



BÖLÜM 8

MİDE HASTALIKLARINDA RADYOLOJİ

İlyas DÜNDAR¹
Ensar TÜRKÖ²

1. GİRİŞ

Mide hastalıklarda radyolojik görüntüleme tanı, tedavi ve takipte oldukça önemli bir yere sahiptir. Bu alanda, farklı modalite uygulamaları mevcut olup; hastalığın doğru teşhisi için bu modalitelerin kullanım yerlerinin ve kısıtlılıklarının bilinmesi klinisyenler için önemli noktalardan biridir. Bu amaçla geleneksel radyolojik teknikler uzun bir süre öncü rol oynamıştır. Bununla birlikte, endoskopik gelişmeler, midenin doğrudan görüntüleme ve müdahale olanaklarını kökten değiştirmiştir.

Ultrasonografi (USG), bilgisayarlı tomografi (BT), pozitron emisyon tomografisi (PET) ve manyetik rezonans görüntüleme (MRG) dahil olmak üzere non-invaziv görüntüleme modalitelerindeki gelişmeler, son yıllarda mide hastalıklarına yaklaşım biçimini değiştirmiştir (1). Bu bölümde mide hastalıklarında konvansiyonel ve kesitsel görüntüleme yöntemleri modalite bazlı anlatılacaktır.

¹ Dr. Öğr. Üyesi, Van Yüzüncü Yıl Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Radyoloji AD., dundarilyas262@hotmail.com

² Uzm. Dr., Sivas Şarkışla Devlet Hastanesi Radyoloji Kliniği, ensaturko@hotmail.com

KAYNAKLAR

1. New imaging in neurogastroenterology: an overview. Camilleri M *Neurogastroenterol Motil*. 2006 Sep; 18(9):805-12
2. Grainger RG, Allison D, Dixon AK, et al. *Diagnostic Radiology: A Textbook of Medical Imaging*. 4th ed. Vol. 18. New York: Churchill Livingstone; 2001
3. Rubesin SE, Levine MS, Laufer I. Double-contrast upper gastrointestinal radiography: a pattern approach for diseases of the stomach. *Radiology*. 2008;246(1), 33-48.
4. Singh V, Alexander JA. The evaluation and management of obscure and occult gastrointestinal bleeding. *Abdominal imaging*. 2009;34(3), 311-319.
5. Ikoma N, Lee JH, Bhutani MS, et al. Preoperative accuracy of gastric cancer staging in patient selection for preoperative therapy: race may affect accuracy of endoscopic ultrasonography. *Journal of gastrointestinal oncology*. 2017; 8(6), 1009.
6. Schizas D, Ntanasis-Stathopoulos I, Tsilimigras DI, et al. The role of endoscopic ultrasound in the diagnosis and management of primary gastric lymphoma. *Gastroenterology research and practice*, 2017;2017. doi: 10.1155/2017/2397430
7. Ioannis M, Dimitra Z, Hristos H, et al. Peptic ulcer perforation: sonographic imaging of active fluid leakage. *Journal of Clinical Ultrasound*. 2006; 34(1), 38-41.
8. Wu L, Yen HH. Sonographic diagnosis of peptic ulcer perforation. *QJM: An International Journal of Medicine*. 2012; 105(12), 1217-1218.
9. Ryan ER, Heaslip IS. Magnetic resonance enteroclysis compared with conventional enteroclysis and computed tomography enteroclysis: a critically appraised topic. *Abdominal imaging*. 2008; 33(1), 34-37.
10. Virmani V, Khandelwal A, Sethi V, et al. Neoplastic stomach lesions and their mimickers: spectrum of imaging manifestations. *Cancer Imaging*. 2012;12(1), 269.
11. Nagpal P, Prakash A, Pradhan G, et al. MDCT imaging of the stomach: advances and applications. *The British journal of radiology*. 2017; 90(1069), 20160412.
12. Ghimire P, Wu GY, Zhu L. Primary gastrointestinal lymphoma. *World journal of gastroenterology*: 2017; 17(6), 697.
13. Chamadol N, Wongwiwatchai J, Wachirakowit T, et al. Computed tomographic features of adenocarcinoma compared to malignant lymphoma of the stomach. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand*. 2011; 94(11), 1387.
14. Hong X, Choi H, Loyer EM, et al. Gastrointestinal stromal tumor: role of CT in diagnosis and in response evaluation and surveillance after treatment with imatinib. *Radiographics*. 2006; 26(2), 481-495.
15. Sandrasegaran K, Rajesh A, Rydberg J, et al. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors: clinical, radiologic, and pathologic features. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2005;184(3), 803-811.
16. Nikou GC, Angelopoulos TP. Current concepts on gastric carcinoid tumors. *Gastroenterology research and practice*. 2012; 2012. doi: 10.1155/2012/287825
17. Corey B, Chen H. Neuroendocrine Tumors of the Stomach. *The Surgical clinics of North America*. 2017;97(2), 333-343.
18. Thompson WM, Kende AI, Levy AD. Imaging characteristics of gastric lipomas in 16 adult and pediatric patients. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2003; 181(4), 981-985.
19. Horton KM, Fishman EK. Current role of CT in imaging of the stomach. *Radiographics*. 2003; 23(1), 75-87.
20. Ripollés T, García-Aguayo J, Martínez MJ, et al. Gastrointestinal bezoars: sonographic and CT characteristics. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2001; 177(1), 65-69.
21. DuBose V TM, Southgate WM, Hill JG. Lactobezoars: a patient series and literature review. *Clinical pediatrics*. 2001; 40(11), 603-606.

22. Matsushima K, Won EJ, Tangel MR. Emphysematous gastritis and gastric emphysema: similar radiographic findings, distinct clinical entities. *World journal of surgery*. 2015; 39(4), 1008-1017.
23. Grayson DE, Abbott RM, Levy AD, et al. Emphysematous infections of the abdomen and pelvis: a pictorial review. *Radiographics*. 2002; 22(3), 543-561.
24. Peterson CM, Anderson JS, Hara AK, et al. Volvulus of the gastrointestinal tract: appearances at multimodality imaging. *Radiographics*. 2009; 29(5), 1281-1293.
25. Guniganti P, Bradenham CH, Raptis C, et al. CT of gastric emergencies. *Radiographics*. 2015; 35(7), 1909-1921.
26. Kim SW, Kim HC, Yang DM. Perforated tumours in the gastrointestinal tract: CT findings and clinical implications. *The British journal of radiology*. 2012; 85(1017), 1307-1313.
27. Mehanna MJ, Birjawi G, Moukaddam HA, et al. Complications of adjustable gastric banding, a radiological pictorial review. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2006; 186(2), 522-534.
28. Kim TH, Kim JH, Shin CI, et al. CT findings suggesting anastomotic leak and predicting the recovery period following gastric surgery. *European radiology*. 2015; 25(7), 1958-1966.
29. Kim YE, Lim JS, Hyung WJ, et al. Clinical implication of positive oral contrast computed tomography for the evaluation of postoperative leakage after gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *Journal of computer assisted tomography*. 2010; 34(4), 537-542.
30. Paik HJ, Lee SH, Choi CI, et al. Duodenal stump fistula after gastrectomy for gastric cancer: risk factors, prevention, and management. *Annals of surgical treatment and research*. 2016; 90(3), 157-163.
31. Oh SY, Lee HJ, Yang HK Pylorus-preserving gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *Journal of gastric cancer*. 2016; 16(2), 63-71.
32. Guerra F, Giuliani G, Iacobone M, et al. Pancreas-related complications following gastrectomy: systematic review and meta-analysis of open versus minimally invasive surgery. *Surgical endoscopy*. 2017; 31(11), 4346-4356.
33. Kim HC, Han JK, Kim KW, et al. Afferent loop obstruction after gastric cancer surgery: helical CT findings. *Abdominal imaging*. 2003; 28(5), 624-630.
34. Dilauro M, McInnes MD, Schieda N, et al. Internal hernia after laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: optimal CT signs for diagnosis and clinical decision making. *Radiology*. 2017; 282(3), 752-760.
35. Gore RM, Smith CH. Postoperative stomach and duodenum. In *Textbook of Gastrointestinal Radiology* (pp. 707-725). WB Saunders. 2008.
36. Liu B, Ramalho M, AlObaidy M, et al. Gastrointestinal imaging-practical magnetic resonance imaging approach. *World journal of radiology*. 2014; 6(8), 544.
37. Maccioni F, Marcelli G, Al Ansari N, et al. Preoperative T and N staging of gastric cancer: magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) versus multi detector computed tomography (MDCT). *La Clinica Terapeutica*. 2010; 161(2), e57-62.
38. Stroszczyński C, Jost D, Reichardt P, et al. Follow-up of gastro-intestinal stromal tumours (GIST) during treatment with imatinib mesylate by abdominal MRI. *European radiology*. 2005; 15(12), 2448-2456.
39. Masselli G, Colaiacomo MC, Marcelli G, et al. MRI of the small-bowel: how to differentiate primary neoplasms and mimickers. *The British journal of radiology*. 2012; 85(1014), 824-837.