

Bölüm **25**

METASTATİK MEME KANSERİNDE SİSTEMİK KEMOTERAPİ

Ahmet SEZER¹

GİRİŞ

Meme kanseri kadınlarda en sık tanı alan kanser olmasının yanında en çok kanser ilişkili ölüm sebebidir(1). Tüm erken tanı uygulamalarına ve uygulamaların başarılarına rağmen günümüzde halen hastaların %5-10'u ilk tanı anında metastatik evrededir. Aynı zamanda erken dönemde tanı alan hastaların yaklaşık %25-30'unda da hayatın bir döneminde metastaz gelişmektedir(2).

Metastatik meme kanserinde sistemik tedavinin hedefi; sağ kalımı uzatmak ve semptomları azaltmakla birlikte yaşam kalitesini iyileştirmek, sürdürmek ve tedavi ilişkili toksisiteleri engellemektir(3-4). Bu nedenle en az yan etkili tedavi rejimlerinin seçilmesi önerilir(5).

Metastatik meme kanserinde sistemik tedavi kemoterapi, endokrin tedavi ve hedefleyici tedavilerden (anti-her 2 tedaviler, PARP inhibitörü, PI3K inhibitörü, CDK4/6 inhibitörü, immunoterapi vb) oluşur (5,6).

Bu tedaviler tek başına veya kombine şekilde kullanılabilmektedir (7,8). Tedavi kararı verirken bazı faktörleri göz önünde bulundurmak gereklidir(4). Bunlar arasında; hastanın performans durumu, hastanın yaşı, hastalığın yaygınlığı (viseral kriz olup olmaması), metastaz yeri, tahmin edilen sağ kalım süresi, hastanın denovo metastatik olup olmadığı, adjuvan tedavi sonrası metastatik hale gelen hastanın daha önce aldığı tedaviler ve bu tedaviler ilgili toksisiteler, adjuvan tedavi sonrası rekürrensiz geçen süre, önceki basamaklarda hormon tedavisi kullanıp direnç gelişmesi, hastalığın hızlı progresyon göstermesi, hastalığın histolojik alt tipi (östrojen-progesteron reseptörü, her2, BRCA 1/2), hastanın tercihi, hastanın ek hastalıkları (diabet, koroner arter hastalığı, kalp yetmezliği vb) sayılabilir.

¹ Doç. Dr. ,Başkent Üniversitesi Tıp Fak.,drasezer@hotmail.com.tr

sının etkisi karşılaştırılmıştır(56). İdame tedavi alan kolda hem PFS hem OS de anlamlı fark gösterilmiştir. Kemoterapiye devam etmeyi düşünürken göz önüne alınması gereken faktörler: Hastanın istek ve beklenileri, başlangıç kemoterapisine olan yanıt, devam edilmesi istenen kemoterapi, hastanın toleransı (toksisite ve maliyet vb diğer sebepler), her-2 durumu/anti-her 2 tedaviye uygunluk, ER durumu ve daha önceki endokrin tedavilere karşı tolerans ve deneyimdir. ESMO klavuzu antrasiklinler hariç genelde tüm rejimlerin hastalık progresyonuna kadar yada kabul edilemez bir toksisiteye kadar kullanılmasını önermektedir(57).

Hangi kemoterapi:

Anti her 2 tedavi alan hastalarda bu tedaviye eklenecek kemoterapi rejimleri bilinmekte dir. Örneğin herceptin docetaxel, paclitaxel, nab paclitaxel veya vinorelbine ile kullanılırken, pertuzumab-herceptin kombinasyonu dosetaxel veya paclitaxel ile kullanılmaktadır. Yine bir anti her 2 oral ajan olan lapatinib kapesitabine ile birlikte etkili bulunmuştur. Diğer hastalarda seçim hastanın tercihine (tedavi sikliği, saç dökülmesi, intavenöz veya oral tedavi vb), hastanın ek komorbiditelerine (nöropatide taksanlar, kalp yetmezliğinde antrasiklinler vb.), elde edilmek istenen terapötik etkiye ve hekimin deneyimine bağlıdır. Tek ajan rejimler olarak taksanlar ve antrasiklinler en etkili ajanlar olarak bilinmekte dir(58). Eğer bu ajanlar ile ilgili bir kontrendikasyon yok ise ilk basamaklarda bu ajanlar tercih edilmelidir(24). Bazı histolojik alt tiplerde (triple negatif meme kanseri, BRCA 1-2 mutasyonu) belli etkili kemoterapiler (eribulin, platin, docetaxel vb) vardır.

SONUÇ

Metastatik meme kanserinde sistemik kemoterapinin kullanımı halen belli bir hasta grubunda yerini korumaktadır. Hastalar sistemik kemoterapiden önce endokrin veya hedefe yönelik tedaviler açısından değerlendirilmelidir. Hastalara sistemik kemoterapi seçimi yaparken tüm faktörler göz önüne tutulmalıdır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: metastatik meme kanseri, sistemik kemoterapi.

KAYNAKLAR

1. Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, et al. Cancer statistics. *Cancer J Clin* 2009;59(4):225-249.
2. Redig AJ, McAllister SS. Breast cancer as a systemic disease: a view of metastasis. *J Intern Med* 2013;274(2):113-26.
3. Stockler M, Wilcken N.R.C, Ghersi D, Simes J.R. systematic reviews of chemotherapy and endocrine therapy in metastatic breast cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2000;26(3):151-68.
4. Joy AA, Ghosh M, Fernandes R, Clemons MJ. Systemic treatment approaches in her2-negative advanced breast cancer guidance on the guidelines. *Curr Oncol* 2015;22(suppl. 1): S29-42.
5. Higgins MJ¹, Wolff AC. Therapeutic options in the management of metastatic breast cancer. *Oncology (Williston Park)*. 2008 May; 22(6):614-23.

6. Cornelia L, Hans-Christian K. Systemic Therapy of Advanced /Metastatic Breast Cancer - Current Evidence and Future Concepts. *Breast Care* 2016;11:275–281.
7. Ahmann DL, Schaid DJ, Bisel HF, et al. The effect on survival of initial chemotherapy in advanced breast cancer: polychemotherapy versus single drug. *J Clin Oncol* 1987;5:1928,
8. Carrick S¹, Parker S, Wilcken N, et al. Single agent versus combination chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2005 Apr 18;(2):CD003372.
9. Albain KS, Nag S, Calderillo-Ruiz G, et al. Global phase III study of gemcitabine plus paclitaxel vs paclitaxel as frontline therapy for metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22: Abstract 510.
10. O'Shaughnessy J¹, Miles D, Vukelja S, et al. Superior survival with capecitabine plus docetaxel combination therapy in anthracycline-pretreated patients with advanced breast cancer: phase III trial results. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002 Jun 15;20(12):2812-23.
11. Yamamoto N, et al. Construction and validation of a practical prognostic index for patients with metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1998; 16:2401
12. Pronzato P, et al. Analysis of time to response to chemotherapy in 316 metastatic breast cancer patients. *Oncology* 1993; 50:460-65.
13. Fossati R, Confalonieri C, Torri V, et al. Cytotoxic and hormonal treatment for metastatic breast cancer : a systematic review of published randomized trials involving 31,510 women. *J Clin Oncol* 1998;16:3439.
14. Wilcken N¹, Hornbuckle J, Ghersi D. Chemotherapy alone versus endocrine therapy alone for metastatic breast cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2003;(2):CD002747.
15. Taylor SG, Gelman RS, Falkson G, Cummings F. Combination Chemotherapy Compared to Tamoxifen as Initial Therapy for Stage IV Breast Cancer in Elderly Women . *Ann Intern Med*. 1986;104(4):455.
16. Pritchard KI¹, Paterson AH, Paul NA, Zee B, Fine S, Pater J. Increased thromboembolic complications with concurrent tamoxifen and chemotherapy in a randomized trial of adjuvant therapy for women with breast cancer. National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group Breast Cancer Site Group. *J Clin Oncol*. 1996;14(10):2731-7.
17. Carrick S, Parker S, Thornton CE, et al. Single agent versus combination chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009;15(2):CD003372.
18. Jones SE. Consideration in treatment choice for metastatic breast cancer. *Breast Cancer* 2008;15(1):35-9.
19. Jones SE. Metastatic breast cancer: the treatment challenge. *Clin Breast Cancer* 2008;8(3):244-33.
20. O'Brien ME, Wigler N, Inbar M, et al. Reduced cardiotoxicity and comparable efficacy in a phase III trial of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin versus conventional doxorubicin for first line treatment of metastatic breast cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2004;15:440.
21. Venturini M, Paridaens R, Rossner D et al. An open label multicenter study of outpatient capecitabine monotherapy in 631 patients with pretreated advanced breast cancer. *Oncology* 2007;72:51-57.
22. Martin M, Lluch A, Casado A, et al. Clinical activity of chronic oral etoposide in previously treated metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1994;12:986.
23. NCCN. Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: breast cancer. v.1. 2019-March 14, 2019.
24. Arbeitsgemeinschaft Gynäkologische Onkologie (AGO) Guide-lines. Diagnosis and Treatment of Patients with early and advanced Breast Cancer. www.ago-online.de/fileadmin/downloads/leitlinien/mama/2019-03/EN/Updated_Guidelines_2019.pdf.
25. Hortobagyi GN, Bodey GP, Buzdar AU, et al. Evaluation of high dose versus standard FAC chemotherapy for advanced breast cancer in protected environment units: a prospective randomized study. *J Clin Oncol* 1987;5:354.

26. Falkson G, Tormey DC, Carey P, et al. Long term survival of patients with combination chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 1991;27:973.
27. Nabholz JM, Falkson C, Campos D, et al. Docetaxel and doxorubicin compared with doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide as first line chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer: results of a randomized, multicenter, phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21:968.
28. Nabholz JM, Mackey JR, Smylie M, et al. Phase II study of docetaxel, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide as first line chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2001;19:314.
29. (no authors listed). A prospective randomized trial comparing epirubicin monochemotherapy to two fluorouracil, cyclophosphamide, and epirubicin regimens differing in epirubicin dose in advanced breast cancer patients. The French Epirubicin Study Group. *J Clin Oncol* 1991;9:305.
30. Cassier PA, Chabaud S, Trillet-loenoir V, et al. A phase III trial of doxorubicin and docetaxel versus doxorubicin and paclitaxel in metastatic breast cancer: result of the ERASME 3 study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2008;109:343.
31. Chan S, Romieu G, Huober J, et al. Phase III study of gemcitabine plus docetaxel compared with capecitabine plus docetaxel for anthracycline pretreated patients with metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;20:1753.
32. Albain KS, Nag S, Calderillo-Ruiz G, et al. Gemcitabine plus paclitaxel versus paclitaxel monotherapy in patients with metastatic breast cancer and prior anthracycline treatment.. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26: 3950.
33. Stockler MR, Harvey VJ, Francis PA, et al. Capecitabine versus classical cyclophosphamide, methotrexate and fluorouracil as first line chemotherapy for advanced breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:4498.
34. Gennari A, Stockler M, Puntoni M, et al. Duration of chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer: a systematic review and meta analysis of randomized clinical trials. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:2144.
35. Norris B, Pritchard KI, James K, et al. Phase III comparative study of vinorelbine combined with doxorubicin versus doxorubicin alone in disseminated metastatic/recurrent breast cancer: National cancer institute of canada clinical trials group study MA8. *J Clin Oncol* 2000;18:2385.
36. Andersson M, Daugaard S, von der Maase H, et al. Doxorubicin versus mitomycin versus doxorubicin plus mitomycin in advanced breast cancer: a randomized study. *Cancer Treat Rep* 1986;70:1181.
37. Joensuu H, Holli K, Heikkinen M, et al. Combination chemotherapy versus single agent chemotherapy as first and second line treatment in metastatic cancer: a prospective randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol* 1998;16:3720.
38. Ejlertsen B, Mouridsen HT, Langkjer ST, et al. Phase III study of intravenous vinorelbine in combination with epirubicin versus epirubicin alone in patients with advanced breast cancer: a scandinavian breast group trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22:2313.
39. Sparano JA, Wang M, Martino S, et al. Weekly paclitaxel in the adjuvant treatment of breast cancer. *N Eng J Med* 2008;358:1663.
40. Piccart MJ, Klijn J, Paridaens R, et al. Corticosteroids significantly delay the onset of docetaxel induced fluid retention: final result of a randomized study of the European Organization for research and treatment of cancer investigational drug branch for breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1997;15:3149.
41. Mauri D, Kampisioras K, Tsali L, et al. Overall survival benefit for weekly vs three weekly taxanes regimens in advanced breast cancer: a metaanalysis. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2010;36:69.
42. Ibrahim NK, Samuels B, Page R, et al. Multicenter phase II trial ABI-007 an albumin-bound paclitaxel in women with metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:6019.
43. Gradishar WJ, Tjulandin S, Davidson N, et al. Phase III trial of nanoparticle albumin bound paclitaxel compared with polyethylated castor oil based paclitaxel in women with breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:7794.
44. Rugo HS, Barry WT, Moreno-Aspitia A, et al. Randomized phase III trial of paclitaxel once per week compared with nanoparticle albumin bound nab paclitaxel once per week or ixabepilone with bevacizumab as first line chemotherapy for locally recurrent or metastatic breast cancer. CALGB. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:2361.

45. Jones SE, Erban J, Overmoyer B, et al. Randomized phase II study of docetaxel compared with paclitaxel in metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:5542.
46. Kopf B, De Giorgi U, Zago S, et al. Innovative therapy for patients with brain metastases; oral treatments. *J Chemother* 2004;16 suppl 5:94.
47. Cortes J, O'Shaughnessy J, Loesch D, et al. Eribulin monotherapy versus treatment of physician's choice in patients with metastatic breast cancer (EMBRACE): a phase 3 open label randomized study. *Lancet*. 2011; :377:914-923
48. Martin M, Ruiz A, Munoz M, et al. Gemcitabine plus vinorelbine versus vinorelbine monotherapy in patients with metastatic breast cancer previously treated with anthracyclines and taxanes: final results of the phase III spanish breast cancer research group (GEICAM) trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2007;8:219.
49. Fumoleau P, Delgado FM, Delozier T, et al. Phase II trial of weekly intravenous vinorelbine in first line advanced breast cancer chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 1993;11:1245.
50. Isakoff SJ. Triple negative breast cancer: role of specific chemotherapy agents. *Cancer j* 2010;16:53.
51. Sledge GW, Neuberg D, Bernardo P, et al. Phase III trial of doxorubicin, paclitaxel, and the combination of doxorubicin and paclitaxel as front-line chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer: an intergroup trial (E1193). *J Clin Oncol*. 2003 Feb 15;21(4):588-92.
52. Gennari A, Stockler M, Puntoni M, et al. Duration of chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011 Jun 1;29(16):2144-9. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2010.31.5374. Epub 2011 Apr 4.
53. Dear RF, McGeechan K, J. Combination versus sequential single agent chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013 Dec 18;12. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008792.pub2.
54. Falkson G¹, Gelman RS, Pandya KJ, et al.. Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group randomized trials of observation versus maintenance therapy for patients with metastatic breast cancer in complete remission following induction treatment. *J Clin Oncol*. 1998 May;16(5):1669-76.
55. Muss HB¹, Case LD, Richards F .Interrupted versus continuous chemotherapy in patients with metastatic breast cancer. The Piedmont Oncology Association. *N Engl J Med*. 1991 Nov 7;325(19):1342-8.
56. Park YH, Jung KH, Im SA, et al. Phase III, multicenter randomized trial of maintenance chemotherapy versus observation in patients with metastatic breast cancer after achieving disease control with six cycles of gemcitabine plus paclitaxel as first-line chemotherapy. *JCO* 2013;31:1732-39.
57. Cordosa F, et al. ESO-ESMO 2nd International Consensus Guidelines for advanced breast cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2014;25:1871-88.
58. Alberto O, Hortobagyi GN, Esteva F, et al.. Concomitant Versus Sequential Chemotherapy in the Treatment of Early-Stage and Metastatic Breast Cancer. *Clinical Breast Cancer* 2005;6(5):495-504.