anderson KC. The biological significance of histone modifiers in multiple myeloma: clinical applications. *Blood Cancer J.* 2018;8(9):83. doi: 10.1038/s41408-018-0119-y.
51. Pertesi M, Vallée M, Wei X, et al. Exome sequencing identifies germline variants in DIS3 in familial multiple myeloma. *Leukemia.* 2019. doi: 10.1038/s41375-019-0452-6.
52. Went M, Sud A, Förstl A, et al. Identification of multiple risk loci and regulatory mechanisms influencing susceptibility to multiple myeloma. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9:3707.